Golden opportunities: a horizon scan to expand sandy beach ecology.*** Schlacher, Thomas A.**

School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine Coast, QLD-4558 Maroochydore, Australia
tschlach@usc.edu.au

Weston, Michael A.

Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, Burwood, VIC 3125, Australia,
mike.weston@deakin.edu.au

Schoeman, David S.

School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine Coast, QLD-4558 Maroochydore, Australia
dschoema@usc.edu.au

Olds, Andrew D.

School of Science and Engineering, The University of the Sunshine Coast, QLD-4558 Maroochydore, Australia
aolds@usc.edu.au

Huijbers, Chantal M.

Australian Rivers Institute – Coast and Estuaries, and School of Environment, Gold Coast Campus, Griffith University, Queensland, QLD-4222, Australia.
c.huijbers@griffith.edu.au

Connolly, Rod M.

Australian Rivers Institute - Coast & Estuaries, and School of Environment, Gold Coast Campus, Griffith University, Queensland, QLD-4222, Australia
r.connolly@griffith.edu.au

* corresponding author: tschlach@usc.edu.au

Abstract

Robust ecological paradigms and theories should, ideally, hold across several ecosystems. Yet, limited testing of generalities has occurred in some habitats despite these habitats offering unique features to make them good model systems for experiments. We contend this is the case for the ocean-exposed sandy beaches. Beaches have several distinctive traits, including extreme malleability of habitats, strong environmental control of biota, intense cross-boundary exchanges, and food webs highly reliant on imported subsidies. Here we sketch broad topical themes and theoretical concepts of general ecology that are particularly well-suited for ecological studies on sandy shores. These span a broad range: the historical legacies and species traits that determine community assemblages; food-web architectures; novel ecosystems; landscape and spatial ecology and animal movements; invasive species dynamics; ecology of disturbances; ecological thresholds and ecosystem resilience; and habitat restoration and recovery. Collectively, these concepts have the potential to shape the outlook for beach ecology and they should also encourage marine ecologists to embrace, via cross-disciplinary ecological research, exposed sandy beach systems that link the oceans with the land.

Key-words: horizon scan; ecological concepts; cross-system transfer; ecological theories; sandy shorelines; paradigms

*There is a tide in the affairs of men.
Which, taken at the flood, leads on to fortune;
Omitted, all the voyage of their life
Is bound in shallows and in miseries.
On such a full sea are we now afloat,
And we must take the current when it serves,
Or lose our ventures.*

(William Shakespeare, Julius Caesar)

Introduction

Sandy beaches are the most common habitat found at the boundary between the continents and the oceans. Sandy beaches are also amongst the most valuable landforms for humankind, supporting sizeable economies, communities, coastal cities, tourism and allied industries (Houston, 2008). As ecosystems, beaches and their functionally-linked dunes and surf-zones, display several unique traits: 1.) Linear systems characterised by long and open boundaries; 2.) Malleable habitats undergoing frequent and substantial changes in physical extent and environmental conditions; 3.) Intense cross-system exchanges of nutrients, organic matter and organisms; and 4.) Biological communities assembled from both terrestrial and marine species pools (Fig. 1; McLachlan and Brown, 2006). Beaches also provide a suite of ecosystem services to society, ranging from nutrient remineralisation over recreation to fisheries (Schlachter et al., 2008). This combination of large geographic extent, high socio-economic importance, multiple cultural values, and distinctive ecological properties makes sandy beaches multi-faceted and significant systems to study.

Scientifically, sandy-beach ecology - as a sub-discipline of ecology - has made significant progress over the last decades in broadening its scope, embracing novel techniques, building stronger conceptual and theoretical frameworks, and linking fundamental research to applications in conservation and management (Nel et al., 2014). For example, one of sandy-beach ecology's most important conceptual contributions to ecology is the quasi-paradigmatic thesis of persistent and dominant habitat and physical controls on invertebrate assemblages (Defeo and McLachlan, 2005; McLachlan, 1990; Schlachter and Thompson, 2013). In this 'environmental control model', predictions of compound community descriptors (e.g. taxonomic richness, total biomass) based on equally simple habitat attributes (e.g. slope, grain size) are remarkably strong (Defeo and McLachlan, 2013). This existing model therefore provides a good starting point from which beach ecology can branch out to address wider ecological questions relating to the role of environmental heterogeneity (e.g. species-diversity relationships, the role of refugia, niche diversity).

Progress in science, in the field of ecology and elsewhere, pivots on the continual renewal and critical testing of existing theories (Popper, 1935), and the creation of new knowledge (Feyerabend, 2010). Equally, all branches of ecology stand to benefit greatly from critically examining paradigms that define their field (e.g. Elliott and Whitfield, 2011; McClain and Schlacher, in press; Rowden et al., 2010; Schlacher et al., 2010). Thus, ecology must continually evolve as a field to remain relevant, and beach ecology, especially, stands to make rapid and substantial gains through the transfer of theories and concepts developed in other ecosystems. Those broader concepts, too, would benefit from being more fully tested on beach systems, with their unique properties (Fig. 1). Such transfers and adoptions of theories from allied disciplines are critical for intellectual renewal, for maintaining currency, and for improving the attractiveness of beaches as model systems to test broader ecological theories and emerging ideas (Schoeman et al., 2014).

Here we outline several thematic areas that represent important developments and ideas in the modern ecological research literature beyond ocean beaches; these ideas have generally not been transferred to ecological studies on ocean beaches to any significant extent. This is not intended to be a canonical list, but merely represents as a set of examples of the types of broader ecological theory that can fruitfully be tested on ocean beaches. These questions are poorly represented in the sandy-shore literature.

The overarching intent of this paper is to stimulate debate and to encourage scientists working in other habitats to include ocean beaches as test systems to address both applied and theoretical questions in ecology. Whereas broad research directions have been out forward for other habitats (Graham et al., 2014; Sánchez-Azofeifa et al., 2005), and more generally for ecology (Sutherland et al., 2013), this process has yet to take place for beach and dune ecology – we put this paper forward as a catalyst to achieve this.

1. Community assembly: historical legacies, phylogenetic constraints and species traits

All biological elements of ecological systems carry strong evolutionary signatures, with modern structures and processes reflecting evolutionary histories, phylogenetic constraints, and adaptive opportunities. For example, modern distributions and habitat associations of species likely reflect the net outcome of niche conservatism and niche evolution (Hopkins et

al., 2014). Beach ecology has illustrated these evolutionary signals by emphasising species traits that confer plasticity (e.g. Soares et al., 1999), but a broader recognition of evolutionary pasts in its research framework could be a valuable complement, likely to enhance the explanatory and predictive power of beach ecology models. Processes that drive and control community assembly are central to understanding what determines the architecture of ecological assemblages. Important factors include historical legacies and constraints on species interactions, priority effects, stochastic events, and colonisation rates (Fahimipour and Hein, 2014; Vannette and Fukami, 2014). Invertebrate assemblages on ocean beaches globally show remarkable redundancy in functional and taxonomic guild composition (Schlacher et al., 2008), offering a model system to test central tenets of community assembly theory. It will be important, also, to answer the related question of whether the same degree of compositional redundancy exists for other taxa, such as fishes of the surf-zones and birds of the dunes on sandy shorelines.

2. Food-web Architectures, Complexities, Apex Predators

Biological transformations of carbon are, arguably, *the* pivotal ecosystem function. Hence, 'trophic biology' remains a perennially prominent theme in ecology: ecology seeks to understand how ecological systems are configured as carbon transformers, how differences in configurations arise, and how variations in configurations shape the functional efficacy and properties of food webs (Hussey et al., 2014; Ulanowicz et al., 2014). Whilst beach ecology has traditionally measured mainly structural components of the system, there is evidence for an emerging momentum targeting functional properties, including food webs, trophic processes, and ecosystem energetics (e.g. Morrow et al., 2014; Schlacher and Hartwig, 2013). A defining characteristic of beach food-webs is their reliance on imports of marine carbon washed ashore or produced in the abutting surf zone (Schlacher et al., 2013a; Spiller et al., 2010). This feature of beaches (i.e. subsidy-dominated lower trophic levels) may provide a good opportunity to examine causes of variation in fundamental traits of food webs (e.g. connectivity, food-chain length, upper bounds to trophic position, trophic breadth and depth) tested in other ecosystem types. Trophic ecology on ocean beaches has, arguably, been somewhat myopic in its focus on small invertebrates, many of which are buried in the sand (Lucrezi and Schlacher, 2014; Schlacher and Hartwig, 2013; Schlacher and Thompson 2013). By contrast, the functional role of larger vertebrate carnivores on ocean beaches is less often considered, the exception being limited studies on surf-zone fish, shorebirds, and raptors (Huijbers et al., 2015; Huijbers et al., 2013; Manning et al., 2014; Peterson et al., 2013;

Schlacher et al., 2013b; Schlacher et al., 2014d). This contrasts with other ecosystem types where research on apex predators features much more prominently (Heath et al., 2014).

3. Novel Ecosystems and Ecological Traps

In a world of rapid human-induced environmental change, animals are faced with novel combinations of ecological features that may not necessarily have been part of their evolutionary history (Sih et al., 2011). In situations where animals show maladaptive behavioural responses to novel conditions, habitats can form ecological or evolutionary traps (Schlaepfer et al., 2002; Sih, 2013). Such is the extent of human utilisation of beaches, that anthropogenic transformation of beaches is common, widespread and often severe (McLachlan et al., 2013), potentially creating evolutionarily novel sets of conditions for beach species (Weston et al., 2014). The existence of such traps and their implications for population viability is generally difficult to demonstrate (Robertson and Hutto, 2006). Because ocean beaches have relatively well-defined conditions to which species respond, and because these conditions are modified in broadly predictable ways by humans, beach systems may offer good opportunities to investigate the properties and consequences of evolutionary and ecological traps.

4. Landscape Ecology and Interfaces

Ecosystems are rarely isolated: their boundaries are permeable and they exchange energy, material, and organisms with abutting systems. These cross-boundary flows are omnipresent in the biosphere and are major drivers of ecological functioning in many systems (Leroux and Loreau, 2008). Exchanges of matter across boundaries are the mechanistic or theoretical pivots in a number of important conceptual models in modern ecology, including influential advances in the discipline of meta-ecosystems (Gravel et al., 2010), pulsed subsidy effects (Leroux and Loreau, 2012), and spatial ecology (Massol et al., 2011). Ocean beaches are archetypal interface regions, characterised by long and open boundaries across which organisms move and nutrient exchanges couple ecosystems. Surprisingly, though, a relatively small component of beach ecology research has explicitly contextualised its work by drawing on theories from coupled systems and functionally-linked elements of the coastal landscape (Schlacher and Connolly, 2009). These concepts offer an appropriate and fitting framework for beaches as functional interface regions, highlighting an opportunity for closer intellectual linking of beach science with ecology more broadly (Haegeman and Loreau, 2014).

5. Spatial Ecology and Animal Movement

A defining characteristic of all animal species on ocean shores is mobility: sessile forms cannot persist on the unstable sediments of beaches. The critical role of animal movement is widely recognised for beach invertebrates, reflected in a substantial body of published work on invertebrate movement and orientation (Dugan and McLachlan, 1999; Scapini, 2006; Scapini, 2014; Schlacher and Lucrezi, 2010). Recent advances in tracking technology allow the movement of numerous animal species to be recorded with high resolution over large spatial and temporal scales (Benhamou, 2014; Fagan et al., 2013). Ocean beaches support numerous taxa of larger animals (e.g. shorebirds, raptors, fishes, turtles) that use these linear systems as feeding and breeding sites and which act as important vectors of nutrient transfers (Schlacher et al., 2014a; Weston et al., 2014). As in other ecosystems (Olds et al., 2012), populations of these organisms appear to be distributed in response to spatial heterogeneity and habitat connectivity in coastal landscapes (e.g. beach birds, Meager et al., 2012; Schlacher et al., 2014b) and seascapes (e.g. Ayvazian and Hyndes, 1995; Valesini et al., 2004), but few beach studies have adopted an explicit spatial framework (like that provided by landscape ecology) to examine these questions. Extending modern theoretical advances in animal movement ecology and incorporating new techniques in remote sensing, spatial modelling and bio-acoustic technology (sensu Fagan et al., 2013; Mansfield et al., 2014) to track these 'maritime vertebrates' of beaches appears a promising research field. A better knowledge of the spatial ecology of beach vertebrates is also critical for improving the conservation of these threatened animals and informing marine spatial planning decisions (Nel et al., 2013).

6. Pests and Invasive Species

There is extensive literature on the ecological, economic and socio-cultural impacts of invasive, predominately non-native, species (Ehrenfeld, 2010). Whilst traditional notions of invasive species effects have, in the vast majority of cases, portrayed large to catastrophic impacts (Courchamp et al., 2003; Norton, 2009), a more nuanced view has emerged in recent years (Davis et al., 2011; Pysek et al., 2012; Strayer, 2012). Notwithstanding this shift in how society views non-native species, and a more empirical approach to assessing their role in recipient systems, invasive species ecology remains a top science and management priority in many countries (Fleishman et al., 2011), and is highly topical in the ecological literature

(Moran and Alexander, 2014; Yelenik and D'Antonio, 2013). Beaches appear to be the exception, however, with few targeted, systematic, and sufficiently-resourced programs focusing on invasive species in beach ecosystems seawards of the dunes. Whilst there are a number of studies documenting impacts of non-native species in coastal dunes (e.g. Huijbers et al., 2013; Maguire et al., 2009; Maslo and Lockwood, 2009), our knowledge of non-native species in other parts of beach ecosystems remains rudimentary and fragmentary, making this a candidate theme for future beach research. This is particularly poignant as beach and dune habitats may be especially prone to species invasions because of their long and open boundaries with abutting systems, favouring routes via sea borne colonisation (e.g. sea spurge) and landward colonisation (e.g. weeds and foxes).

7. Disturbance Ecology

Disturbance has a rich history in ecology as a putative driver of diversity (Connell, 1978; Dornelas, 2010; Fox, 2013; McCann, 2000). Whilst the immediate consequences of disturbance events are often negative for species populations, positive effects are possible, such as enhancing habitat heterogeneity at landscape scales (Levin and Sibuet, 2012), or the unlocking of resources (Soares et al., 1996). 'Disturbance' is a process regularly attributed to habitats of ocean beaches as they are often described, in physical terms, as 'harsh', 'dynamic', 'unstable', or 'malleable'. Thus, hypothetically, biological assemblages of ocean beaches should be adapted to frequent and widespread disturbance, and there is some empirical evidence to support this notion (e.g. Lucrezi et al., 2009; Lucrezi et al., 2010; Schoeman et al., 2000). It is, however, largely unknown whether disturbance sets upper bounds on diversity or shapes diversity patterns across physical gradients in some other way. Irrespective of the shape of the disturbance-diversity curve, beaches are likely to be good model systems within which to test predictions from disturbance theories developed in other types of ecosystems (Fox, 2013). On beaches, natural (e.g. storms) and opportunistic (e.g. nourishment) ecological disturbances offer replicated, large-scale models to study disturbance to ecosystems (Manning et al., 2014).

8. Ecological Thresholds, Resilience, Critical Transitions

Many ocean beaches worldwide have undergone significant modifications by humans, often on an intensive and massive scale, resulting in widespread expansions of urbanised sectors of the coast. Moreover, future changes in storm intensities and direction, and sea-level rise,

mean that beaches and their ecologies are likely to change greatly (Pilkey and Cooper, 2014). Management and conservation responses to these human pressures routinely focus on maintaining shoreline position and sand volumes, but rarely include the maintenance of biological assets or ecological function (Harris et al., 2014; Schlacher et al., 2007). This failure can be attributed partly to a lack of data on how the *function* of beach systems changes with increasing levels of human modifications (Schlacher et al., 2014c). From a conservation perspective, a critically important question is whether beach systems lose function suddenly beyond a threshold or tipping point, switching to a different functional domain (sensu Hubbard et al., 2014; Jaramillo et al., 2012; Lever et al., 2014; Veraart et al., 2012). Resilience theory also highlights the role of ecological processes acting as feedback mechanisms between environmental impacts and ecosystem state (Nyström et al., 2012). We contend that testing predictions of theories on critical transitions, regime shifts and feedback loops (Scheffer et al., 2012) is a priority for sandy beach ecology (see also Engel and Gupta, 2014). The incorporation of beaches into this discipline will also benefit resilience research. Theoretically, a model of more rapid recovery after disturbance on beaches (Schoeman et al., 2000) means that beaches can provide a useful test case at the extreme end of malleability of ecosystems. Moreover, because research on regime shifts (and recovery) has been developed almost exclusively in structured habitats (e.g. coral reefs and vegetated habitats such as seagrass meadows), metrics capable of assessing shifts and recovery in non-structured habitats are poorly developed.

9. Restoration and Recovery

Society generally has strong expectations of beaches, surf-zones, and dunes, to provide ecosystem services primarily associated with recreation, real estate values, and the protection of human assets (Maguire et al., 2011). On coastlines where human modifications have diminished the capacity of beach and other coastal systems to deliver these services, restoration is widely practiced (Elliott et al., 2007). Restoration of dunes is a long-established and widespread practice on sedimentary shorelines (Nordstrom, 2008; Nordstrom et al., 2011). In a broader context, ecosystem restoration can be a controversial issue that involves complex social, economic and environmental decisions (Elliott et al., 2007; Lampert and Hastings, 2014; Ritchie et al., 2012). Moreover, recovery trajectories can be highly variable and it is often uncertain to which extent 'recovered' systems resemble, in functional and structural terms, systems not significantly altered by human stressors (Duarte et al., 2013). Leaving aside ethical issues regarding ecological restoration, if we accept that the practice is

likely to continue, it offers opportunities for beach ecology: arguably, restoration projects can be viewed as 'experiments' (*sensu* Peterson et al., 2006). These interventions also occur at spatial or economic scales much larger than is practicable for purely academic research, and can be used to examine a multitude of questions, such as community assembly, habitat controls on functional and structural recovery trajectories, patch-mosaic dynamics and phylogenetic constraints to recovery. Importantly, ecological knowledge may be leveraged to optimise the planning and engineering components in restoration practice to improve ecological (and perhaps even engineered) outcomes.

Conclusions and Outlook

Beaches possess several attributes that make them useful ecological model systems to address the types of questions we have sketched here, and many others (Fig. 1). Four broad attributes seem particularly relevant to us in this context: 1.) Beach systems have an essentially linear geometry, with long ecosystem boundaries (high edge-to-area ratios), 2.) Functional coupling of food webs is frequent and strong through the exchange of matter, organisms and nutrients; 3.) The physical matrix of beaches is of an unconsolidated nature, meaning that they are malleable habitats that can be unstable, highly dynamic and prone to disturbance (induced changes in extent and shape); and 4.) Beaches constitute interfaces between the oceans and the land, meaning that the biota represent a melange from terrestrial and marine species pools.

Beaches also offer some practical advantages to ecologists: they are relatively easy to access and work on (compared with permanently wet ecosystems); opportunities exist for spatial replication across continents, climates, and adjacent biogeographies; control sites can be defined (albeit this possibility is being increasingly undermined by increasing human uses and coastal development (Schlacher et al., 2007)); and they contain relatively well-defined (at least conceptually) food webs composed of species with broadly predictable habitat requirements.

Beaches also represent one of the largest socio-ecological systems. Because they span diverse human cultures and communities, cross-disciplinary study of beaches holds much promise with respect to addressing broadly applicable questions of anthropogenic utilisation of, and impact on, ecosystems. Indeed, ecological conservation of beaches will increasingly

require integration of biophysical, economic and social considerations (Bodin et al., 2014; Scyphers et al., 2014).

On the planet's ocean beaches, there is indeed "*a tide in the affairs of men*" (William Shakespeare, 1599) in more than a metaphorical sense: research opportunities abound for those with sand between their toes.

Literature cited

Ayvazian, S.G., Hyndes, G.A., 1995. Surf-zone fish assemblages in south-western Australia: Do adjacent nearshore habitats and the warm Leeuwin current influence the characteristics of the fish fauna? *Marine Biology* 122, 527-536.

Benhamou, S., 2014. Of scales and stationarity in animal movements. *Ecology Letters* 17, 261-272.

Bodin, O., Crona, B., Thyresson, M., Golz, A.L., Tengö, M., 2014. Conservation success as a function of good alignment of social and ecological structures and processes. *Conservation Biology* 28, 1371-1379.

Connell, J., 1978. Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. *Science* 199, 1302-1310.

Courchamp, F., Chapuis, J.L., Pascal, M., 2003. Mammal invaders on islands: impact, control and control impact. *Biological Reviews* 78, 347-383.

Davis, M.A., Chew, M.K., Hobbs, R.J., Lugo, A.E., Ewel, J.J., Vermeij, G.J., Brown, J.H., Rosenzweig, M.L., Gardener, M.R., Carroll, S.P., Thompson, K., Pickett, S.T.A., Stromberg, J.C., Tredici, P.D., Suding, K.N., Ehrenfeld, J.G., Philip Grime, J., Mascaro, J., Briggs, J.C., 2011. Don't judge species on their origins. *Nature* 474, 153-154.

Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., 2005. Patterns, processes and regulatory mechanisms in sandy beach macrofauna: a multi-scale analysis. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 295, 1-20.

Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., 2013. Global patterns in sandy beach macrofauna: Species richness, abundance, biomass and body size. *Geomorphology* 199, 106-114.

Dornelas, M., 2010. Disturbance and change in biodiversity. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365, 3719-3727.

Duarte, C.M., Borja, A., Carstensen, J., Elliott, M., Krause-Jensen, D., Marbà, N., 2013. Paradigms in the Recovery of Estuarine and Coastal Ecosystems. *Estuaries and Coasts* DOI 10.1007/s12237-013-9750-9.

Dugan, J.E., McLachlan, A., 1999. An assessment of longshore movement in *Donax serra* Roding (Bivalvia : Donacidae) on an exposed sandy beach. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 234, 111-124.

Ehrenfeld, J.G., 2010. Ecosystem consequences of biological invasions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 41, 59-80.

Elliott, M., Burdon, D., Hemingway, K.L., Apitz, S.E., 2007. Estuarine, coastal and marine ecosystem restoration: Confusing management and science - A revision of concepts. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 74, 349-366.

Elliott, M., Whitfield, A.K., 2011. Challenging paradigms in estuarine ecology and management. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 94, 306-314.

Engel, A.S., Gupta, A.A., 2014. Regime shift in sandy beach microbial communities following Deepwater Horizon oil spill remediation efforts. *PLoS ONE* 9(7), e102934.

Fagan, W.F., Lewis, M.A., Auger-Méthé, M., Avgar, T., Benhamou, S., Breed, G., Ladage, L., Schlägel, U.E., Tang, W.W., Papastamatiou, Y.P., Forester, J., Mueller, T., 2013. Spatial memory and animal movement. *Ecology Letters* 16, 1316-1329.

Fahimipour, A.K., Hein, A.M., 2014. The dynamics of assembling food webs. *Ecology Letters* 17, 606-613.

Feyerabend, P., 2010. *Against Method*. Verso Books, London.

Fleishman, E., Blockstein, D.E., Hall, J.A., Mascia, M.B., Rudd, M.A., Scott, J.M., Sutherland, W.J., Bartuska, A.M., Brown, A.G., Christen, C.A., Clement, J.P., DellaSala, D., Duke, C.S., Eaton, M., Fiske, S.J., Gosnell, H., Haney, J.C., Hutchins, M., Klein, M.L., Marqusee, J., Noon, B.R., Nordgren, J.R., Orbuch, P.M., Powell, J., Quarles, S.P., Saterson, K.A., Savitt, C.C., Stein, B.A., Webster, M.S., Vedder, A., 2011. Top 40 Priorities for Science to Inform US Conservation and Management Policy. *Bioscience* 61, 290-300.

Fox, J.W., 2013. The intermediate disturbance hypothesis should be abandoned. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 28, 86-92.

Graham, N.A.J., Cinner, J.E., Norström, A.V., Nyström, M., 2014. Coral reefs as novel ecosystems: embracing new futures. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 7, 9-14.

Gravel, D., Guichard, F., Loreau, M., Mouquet, N., 2010. Source and sink dynamics in meta-ecosystems. *Ecology* 91, 2172-2184.

Haegeman, B., Loreau, M., 2014. General relationships between consumer dispersal, resource dispersal and metacommunity diversity. *Ecology Letters* 17, 175-184.

Harris, L., Nel, R., Holness, S., Sink, K., Schoeman, D., 2014. Setting conservation targets for sandy beach ecosystems. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 150, 45-57.

Heath, M.R., Speirs, D.C., Steele, J.H., 2014. Understanding patterns and processes in models of trophic cascades. *Ecology Letters* 17, 101-114.

Hopkins, M.J., Simpson, C., Kiessling, W., 2014. Differential niche dynamics among major marine invertebrate clades. *Ecology Letters* 17, 314-323.

Houston, J.R., 2008. The economic value of beaches - a 2008 update. *Shore & Beach* 76, 22-26.

Hubbard, D.M., Dugan, J.E., Schooler, N.K., Viola, S.M., 2014. Local extirpations and regional declines of endemic upper beach invertebrates in southern California. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 150, 67-75.

- Huijbers, C.M., Schlacher, T.A., Schoeman, D.S., Olds, A.D., Weston, M.A., Connolly, R.M., 2015. Limited functional redundancy in vertebrate scavenger guilds fails to compensate for the loss of raptors from urbanized sandy beaches. *Diversity & Distributions* 21, 55-63.
- Huijbers, C.M., Schlacher, T.A., Schoeman, D.S., Weston, M.A., Connolly, R.M., 2013. Urbanisation alters processing of marine carrion on sandy beaches. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 119, 1– 8.
- Hussey, N.E., Macneil, M.A., McMeans, B.C., Olin, J.A., Dudley, S.F.J., Cliff, G., Wintner, S.P., Fennessy, S.T., Fisk, A.T., 2014. Rescaling the trophic structure of marine food webs. *Ecology Letters* 17, 239-250.
- Jaramillo, E., Dugan, J.E., Hubbard, D.M., Melnick, D., Manzano, M., Duarte, C., Campos, C., Sanchez, R., 2012. Ecological implications of extreme events: Footprints of the 2010 earthquake along the Chilean coast. *PLoS ONE* 7, e35348. doi:35310.31371/journal.pone.0035348.
- Lampert, A., Hastings, A., 2014. Optimal control of population recovery - the role of economic restoration threshold. *Ecology Letters* 17, 28-35.
- Leroux, S.J., Loreau, M., 2008. Subsidy hypothesis and strength of trophic cascades across ecosystems. *Ecology Letters* 11, 1147-1156.
- Leroux, S.J., Loreau, M., 2012. Dynamics of reciprocal pulsed subsidies in local and meta-ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 15, 48-59.
- Lever, J.J., van Nes, E.H., Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., 2014. The sudden collapse of pollinator communities. *Ecology Letters* 17, 350-359.
- Levin, L.A., Sibuet, M., 2012. Understanding continental margin biodiversity: A new imperative. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 4, 79-112.
- Lucrezi, S., Schlacher, T.A., 2014. The ecology of ghost crabs - a review. *Oceanography and Marine Biology - An Annual Review* 52, 201-256.
- Lucrezi, S., Schlacher, T.A., Robinson, W., 2009. Human disturbance as a cause of bias in ecological indicators for sandy beaches: Experimental evidence for the effects of human trampling on ghost crabs (*Ocypode* spp.). *Ecological Indicators* 9, 913-921.
- Lucrezi, S., Schlacher, T.A., Robinson, W., 2010. Can storms and shore armouring exert additive effects on sandy-beach habitats and biota? *Marine and Freshwater Research* 61, 951-962.
- Maguire, G.S., Miller, K.K., Weston, M.A., Young, K., 2011. Being beside the seaside: Beach use and preferences among coastal residents of south-eastern Australia. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 54, 781-788.
- Maguire, G.S., Stojanovic, D., Weston, M.A., 2009. Conditioned taste aversion reduces fox depredation on model eggs on beaches. *Wildlife Research* 36, 702-708.
- Manning, L.M., Peterson, C.H., Bishop, M.J., 2014. Dominant macrobenthic populations experience sustained impacts from annual disposal of fine sediments on sandy beaches. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 508, 1-15.
- Mansfield, K.L., Wyneken, J., Porter, W.P., Luo, J., 2014. First satellite tracks of neonate sea turtles redefine the 'lost years' oceanic niche. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281, Article number 20133039.

- Maslo, B., Lockwood, J.L., 2009. Evidence-based decisions on the use of predator exclosures in shorebird conservation. *Biological Conservation* 142, 3213-3218.
- Massol, F., Gravel, D., Mouquet, N., Cadotte, M.W., Fukami, T., Leibold, M.A., 2011. Linking community and ecosystem dynamics through spatial ecology. *Ecology Letters* 14, 313-323.
- McCann, K.S., 2000. The diversity-stability debate. *Nature* 405, 228-233.
- McClain, C., Schlachter, T.A., in press. On some hypotheses of diversity of animal life at great depths on the seafloor. *Marine Ecology* in press.
- McLachlan, A., 1990. Dissipative beaches and macrofauna communities on exposed intertidal sands. *Journal of Coastal Research* 6, 57-71.
- McLachlan, A., Brown, A.C., 2006. *The ecology of sandy shores*. Academic press, Burlington, Massachusetts.
- McLachlan, A., Defeo, O., Jaramillo, E., Short, A.D., 2013. Sandy beach conservation and recreation: Guidelines for optimising management strategies for multi-purpose use. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 71, 256-268.
- Meager, J.J., Schlachter, T.A., Nielsen, T., 2012. Humans alter habitat selection of birds on ocean-exposed sandy beaches. *Diversity & Distributions* 18, 294-306.
- Moran, E.V., Alexander, J.M., 2014. Evolutionary responses to global change: Lessons from invasive species. *Ecology Letters* 17, 637-649.
- Morrow, K., Bell, S.S., Tewfik, A., 2014. Variation in ghost crab trophic links on sandy beaches. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 502, 197-206.
- Nel, R., Campbell, E.E., Harris, L., Hauser, L., Schoeman, D.S., McLachlan, A., du Preez, D.R., Bezuidenhout, K., Schlachter, T.A., 2014. The status of sandy beach science: Past trends, progress, and possible futures. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 150, 1-10.
- Nel, R., Punt, A.E., Hughes, G.R., 2013. Are Coastal Protected Areas Always Effective in Achieving Population Recovery for Nesting Sea Turtles? *PLoS ONE* 8, e63525. doi:63510.61371/journal.pone.0063525.
- Nordstrom, K.F., 2008. *Beach and dune restoration*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Nordstrom, K.F., Jackson, N.L., Kraus, N.C., Kana, T.W., Bearce, R., Bocamazo, L.M., Young, D.R., De Butts, H.A., 2011. Enhancing geomorphic and biologic functions and values on backshores and dunes of developed shores: a review of opportunities and constraints. *Environmental Conservation* 38, 288-302.
- Norton, D.A., 2009. Species Invasions and the Limits to Restoration: Learning from the New Zealand Experience. *Science* 325, 569-571.
- Nyström, M., Norström, A.V., Blenckner, T., de la Torre-Castro, M., Eklöf, J.S., Folke, C., Österblom, H., Steneck, R.S., Thyresson, M., Troell, M., 2012. Confronting Feedbacks of Degraded Marine Ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 15, 695-710.
- Olds, A.D., Connolly, R.M., Pitt, K.A., Maxwell, P.S., 2012. Primacy of seascape connectivity effects in structuring coral reef fish assemblages. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 462, 191-203.

Peterson, C.H., Bishop, M.J., Johnson, G.A., D'Anna, L.M., Manning, L.M., 2006. Exploiting beach filling as an unaffordable experiment: benthic intertidal impacts propagating upwards to shorebirds. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 338, 205-221.

Peterson, C.H., Fegley, S.R., Voss, C.M., Marschhauser, S.R., VanDusen, B.M., 2013. Conservation implications of density-dependent predation by ghost crabs on hatchling sea turtles running the gauntlet to the sea. *Marine Biology* 160, 629-640.

Pilkey, O.H., Cooper, J.A.G., 2014. Are natural beaches facing extinction? *Journal of Coastal Research Special Issue*, No. 70, 431-436.

Popper, K., 1935. *Logik der Forschung*. Julius Springer Verlag, Wien.

Pysek, P., Jarosik, V., Hulme, P.E., Pergl, J., Hejda, M., Schaffner, U., Vila, M., 2012. A global assessment of invasive plant impacts on resident species, communities and ecosystems: the interaction of impact measures, invading species' traits and environment. *Global Change Biology* 18, 1725-1737.

Ritchie, E.G., Elmhagen, B., Glen, A.S., Letnic, M., Ludwig, G., McDonald, R.A., 2012. Ecosystem restoration with teeth: what role for predators? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 27, 265-271.

Robertson, B.A., Hutto, R.L., 2006. A framework for understanding ecological traps and an evaluation of existing evidence. *Ecology* 87, 1075-1085.

Rowden, A.A., Dower, J.F., Schlacher, T.A., Consalvey, M., Clark, M.R., 2010. Paradigms in seamount ecology: fact, fiction and future. *Marine Ecology* 31, 226-241.

Sánchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Quesada, M., Rodríguez, J.P., Nassar, J.M., Stoner, K.E., Castillo, A., Garvin, T., Zent, E.L., Calvo-Alvarado, J.C., Kalacska, M.E.R., Fajardo, L., Gamon, J.A., Cuevas-Reyes, P., 2005. Research priorities for neotropical dry forests. *Biotropica* 37, 477-485.

Scapini, F., 2006. Keynote papers on sandhopper orientation and navigation. *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology* 39, 73-85.

Scapini, F., 2014. Behaviour of mobile macrofauna is a key factor in beach ecology as response to rapid environmental changes. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 150, 36-44.

Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S.R., Lenton, T.M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W., Dakos, V., Van De Koppel, J., Van De Leemput, I.A., Levin, S.A., Van Nes, E.H., Pascual, M., Vandermeer, J., 2012. Anticipating critical transitions. *Science* 338, 344-348.

Schlacher, T.A., Connolly, R.M., 2009. Land-ocean coupling of carbon and nitrogen fluxes on sandy beaches. *Ecosystems* 12, 311-321.

Schlacher, T.A., Dugan, J., Schoeman, D.S., Lastra, M., Jones, A., Scapini, F., McLachlan, A., Defeo, O., 2007. Sandy beaches at the brink. *Diversity and Distributions* 13, 556-560.

Schlacher, T.A., Hartwig, J., 2013. Bottom-up control in the benthos of ocean-exposed sandy beaches? *Austral Ecology* 38, 177-189.

Schlacher, T.A., Jones, A.R., Dugan, J.E., Weston, M.A., Harris, L.L., Schoeman, D.S., Hubbard, D., Scapini, F., Nel, R., Lastra, M., McLachlan, A., Peterson, C.H., 2014a. Open-coast sandy beaches and coastal dunes. Chapter 5., in: Lockwood, J.L., Maslo, B. (Eds.), *Coastal Conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 37-94.

Schlacher, T.A., Lucrezi, S., 2010. Compression of home ranges in ghost crabs on sandy beaches impacted by vehicle traffic. *Marine Biology* 157, 2467-2474.

Schlacher, T.A., Meager, J.J., Nielsen, T., 2014b. Habitat selection in birds feeding on ocean shores: landscape effects are important in the choice of foraging sites by oystercatchers. *Marine Ecology* 35, 67-76.

Schlacher, T.A., Rowden, A., Dower, J., Consalvey, M., 2010. Seamount science scales undersea mountains: new research and outlook. *Marine Ecology* 31, 1-13.

Schlacher, T.A., Schoeman, D.S., Dugan, J.E., Lastra, M., Jones, A., Scapini, F., McLachlan, A., 2008. Sandy beach ecosystems: key features, sampling issues, management challenges and climate change impacts. *Marine Ecology* 29 (S1), 70-90.

Schlacher, T.A., Schoeman, D.S., Jones, A.R., Dugan, J.E., Hubbard, D.M., Defeo, O., Peterson, C.H., Weston, M.A., Maslo, B., Olds, A.D., Scapini, F., Nel, R., Harris, L.R., Lucrezi, S., Lastra, M., Huijbers, C.M., Connolly, R.M., 2014c. Metrics to assess ecological condition, change, and impacts in sandy beach ecosystems. *Journal of Environmental Management* 144, 322-335.

Schlacher, T.A., Strydom, S., Connolly, R.M., 2013a. Multiple scavengers respond rapidly to pulsed carrion resources at the land-ocean interface. *Acta Oecologica* 48, 7-12.

Schlacher, T.A., Strydom, S., Connolly, R.M., Schoeman, D., 2013b. Donor-control of scavenging food webs at the land-ocean interface. *PLoS ONE* 8, e68221.

Schlacher, T.A., Thompson, L., 2013. Environmental control of community organisation on ocean-exposed sandy beaches. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 64, 119-129.

Schlacher, T.A., Thompson, L., 2013. Spatial structure on ocean-exposed sandy beaches: faunal zonation metrics and their variability. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 478, 43-55.

Schlacher, T.A., Weston, M.A., Lynn, D., Schoeman, D.S., Huijbers, C.M., Olds, A.D., Masters, S., Connolly, R.M., 2014d. Conservation gone to the dogs: when canids rule the beach in small coastal reserves. *Biodiversity and Conservation* doi: 10.1007/s10531-014-0830-3.

Schlaepfer, M.A., Runge, M.C., Sherman, P.W., 2002. Ecological and evolutionary traps. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17, 474-480.

Schoeman, D.S., McLachlan, A., Dugan, J.E., 2000. Lessons from a disturbance experiment in the intertidal zone of an exposed sandy beach. *Estuarine Coastal & Shelf Science* 50, 869-884.

Schoeman, D.S., Schlacher, T.A., Defeo, O., 2014. Climate-change impacts on sandy-beach biota: crossing a line in the sand. *Global Change Biology* 20, 2383-2392.

Scyphers, S.B., Picou, J.S., Powers, S.P., 2014. Participatory Conservation of Coastal Habitats: The Importance of Understanding Homeowner Decision Making to Mitigate Cascading Shoreline Degradation. *Conservation Letters* DOI: 10.1111/conl.12114.

Sih, A., 2013. Understanding variation in behavioural responses to human-induced rapid environmental change: A conceptual overview. *Animal Behaviour* 85, 1077-1088.

Sih, A., Ferrari, M.C.O., Harris, D.J., 2011. Evolution and behavioural responses to human-induced rapid environmental change. *Evolutionary Applications* 4, 367-387.

Soares, A.G., McLachlan, A., Schlacher, T.A., 1996. Disturbance effects of stranded kelp on populations of the sandy beach bivalve *Donax serra* (Röding). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 205, 165-186.

Soares, A.G., Scapini, F., Brown, A.C., McLachlan, A., 1999. Phenotypic plasticity, genetic similarity and evolutionary inertia in changing environments. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 65, 136-139.

Spiller, D.A., Piovia-Scott, J., Wright, A.N., Yang, L.H., Takimoto, G., Schoener, T.W., Iwata, T., 2010. Marine subsidies have multiple effects on coastal food webs. *Ecology* 91, 1424-1434.

Strayer, D.L., 2012. Eight questions about invasions and ecosystem functioning. *Ecology Letters* 15, 1199-1210.

Sutherland, W.J., Freckleton, R.P., Godfray, H.C.J., Beissinger, S.R., Benton, T., Cameron, D.D., Carmel, Y., Coomes, D.A., Coulson, T., Emmerson, M.C., Hails, R.S., Hays, G.C., Hodgson, D.J., Hutchings, M.J., Johnson, D., Jones, J.P.G., Keeling, M.J., Kokko, H., Kunin, W.E., Lambin, X., Lewis, O.T., Malhi, Y., Mieszkowska, N., Milner-Gulland, E.J., Norris, K., Phillimore, A.B., Purves, D.W., Reid, J.M., Reuman, D.C., Thompson, K., Travis, J.M.J., Turnbull, L.A., Wardle, D.A., Wiegand, T., 2013. Identification of 100 fundamental ecological questions. *Journal of Ecology* 101, 58-67.

Ulanowicz, R.E., Holt, R.D., Barfield, M., 2014. Limits on ecosystem trophic complexity: Insights from ecological network analysis. *Ecology Letters* 17, 127-136.

Valesini, F.J., Potter, I.C., Clarke, K.R., 2004. To what extent are the fish compositions at nearshore sites along a heterogeneous coast related to habitat type? *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 60, 737-754.

Vannette, R.L., Fukami, T., 2014. Historical contingency in species interactions: Towards niche-based predictions. *Ecology Letters* 17, 115-124.

Veraart, A.J., Faassen, E.J., Dakos, V., Van Nes, E.H., Lürling, M., Scheffer, M., 2012. Recovery rates reflect distance to a tipping point in a living system. *Nature* 481, 357-359.

Weston, M.A., Schlacher, T.A., Lynn, D., 2014. Pro-environmental beach driving is uncommon and ineffective in reducing disturbance to beach-dwelling birds. *Environmental Management* 53, 999-1004.

Yelenik, S.G., D'Antonio, C.M., 2013. Self-reinforcing impacts of plant invasions change over time. *Nature* 503, 517-520.

Fig. 1 Conceptual diagram of a dune-beach-surf ecosystems, illustrating prominent biota and four key features and processes that define these habitats and their ecological assemblages: i) *linear geometry*, meaning long and open boundaries, ii) *malleable habitats*, meaning frequent changes and instability; iii) *cross-boundary exchanges*, meaning close functional linkages between abutting components; and iv) *ocean-land interfaces*, meaning unique faunas assembled from both terrestrial and marine species pools.

Accepted Manuscript

Golden opportunities: a horizon scan to expand sandy beach ecology

Thomas A. Schlacher, Michael A. Weston, David S. Schoeman, Andrew D. Olds,
Chantal M. Huijbers, Rod M. Connolly

PII: S0272-7714(15)00044-X

DOI: [10.1016/j.ecss.2015.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.02.002)

Reference: YECSS 4681

To appear in: *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*

Received Date: 12 December 2014

Revised Date: 8 January 2015

Accepted Date: 2 February 2015

Please cite this article as: Schlacher, T.A., Weston, M.A., Schoeman, D.S., Olds, A.D., Huijbers, C.M., Connolly, R.M, Golden opportunities: a horizon scan to expand sandy beach ecology, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.02.002.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.