Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Editors: **W. Clegg** and **D. G. Watson**

Guanidinium 2-amino-4-nitrobenzoate monohydrate at 130 K

Graham Smith, Urs D. Wermuth, Peter C. Healy and Jonathan M. White

Copyright © International Union of Crystallography

Author(s) of this paper may load this reprint on their own web site provided that this cover page is retained. Republication of this article or its storage in electronic databases or the like is not permitted without prior permission in writing from the IUCr.

Guanidinium 2-amino-4-nitrobenzoate monohydrate at 130 K

Graham Smith,^{a*} Urs D. Wermuth,^a Peter C. Healy^b and Jonathan M. White^c

^aSchool of Physical and Chemical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, Queensland 4001, Australia, ^bSchool of Science, Griffith University, Nathan, Queensland 4111, Australia, and ^cBIO-21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology, School of Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: g.smith@qut.edu.au

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study

T = 130 K

Mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C})$ = 0.002 Å

R factor = 0.040

wR factor = 0.108

Data-to-parameter ratio = 9.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see <http://journals.iucr.org/e>.

Guanidinium 4-nitroanthranilate monohydrate, $\text{CH}_6\text{N}_3^+ \cdot \text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{N}_2\text{O}_4^- \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, determined at 130 K, forms a primary hydrogen-bonded ribbon structure through cyclic hydrogen-bonding interactions involving all six protons of the guanidinium cation with the amino N-atom and carboxylate O-atom acceptors of the anion, and the water molecule of solvation. Other interactions, including the water molecule bridging the primary ribbons, give a three-dimensional structure.

Comment

Although guanidine (GU) may be readily protonated by most organic acids, the crystal structures of guanidinium carboxylates are not common. Among examples of these, all of those with aliphatic acids involve diprotic acids: oxalic acid (a 1:1 monohydrate) (Adams, 1978; Andrews *et al.*, 1979), acetylenedicarboxylic acid (a 1:1 anhydrate) (Leban & Rupnik, 1992), tartaric acid [a 1:1 anhydrate (Zyss *et al.*, 1993) and a 2:1 monohydrate (Krumbe *et al.*, 1989)] and aspartic acid (a 1:1 anhydrate) (Krumbe & Haussühl, 1987). The aromatic examples, which are all anhydrous except for the compound with pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (a 2:1 trihydrate) (Smith *et al.*, 2006), are with 4-amino-3,5,6-trichloropicolinic acid (1:1) (Parthasarathi *et al.*, 1982), 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (1:1) (Smith *et al.*, 2001), 4-hydroxypyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (1:1) (Moghimi *et al.*, 2005) and pyromellitic acid (2:1) (Sun *et al.*, 2002).

We have used 2-amino-4-nitrobenzoic acid (4-nitroanthranilic acid, NAA) for cocrystal formation with Lewis bases, but its efficacy in such processes is poor. Crystallographically characterized examples are the proton-transfer compounds with ethylenediamine (a 1:2 dihydrate) (Smith *et al.*, 2002) and dicyclohexylamine (a 1:1 anhydrate) (Smith *et al.*, 2004). Crystals of the title compound, guanidinium 4-nitroanthranilate monohydrate, (I), were obtained from the 1:1 stoichiometric reaction of NAA with guanidine carbonate and the crystal structure is reported here. An initial room-

Received 22 November 2006

Accepted 23 November 2006

Figure 1

The molecular configuration, atom-naming scheme and inter-species hydrogen bonding (shown as dashed lines) for the GU cation, the NAA anion and the water molecule of solvation in (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Figure 2

The packing in the unit cell, viewed down the *b*-axis direction, showing hydrogen-bonding associations (dashed lines). For symmetry codes, see Table 1.

temperature structure gave poor intensity data and significant disorder in the NAA nitro group necessitating this low-temperature redetermination in which both problems were resolved.

In (I) (Fig. 1), all six protons of the GU cation, together with those of the amino substituent group of the NAA anion and the water molecule of hydration make hydrogen-bonding interactions with carboxylate and nitro O-atom acceptors (Table 1), resulting in a three-dimensional layered structure (Fig. 2). With the GU cation, three types of symmetric cyclic interactions are present: an $R_2^2(10)$ interaction with an amine N and a carboxylate O atom, an $R_2^1(6)$ interaction with the water molecule and an $R_2^2(8)$ interaction with the NAA

carboxylate group. One of the amine H atoms forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with a carboxylate O atom, while the second gives structure extension along the *a*-axis direction *via* a weak centrosymmetric N—H...O(nitro) link. The water molecule also provides a symmetrical three-dimensional hydrogen-bonding link between the sheets through carboxylate atom O7.

In the NAA anions, both the carboxylate and nitro groups are essentially coplanar with the benzene ring [C2—C1—C7—O71 = 173.25 (14)° and C3—C4—N4—O42 = 173.60 (15)°].

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by heating together 1 mmol quantities of 2-amino-4-nitrobenzoic acid (4-nitroanthranilic acid, NAA) and guanidine carbonate (GU₂CO₃) in 50% isopropyl alcohol–water (50 ml) under reflux for 10 min. After concentration to ca 30 ml, partial room-temperature evaporation of the hot-filtered solution gave orange prisms (m.p. 524–525 K).

Crystal data

CH₆N₃⁺·C₇H₅N₂O₄[−]·H₂O
 $M_r = 259.23$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
 $a = 16.122$ (5) Å
 $b = 3.7796$ (11) Å
 $c = 19.715$ (5) Å
 $\beta = 110.006$ (5)°
 $V = 1128.8$ (6) Å³

$Z = 4$
 $D_x = 1.525$ Mg m^{−3}
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.13$ mm^{−1}
 $T = 130$ (2) K
 Plate, yellow
 $0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.10$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
 φ and ω scans
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 1999)
 $T_{\min} = 0.92$, $T_{\max} = 0.98$

5221 measured reflections
 1966 independent reflections
 1677 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.036$
 $\theta_{\max} = 25.0^\circ$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.108$
 $S = 1.02$
 1966 reflections
 203 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.066P)^2]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.24$ e Å^{−3}
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.21$ e Å^{−3}

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>
O1W—H11W...O71 ⁱ	0.88 (3)	1.90 (3)	2.755 (2)	162 (2)
O1W—H12W...O71 ⁱⁱ	0.91 (3)	1.83 (3)	2.738 (2)	175 (2)
N2—H2A...O41 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.858 (19)	2.246 (19)	3.101 (2)	174.2 (17)
N2—H2B...O72	0.89 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.632 (2)	133.9 (17)
N11—H11...O72	0.87 (2)	2.07 (2)	2.935 (2)	170.1 (18)
N11—H12...O72 ^{iv}	0.84 (2)	2.01 (2)	2.848 (2)	173.5 (18)
N21—H21...N2	0.88 (2)	2.17 (2)	3.049 (2)	174 (2)
N21—H22...O1W	0.810 (18)	2.156 (18)	2.914 (2)	155.7 (18)
N31—H31...O1W	0.84 (2)	2.11 (2)	2.863 (2)	148.1 (19)
N31—H32...O71 ^{iv}	0.84 (2)	2.02 (2)	2.854 (2)	173.9 (15)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$; (iv) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

H atoms involved in hydrogen-bonding interactions were located by difference methods and their positional and isotropic displacement parameters were refined. The aromatic H atoms were included in the refinement in calculated positions ($C-H = 0.95 \text{ \AA}$) using a riding-model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON*.

The authors acknowledge financial support from the School of Physical and Chemical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, the School of Science, Griffith University and the School of Chemistry, University of Melbourne.

References

- Adams, J. M. (1978). *Acta Cryst.* **B34**, 1218–1220.
- Andrews, L. C., Deroski, B. R. & Ricci, J. S. (1979). *J. Cryst. Mol. Struct.* **9**, 163–171.
- Bruker (1999). *SADABS* (Version 2.03) and *SAINT* (Version 6.02). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2000). *SMART*. Version 5.55. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Krumbe, W. & Haussühl, S. (1987). *Z. Kristallogr.* **179**, 267–269.
- Krumbe, W., Haussühl, S. & Fröhlich, R. (1989). *Z. Kristallogr.* **187**, 309–318.
- Leban, I. & Rupnik, A. (1992). *Acta Cryst.* **C48**, 821–824.
- Moghim, A., Aghabozorg, H., Soleimannejad, J. & Ramezanipour, F. (2005). *Acta Cryst.* **E61**, o442–o444.
- Parthasarathi, V., Wolfrum, S., Noordik, J. H., Beurskens, P. T., Kennard, C. H. L., Smith, G. & O'Reilly, E. J. (1982). *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **11**, 1519–1524.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL97* and *SHELXS97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Smith, G., Bott, R. C. & Wermuth, U. D. (2001). *Acta Cryst.* **E57**, o640–o642.
- Smith, G., Wermuth, U. D. & Healy, P. C. (2004). *Acta Cryst.* **E60**, o684–o686.
- Smith, G., Wermuth, U. D. & White, J. M. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **E58**, o1130–o1132.
- Smith, G., Wermuth, U. D., Young, D. J. & White, J. M. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* **E62**, o3912–o3914.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.
- Sun, Y.-Q., Zhang, J. & Yang, G.-Y. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **E58**, o904–o906.
- Zyss, J., Pecaut, J., Levy, J. P. & Masse, R. (1993). *Acta Cryst.* **B49**, 334–342.