

The Identifications and Clinical Implications of Cancer Stem Cells in Colorectal Cancer

Author

Wahab, SM Riajul, Islam, Farhadul, Gopalan, Vinod, Lam, Alfred King-Yin

Published

2017

Journal Title

Clinical Colorectal Cancer

Version Accepted Manuscript (AM)

DOI 10.1016/j.clcc.2017.01.011

Downloaded from

http://hdl.handle.net/10072/340337

Griffith Research Online

https://research-repository.griffith.edu.au

The identifications and clinical implications of cancer stem cells in colorectal cancer

S M Riajul Wahab¹, M. Pharm.
Farhadul Islam¹, M.Sc.
Vinod Gopalan^{1,2}, PhD.
Alfred King-yin Lam¹, MBBS, MD, PhD, FRCPA.

 ¹Cancer Molecular Pathology, School of Medicine, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia
 ²School of Medical Science, Griffith University, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Gold Coast Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia

Address for correspondence:

Professor Alfred Lam, Head of Pathology, Griffith Medical School, Gold Coast Campus, Gold Coast QLD 4222, Australia. E-mail: a.lam@griffith.edu.au Telephone +61 7 56780718; Fax +61 7 56780303

Conflict of interest: None.

Abstract

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are cancer cells that are responsible for initiation, progression, metastasis and recurrence in cancer. The aim of this review is to analyse the markers for identifying of CSCs in colorectal carcinoma as well as the prognostic and therapeutic implications of these markers in the cancer. CSCs are insensitive to the current drugs regimens. In colorectal carcinoma, markers including Nanog, Oct-4, SOX-2, Lgr-5, CD133, CD24, CD29, ALDH1, EpCAM, CD44, CD166 and CD26 are commonly used for the identification and isolation of CSCs. In addition, ALDH1, CD24, CD44, CD133, CD166, EpCAM, Lgr-5, Nanog and SOX-2 could have clinical roles in predicting pathological stages, cancer recurrence, therapy resistance and patients' survival in patients with colorectal carcinoma. In light of the current knowledge of CSCs in colorectal carcinoma, novel potential therapeutic strategies such as development of monoclonal antibodies or immunotoxins and targeting various cell surface molecules in colorectal CSCs and/or components of signalling pathways have been developed. This could open new opportunities for the better management of patients with colorectal carcinoma.

Keywords: Cancer stem cells; colorectal cancer; carcinoma; markers; therapy

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in males and the second in female, with an estimated 1.4 million incidences and 693,900 deaths occurred in the year 2012 throughout the world [1]. Failure of treatment of patients with CRC could be attributed to the escaped residual microscopic carcinoma after surgery, which later initiates the metastatic process [2]. In principle, these residual cancer cells are eliminated by postoperative chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy. However, the presence of therapy resistant cancer cells population limits the success of these treatments [3, 4]. Genetic, epigenetic and functional heterogeneity of cancer cells support the existence of these therapy resistant cancer cells in patients with CRC [5-7]. These small fractions of cells within the cancers are called cancer stem cells (CSC). These cancer stem cells are capable of initiating, maintaining and development of the cancer growth [3, 8]. Also, CSCs have self-renewal capacity and responsible for developing functionally and morphologically diverse cells including therapy resistance and metastatic cell populations [3].

CSCs have been implicated in colorectal carcinogenesis for a long time though their existence has only been recently demonstrated experimentally [9, 10]. In view of the importance of CSCs in CRC, we aimed to review the markers for identifying of CSCs in CRC as well as the prognostic and therapeutic implications of these markers in CRC.

Identification of cancer stem cells

By definition, CSCs are the cells, which have the capacity to drive carcinogenesis through long-term production and self-renewal of differentiated, non-tumorigenic progenies [11]. It was also reported that chemo-radiotherapy resistant CSCs has greater potential of tumour initiation and stimulated the regrowth of cancer after a therapeutic treatment [12-15]. The existence and the identity of CSCs have been reported first time in hematopoietic cancers [16]. Thereafter, CSCs from many solid cancers such as arising from breast, brain, prostate, head and neck etc. were also identified [12, 13, 17].

The current gold standard for defining CSC "stemness" is to show their ability to transfer disease into immuno-deficient mice at a limited dilution [14, 15]. This type of xenograft assay involves fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of single cancer cell that has the putative CSC properties and demonstrating its ability to develop a new cancer similar to the original cancer [14, 15, 18]. The limitation of this method is partly related to the difficulties to discriminate between CSC and non-CSC populations of cancer cells. Also, the difference between the microenvironment of the original cancer and the transplanted recipient may have impact on the function of CSCs [19]. Thus the identification and isolation of cancer stem cell is still a matter of debate due to lack of unique methods for isolation and identification as well as their complex biology [9, 10].

Identification of CSCs in CRC (Table 1)

Genes such as *Nanog*, *Oct-4 and SOX-2* are responsible for the pluripotency of cells and are commonly considered to be the surrogate markers for cancer stem cells [20, 21]. Nanog, a homeobox protein encoded by *Nanog*, is a transcription factor and regulates the stem cell properties especially self-renewal pluripotency of cell [22]. Matsuoka and colleagues showed that nanog was positive in 28 (10%) of 290 gastric cancer tissues [23]. In colorectal cancer, Meng and colleagues has highlighted the importance of Nanog in the maintenance of cell proliferation, invasion and motility of CRC cells as well as its contribution to the epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMA) in the development of colorectal cancer [24].

Oct 4 (a member of POU family) contributes to the self-renewal ability and inhibits the genes responsible for differentiation as well as to enable the self-renewal ability of stem

cells [25, 26]. Padin-Iruegas and colleagues demonstrated that Oct4 mRNA was present in the peripheral blood of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer [27].

Sex determining region Y (SRY)-box 2 (SOX-2) is a stem cell marker and plays crucial roles in the maintenance of cell pluripotency and self-renewal [28-30]. In addition, it has been reported that SOX-2 plays an important role in the maintenance of self-renewal of CSCs [31]. Knockdown of *SOX-2* and *Oct4* reduced the tumour size in oral cancer in immunodeficient mice [32]. Furthermore, SOX-2 was found positive in 159 (55%) of 290 gastric cancers [23]. In colorectal cancer, SOX-2 has been used to identify the CSCs in many studies [33-35].

O'Brien and his group noted that CD133 positive human cancer cells were able to produce cancer of similar morphology to the original one in immuno-deficient mice whereas the CD133 negative cells were unable to initiate cancer growth [15]. CD133 has been used to study 501 CRC on tissue microarrays in colorectal cancer [34].

Leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptor 5 (Lgr-5) positive cells (Lgr-5+) have the characteristic features of CSCs in CRC [36, 37, 38, 39, 40]. Schepers and colleagues demonstrated that some cells within the mouse colonic adenoma (5-10%) were Lgr-5+ cells. These cells were responsible for self-renewal and production of differentiated Lgr-5– colonic adenoma cells [41]. It was reported that patients with colorectal cancer expressing high Lgr-5 had 10-fold higher risk for cancer relapse than patients with low expression of Lgr-5 [39]. In addition, it has been demonstrated that Lgr-5+ cells derived from patients with colorectal carcinoma have the potential of CSC as they showed high number of spheroid formation in culture conditions [42]. Therefore, Lgr-5 has the potential to be used as a surrogate marker for the identification of CSCs in colorectal cancer.

Cluster of differentiation 24 (CD 24), also called heat stable antigen 24 (HAS) or signal transducer 24, is a glycoprotein and expressed at the cell surface of lymphocytes [43].

Rowehl and colleagues reported the establishments of CRC's cancer stem cells using in vitro and in vivo mouse model from liver metastasis of patients with colon cancer [44]. This study also demonstrated that CD24+ cells were highly tumorigenic and clonogenic with increased stemness, pluripotency and exhibited resistance to therapy [44]. Sahlberg and colleagues reported that colon cancer cells expressing CD24, CD133 and CD44 act as CSCs and was associated with radiation resistance in colon cancer cells [45]. Thus, CD24 can be used as a putative marker for CSC isolation and identification in CRCs.

CD29, also called integrin beta-1 protein, is encoded by *ITGB1* gene. It plays a key role in cell adhesion and various cellular processes like embryogenesis, haemostasis, tissue repair, immune response and cancer metastases [46]. CD29 is reported to be a surface marker for the highly proliferative site of human colonic crypt and thereby CD29 positive cells could be used as a marker for stem cell type in human colon [47]. In addition, high expressions of CD29 were noted in human colon CSCs and these cells acted as tumour initiator/cancer stem cells in mouse colonic carcinoma [48]. Another study has identified that colon CSCs with phenotypic fractions of CD^{29+}/CD^{133+} cells exhibited distinct proliferation, differentiation and self-renewal properties [49]. These studies suggest that CD29 can be used as a surface marker in identifying CSCs in colon cancers.

Aldehyde dehydrogenase isoform 1 (ALDH1) is an isoform of aldehyde dehydrogenase enzyme and catalyses the conversion of aldehyde to carboxylic acid [50]. This enzyme is commonly used as a surrogate marker for the identification of non-cancer stem cells as well as CSCs in different cancers including breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, prostatic cancer, lung cancer, leukaemia, multiple myeloma, melanoma and liver cancer [11, 17]. Studies have noted that ALDH1 is a potential CSCs marker in CRC [51, 52]. Increased ALDH1 expressions in colon cancer tissue samples were associated with poor differentiation (high grade) and presence of metastasis [53, 54]. Epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) is a transmembrane glycoprotein, mediates homotypic cell-cell adhesion in the epithelia and regulates cell proliferation, differentiation, migration and cell-signalling [55, 56]. This cell surface marker has the potential to be used as a diagnostic marker for detecting carcinomas [55]. Roy and coworkers have isolated colonic CSCs using EpCAM, CD133 and CD44 cell surface markers in the xenograft cancer stem cell mice model [57].

CD44 is a cell surface glycoprotein encoded by *CD44* gene and regulates cell-cell interactions, cell adhesion and migration [58]. CSCs from different cancers including colon cancer, breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, head and neck cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and non-small cell lung cancers have been identified and isolated using CD44 [59]. It was reported that CD44+ colorectal cancer cells exhibited higher *in vitro* clonogenic properties and showed higher *in vivo* tumorigenicity when compared to that of CD44- cells [60]. Furthermore, CD44+ CRC cells displayed the phenotypic and morphological characteristics of cancer following serial transplantation into immunodeficient animals [60]. These CD44+ cells maintained their stemness by activation of tyrosin kinase receptor c-Met in colon cancer [60]. Dalerba and colleagues demonstrated that triple positive surface phenotype (EpCAM^{high}/CD44+/CD166+) could be used for the as a precise method for identification of colonic CSCs [59].

Wang and colleagues noted that CD44+ colon cancer cells displayed more aggressive proliferation, higher colony formation, less sensitive to apoptosis signals and more resistance to therapy when compared to that of CD44- cells [61]. However, studies noted controversial role of CD44 in the pathogenesis of colorectal cancer [62, 63]. Dallas and co-workers noted that down regulation of CD44 increased migration and metastasis of colon cancer cells [62]. Also, loss of CD44 was noted to be correlated with aggressiveness of colon carcinomas was reported by Ylagan and colleagues [63]. Thus, further studies are needed to confirm the role of CD44 in the maintaining of stemness of colon CSCs.

CD166, also called activated leukocyte adhesion molecule (ALCAM), is a transmembrane glycoprotein in the immunoglobulin superfamily. It is encoded by *ALCAM* and characterized by the five extracellular immunoglobulin-like domains [64]. CD166 is expressed high in colon cancer, lung cancer, breast cancer, melanoma and prostate cancer cells [65]. Several studies demonstrated the identification of colorectal CSCs cells using CD166 as a cell surface marker [66, 67]. For instance, Mărgaritescu and colleagues reported the identification of colon carcinoma stem cells using CD133/CD166/Ki-67 triple positive phenotype in immunofluorescence techniques [66]. These results were in consensus with the earlier findings of Dalerba and co-workers in which colonic CSCs were isolated using CD166 [67].

CD26 is a cell surface glycoprotein which is expressed in a variety of cell types including endothelial cells, epithelial cells and T lymphocytes with various biological functions [68, 69]. In colorectal cancer, Pang and colleagues reported that CD26⁺ cells have more adhesion tendency to fibronectin and type 1 collagen in compare to CD26⁻ cells [70]. Furthermore, they found that transient knockdown of CD26 in CD26⁺ cells decreased the migratory and invasive capacity of CD26⁺ CSCs.

Prognostic value of cancer stem cell markers in colorectal cancer

CSCs can regulate cancer invasion, distant metastases, therapy resistance in CRC as well as contributed to the cancer recurrence of patients with CRC [71]. Taken together, the markers for CSCs could potentially have important implications in the prognosis of patients with colorectal carcinomas (Table 2).

ALDH1

High expression of DNA repair mechanism, aldehyde dehydrogenase isoform 1 (ALDH 1) and other molecular pumps such as ATP-binding cassette transporter (ABCtransporter) in CSCs contribute to overcome the effect of chemo-radiotherapy in colorectal cancer [67, 71]. Increased ALDH1 expressions in colon cancer tissue samples were associated with advanced clinical stage [53]. Lugli and co-workers demonstrated that overexpression of ALDH1 protein in primary colorectal adenocarcinoma tissues (n=1420) via immunostaining was associated with high pathological grade and poor survival of the patients [54]. Similarly, Fitzgerald and colleagues reported that high ALDH1 protein expression, detected by immunohistochemistry, in stage IV colorectal adenocarcinoma tissues (n=30) was correlated with poor survival of the patients [72]. Recently, Deng and co-workers reported that patients with rectal cancer (n=64) receiving preoperative radio-chemotherapy showed high expression levels of different CSCs markers including ALDH1 by immunostaining [73]. They noted that high ALDH1 expression in patients with postneoadjuvant therapy correlated with cancer relapse, distant metastasis and poor prognosis in patients with rectal cancer [73]. Also, Goosssens-Beumer and co-workers studied the expression of ALDH1 in a large cohort of patients (n=309) with CRC by immunohistochemistry was significantly correlated with poor clinical outcome of the patients [74]. Furthermore, Kahlert and colleagues noted that ALDH1 nuclear expression was associated with shortened overall survival of patients with CRC [75]. Therefore, these studies indicated that ALDH1 acts as a strong prognostic marker in patients with CRC.

CD24

CD24 is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchored membrane protein and act as an adhesive molecule on the activated endothelial cells and platelets [76-79]. Studies

demonstrated that CD24 is a potential prognostic marker in various cancers such as ovarian cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, prostatic cancer, gastric adenocarcinoma and breast cancer [80-84].

Weichert and colleagues showed strong cytoplasmic CD24 expression in colorectal cancers and the expression of CD24 was associated with shortened patients' survival in patients with colorectal cancers [85]. Also, Choi and co-workers showed that CD24 expression was related to the histological grade and size of the colorectal cancer [86].

Seo and colleagues examined 174 stage II and Stage III CRC tissues by immunohistochemistry techniques and noted that positive expression of CD24 was correlated with the poor survival of patients with CRC [87]. On the other hand, Ahmed and colleagues examined whole tissues sections of colorectal adenoma (n=10) and CRC tissue microarray samples from 345 patients using immunohistochemistry did not find the prognostic implication of CD24 in patients with CRC [88]. In this study, positive immunoreactivity was noted in 90% (9/10) of colorectal adenoma and 91% (313/345) of CRC tissues samples. This lack of association with CD24 and patients' outcome in colorectal cancer might be attributed to the poor representation of cancer cells in the tissue microarray sections. Taken together, more research with large number of CRC tissues samples as well as functional studies are imperative to establish the prognostic value of CD24 in CRC.

CD44

Huh and colleagues demonstrated that CD44 was expressed in 100% (74/74) of CRC and its expression was significantly associated with depth of invasion and lymph node involvement [89]. Also, Wielenga and colleagues reported that CD44v6 overexpression in frozen tissue sections obtained from CRC patients could identify patients who are highly predispose to develop distant metastasis [90]. Furthermore, they demonstrated that CD44s expression can be an independent prognostic factor for advanced CRC, especially in stage IV disease. In addition, Choi and colleagues reported that CD44 expression was significant correlated with tumour size in patients with colorectal adenocarcinoma (n=523) [86]. Furthermore, Ngan and co-workers demonstrated that loss of CD44 protein expression in CRC tissues sample (n=140) in immunostaining strongly correlated with poor survival and indicated that CD44 loss has worst impact on patients prognosis [91].

Despite all the positive correlations noted, Morrin and Delaney examined CD44v6 protein and mRNA expression by immunohistochemistry and reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction in 88 colorectal cancer tissues and found no correlation of CD44v6 protein and mRNA expression with cancer stages, grade, differentiation or survival of the patients [92]. These conflicting results might be associated with heterogeneity in cancer cells from different populations and varying samples sizes in the study population.

Furthermore, Jing et al noted that CD44 mRNA expression was higher in colorectal cancer metastases in liver when compared to the primary cancer in a cohort of 36 patients. Also, the expression was an independent prognostic factor [93].

CD133

CD133 is a known stem cell marker and is widely used as a marker for identifying colon CSCs [94-96]. Saigusa and co-workers investigated the expression level of CD133 gene and protein in patients with rectal cancer (n=33) after chemoradiation therapy. They noted that increased expression of CD133 both in gene and protein level is correlated with distant recurrence and poor prognosis [35]. Also, Kemper and colleagues noted that CD133 mRNA expression predicted poorer survival in 90 patients with stage 2 colorectal carcinomas [42]. CD133 mRNA was noted to higher in hepatic metastases from patients with colorectal carcinoma when compared to the primary cancer [93].

On protein expression level, Choi and colleagues studied CD133 protein expression in CRC tissues (n=523) by immunohistochemistry and found that there was significant relation of CD133 expression with advanced T stage cancer [86]. Also, Jao et al. investigated the protein expression of CD133 in colonic adenocarcinoma (n=157) and rectal adenocarcinoma (n=76) tissues samples by immunohistochemistry and noted that the cytoplasmic expression of CD133 protein was significantly associated with cancer local recurrence, survival and cancer regression after concurrent chemo-radiotherapy [97].

CD133 mRNA expression in liver tissues with metastatic colorectal cancer (n=50) as studied by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction showed that CD133 expression was significantly correlated with poorer survival of patients with CRC [98]. In addition, Horst and colleagues examined CRC tissues samples (n=57) by immunohistochemistry and demonstrated that high CD133 protein expression in an independent prognostic factor and correlated with poor survival time of patients with CRC [99]. Also, the group noted that high CD133 expression correlates with synchronous liver metastasis [100]. Furthermore, Kojima and co-workers studied CD133 protein expression by immunohistochemistry in CRC tissues (n=189) and reported that high CD133 expression was associated with shorter recurrence free survival and also with poor survival of patients with CRC [101].

In the literature, a number of studies examined the role of CD133 mRNA expression in peripheral blood samples obtained from patients with CRC to evaluate the prognostic value of CD133 in CRC patients. High CD133 mRNA expression in the peripheral blood of patients with CRC (n=100) was correlated with recurrence of CRC and can be used as independent prognostic factor in CRC [102]. In addition, Iinuma and colleagues studied the expression of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), cytokeratins (CK19, CK20) and CD133 in peripheral blood samples (n=735) obtained from different stages of CRC by real-time reverse transcription polymerase reaction assay [103]. They reported that overall disease free survival of patients with CRC that are positive for CEA/CK/CD133 (especially in stage III cancers) was significantly poorer when compared with those who were negative for CEA/CK/CD133 [103]. Conversely, Gazzaniga and colleagues showed that the expression of CD133 mRNA in circulating tumour cells isolated from peripheral blood of patients with metastatic CRC (n=45) had no correlation with overall outcome of the patients [104].

Despite having a conflicting single study, majority of the studies supports the potential of colon CSCs marker CD133 as prognostic marker and more validation is required for its future use in clinical setting.

CD166

CD166 expression has been reported to be correlated with the pathogenesis of various cancers including melanoma, breast, prostatic, oesophageal, ovarian, urinary bladder, and colorectal cancers [105-111]. In colorectal cancer, Weichert and colleagues demonstrated that CD166 protein expression, as detected by immunohistochemistry, in colorectal cancer (n=111) was significantly associated with the survival time of patients with CRC [110]. They also noted that CD166 frequently upregulated in colorectal cancer and can be act as independent prognostic marker in progression of the cancer [110]. In addition, Horst and co-workers studied the expression of CSCs markers CD133, CD44 and CD166 in CRC (n=110) by immunohistochemistry and noted that these CSCs markers had significant prognostic implication in the prognosis of patients with CRC [100]. Furthermore, Sim and colleagues examined preoperative chemo-radiotherapy treated colorectal adenocarcinoma (n=112) by immunohistochemistry and noted that the expression of CD166 protein was correlated with cancer regression and poor patient prognosis [112]. These studies imply that CD166 is a key regulator in maintaining stem ness in colon cancer cells and it has the potential to be used as a prognostic maker for the clinical management of CRC patients.

EpCAM

Colon CSCs marker, EpCAM, has been reported to overexpress in many human cancers including colorectal cancer and has important role in cancer pathogenesis and prognosis [113-115]. Went and colleagues examined colon cancer tissues microarrays (n=1186) by immunohistochemistry and noted that high expression of EpCAM was significantly associated with higher grade colorectal cancer [114]. Zhou and co-workers studied the expression of EpCAM and Wnt/β-catenin in colon cancer (n=50) and non-neoplastic intestinal mucosae (n=20) by immunohistochemistry and noted higher expression of EpCAM in colon cancer [116]. They also reported high EpCAM expression was related to lower survival rate of patients with CRC [116]. On the other hand, Lugli et al demonstrated that reduced EpCAM expression was associated with tumour invasion, lymph node metastasis and high tumour grade [54]. Other studies demonstrated that loss/reduced expression of EpCAM was correlated with poor survival and cancer recurrence in patients suffering from CRC [74, 117, 118]. Therefore, more studies are needed to confirm the prognostic role of EpCAM in CRC.

Lgr-5

Lgr-5 overexpression has been reported to play an active role in regulating pathogenesis of colorectal cancer [119]. Takahashi and colleagues illustrated that high expression of Lgr-5 was related with lower disease free survival and presence of metastases to lymph node and liver [40]. Also, Liu and co-workers investigated Lgr-5 mRNA and protein expression in primary colon cancer tissues (n=366) and xenograft mice tissues (n=40) by real-time polymerase chain reaction and immunostaining respectively [121]. They found that Lgr-5 protein and mRNA significantly overexpressed in tissues from patients with CRC and correlated with higher cancer stages and poorer patients' survival [121]. Wu and colleagues reported that Lgr-5 protein expression in CRC (n=192) as detected by immunohistochemistry was significantly overexpressed when compared to that of nonneoplastic mucosae [120]. They also noted that higher expression of Lgr-5 protein was associated with higher histological grade, invasion, lymph node metastasis, distance metastasis and poorer survival of patients with CRC [120]. In addition, Hsu and colleagues demonstrated that high expression level of Lgr-5 was correlated with shorter disease free survival and shorter cancer-specific survival of patients with CRC [123]. They reported that patient with low expression of Lgr-5 showed better response than patients with higher expression of Lgr5 towards 5-FU-based treatment. Furthermore, Saigusa and colleagues demonstrated that Lgr-5 expression was highly expressed in specimens obtained from patients with poor pathological response and cancer recurrence [122]. They also found that patients with higher expression of Lgr-5 showed a significantly lower recurrence-free survival.

A meta-analysis carried out by Han and co-workers revealed that Lgr-5 overexpression was correlated with poor patients' survival suffering from CRC [124]. Overall, Lgr-5 is proposed to be an efficient prognostic marker for patients with colorectal cancer

Nanog

Xu and colleagues examined Nanog mRNA and protein expression in CRC (n=360) by real-time polymerase chain reaction assay and immunohistochemistry and the expressions were correlated with high histological grade, advance cancer stages as well as presence of lymph node and liver metastases in patients with CRC [125]. Also, Meng and colleagues found that higher expression of Nanog was associated with shorter survival or recurrence free survival [126]. Their meta-analysis also showed that Nanog is potential independent prognostic factor of the outcomes of CRC patients. Nevertheless, Saiki and co-workers described that there was no correlation of Nanog mRNA expression with clinicopathological parameters of CRC (n=79) [33]. Thus more studies with large number of samples are needed to establish the prognostic role of Nanog in CRC.

SOX-2

Saigusa and colleagues examined the expression pattern of SOX-2 both at mRNA and protein level in 33 patients with rectal cancer after chemoradiation therapy by RT-PCR and Immunohistochemistry. They found that both the mRNA and protein for SOX-2 are overexpressed in all these patients. They also noted that higher expression of SOX-2 is correlated with poor disease-free survival and distant recurrence [35]. Also, Lundberg and colleagues noted that the expression of Sox-2 of 441 CRC by immunohistochemistry and noted that SOX-2 was expressed in 11% of the CRC and the expression was related to *BRAFV600E* mutation. SOX-2 expression was noted in the liver metastases of the patients with SOX-2 positive colorectal carcinomas [127].

Oct 4

Matsuoka and colleagues demonstrated that Oct3/4 was expressed in 129 (44%) of 290 gastric cancers and noted the correlations of the protein expression with prognosis of patients with gastric cancers [23]. In colorectal cancer, Saigusa and colleagues reported higher expression of Oct 4 in patients with rectal cancer after treatment with chemoradiation (n=33) was correlated with poor survival and distant recurrence [35].

Therapeutic implication of CSCs in CRC

Conventional cancer therapies can eradicate the cancer mass partly and could make the diseases more aggressive through recurrence and metastasis [128]. The principal limitation of current chemo-radiotherapy is that they only eliminate differentiated cancer cells but insensitive to the CSCs [129]. CSCs are the population of cancer cells which are responsible for the therapy resistance, cancer relapse and distant metastasis [129, 130]. These phenomena in turn confer more complications to the cancer patients in the course of disease. Thus, the development of treatment modalities targeted both conventional cancer cells and CSCs has greater translational implication in clinical setting for the better management of cancer.

The identification of putative CSC markers and the underlying signalling pathway they involved are critical for the development of novel therapeutic approaches. Also, the drug induced toxicity would be minimized by developing therapies targeting specific molecules or the pathways that are active in CSCs [131]. To achieve these goals, the prospective therapeutic strategies to specifically target CSCs which are under developments includes: (i) the eradication of CSCs by targeting selective marker expressed on the CRC's CSCs and (ii) the inhibition or interference of CSC-specific pathway (Fig.1).

Colon CSCs eradication targeting cell surface markers

Monoclonal antibodies/immunotoxins specific for the cell surface molecules of CSCs have the potential to eliminate the target CSC selectively [132, 133]. It was demonstrated that the therapeutic agents targeting cell surface markers e.g. CD133, CD44, CD26, CD29, EpCAM etc. could potentially eliminate CSCs, which in turn has the capacity to repress tumour size, reduce the metastatic potential of cancer cells and to decrease the cancer cell resistance to chemotherapy [134-138]. For example, CD133+ colon CSCs exhibited

resistance to the conventional chemotherapeutic agents (e.g. 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin) by increased secretion of cytokine IL-4 and escaped the apoptotic insults caused by the treatment [137, 139]. Importantly, colon cancer cells treated with 5-fluorouracil, oxaliplatin and monoclonal antibodies to IL-4 remarkably augmented the antitumor activity of the treatments [137, 139]. Dallas and colleagues reported that chemo-resistance fraction (CD133+ and CD44+) of HT29 CRC cells showed increased expression of Type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF-IR) [135]. Treatment of these therapy resistant cells with IGR-IR monoclonal antibody caused significant inhibition of tumour growth in murine xenograft model [135]. In addition, treatment of patients with stage III CRC (n=189) with monoclonal antibody against EpCAM (colon CSCs marker) improves the cancer free survival and prolongs the cancer remission in patients with CRC [140].

Studies demonstrated that monoclonal antibodies specific for CD24 cell surface marker significantly inhibited the colon cancer growth and tumorigenic potential both *in vitro* and *in vivo* mouse model [136]. Also, down regulation of CD24 expression using short hairpin RNA (shRNA) retarded tumorigenicity in human cancer cell lines in culture and athymic mice [136].

Down regulation of CD29 by antisense oligonucleotide inhibited human colon cancer cell (HT29) migration *in vitro* and hepatic metastasis *in vivo* [134]. Park and colleagues reported that barberine (an alkaloid natural product) inhibited the migration of human colon cancer cells (HCT116 and SW-480) by reducing CD29 (integrin β 1) expression [134]. They noted that barberine treatment induce AMP-protein kinase signalling pathways in colon cancer cells, which in turn reduce the CD29 protein level and decreased the phosphorylation of CD29 targets [134]. In addition, Kanwar demonstrated that treatment of human colon cancer cells with diflourinated-curcumin in combination with conventional chemotherapy (5-flurouracil and oxaliplatin) significantly reduced the CD44 and CD166 population [141].

This treatment caused cancer growth inhibition, induction of apoptosis and disintegration of colonospheres [141]. Therefore, therapeutic strategies targeting cell surface markers of colon CSCs or their downstream signalling partners in combination with conventional therapy has the emerging potential to efficiently manage progression of CRC.

CSC elimination by targeting the signalling pathways

Activation of Notch, Wnt/ β -catenin, TGF- β and Hedgehog signalling pathways have been reported to be contributed to the chemo-radiotherapy resistance of CSCs in cancer treatment [142, 143]. It was demonstrated that inhibition of these pathways by chemical intervention increased the sensitivity of CSCs to chemotherapy [131].

γ-secretase inhibitors have the potentials to inactivate Notch signalling and can be used to develop therapeutic strategies for the treatment of patients with CRC [144]. Constitutive activation of Wnt/β-catenin pathways in colon cancer makes this pathway as an important target for therapy development [144]. Deregulation of this pathway by inhibiting β-catenin accumulation and/or expression, and disrupting its interaction with other components has been reported to reduce colon cancer growth both in vitro and in vivo xenograft mouse model by Green and colleagues [145]. They treated colon cancer cell (SW-480) implanted mice with different concentrations of β-catenin antisense oligonucleotides and they noted dose-dependent tumour growth inhibition when compared to the scrambled control β-catenin oligonucleotides group [145]. van de Wetering M and co-workers reported that a small compound called inhibitor of Wnt production (IWP) has the potential to disrupt Wnt/β-catenin pathway by inhibiting porcupine (a membrane bound acetyl transferase) activity, which is essential for the production of Wnt protein [146].

Chen and colleagues illustrated that Sonic Hedgehog inhibitor (cerulenin, cyclopamine and itraconazole) significantly induced apoptosis, decreased cell proliferation,

inhibited spheres formation and reduced the expression of stemness factors in colon cancer HCT116 cells [147]. These inhibitors remarkably inhibited colitis-induced colorectal carcinogenesis by targeting cytokine IL-6 signalling in both culture and xenograft model of the cancer [147].

These studies indicates the effective repression of CSC activities in CRCs by targeting key signalling pathways and this has further implications in future targeted therapies in patients with CRC.

Concluding Remarks

Identification of cancer stem cells in colorectal carcinoma based on their surface markers could help in isolation as well as predicting of aggressive clinical behaviour, resistance to therapy, detection of cancer recurrence, survival and in the development of advanced cancer therapies. Newly identified CSC markers in colorectal cancer in combination with the existed markers could help in therapy selection and optimize the post treatment surveillance of patients.

Emerging therapeutic tools based on specific properties and functions of CSCs inside the bulk of a colorectal cancer could be useful for improved clinical outcomes. In future, potential improvement in management of patients with CRC could be achieved with the combination of CSCs targeted therapies with other anti-cancer therapies such as chemotherapy, radiation, molecular targeted therapy and immunotherapy, etc. Therefore, indepth understanding of the biology, function, identification and clinical applications will help to achieve more effective management of patients with colorectal cancer.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Griffith University for provision of the higher degree research scholarship.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Implication of cancer stem cells (CSCs) as a therapeutic target in colorectal cancer treatment. (A) Metastatic cancer stem cells can be metastasized to another organ and lead to the formation of new cancer. The treatment with CSC specific therapy can eradicate all the CSC population. The other cancer cells can be destroyed by immune system or conventional therapies. (B) Treatment with CSC specific therapy can kill all the CSC cells. The rest of the cancer mass can be eradicated with conventional chemo/radiotherapy. (C) Treatment with conventional therapy cannot destroy the CSC population due to their resistance mechanism and relative quiescence state. This may lead to the formation of new cancer. A combined approach including CSC specific therapy as well as conventional therapy could fully eradicate the cancer.

Reference

- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015; 65: 87-108.
- Markowitz SD, Bertagnolli MM. Molecular origins of cancer: Molecular basis of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361: 2449-60.
- Frank et al., 2010NY, Schatton T, Frank MH. The therapeutic promise of the cancer stem cell concept. J Clin Invest. 2010; 120: 41-50.
- Zhou BB, Zhang H, Damelin M, Geles KG, Grindley JC, Dirks PB. Tumour-initiating cells: challenges and opportunities for anticancer drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2009; 8: 806-23
- 5. Bruce WR, Van Der Gaag H. A quantative assay for the number of murine lymphoma cells capable of proliferation *in vivo*. Nature.1963; 199: 79-80.
- Brunschwig A, Southam CM, Levin AG. Host resistance to cancer. Clinical experiments by homotransplants, autotransplants and admixture of autologous leucocytes. Ann Surg.1965; 162: 416-25.
- Hamburger AW, Salmon SE. Primary bioassay of human tumor stem cells. Science. 1977; 197: 461-63.
- 8. Islam F, Qiao B, Smith RA, Gopalan V, Lam AK. Cancer stem cell: fundamental experimental pathological concepts and updates. Exp Mol Pathol. 2015b; 98: 184-91.
- Papailiou J, Bramis KJ, Gazouli M, Theodoropoulos G. Stem cells in colon cancer. A new era in cancer theory begins. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2011; 26:1-11.
- Abdul Khalek FJ, Gallicano GI, Mishra L. Colon cancer stem cells. Gastrointest Cancer Res. 2010; (Suppl 1): S16-23.

- Islam F, Gopalan V, Smith RA, Lam AK. Translational potential of cancer stem cells: A review of the detection of cancer stem cells and their roles in cancer recurrence and cancer treatment. Exp Cell Res. 2015; 335: 135-47.
- 12. Prince ME, Sivanandan R, Kaczorowski A, Wolf GT, Kaplan MJ, Dalerba P, Weissman IL, Clarke MF, Ailles LE. Identification of a subpopulation of cellswith cancer stem cell properties in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104: 973-78
- Singh SK, Clarke ID, Hide T, Dirks PB. Cancer stem cells in nervous system tumors. Oncogene. 2004; 23: 7267-73.
- Al-Hajj M, Wicha MS, Benito-Hernandez A, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF. Prospective identification of tumorigenic breast cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100: 3983-88.
- 15. O'Brien CA, Pollett A, Gallinger S, Dick JE. A human colon cancer cell capable of initiating tumour growth in immunodeficient mice. Nature. 2007; 445: 106-10.
- 16. Lapidot T, Sirard C, Vormoor J, Murdoch B, Hoang T, Caceres-Cortes J, Minden M, Paterson B, Caligiuri MA, Dick JE. A cell initiating human acute myeloid leukaemia after transplantation into SCID mice. Nature. 1994; 367: 645-48.
- 17. Jiang F, Qiu Q, Khanna A, Todd NW, Deepak J, Xing L, Wang H, Liu Z, Su Y, Stass SA, Katz RL. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 is a tumor stem cell-associated marker in lung cancer. Mol Cancer Res. 2009; 7: 330-38.
- 18. Visvader JE, Lindeman GJ. Cancer stem cells in solid tumours: accumulating evidence and unresolved questions. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008; 8: 755-68.
- 19. Bissell MJ, Labarge MA. Context, tissue plasticity, and cancer: are tumor stem cells also regulated by the microenvironment? Cancer Cell. 2005; 7: 17-23.
- 20. Qiao B, Gopalan V, Chen Z, Smith RA, Tao Q, Lam AKY. Epithelial-mesenchymal

transition and mesenchymal-epithelial transition are essential for the acquisition of stem cell properties in hTERT-immortalised oral epithelial cells. Bio Cell. 2012; 104: 476-489.

- 21. Kong D, Li Y, Wang Z, Sarkar FH. Cancer stem cells and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-phenotypic cells: Are they cousins or twins? Cancers (Basel). 2011;
 3: 716-29.
- 22. Mitsui K, Tokuzawa Y, Itoh H, Segawa K, Murakami M, Takahashi K, Maruyama M, Maeda M, Yamanaka S. The homeoprotein Nanog is required for maintenance of pluripotency in mouse epiblast and ES cells. Cell. 2003; 113: 631-42.
- 23. Matsuoka J, Yashiro M, Sakurai K, Kubo N, Tanaka H, Muguruma K, Sawada T, Ohira M, Hirakawa K. Role of the stemness factors sox2, oct3/4, and nanog in gastric carcinoma. J Surg Res. 2012; 174: 130-35.
- 24. Meng HM, Zheng P, Wang XY, Liu C, Sui HM, Wu SJ, Zhou J, Ding YQ, Li J.Overexpression of Nanog predicts tumor progression and poor prognosis in colorectal cancer. Cancer Biol Ther. 2010; 9: 295-302.
- 25. Avery S, Inniss K, Moore H. The regulation of self-renewal in human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells Dev. 2006; 15: 729-40.
- 26. Rodda DJ, Chew JL, Lim LH, Loh YH, Wang B, Ng HH, Robson P. Transcriptional regulation of nanog by OCT4 and SOX2. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280: 24731-37.
- 27. Padín-Iruegas ME, Herranz-Carnero M, Aguin-Losada S, Brozos-Vazquez E, Anido-Herranz U, Antunez-Lopez JR, Ruibal-Morell A, López-López R. Prognostic value of changes in the expression of stem cell markers in the peripheral blood of patients with colon cancer. Oncol Rep. 2013; 29: 2467-72.

- Avilion AA, Nicolis SK, Pevny LH, Perez L, Vivian N, Lovell-Badge R. Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function. Genes Dev. 2003; 17: 126-40.
- 29. Sarkar A, Hochedlinger K. The sox family of transcription factors: versatile regulators of stem and progenitor cell fate. Cell Stem Cell. 2013; 12:15-30.
- 30. Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell. 2006; 126: 663-76.
- Basu-Roy U, Seo E, Ramanathapuram L, Rapp TB, Perry JA, Orkin SH, Mansukhani
 A, Basilico C. Sox2 maintains self-renewal of tumor-initiating cells in osteosarcomas.
 Oncogene. 2012; 31: 2270-82.
- Cai J, He B, Li X, Sun M, Lam AK, Qiao B, Qiu W. Regulation of tumorigenesis in oral epithelial cells by defined reprogramming factors Oct4 and Sox2. Oncol Rep. 2016; 36: 651-58.
- 33. Saiki Y, Ishimaru S, Mimori K, Takatsuno Y, Nagahara M, Ishii H, Yamada K, Mori M. Comprehensive analysis of the clinical significance of inducing pluripotent stemness-related gene expression in colorectal cancer cells. Ann Surg Oncol. 2009; 16: 2638-44.
- 34. Ong CW, Kim LG, Kong HH, Low LY, Iacopetta B, Soong R, Salto-Tellez M.CD133 expression predicts for non-response to chemotherapy in colorectal cancer.Mod Pathol. 2010; 23: 450-57.
- 35. Saigusa S, Tanaka K, Toiyama Y, Yokoe T, Okugawa Y, Ioue Y, Miki C, Kusunoki M. Correlation of CD133, OCT4, and SOX2 in rectal cancer and their association with distant recurrence after chemoradiotherapy. Ann Surg Oncol. 2009; 16: 3488-98.
- 36. de Lau WB, Snel B, Clevers HC. The R-spondin protein family. Genome Biol. 2012;13: 242.

- 37. Wu XS, Xi HQ, Chen L. Lgr5 is a potential marker of colorectal carcinoma stem cells that correlates with patient survival. World J Surg Oncol. 2012; 10: 244.
- 38. Schepers AG, Snippert HJ, Stange DE, van den Born M, van Es JH, van de Wetering M, Clevers H. Lineage tracing reveals Lgr5+ stem cell activity in mouse intestinal adenomas. Science. 2012; 337: 730-35.
- 39. Merlos-Suárez A, Barriga FM, Jung P, Iglesias M, Céspedes MV, Rossell D, Sevillano M, Hernando-Momblona X, da Silva-Diz V, Muñoz P, Clevers H, Sancho E, Mangues R, Batlle E. The intestinal stem cell signature identifies colorectal cancer stem cells and predicts disease relapse. Cell Stem Cell. 2011; 8: 511-24.
- 40. Takahashi H, Ishii H, Nishida N, Takemasa I, Mizushima T, Ikeda M, Yokobori T, Mimori K, Yamamoto H, Sekimoto M, Doki Y, Mori M. Significance of Lgr5(+ve) cancer stem cells in the colon and rectum. Ann Surg Oncol. 2011; 18: 1166-74.
- 41. Schepers AG, Snippert HJ, Stange DE, van den Born M, van Es JH, van de Wetering M, Clevers H. Lineage tracing reveals Lgr5+ stem cell activity in mouse intestinal adenomas. Science. 2012; 337: 730-35.
- 42. Kemper K, Versloot M, Cameron K, Colak S, de Sousa e Melo F, de Jong JH, Bleackley J, Vermeulen L, Versteeg R, Koster J, Medema JP. Mutations in the Ras-Raf Axis underlie the prognostic value of CD133 in colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2012; 18: 3132-41.
- 43. Li CY, Li BX, Liang Y, Peng RQ, Ding Y, Xu DZ, Zhang X, Pan ZZ, Wan DS, Zeng YX, Zhu XF, Zhang XS. Higher percentage of CD133+ cells is associated with poor prognosis in colon carcinoma patients with stage IIIB. J Transl Med. 2009; 7: 56.
- 44. Rowehl RA, Burke S, Bialkowska AB, Pettet DW 3rd, Rowehl L, Li E, Antoniou E, Zhang Y, Bergamaschi R, Shroyer KR, Ojima I, Botchkina GI. Establishment of

highly tumorigenic human colorectal cancer cell line (CR4) with properties of putative cancer stem cells. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e99091.

- 45. Sahlberg SH, Spiegelberg D, Glimelius B, Stenerlöw B, Nestor M. Evaluation of cancer stem cell markers CD133, CD44, CD24: association with AKT isoforms and radiation resistance in colon cancer cells. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e94621.
- Hynes RO. Integrins: versatility, modulation, and signaling in cell adhesion. Cell.
 1992; 69: 11-25.
- 47. Fujimoto K, Beauchamp RD, Whitehead RH. Identification and isolation of candidate human colonic clonogenic cells based on cell surface integrin expression. Gastroenterology. 2002; 123: 1941-48.
- 48. Vermeulen L, Todaro M, de Sousa Mello F, Sprick MR, Kemper K, Perez Alea M, Richel DJ, Stassi G, Medema JP. Single-cell cloning of colon cancer stem cells reveals a multi-lineage differentiation capacity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105: 13427-32.
- 49. Zou J, Yu XF, Bao ZJ, Dong J. Proteome of human colon cancer stem cells: a comparative analysis. World J Gastroenterol. 2011; 17:1276-85.
- 50. Crabb DW, Matsumoto M, Chang D, You M. Overview of the role of alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase and their variants in the genesis of alcohol-related pathology. Proc Nutr Soc. 2004; 63: 49-63.
- 51. Subramaniam D, Ramalingam S, Houchen CW, Anant S. Cancer stem cells: a novel paradigm for cancer prevention and treatment. Mini Rev Med Chem. 2010; 10: 359-71.
- 52. Carpentino JE, Hynes MJ, Appelman HD, Zheng T, Steindler DA, Scott EW, Huang EH. Aldehyde dehydrogenase-expressing colon stem cells contribute to tumorigenesis in the transition from colitis to cancer. Cancer Res. 2009; 69: 8208-15.

- 53. Langan RC, Mullinax JE, Ray S, Raiji MT, Schaub N, Xin HW, Koizumi T, Steinberg SM, Anderson A, Wiegand G, Butcher D, Anver M, Bilchik AJ, Stojadinovic A, Rudloff U, Avital I. A pilot study assessing the potential role of non-CD133 colorectal cancer stem cells as biomarkers. J Cancer. 2012; 3: 231-40.
- 54. Lugli A, Iezzi G, Hostettler I, Muraro MG, Mele V, Tornillo L, Carafa V, Spagnoli G, Terracciano L, Zlobec I. Prognostic impact of the expression of putative cancer stem cell markers CD133, CD166, CD44s, EpCAM, and ALDH1 in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2010; 103: 382-90.
- 55. Patriarca C, Macchi RM, Marschner AK, Mellstedt H. Epithelial cell adhesion molecule expression (CD326) in cancer: a short review. Cancer Treat Rev. 2012; 38: 68-75.
- 56. Litvinov SV, Velders MP, Bakker HA, Fleuren GJ, Warnaar SO. Ep-CAM: a human epithelial antigen is a homophilic cell-cell adhesion molecule. J Cell Biol. 1994; 125: 437-46.
- 57. Roy K, Kanwar RK, Kanwar JR. LNA aptamer based multi-modal, Fe3O4-saturated lactoferrin (Fe3O4-bLf) nanocarriers for triple positive (EpCAM, CD133, CD44) colon tumor targeting and NIR, MRI and CT imaging. Biomaterials. 2015; 71: 84-99.
- 58. Spring FA, Dalchau R, Daniels GL, Mallinson G, Judson PA, Parsons SF, Fabre JW, Anstee DJ. The Ina and Inb blood group antigens are located on a glycoprotein of 80,000 MW (the CDw44 glycoprotein) whose expression is influenced by the In(Lu) gene. Immunology. 1988; 64: 37-43.
- 59. Dalerba P, Dylla SJ, Park IK, Liu R, Wang X, Cho RW, Hoey T, Gurney A, Huang EH, Simeone DM, Shelton AA, Parmiani G, Castelli C, Clarke MF. Phenotypic characterization of human colorectal cancer stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104: 10158-63.

- 60. Du L, Wang H, He L, Zhang J, Ni B, Wang X, Jin H, Cahuzac N, Mehrpour M, Lu Y, Chen Q. CD44 is of functional importance for colorectal cancer stem cells. Clin Cancer Res. 2008; 14: 6751-60.
- 61. Wang JY, Chang CC, Chiang CC, Chen WM, Hung SC. Silibinin suppresses the maintenance of colorectal cancer stem-like cells by inhibiting PP2A/AKT/mTOR pathways. J Cell Biochem. 2012; 113: 1733-43.
- 62. Dallas MR, Liu G, Chen WC, Thomas SN, Wirtz D, Huso DL, Konstantopoulos K. Divergent roles of CD44 and carcinoembryonic antigen in colon cancer metastasis. FASEB J. 2012; 26: 2648-56.
- 63. Ylagan LR, Scholes J, Demopoulos R. Cd44: a marker of squamous differentiation in adenosquamous neoplasms. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2000; 124: 212-15.
- 64. Lehmann JM, Riethmüller G, Johnson JP. MUC18, a marker of tumor progression in human melanoma, shows sequence similarity to the neural cell adhesion molecules of the immunoglobulin superfamily. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1989; 86: 9891-95.
- 65. Weidle UH, Eggle D, Klostermann S, Swart GW. ALCAM/CD166: cancer-related issues. Cancer Genomics Proteomics. 2010; 7: 231-43.
- 66. Mărgaritescu C, Pirici D, Cherciu I, Bărbălan A, Cârtână T, Săftoiu A. CD133/CD166/Ki-67 triple immunofluorescence assessment for putative cancer stem cells in colon carcinoma. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis. 2014; 23: 161-70.
- 67. Levin TG, Powell AE, Davies PS, Silk AD, Dismuke AD, Anderson EC, Swain JR, Wong MH. Characterization of the intestinal cancer stem cell marker CD166 in the human and mouse gastrointestinal tract. Gastroenterology. 2010; 139: 2072-2082.e5.
- Pro B, Dang NH. CD26/dipeptidyl peptidase IV and its role in cancer. Histol Histopathol. 2004; 19: 1345-51.

- 69. Thompson MA, Ohnuma K, Abe M, Morimoto C, Dang NH. CD26/dipeptidyl peptidase IV as a novel therapeutic target for cancer and immune disorders. Mini Rev Med Chem. 2007; 7: 253-73.
- 70. Pang R, Law WL, Chu AC, Poon JT, Lam CS, Chow AK, Ng L, Cheung LW, Lan XR, Lan HY, Tan VP, Yau TC, Poon RT, Wong BC. A subpopulation of CD26+ cancer stem cells with metastatic capacity in human colorectal cancer. Cell Stem Cell. 2010; 6: 603-15.
- 71. Dalerba P, Clarke MF. Cancer stem cells and tumor metastasis: first steps into uncharted territory. Cell Stem Cell. 2007; 1: 241-42.
- 72. Fitzgerald TL, Rangan S, Dobbs L, Starr S, Sigounas G. The impact of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 expression on prognosis for metastatic colon cancer. J Surg Res. 2014; 192: 82-89.
- 73. Deng Y, Zhou J, Fang L, Cai Y, Ke J, Xie X, Huang Y, Huang M, Wang J. ALDH1 is an independent prognostic factor for patients with stages II-III rectal cancer after receiving radiochemotherapy. Br J Cancer. 2014; 110: 430-34.
- 74. Goossens-Beumer IJ, Zeestraten EC, Benard A, Christen T, Reimers MS, Keijzer R, Sier CF, Liefers GJ, Morreau H, Putter H, Vahrmeijer AL, van de Velde CJ, Kuppen PJ. Clinical prognostic value of combined analysis of Aldh1, Survivin, and EpCAM expression in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2014; 110: 2935-44.
- 75. Kahlert C, Gaitzsch E, Steinert G, Mogler C, Herpel E, Hoffmeister M, Jansen L, Benner A, Brenner H, Chang-Claude J, Rahbari N, Schmidt T, Klupp F, Grabe N, Lahrmann B, Koch M, Halama N, Büchler M, Weitz J. Expression analysis of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A1 (ALDH1A1) in colon and rectal cancer in association with prognosis and response to chemotherapy. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012; 19: 4193-201.

- 76. Chen M, Geng JG. P-selectin mediates adhesion of leukocytes, platelets, and cancer cells in inflammation, thrombosis, and cancer growth and metastasis. Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz). 2006; 54: 75-84.
- 77. Pirruccello SJ, LeBien TW. The human B cell-associated antigen CD24 is a single chain sialoglycoprotein. J Immunol. 1986; 136: 3779-84.
- 78. Fischer GF, Majdic O, Gadd S, Knapp W. Signal transduction in lymphocytic and myeloid cells via CD24, a new member of phosphoinositol-anchored membrane molecules. J Immunol. 1990; 144: 638-41.
- 79. Sammar M, Aigner S, Hubbe M, Schirrmacher V, Schachner M, Vestweber D,
 Altevogt P. Heat-stable antigen (CD24) as ligand for mouse P-selectin. Int Immunol.
 1994; 6: 1027-36.
- 80. Kristiansen G, Pilarsky C, Pervan J, Stürzebecher B, Stephan C, Jung K, Loening S, Rosenthal A, Dietel M. CD24 expression is a significant predictor of PSA relapse and poor prognosis in low grade or organ confined prostate cancer. Prostate. 2004; 58: 183-92.
- 81. Kristiansen G, Denkert C, Schlüns K, Dahl E, Pilarsky C, Hauptmann S. CD24 is expressed in ovarian cancer and is a new independent prognostic marker of patient survival. Am J Pathol. 2002; 161: 1215-21.
- 82. Kristiansen G, Winzer KJ, Mayordomo E, Bellach J, Schlüns K, Denkert C, Dahl E, Pilarsky C, Altevogt P, Guski H, Dietel M. CD24 expression is a new prognostic marker in breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2003; 9: 4906-13.
- Barwish NS, Kim MA, Chang MS, Lee HS, Lee BL, Kim YI, Kim WH. Prognostic significance of CD24 expression in gastric carcinoma. Cancer Res Treat 2004; 36: 298-302.

- Vaiopoulos AG, Kostakis ID, Koutsilieris M, Papavassiliou AG. Colorectal cancer stem cells. Stem Cells. 2012; 30: 363-71.
- 85. Weichert W, Denkert C, Burkhardt M, Gansukh T, Bellach J, Altevogt P, Dietel M, Kristiansen G. Cytoplasmic CD24 expression in colorectal cancer independently correlates with shortened patient survival. Clin Cancer Res. 2005; 11: 6574-81.
- 86. Choi D, Lee HW, Hur KY, Kim JJ, Park GS, Jang SH, Song YS, Jang KS, Paik SS. Cancer stem cell markers CD133 and CD24 correlate with invasiveness and differentiation in colorectal adenocarcinoma. World J Gastroenterol. 2009; 15: 2258-64.
- 87. Seo KJ, Kim M, Kim J. Prognostic implications of adhesion molecule expression in colorectal cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015; 8: 4148-57.
- 88. Ahmed MA, Al-Attar A, Kim J, Watson NF, Scholefield JH, Durrant LG, Ilyas M. CD24 shows early upregulation and nuclear expression but is not a prognostic marker in colorectal cancer. J Clin Pathol. 2009; 62: 1117-22.
- Huh JW, Kim HR, Kim YJ, Lee JH, Park YS, Cho SH, Joo JK. Expression of standard CD44 in human colorectal carcinoma: association with prognosis. Pathol Int. 2009; 59: 241-46.
- 90. Wielenga VJ, van der Voort R, Mulder JW, Kruyt PM, Weidema WF, Oosting J, Seldenrijk CA, van Krimpen C, Offerhaus GJ, Pals ST. CD44 splice variants as prognostic markers in colorectal cancer. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1998; 33: 82-87.
- 91. Ngan CY, Yamamoto H, Seshimo I, Ezumi K, Terayama M, Hemmi H, Takemasa I, Ikeda M, Sekimoto M, Monden M. A multivariate analysis of adhesion molecules expression in assessment of colorectal cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2007; 95: 652-62.
- Morrin M, Delaney PV. CD44v6 is not relevant in colorectal tumour progression. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2002; 17: 30-36.

- 93. Jing F, Kim HJ, Kim CH, Kim YJ, Lee JH, Kim HR. Colon cancer stem cell markers CD44 and CD133 in patients with colorectal cancer and synchronous hepatic metastases. Int J Oncol. 2015; 46: 1582-88.
- 94. Schneider M, Huber J, Hadaschik B, Siegers GM, Fiebig HH, Schüler J. Characterization of colon cancer cells: a functional approach characterizing CD133 as a potential stem cell marker. BMC Cancer. 2012; 12: 96.
- 95. Lin L, Fuchs J, Li C, Olson V, Bekaii-Saab T, Lin J. STAT3 signaling pathway is necessary for cell survival and tumorsphere forming capacity in ALDH⁺/CD133⁺ stem cell-like human colon cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2011; 416: 246-51.
- 96. Fang DD, Kim YJ, Lee CN, Aggarwal S, McKinnon K, Mesmer D, Norton J, Birse CE, He T, Ruben SM, Moore PA. Expansion of CD133(+) colon cancer cultures retaining stem cell properties to enable cancer stem cell target discovery. Br J Cancer. 2010; 102: 1265-75.
- 97. Jao SW, Chen SF, Lin YS, Chang YC, Lee TY, Wu CC, Jin JS, Nieh S. Cytoplasmic CD133 expression is a reliable prognostic indicator of tumor regression after neoadjuvant concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with rectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012; 19: 3432-40.
- 98. Pilati P, Mocellin S, Bertazza L, Galdi F, Briarava M, Mammano E, Tessari E, Zavagno G, Nitti D. Prognostic value of putative circulating cancer stem cells in patients undergoing hepatic resection for colorectal liver metastasis. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012; 19: 402-8.
- Horst D, Kriegl L, Engel J, Kirchner T, Jung A. CD133 expression is an independent prognostic marker for low survival in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer. 2008; 99: 1285-89.

- 100. Horst D, Scheel SK, Liebmann S, Neumann J, Maatz S, Kirchner T, Jung A. The cancer stem cell marker CD133 has high prognostic impact but unknown functional relevance for the metastasis of human colon cancer. J Pathol. 2009; 219: 427-34.
- 101. Kojima M, Ishii G, Atsumi N, Fujii S, Saito N, Ochiai A. Immunohistochemical detection of CD133 expression in colorectal cancer: a clinicopathological study. Cancer Sci. 2008; 99: 1578-83.
- 102. Lin EH, Hassan M, Li Y, Zhao H, Nooka A, Sorenson E, Xie K, Champlin R, Wu X, Li D. Elevated circulating endothelial progenitor marker CD133 messenger RNA levels predict colon cancer recurrence. Cancer. 2007; 110:534-42.
- 103. Iinuma H, Watanabe T, Mimori K, Adachi M, Hayashi N, Tamura J, Matsuda K, Fukushima R, Okinaga K, Sasako M, Mori M. Clinical significance of circulating tumor cells, including cancer stem-like cells, in peripheral blood for recurrence and prognosis in patients with Dukes' stage B and C colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29: 1547-55.
- 104. Gazzaniga P, Gradilone A, Petracca A, Nicolazzo C, Raimondi C, Iacovelli R, Naso G, Cortesi E. Molecular markers in circulating tumour cells from metastatic colorectal cancer patients. J Cell Mol Med. 2010; 14: 2073-77.
- 105. Tachezy M, Zander H, Gebauer F, Marx A, Kaifi JT, Izbicki JR, Bockhorn M. Activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule (CD166)--its prognostic power for colorectal cancer patients. J Surg Res. 2012; 177: e15-20.
- 106. Mezzanzanica D, Fabbi M, Bagnoli M, Staurengo S, Losa M, Balladore E, Alberti P, Lusa L, Ditto A, Ferrini S, Pierotti MA, Barbareschi M, Pilotti S, Canevari S.
 Subcellular localization of activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule is a molecular predictor of survival in ovarian carcinoma patients. Clin Cancer Res. 2008; 14: 1726-33.

- 107. Klein WM, Wu BP, Zhao S, Wu H, Klein-Szanto AJ, Tahan SR. Increased expression of stem cell markers in malignant melanoma. Mod Pathol 2007; 20: 102-7.
- 108. Burkhardt M, Mayordomo E, Winzer KJ, Fritzsche F, Gansukh T, Pahl S, Weichert W, Denkert C, Guski H, Dietel M, Kristiansen G. Cytoplasmic overexpression of ALCAM is prognostic of disease progression in breast cancer. J Clin Pathol. 2006; 59: 403-9.
- 109. Verma A, Shukla NK, Deo SV, Gupta SD, Ralhan R. MEMD/ALCAM: a potential marker for tumor invasion and nodal metastasis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Oncology. 2005; 68: 462-70.
- 110. Weichert W, Knösel T, Bellach J, Dietel M, Kristiansen G. ALCAM/CD166 is overexpressed in colorectal carcinoma and correlates with shortened patient survival. J Clin Pathol. 2004; 57: 1160-64.
- 111. Tomita K, van Bokhoven A, Jansen CFJ, et al. Activated Leukocyte Cell Adhesion Molecule (ALCAM) expression is associated with a poor prognosis for bladder cancer patients. Urooncology. 2003; 3:121–29.
- 112. Sim SH, Kang MH, Kim YJ, Lee KW, Kim DW, Kang SB, Eom KY, Kim JS, Lee HS, Kim JH. P21 and CD166 as predictive markers of poor response and outcome after fluorouracil-based chemoradiotherapy for the patients with rectal cancer. BMC Cancer. 2014; 14: 241.
- 113. Spizzo G, Fong D, Wurm M, Ensinger C, Obrist P, Hofer C, Mazzoleni G, Gastl G, Went P. EpCAM expression in primary tumour tissues and metastases: an immunohistochemical analysis. J Clin Pathol. 2011; 64: 415-20.
- 114. Went PT, Lugli A, Meier S, Bundi M, Mirlacher M, Sauter G, Dirnhofer S.Frequent EpCam protein expression in human carcinomas. Hum Pathol. 2004; 35: 122-28.

- 115. Herlyn M, Steplewski Z, Herlyn D, Koprowski H. Colorectal carcinoma-specific antigen: detection by means of monoclonal antibodies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1979; 76: 1438-42.
- 116. Zhou FQ, Qi YM, Xu H, Wang QY, Gao XS, Guo HG. Expression of EpCAM and Wnt/β-catenin in human colon cancer. Genet Mol Res. 2015; 14: 4485-94.
- 117. van der Gun BT, Melchers LJ, Ruiters MH, de Leij LF, McLaughlin PM, Rots MG.EpCAM in carcinogenesis: the good, the bad or the ugly. Carcinogenesis. 2010; 31: 1913-21.
- 118. Gosens MJ, van Kempen LC, van de Velde CJ, van Krieken JH, Nagtegaal ID. Loss of membranous Ep-CAM in budding colorectal carcinoma cells. Mod Pathol. 2007; 20: 221-32.
- 119. Uchida H, Yamazaki K, Fukuma M, Yamada T, Hayashida T, Hasegawa H, Kitajima M, Kitagawa Y, Sakamoto M. Overexpression of leucine-rich repeatcontaining G protein-coupled receptor 5 in colorectal cancer. Cancer Sci. 2010; 101: 1731-37.
- 120. Wu XS, Xi HQ, Chen L. Lgr5 is a potential marker of colorectal carcinoma stem cells that correlates with patient survival. World J Surg Oncol. 2012; 10: 244.
- 121. Liu Z, Dai W, Jiang L, Cheng Y. Over-expression of LGR5 correlates with poor survival of colon cancer in mice as well as in patients. Neoplasma. 2014; 61: 177-85.
- 122. Saigusa S, Inoue Y, Tanaka K, Toiyama Y, Kawamura M, Okugawa Y, Okigami M, Hiro J, Uchida K, Mohri Y, Kusunoki M. Significant correlation between LKB1 and LGR5 gene expression and the association with poor recurrence-free survival in rectal cancer after preoperative chemoradiotherapy. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2013; 139: 131-38.

- 123. Hsu HC, Liu YS, Tseng KC, Hsu CL, Liang Y, Yang TS, Chen JS, Tang RP, Chen SJ, Chen HC. Overexpression of Lgr5 correlates with resistance to 5-FU-based chemotherapy in colorectal cancer. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2013; 28: 1535-46.
- 124. Han Y, Xue X, Jiang M, Guo X, Li P, Liu F, Yuan B, Shen Y, Guo X, Zhi Q, Zhao H. LGR5, a relevant marker of cancer stem cells, indicates a poor prognosis in colorectal cancer patients: a meta-analysis. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol. 2015; 39: 267-73.
- 125. Xu F, Dai C, Zhang R, Zhao Y, Peng S, Jia C. Nanog: a potential biomarker for liver metastasis of colorectal cancer. Dig Dis Sci. 2012; 57: 2340-46.
- 126. Meng HM, Zheng P, Wang XY, Liu C, Sui HM, Wu SJ, Zhou J, Ding YQ, Li J. Over-expression of Nanog predicts tumor progression and poor prognosis in colorectal cancer. Cancer Biol Ther. 2010; 9: 295-302.
- 127. Lundberg IV, Löfgren Burström A, Edin S, Eklöf V, Öberg Å, Stenling R, Palmqvist R, Wikberg ML. SOX2 expression is regulated by BRAF and contributes to poor patient prognosis in colorectal cancer. PLoS One. 2014; 9: e101957.
- 128. Islam F, Gopalan V, Wahab R, Smith RA, Lam AK. Cancer stem cells in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma: Identification, prognostic and treatment perspectives. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2015; 96: 9-19.
- 129. Vermeulen L, de Sousa e Melo F, Richel DJ, Medema JP. The developing cancer stem-cell model: clinical challenges and opportunities. Lancet Oncol. 2012; 13: e83-9.
- Garvalov BK, Acker T. Cancer stem cells: a new framework for the design of tumor therapies. J Mol Med (Berl). 2011; 89: 95-107.
- 131. Todaro M, Francipane MG, Medema JP, Stassi G. Colon cancer stem cells: promise of targeted therapy. Gastroenterology. 2010; 138: 2151-62.

- 132. Damek-Poprawa M, Volgina A, Korostoff J, Sollecito TP, Brose MS, O'Malley BW Jr, Akintoye SO, DiRienzo JM. Targeted inhibition of CD133+ cells in oral cancer cell lines. J Dent Res. 2011; 90: 638-45.
- Dou J, Gu N. Emerging strategies for the identification and targeting of cancer stem cells. Tumour Biol. 2010; 31: 243-53.
- 134. Zhang SS, Han ZP, Jing YY, Tao SF, Li TJ, Wang H, Wang Y, Li R, Yang Y, Zhao X, Xu XD, Yu ED, Rui YC, Liu HJ, Zhang L, Wei LX. CD133 (+) CXCR4 (+) colon cancer cells exhibit metastatic potential and predict poor prognosis of patients. BMC Med 2012; 10: 85.
- 135. Dallas NA, Xia L, Fan F, Gray MJ, Gaur P, van Buren G 2nd, Samuel S, Kim MP, Lim SJ, Ellis LM. Chemoresistant colorectal cancer cells, the cancer stem cell phenotype, and increased sensitivity to insulin-like growth factor-I receptor inhibition. Cancer Res. 2009; 69: 1951-57.
- 136. Sagiv E, Starr A, Rozovski U, Khosravi R, Altevogt P, Wang T, Arber N. Targeting CD24 for treatment of colorectal and pancreatic cancer by monoclonal antibodies or small interfering RNA. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 2803-12.
- 137. Todaro M, Alea MP, Di Stefano AB, Cammareri P, Vermeulen L, Iovino F, Tripodo C, Russo A, Gulotta G, Medema JP, Stassi G. Colon cancer stem cells dictate tumor growth and resist cell death by production of interleukin-4. Cell Stem Cell 2007; 1: 389-402.
- 138. Punt CJ, Nagy A, Douillard JY, Figer A, Skovsgaard T, Monson J, Barone C, Fountzilas G, Riess H, Moylan E, Jones D, Dethling J, Colman J, Coward L, MacGregor S. Edrecolomab alone or in combination with fluorouracil and folinic acid in the adjuvant treatment of stage III colon cancer: a randomised study. Lancet. 2002; 360: 671-77.

- 139. Todaro M, Perez Alea M, Scopelliti A, Medema JP, Stassi G. IL-4-mediated drug resistance in colon cancer stem cells. Cell Cycle. 2008; 7: 309-13.
- 140. Riethmüller G, Schneider-Gädicke E, Schlimok G, Schmiegel W, Raab R, Höffken K, Gruber R, Pichlmaier H, Hirche H, Pichlmayr R, et al. Randomised trial of monoclonal antibody for adjuvant therapy of resected Dukes' C colorectal carcinoma. German Cancer Aid 17-1A Study Group. Lancet. 1994; 343: 1177-83.
- 141. Kanwar SS, Yu Y, Nautiyal J, Patel BB, Padhye S, Sarkar FH, Majumdar AP. Difluorinated-curcumin (CDF): a novel curcumin analog is a potent inhibitor of colon cancer stem-like cells. Pharm Res. 2011; 28: 827-38.
- 142. Liu YP, Yang CJ, Huang MS, Yeh CT, Wu AT, Lee YC, Lai TC, Lee CH, Hsiao YW, Lu J, Shen CN, Lu PJ, Hsiao M. Cisplatin selects for multidrug-resistant CD133+ cells in lung adenocarcinoma by activating Notch signaling. Cancer Res. 2013; 73: 406-16.
- 143. Peitzsch C, Kurth I, Kunz-Schughart L, Baumann M, Dubrovska A. Discovery of the cancer stem cell related determinants of radioresistance. Radiother Oncol. 2013; 108: 378-87.
- 144. van Es JH, Clevers H. Notch and Wnt inhibitors as potential new drugs for intestinal neoplastic disease. Trends Mol Med. 2005; 11: 496-502.
- 145. Green DW, Roh H, Pippin JA, Drebin JA. Beta-catenin antisense treatment decreases beta-catenin expression and tumor growth rate in colon carcinoma xenografts. J Surg Res. 2001; 101: 16-20.
- 146. van de Wetering M, Sancho E, Verweij C, de Lau W, Oving I, Hurlstone A, van der Horn K, Batlle E, Coudreuse D, Haramis AP, Tjon-Pon-Fong M, Moerer P, van den Born M, Soete G, Pals S, Eilers M, Medema R, Clevers H. The beta-catenin/TCF-4

complex imposes a crypt progenitor phenotype on colorectal cancer cells. Cell. 2002; 111: 241-50.

- 147. Chen B, Dodge ME, Tang W, Lu J, Ma Z, Fan CW, Wei S, Hao W, Kilgore J, Williams NS, Roth MG, Amatruda JF, Chen C, Lum L. Small molecule-mediated disruption of Wnt-dependent signaling in tissue regeneration and cancer. Nat Chem Biol. 2009; 5: 100-7.
- 148. Kangwan N, Kim YJ, Han YM, Jeong M, Park JM, Go EJ, Hahm KB. Sonic hedgehog inhibitors prevent colitis-associated cancer via orchestrated mechanisms of IL-6/gp130 inhibition, 15-PGDH induction, Bcl-2 abrogation, and tumorsphere inhibition. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 7667-82.

Protein markers	Gene	Assay method	References	
Nanog, Oct-4, SOX-2	Nanog, POU5F1,SOX-2,	Therapy resistant assay; quantitative RT-PCR	20-24, 33	
CD133	PROM1	Chemoresistance assay; colony formation assay	9,15, 34, 99- 101	
Lgr-5	LGR5	Tumorigenicity assay; experimental metastasis assay	40,48	
CD24	CD24	Colony formation assay; invasion assay; differentiation assay; survival assay.	48, 85, 86	
CD29	ITGB1	Colony formation assay	48	
ALDH-1	ALDH1A1	Xenotransplantation in immunodeficient mice	52, 73	
EpCAM	EPCAM	Immunohistochemistry;Western blot assay	59, 99	
CD44	CD44	Xenotransplantation in immunodeficient mice; colony formation assay	48, 59, 89, 139	
CD166	ALCAM	Tumour growth in immunodeficient mice following xenograft; colony formation assay	48	
CD26	DPP4	Tumour formation and metastasis following xenotransplantation	70	

Table-1: Colorectal cancer stem cells' biomarkers

Table-2: Cancer stem cell markers for the prognosis of colorectal cancer

Name of Marker	Expression in normal or non- cancer stem cells	Function	Role in prognosis of colorectal cancer	References
ALDH1	Several tissues and highest in the liver	Detoxifying enzyme and responsible for oxidation of intracellular aldehydes	Overexpression is associated with cancer release, distant metastasis, higher cancer grade and poor patients' survival	54, 72-74
CD24	B-lymphocytes and differentiating neuroblast	Cell adhesion molecule	Increased expression is correlated with poor patients' survival	83, 85, 87
CD44	Epithelial cells	Cell surface glycoprotein and involved in cell adhesion and migration, participate in malignant progression (adenoma to carcinoma)	Decreased or loss of expression is correlated with poor patients' survival	54, 86, 89, 91, 92
CD133	Stem cells in different organs	Regulation of stemness, associated with primitive cells and transmembrane glycoprotein	Elevated expression at protein and mRNA level is associated with poor patients' survival	35, 42, 98- 100,
CD166	Activated T cells, fibroblasts, neurons, activated monocytes and melanoma cells.	Cell adhesion molecule, involved in neuronal extension, embryonic haematopoiesis, embryonic angiogenesis and associated in the development of adenoma to carcinoma.	Irregular and over expression is associated with shortened patients' survival.	54, 110
EpCAM	Epithelial tissue, progenitor cells and stem cells	Cell adhesion, participate in Cadherin-Catenin and Wnt pathway	Reduced expression is associated with lymph node metastasis, infiltrating tumour margin, higher cancer grade, vascular invasion, distant metastasis and poor patients' survival	54, 56, 114, 118
Lgr-5	Adult stem cells, muscle, placenta, spinal cord and brain	Associated with intestinal stem cells and downstream target of Wnt pathway	Higher expression is associated with lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis and poor patients' survival	35,37, 120- 122, 124,
Nanog	Embryonic stem cells and epithelial cells	Transcriptional regulator, self-renewal	Elevated expression is associated with lymph node metastasis and poor patients' survival	125, 126
SOX-2	Embryonic stem cells, neuronal cells in the stomach and central nervous system	Transcription factor and regulates self-renewal or pluripotency of undifferentiated.	Overexpression is correlated with recurrence and lower disease free survival.	35
Oct 4	Stem cells in different organs	Regulation of stemness.	Expression is negatively correlated with cancer depth, lymph node metastasis and lymphatic invasion	23