SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Nature-Based Catalyst for Visible-Light-Driven Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction

***Zhifeng Jiang,^{a,b} Hongli Sun,^b Tianqi Wang,^b Bo Wang,^b Wei Wei,^a
Huaming Li,^a Shouqi Yuan,^a Taicheng An,^{c*} Huijun Zhao,^d Jiaguo Yu,^{e*} and Po Keung Wong^{b*}***

^aInstitute for Energy Research, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, 212013, China

^bSchool of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong SAR, China

^cInstitute of Environmental Health and Pollution Control, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Guangdong University of Technology, 510006, Guangzhou, China

^dCentre for Clean Environment and Energy, Gold Coast Campus, Griffith University, QLD, 4222, Australia

^eState Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, 430070, China

***Corresponding authors:** antc99@gdut.edu.cn (T. C. An), jiaguoyu@yahoo.com (J. G. Yu),
pkwong@cuhk.edu.hk (P. K. Wong)

Table S1 The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction efficiency of various catalysts.

Photocatalyst	Light source	CO evolution rate ($\mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$)	CH ₄ evolution rate ($\mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$)	Reference
Bi ₄ O ₅ I ₂ /g-C ₃ N ₄	300 W Xenon lamp (with $\lambda > 400$ nm filter)	45.6	6	1
LaPO ₄ /g-C ₃ N ₄	300W Xenon lamp	14.4	N/A	2
SnO ₂ /g-C ₃ N ₄	500W Xenon lamp	18	2	3
Pt/B ₄ C/g-C ₃ N ₄	Visible light (405–723 nm)	N/A	0.84	4
ZnO/g-C ₃ N ₄	500 W Xenon lamp (with a 420 nm cut filter)	29	4	5

NA= not available

Table S2 The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction efficiency and the corresponding QE of g-C₃N₄ based photocatalysts

Photocatalyst	Light source	CO evolution rate ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$)	QE (%)	Reference
KOH/g-C ₃ N ₄	300 W Xeon lamp (PLS-SXE300UV)	2.0	N/A	6
Co-porphyrin/g-C ₃ N ₄	300W Xenon lamp (with a UV-cut filter)	17.0	0.8 (420 nm)	7
N-TiO ₂ /g-C ₃ N ₄	300 W Xenon lamp (Perfect Light)	12.2	N/A	8
LaPO ₄ /g-C ₃ N ₄	300W Xenon lamp (Aulight CEL-HX)	14.4	N/A	9
BiOI/g-C ₃ N ₄	300 W Xenon lamp (with a UV cutoff filter $\lambda > 400$ nm)	3.45	N/A	10
rGO/g-C ₃ N ₄	15 W energy-saving daylight lamp (Philips)	N/A	0.56 (420 nm)	11
Co-ZIF-9/g-C ₃ N ₄	Xenon lamp (with a 420 nm cutoff filter)	10.4	0.9 (420 nm)	12
Bi ₂ WO ₆ /g-C ₃ N ₄	300 W Xenon lamp (Aulight CEL-HX)	5.19	N/A	13
N-TiO ₂ /g-C ₃ N ₄	400 W Xenon lamp	12.28	N/A	14

Figure S1. XRD pattern of TRP catalyst.

Figure S2. FT-IR spectrum of TRP catalyst.

Figure S3. ^{13}C Solid-state NMR spectrum of TRP catalyst.

Figure S4. XPS spectra of RP and TRP catalyst.

Figure S5. SEM image (a) and corresponding EDX spectra (b-d) of TRP catalyst, SEM image (e) and XRD pattern (f) of g-C₃N₄. Scale bar: 5 μm (a), 1 μm (e)

Figure S6. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra of g-C₃N₄ and TRP (inset: Photograph of TRP sample) (a), Mott-Schottky plot (b), valence band XPS spectrum (c) and energy band diagram (d) of TRP catalyst.

Figure S7. Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity of the treated lotus pollen and lotus pollen.

Figure S8. The possibility of recycling ability of TRP catalyst.
(Reaction condition: 15 mg TRP, 20 mL water)

Figure S9. SEM images of TRP before (a) and after (b) reaction, FT-IR (c) and XPS (d) spectra of TRP catalyst before and after reaction. Scale bar (a and b: 10 μm)

Figure S10. *In situ* FT-IR spectra for co-adsorption of a mixture of CO₂ and H₂O vapor on the TRP catalyst in the dark and under visible light (LED lamp, $\lambda = 420$ nm) irradiation for 20, 40, 60 min, respectively.

Figure S11. CO₂-TPD spectrum of TRP catalyst.

References

1. Y. Bai et al. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2016**, *194*, 98-104.
2. M. Li et al. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2017**, *201*, 629-635.
3. Y. He et al. *Solar Energy Mater. Solar Cells*, **2015**, *137*, 175-184.
4. X. Zhang et al. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, **2016**, *464*, 89-95.
5. W. Yu et al. *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **2015**, *3*, 19936-19947.
6. Z. Sun et al. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2017**, *216*, 146.
7. G. Zhao et al. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2017**, *200*, 141.
8. S. Zhou et al. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2014**, *20*, 158.
9. M. Li et al. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2017**, *201*, 629.
10. J. Wang et al. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interf.* **2016**, *8*, 3765.
11. W. J. Ong et al. *Nano Energy* **2015**, *13*, 757.
12. S. Wang et al. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 14656.
13. M. Li et al. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 5189.
14. W. Wang et al. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 11276.