Accepted Manuscript

Ambient Air Synthesis of Multi-layer CVD Graphene Films for Low-cost, Efficient Counter Electrode Material in Dye-sensitized Solar Cells

Dong Han Seo, Munkhbayar Batmunkh, Jinghua Fang, Adrian T. Murdock, Sam Yick, Zhaojun Han, Cameron J. Shearer, Thomas J. Macdonald, Malcolm Lawn, Avi Bendavid, Joseph G. Shapter, Kostya (Ken) Ostrikov

PII: S2452-2627(17)30126-5
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flatc.2018.02.002>
Reference: FLATC 56

To appear in: *FlatChem*

Received Date: 25 October 2017
Revised Date: 8 February 2018
Accepted Date: 14 February 2018

Please cite this article as: D.H. Seo, M. Batmunkh, J. Fang, A.T. Murdock, S. Yick, Z. Han, C.J. Shearer, T.J. Macdonald, M. Lawn, A. Bendavid, J.G. Shapter, K. (Ken) Ostrikov, Ambient Air Synthesis of Multi-layer CVD Graphene Films for Low-cost, Efficient Counter Electrode Material in Dye-sensitized Solar Cells, *FlatChem* (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flatc.2018.02.002>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Ambient Air Synthesis of Multi-layer CVD Graphene Films for Low-cost, Efficient Counter Electrode Material in Dye-sensitized Solar Cells

Dong Han Seo^{a†}, Munkhbayar Batmunkh^{b†}, Jinghua Fang^c, Adrian T. Murdock^a, Sam Yick^a, Zhaojun Han^a, Cameron J. Shearer^b, Thomas J. Macdonald^d, Malcolm Lawn^e, Avi Bendavid^a, Joseph G. Shapter^{b*}, Kostya (Ken) Ostrikov^{f*}

[†] *These authors contributed equally to this work.*

^a *CSIRO Manufacturing, P.O. Box 218, Bradfield Road, Lindfield, NSW 2070, Australia.*

^b *School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Flinders University, Bedford Park, Adelaide, SA 5042, Australia.*

^c *School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, The University of Technology, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia.*

^d *Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, UK.*

^e *National Measurement Institute, Nanometrology, 36 Bradfield Road, Lindfield, NSW 2070, Australia.*

^f *Institute for Future Environments and Institute for Health and Biomedical Innovation, School of Chemistry, Physics, and Mechanical Engineering, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD 4000, Australia.*

*Corresponding authors, E-mail address: kostya.ostrikov@csiro.au (Kostya. Ostrikov), joe.shapter@flinders.edu.au (Joseph. G. Shapter)

ABSTRACT

Graphene holds great promise as a substitute counter electrode (CE) material to replace the conventional Pt in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs). However, lengthy chemical processing with hazardous chemicals, high production cost and the poor quality of the graphene flakes produced impedes their utilization as a CE material in DSSCs. Herein, we demonstrate a low-cost synthesis of Multi-layer graphene films using a thermal chemical vapour deposition (CVD) process in an ambient-air environment without expensive compressed gases while using a renewable source as soybean-oil. Utilization of our low-cost graphene film in DSSCs exhibits excellent electrocatalytic activity and high electrical conductivity, and thus delivers superior photovoltaic (PV) efficiency compared to the devices fabricated with graphene films produced from commonly adopted chemical methods. Even though no additional treatments such as heteroatom doping are applied, our low-cost graphene showed great promise in DSSCs. Further enhancement in the efficiency of our multi-layer graphene film based DSSCs is readily achievable by applying simple functional treatments (for example SOCl_2). Finally, material cost analysis of our multi-layer graphene film compared to commercial Pt electrode suggests that we can reduce the CE material cost by five fold, making our CVD graphene film a realistic option for application in commercial DSSC systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSC) are promising alternative photovoltaic (PV) system to the traditional crystalline silicon solar cells.[1] In a typical high efficiency DSSC, a platinum (Pt) coated counter electrode (CE) plays an important role as an electrocatalyst to reduce I_3^- to I^- . [2] However, the rarity and high cost of Pt electrocatalysts have led to a significant efforts focused on finding alternative CE materials including those based on carbon nanomaterials such as carbon powder,[3] carbon nanotubes (CNTs)[4, 5] and graphene[6]. Among them, graphene has attracted much attention owing to its highly tuneable properties and unique structure.[7]

Although excellent progress has been made in the development of graphene CEs for DSSCs and many studies demonstrated comparable PV performance to the Pt electrode based devices,[8-10] the methods used to fabricate these graphene based electrocatalysts usually involve several complicated production steps leading to high manufacturing costs. For example, chemically derived graphene oxide (GO) and/or reduced graphene oxide (rGO) without additional modifications often show poor device performance when used in DSSC CEs. This is all the more concerning given the lengthy chemical processes to produce the materials.[11, 12] The limited conductivity and significant defects of the flakes introduced during the chemical processes are the main reasons for the poor device efficiencies. Therefore it is well established that heteroatom doping of the chemically derived graphene sheets is an excellent strategy to obtain high efficiency DSSCs,[8-10, 13] but such doping processes are complicated and require extra cost. Alternatively, highly conductive graphene films produced using conventional chemical vapour deposition (CVD) methods have been employed as CE materials in DSSCs, but these devices suffer from very poor power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) (only around 1%).[14, 15] This is mainly due to the lack of catalytically active sites in these CVD-graphene sheets. The high synthesis cost using explosive purified gases for these materials is also a drawback. In this regard, developing a novel, low-cost strategy that can be used to produce highly conductive and catalytically active graphene nanosheets is of great importance.

In this work, we present a single-step, fast, low-cost ambient air synthesis of a highly functional multi-layer graphene film as an effective CE material for DSSCs. Our multi-layer graphene film is prepared from a renewable bio-source such as soybean oil and notably, without the use of any expensive and explosive compressed gases.[16] The DSSCs fabricated with our low-cost multi-layer graphene (without any additional treatment) based CEs showed higher efficiency than the chemically derived graphene structures such as GO and rGO based devices. Further enhancement in the PCE of our graphene based DSSC is accomplished by applying a simple treatment with SOCl_2 solution. The PCE observed with this doped low-cost graphene is comparable to the Pt electrocatalyst for DSSCs. More importantly, we present a material cost analysis of our multi-layer graphene film compared to the commercial Pt electrode for DSSCs.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Ambient air CVD synthesis of thickness controlled polycrystalline, multi-layer graphene film from soybean oil: The growth of multi-layer graphene films with different thicknesses was carried out in a thermal CVD furnace with a quartz tube. Polycrystalline Ni foils (30 μm , 99%, MTI) were used as the growth substrates. To obtain the multi-layer graphene film with optimum thickness (for T2 – Gr sample, average thickness of the film $\sim 450\text{nm}$), 0.25 mL of soybean oil precursor was placed on the alumina boat and polycrystalline Ni foil was placed near the soybean oil precursor. Then the openings of the quartz tube were sealed. The temperature of 800 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 3 min was used to grow the multi-layer graphene film. After the annealing process, the sample was cooled to room temperature. During the heating processes, atmospheric pressure was maintained in the quartz tube by releasing the pressure through the tube exhaust. No compressed gases were used at any stage of the experiment. To synthesize the thicker multi-layer graphene film (T3 – Gr sample, average thickness of the film $\sim 750\text{nm}$), the amount of precursor and the annealing temperature was adjusted. Particularly, 0.3 mL of soybean oil was used and the growth temperature was increased to 900 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. After 3 min annealing, the sample was

cooled down to room temperature. Similarly, to obtain the thinner multi-layer graphene film (T1 – Gr sample, average thickness of the film ~40nm), a low amount of precursor was used with fast cooling rate. 0.18 mL of soybean oil was used and the annealing temperature was 800°C. After 3 min annealing, the chamber was evacuated, followed by another 3 min and fast quenching. Then the sample was cooled down to room temperature.

Transfer of graphene: A poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)-assisted transfer of graphene was adopted. Briefly, 46 mg/mL of PMMA (M_w 996,000 Sigma-Aldrich) was spin-coated onto the graphene/polycrystalline Ni foil at 3000 rpm for 1 min, followed by drying in open air. Then the underneath Ni foil was dissolved in 0.4 M FeCl_3 . The PMMA/graphene film then was transferred onto a FTO coated glass, followed by washing with deionized water. The PMMA was then dissolved in acetone and the sample was rinsed with ethanol. For the SOCl_2 treatment, after the transfer process of graphene onto the FTO glass substrate, a SOCl_2 solution (Sigma Aldrich) was dropped onto the graphene film.

Preparation of GO and rGO electrodes: Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared via the oxidation and exfoliation of natural graphite according to an improved Hummers method reported by Marcano et al.[17] Briefly, a 9:1 (v:v) mixture of sulfuric acid (95–98% H_2SO_4) and phosphoric acid (85% H_3PO_4) (240:27 mL) was kept in the cold (3–5°C) until it was added to a mixture of graphite flakes (2 g) and potassium permanganate (99% KMnO_4) (12 g). The oxidation process of graphite was carried out by stirring the mixture at ~50 °C for 12 h. Then, the reaction was cooled down to room temperature and poured onto ice (300 mL) with 30% hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) (2 mL). The mixture was then washed with distilled (DI) water, 30% hydrochloric acid (HCl) and ethanol (x 2 times). For each sequential wash, the product was centrifuged at 4400 rpm for 3 h and the supernatant decanted away. The light brown sample obtained was dispersed and exfoliated in an aqueous solution, and then freeze-dried to obtain GO powder.

The reduction of GO to produce rGO was carried out at 900 °C for 3 h with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. The calcination process includes five steps: 1) Purge the tube furnace with N₂ gas at room temperature for 30 min, 2) Increase the temperature to 120 °C, 3) Hold at 120 °C for 2 hr to remove moisture in the GO, 4) Increase the temperature to 900 °C, 5) Hold at 900 °C for 3 hrs, followed by cooling down to room temperature.

The well established procedures described in Mayhew et al.[18] were used to prepare a viscous paste. Ethyl cellulose was used as an adhesive binder for the pastes. The prepared pastes were sonicated for 5 min before use and then deposited onto the cleaned FTO electrodes via a doctor blade technique, followed by drying in an oven at 90 °C for 5–10 min and annealing at 420 °C for 20 min. For comparison, Pt CEs were prepared by coating Pt precursor onto FTO substrates using a brush–painting method, followed by platinizing at 450 °C for 20 min.

Device fabrication: Firstly, FTO coated glass electrodes were cleaned sequentially using detergent, DI water, acetone and finally ethanol. The cleaned FTO substrates were immersed into a 40 mM aqueous TiCl₄ solution at 90 °C for 15 min, and rinsed with water and ethanol. Then, ~10 µm thick TiO₂ layer (Dyesol 18NR–T, transparent) was deposited on the FTO electrodes by a doctor blading technique. The TiO₂ films were gradually heated under an air flow at 125 °C for 5 min, 325 °C for 5 min, at 375 °C for 15 min and at 500 °C for 30 min, followed by cooling to room temperature. Then, ~6 µm thick light scattering TiO₂ layer (Dyesol WER2–O) was printed on the transparent TiO₂ layer. The electrodes were sintered at 500 °C for 1 h. After sintering, the electrodes were immersed in aqueous TiCl₄ (40 mM) solution at 90 °C for another 15 min, followed by final annealing at 500 °C for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, the prepared TiO₂ electrodes were immersed into 0.5 mM N719 dye in an ethanol solution for 18 h at room temperature. The dye adsorbed TiO₂ electrodes and previously prepared CEs were assembled into a sealed sandwich–type cells, with a 60 µm thick hot–melt sealing Surlyn between each layer. The electrolyte solution, Iodolyte Z–50 (Solaronix), was introduced into the cell via a

vacuum-filling method through an injection hole on the CE side. Finally, the hole was sealed with scotch tape.

Characterization: Raman spectroscopy was performed using a Renishaw inVia spectrometer with Ar laser excitation at 514 nm and a probe spot size of about $1\ \mu\text{m}^2$. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were acquired with an Asylum Research MFP-3D AFM operating in intermittent contact (“tapping”) mode with a 5 N/m spring constant cantilever. Image analysis was performed using the Scanning Probe Image Processor (SPIPTM) software produced by Image Metrology A/S. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed using a JEOL 2200FS TEM microscope operated at 200 kV. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was done with a Specs SAGE 150 spectroscope with Mg K α excitation at 1253.6 eV. Both survey scans and narrow scans of C 1s were measured. SEM images were taken using an ULTRA-ZEISS SEM microscope operated at 5kV.

Both CV and EIS measurements were performed using an electrochemical analysis workstation (Autolab Nova Potentiostat). The CV was carried out in a three-electrode system with different CE materials as the working electrode, a Pt wire as the counter electrode, and Ag/Ag⁺ electrode as the reference electrode, at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. The electrodes were dipped in an anhydrous acetonitrile solution containing 10 mM LiI, 1 mM I₂, and 0.1 M LiClO₄. EIS measurements were analyzed by means of the Z-view software.

The photocurrent–voltage (J–V) characteristics were analyzed using a Keithley 2400 SMU instrument and recorded using a custom LabView Virtual Instrument program. A standard silicon test cell with NIST-traceable certification was used to calibrate the power density as 100 mW cm⁻² at the sample plane of the collimated a 150W xenon–arc light source (Newport), which was passed through an AM 1.5G filter. The active area of the fabricated devices was 0.19 cm².

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Features of Multi-layer graphene film synthesized by ambient air CVD

The conventional CVD process to produce graphene films requires long annealing processes at high-temperatures, use of expensive and purified compressed gases and lengthy annealing steps under vacuum condition.[19] To overcome such hurdles, we demonstrate low-cost, single step, unique thermal CVD strategy to prepare high-quality multi-layer graphene film in an ambient-air environment that is completely free of compressed gases and only utilizes renewable sources such as soybean oil.[16] Thermal dissociation of soybean oil in ambient air provided all the necessary building blocks for the graphene growth on a polycrystalline Ni substrate where the Ni acts as a catalyst to promote the growth of the graphene film. In this work, we also demonstrated effective control over graphene film thickness ranging from a few tens of nanometers to nearly 1 micrometer by simple adjustment of the amount of soybean oil, annealing temperatures, and cooling rates. It should be noted that achieving thick graphene films using the conventional CVD method is challenging, which is one of the main limitations for its application in DSSC CEs. The experimental steps of the graphene synthesis and film transfer onto a fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate are illustrated in Figure 1a-c. It can be observed that the colour of reflective, metallic polycrystalline Ni foil is changed to greyish after the growth of multi-layer graphene film.

The morphological and structural properties of our multi-layer graphene film were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 1d-f) and Raman spectroscopy (Figure 1g-i). As shown in Figure 1d-f and Figure S1, the full coverage of graphene on FTO glass reveals a rough surface with numerous polycrystalline graphene domains. Moreover, due to a random stacking of graphene domains with different thicknesses, permeable gaps can be observed between the domains, which would be beneficial for the interaction of the electrolyte and graphene. Confocal Raman mapping further confirms that our graphene is comprised of multi-layer sheets with polycrystalline domains. Raman spectral mappings based on the I_D/I_G and I_{2D}/I_G intensity ratios can be used to determine the defect level and thickness uniformity of the graphene films (Figure 1g-h).[20] The intensity ratios of I_D/I_G ranged from 0.1 to 0.3, and the average I_D/I_G ratio was 0.15. Similarly, the I_{2D}/I_G ratio ranged from 0.2 to 1,

while its average ratio was 0.5. Analysis of spectral mapping reveals low defect levels in our graphene film with large variation in graphene thicknesses over large-area. Three distinct peaks located at $\sim 1350\text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\sim 1580\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\sim 2700\text{ cm}^{-1}$ can be observed from the Raman spectrum of our graphene film shown in Figure 1i. These characteristic peaks of graphene materials can be readily assigned to the (i) disorder peak (D peak) which arises from the defects in the sp^2 carbon, (ii) graphitic peak (G peak) arises from the in-plane vibrational E_{2g} mode of the sp^2 carbon, and (iii) second-order 2D-band arises from the inter-planar stacking of hexagonal carbon network.[21]

Similarly, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis depicted in Figure 2a-d and Figure S2) shows polycrystalline, mis-oriented graphene domains with sizes ranging from $\sim 200\text{ nm}$ to $\sim 800\text{ nm}$. Different colour variations of the graphene domains in the TEM image reveal their thickness variations. This observation was supported by selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns observed for different graphene domains (see Figure 2b and c). A distinct ring-like pattern was observed for the darker regions, while the lighter region showed much clear hexagonal pattern, suggesting the darker adlayer region is thicker than the lighter base graphene layers. Notably, a small degree of rotation was observed between the base layer and ad-layer.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) analysis shows that our multi-layer graphene film had large variation in its thickness across the large-area (Figure 2e and f), with the thickness ranging from 300 nm to 700 nm with an average value of 450 nm . Furthermore, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out to provide important information about the elemental composition and bonding nature of our graphene. The XPS survey scan (Figure 2g) shows a dominant narrow C1s peak at the binding energy of 284.5 eV and a weak O1s peak, demonstrating that our graphene is less oxidized which agrees with the Raman result. The C1s narrow scan (Figure 2h) was deconvoluted into five peak components, corresponding to sp^2 carbon (284.5 eV), sp^3 carbon (285.4 eV), metal carbide (282.8 eV), oxygenated carbon (286.5 eV) and satellite peak ($\sim 291\text{ eV}$). [22] Our multi-layer graphene film exhibited high sp^2/sp^3 ratio of ~ 4 , revealing the good structural quality of the film.

An ideal CE material for DSSC should satisfy the following requirements: (i) good electrocatalytic activity, (ii) high conductivity, (iii) high surface area for the electrolyte infiltration, (iv) low-cost and (v) simple production. Overall, based on a diverse range of characterization, our multi-layer graphene film synthesized using ambient air CVD method possesses satisfy most of the criteria including (1) continuous film with good structural quality and low defect level, which will provide high conductivity, 2) polycrystalline graphene with numerous domains with varying thicknesses which provide permeable gaps for the excellent electrolyte infiltration in DSSC devices and 3) simple and low-cost production of the material.

3.2 DSSC performance of multi-layer CVD graphene film as CE material and comparison to other graphene based CEs prepared by chemical approach

To explore the suitability of our graphene electrodes as the CE material in DSSCs, we fabricated DSSC devices based on our graphene and investigated their PV performance, electrocatalytic activity and charge transfer properties.

The thickness of the multi-layer graphene films plays a critical role in the PV performance of the devices. Therefore, we fabricated DSSCs with CEs made of three different thicknesses of multi-layer graphene film. The film thickness was adjusted in this work by changing the synthesis parameters such as precursor amount, temperature and cooling rate.[23, 24] The devices were fabricated with different thicknesses labelled T1, T2 and T3 which correspond to ~40 nm, ~450 nm and ~750 nm, respectively (see Figure 2e and f, and Figure S3). The photocurrent density-voltage (J-V) characteristics of the DSSCs fabricated with T1, T2 and T3 electrodes are shown in Figure S4 and the corresponding PV parameters such as short-circuit current (J_{sc}), open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}), fill factor (FF) and PCE have been summarized in Table S1. Indeed, the optimum PV parameters were achieved for the T2 graphene CE (450 nm) based DSSC device, which was selected for further investigation. Moreover, we also demonstrate highly reproducible performance of our multi-layer graphene film as a CE material. (Table

S2) For comparison, we also prepared chemically derived graphene structures including GO and rGO as CE materials for DSSCs. A precious metal Pt electrocatalyst was also used as a reference system.

To explore the electrocatalytic activity of these CE materials for the liquid triiodide based DSSC system, cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were carried out with a three-electrode system. These CE materials were labelled with different colour lines in Figure 3a. Two typical peaks corresponding to the oxidation and reduction of triiodide electrolytes are observed from the CV measurements (see Figure 3b). The pair (i) at the lower potential can be attributed to the oxidation and reduction of I^-/I_3^- , while the pair (ii) at the higher potential corresponds to those of I_3^-/I_2 . [25] In general, higher peak current density and lower peak to peak separation (E_{pp}) indicates higher catalytic activity of the materials. [5, 8] As shown in Figure 3b, the Pt electrocatalyst displays the highest peak current density and lowest E_{pp} , indicating that precious Pt is still superior CE material for triiodide reduction. In contrast, a very poor catalytic activity was observed for the GO sample due to its poor conductivity caused by the presence of heavy oxygen containing functional groups. Both rGO and our graphene electrodes showed improved peak current density and E_{pp} . However, the E_{pp} value of the rGO was lower than that of our multi-layer graphene film, indicating that the electrocatalytic activity of rGO is slightly higher than that of our graphene. This is probably due to the defect sites introduced during the chemical oxidation and reduction processes of graphene nanosheets. It is well understood that these defect sites can act as electrocatalytically active sites for the triiodide reduction in DSSCs. [5, 10, 13, 18] Interestingly, our graphene exhibited higher peak current density as compared to the rGO, confirming that it is a better conductor. Recent research demonstrates, edge defects in graphene can be an effective site to enhance electro-catalytic reactions. [26] Good electro-catalytic ability of our multi-layer graphene film can be attributed to presence of edges defects in our graphene which would arise from our multi-layer graphene film being polycrystalline in nature with numerous grain boundaries.

To evaluate the charge-transfer properties of these CE materials, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was recorded for the dummy cells consisting of a symmetrical sandwich structure (electrode/ Γ/I_3^- electrolyte)/electrode). The Nyquist plots illustrated in Figure 3c were obtained by fitting the experimentally measured EIS data to a modelled equivalent circuit diagram (Figure 3a, right). A typical Nyquist plot for Pt electrode consists of two semicircles. In particular, the one at low frequency can be attributed to the Nernst diffusion impedance (Z_w) due to the diffusion of electrolytes, while the second semicircle at the high frequency is associated with charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) originating from the interface between CE and electrolyte.[10] Unlike the Pt CE, the Nyquist plots of carbon based CEs depend significantly on the porosity of materials due to the adsorption of Γ/I_3^- on the graphitic basal plane.[5, 10, 18] For our multi-layer graphene CE, we observed a skewed semicircle due to the fact that the first semicircle at low frequency is very small and hard to observe. We attribute this EIS phenomenon to the increased porosity of our graphene electrode in line with the SEM observations. The R_{ct} of the electrode materials can be measured from the corresponding Nyquist plots and the values are listed in Table 1. Because of its poor conductivity, the R_{ct} of the GO was very high (4.4 k Ω). Our graphene showed an R_{ct} of 20.8 Ω , which was ~ 4.5 times lower than that (93.4 Ω) of the rGO. The lowest R_{ct} (15.6 Ω) was obtained for the Pt cells but the R_{ct} for our graphene was not much higher again highlighting its promise as an effective electrode in DSSCs. These EIS results were in good agreement with the CV measurements.

DSSCs were fabricated based on these four CEs and their J–V characteristics are depicted in Figure 3d. Detailed PV parameters of these cells have been summarized in Table 1. As expected, the device with GO electrode exhibited a very poor PCE due to the insulating nature of GO material. After the partial reduction of GO, the PV parameters were significantly improved and a PCE of 4.29% was achieved for the rGO based DSSC. Interestingly, our low-cost multi-layer graphene CE based DSSC showed higher efficiency (4.95%) than that of the rGO based device. The measured J_{sc} , V_{oc} and FF of our T2 – Gr based device were 14.45 mA cm $^{-2}$, 0.77 V and 0.44, respectively. Our control device fabricated with Pt

CE exhibited a PCE of 6.66%. It should be noted that although the R_{ct} of our multi-layer graphene film was comparable to that of the Pt, its PV performance was considerably lower than the Pt based DSSC. It can be clearly seen from Table 1 that the lower FF value of our multi-layer graphene based device was the main reason the lower PCE as compared to the Pt based cell. The poor FF value of our graphene based DSSC is mainly due to the lack of electrocatalytically active sites on the nanosheets. Despite this, the PV performance observed for our low-cost, CVD graphene based DSSC can be considered an excellent result since there was no additional treatment such as heteroatom doping was applied on our graphene. Moreover, we note that the efficiency of our control Pt CE based DSSC was slightly lower than those reported in some literature.[27] The slightly low J_{sc} value was the main reason for this lower PCE of our control cell as compared to other reports and is due to the differences in the TiO_2 film thicknesses. Since the aim of this work is to develop a new CE material to replace conventional high-cost Pt CE in DSSCs, and we pay more attention to the comparison of the performance evaluation between our low-cost graphene CE and Pt CE, while keeping the thickness of the TiO_2 electrodes the same.

3.3 Simple functionalization of graphene film for enhanced DSSC performance

It is very well established that the functionalization of graphene nanosheets is a powerful strategy to obtain high efficiency DSSCs.[6] Doping with heteroatoms is known to be an excellent method to enhance the PV performance of graphene CEs based DSSCs.[28] Therefore we anticipate that significant enhancement in the efficiency of our low-cost multi-layer graphene CE based DSSC can be achieved by employing further functionalization strategies. As an example, we employed a $SOCl_2$ treatment to introduce some doping onto our graphene sheets. The $SOCl_2$ doping is a widely used approach to enhance the conductivity of nanocarbon materials.[29] The PV characteristics, electrocatalytic and charge transfer properties of our graphene CE based DSSC before and after $SOCl_2$ treatment are summarized in Figure 4 and Table 2. A clear enhancement in the FF value was observed

after the SOCl_2 treatment and thus resulted in an improved PCE (now 5.53 %). This enhancement in the FF value was due to the improved electrocatalytic activity and reduced R_{ct} of our graphene CE after treating with SOCl_2 , which were confirmed by both CV and EIS measurements (Figure 4). However, we observed reduction in the V_{oc} value after treating with SOCl_2 which is probably due to the changes in the energy levels of our graphene introduced by the SOCl_2 doping. Although our SOCl_2 treated graphene showed lower R_{ct} (11.3 Ω) than the Pt (15.6 Ω), the PCE of our multi-layer graphene based DSSC (5.53%) was lower than that of the precious Pt based device (6.66%). This is reasonable because the electrocatalytic active sites on our CVD graphene film even after SOCl_2 treatment are still insufficient to obtain comparable or higher efficiency as compared to the Pt. Despite this, our work provides an excellent demonstration to produce low-cost, CVD graphene based CEs and opens a new avenue for the development of Pt-free, CVD graphene based DSSCs. Further improvement in the efficiency is still expected by creating additional defect sites or applying an effective heteroatom doping such as sulphur, nitrogen and phosphorus. To gain further insight on the economic viability of employing our low-cost multi-layer CVD graphene, we performed material cost comparison between our graphene CE and commercial Pt precursor based CE (see Table S3). Material cost analysis shows that even at our small production scale, we can potentially reduce the manufacturing cost of DSSC by 5-fold using our CVD graphene instead of precious Pt CE. It is expected to be lower if the manufacturing is scaled up.

4. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have demonstrated low-cost, compressed gas-free CVD synthesis of multi-layer graphene film in an ambient air environment derived from renewable source such as soybean oil for CE material in DSSCs. As compared to the chemically derived graphene structures such as GO and rGO, our graphene CE based device showed significantly higher PCE despite no additional treatment. Moreover, chemical functionalization treatment using SOCl_2 on our multi-layer graphene CE further

enhanced the PV performance of DSSC due to the reduced R_{ct} and improved electrocatalytic activity. Furthermore, a material cost comparison of our multi-layer graphene CE and Pt based CE suggested that we can potentially reduce the manufacturing cost of DSSC by 5 fold using our graphene based CEs. This work provides an important example of the preparation of low-cost graphene electrocatalysts and opens a new research avenue for the development of less expensive DSSCs.

Acknowledgement

D.H.S and M.B contributed equally to this work. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) and CSIRO's OCE Science Leadership Program. D.H.S, A.T.M, and S.Y. acknowledge the CSIRO's OCE Postdoctoral Fellowship Program. J.H.F. acknowledges the DECRA Fellowship from the ARC. Z.J.H. and K.O. acknowledge the support from the ARC and CSIRO research office respectively. The use of South Australian node of the Australian Microscopy & Microanalysis Research Facility (AMMRF) and Australian National Fabrication Facility (ANFF) at Flinders University is acknowledged. The support of the Australian Research Council Discovery Program (DP150101354 and DP160101301) is gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Batmunkh, M.J. Biggs, J.G. Shapter, Carbonaceous Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell Photoelectrodes, *Adv. Sci.* 2(3) (2015) 1400025.
- [2] S. Yun, A. Hagfeldt, T. Ma, Pt-Free Counter Electrode for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells with High Efficiency, *Adv. Mater.* 26(36) (2014) 6210-6237.
- [3] A. Kay, M. Grätzel, Low cost photovoltaic modules based on dye sensitized nanocrystalline titanium dioxide and carbon powder, *Sol. Energ. Mat. Sol. Cells.* 44(1) (1996) 99-117.
- [4] M. Batmunkh, M.J. Biggs, J.G. Shapter, Carbon Nanotubes for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *Small.* 11(25) (2015) 2963-2989.
- [5] A. Shrestha, M. Batmunkh, C.J. Shearer, Y. Yin, G.G. Andersson, J.G. Shapter, S. Qiao, S. Dai, Nitrogen-Doped CNx/CNTs Heteroelectrocatalysts for Highly Efficient Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 7 (2017) 1602276.

- [6] H. Wang, Y.H. Hu, Graphene as a counter electrode material for dye-sensitized solar cells, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 5(8) (2012) 8182-8188.
- [7] J.D. Roy-Mayhew, I.A. Aksay, Graphene Materials and Their Use in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *Chem. Rev.* 114(12) (2014) 6323-6348.
- [8] E. Bi, H. Chen, X. Yang, W. Peng, M. Gratzel, L. Han, A quasi core-shell nitrogen-doped graphene/cobalt sulfide conductive catalyst for highly efficient dye-sensitized solar cells, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 7(8) (2014) 2637-2641.
- [9] A.G. Kannan, J. Zhao, S.G. Jo, Y.S. Kang, D.-W. Kim, Nitrogen and sulfur co-doped graphene counter electrodes with synergistically enhanced performance for dye-sensitized solar cells, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2(31) (2014) 12232-12239.
- [10] X. Meng, C. Yu, X. Song, Y. Liu, S. Liang, Z. Liu, C. Hao, J. Qiu, Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Nanoribbons with Surface Enriched Active Sites and Enhanced Performance for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 5(11) (2015) 1500180.
- [11] M. Batmunkh, A. Shrestha, G. Gao, L. Yu, J. Zhao, M.J. Biggs, C.J. Shearer, J.G. Shapter, Sulfur-Doped Graphene with Iron Pyrite (FeS₂) as an Efficient and Stable Electrocatalyst for the Iodine Reduction Reaction in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *Sol. RRL* 1(3-4) (2017) 1700011-n/a.
- [12] H. Fang, C. Yu, T. Ma, J. Qiu, Boron-doped graphene as a high-efficiency counter electrode for dye-sensitized solar cells, *Chem. Commun.* 50(25) (2014) 3328-3330.
- [13] X. Meng, C. Yu, X. Song, Z. Liu, B. Lu, C. Hao, J. Qiu, Rational design and fabrication of sulfur-doped porous graphene with enhanced performance as a counter electrode in dye-sensitized solar cells, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 5(5) (2017) 2280-2287.
- [14] S. Das, P. Sudhagar, S. Nagarajan, E. Ito, S.Y. Lee, Y.S. Kang, W. Choi, Synthesis of graphene-CoS electrocatalytic electrodes for dye sensitized solar cells, *Carbon* 50(13) (2012) 4815-4821.
- [15] W. Yang, X. Xu, Z. Tu, Z. Li, B. You, Y. Li, S.I. Raj, F. Yang, L. Zhang, S. Chen, A. Wang, Nitrogen plasma modified CVD grown graphene as counter electrodes for bifacial dye-sensitized solar cells, *Electrochim. Acta* 173 (2015) 715-720.
- [16] D.H. Seo, S. Pineda, J. Fang, Y. Gozukara, S. Yick, A. Bendavid, S.K.H. Lam, A.T. Murdock, A.B. Murphy, Z.J. Han, K. Ostrikov, Single-step ambient-air synthesis of graphene from renewable precursors as electrochemical genosensor, *Nat Commun.* 8 (2017) 14217.
- [17] D.C. Marcano, D.V. Kosynkin, J.M. Berlin, A. Sinitskii, Z. Sun, A. Slesarev, L.B. Alemany, W. Lu, J.M. Tour, Improved Synthesis of Graphene Oxide, *ACS Nano* 4(8) (2010) 4806-4814.
- [18] J.D. Roy-Mayhew, G. Boschloo, A. Hagfeldt, I.A. Aksay, Functionalized Graphene Sheets as a Versatile Replacement for Platinum in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 4(5) (2012) 2794-2800.
- [19] R. Muñoz, C. Gómez-Aleixandre, Review of CVD Synthesis of Graphene, *Chemical Vapor Deposition* 19(10-11-12) (2013) 297-322.
- [20] L.M. Malard, M.A. Pimenta, G. Dresselhaus, M.S. Dresselhaus, Raman spectroscopy in graphene, *Physics Reports* 473(5) (2009) 51-87.
- [21] S. Niyogi, E. Bekyarova, M.E. Itkis, H. Zhang, K. Shepperd, J. Hicks, M. Sprinkle, C. Berger, C.N. Lau, W.A. de Heer, E.H. Conrad, R.C. Haddon, Spectroscopy of covalently functionalized graphene, *Nano Letters* 10 (2011) 4061.
- [22] S. Yick, Z.J. Han, K. Ostrikov, Atmospheric microplasma-functionalized 3D microfluidic strips within dense carbon nanotube arrays confine Au nanodots for SERS sensing, *Chem Commun* 49(28) (2013) 2861-2863.
- [23] X. Li, W. Cai, L. Colombo, R.S. Ruoff, Evolution of Graphene Growth on Ni and Cu by Carbon Isotope Labeling, *Nano Letters* 9(12) (2009) 4268-4272.
- [24] R.S. Weatherup, B.C. Bayer, R. Blume, C. Baehtz, P.R. Kidambi, M. Fouquet, C.T. Wirth, R. Schlögl, S. Hofmann, On the Mechanisms of Ni-Catalysed Graphene Chemical Vapour Deposition, *ChemPhysChem* 13(10) (2012) 2544-2549.
- [25] W. Wang, Y. Liu, Y.J. Zhong, L. Wang, W. Zhou, S. Wang, M.O. Tadé, Z. Shao, Rational Design of LaNiO₃/Carbon Composites as Outstanding Platinum-Free Photocathodes in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells With Enhanced Catalysis for the Triiodide Reduction Reaction, *Sol. RRL* 1(7) (2017) 1700074-n/a.

- [26] Y. Jia, L. Zhang, A. Du, G. Gao, J. Chen, X. Yan, C.L. Brown, X. Yao, Defect Graphene as a Trifunctional Catalyst for Electrochemical Reactions, *Advanced Materials* 28(43) (2016) 9532-9538.
- [27] F. Gong, H. Wang, X. Xu, G. Zhou, Z.-S. Wang, In Situ Growth of $\text{Co}_{0.85}\text{Se}$ and $\text{Ni}_{0.85}\text{Se}$ on Conductive Substrates as High-Performance Counter Electrodes for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 134(26) (2012) 10953-10958.
- [28] M. Ye, X. Wen, M. Wang, J. Iocozzia, N. Zhang, C. Lin, Z. Lin, Recent advances in dye-sensitized solar cells: from photoanodes, sensitizers and electrolytes to counter electrodes, *Mater. Today*. 18(3) (2015) 155-162.
- [29] D.D. Tune, B.S. Flavel, J.S. Quinton, A.V. Ellis, J.G. Shapter, Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube/Polyaniline/n-Silicon Solar Cells: Fabrication, Characterization, and Performance Measurements, *ChemSusChem* 6(2) (2013) 320-327.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1 - Multi-layer graphene film grown in an ambient-air environment using soybean oil for DSSC application. (a, b, c) Photographs of the preparation of graphene CE for DSSC. (d, e, f) SEM images of multi-layer graphene on the FTO substrate. (g, h) Raman areal mapping of I_D/I_G and I_{2D}/I_G ratio of graphene film and (i) Raman spectrum of our graphene film.

Fig. 2 - Atomic and nanoscopic features of the multi-layer graphene film synthesized from soybean oil for DSSC CEs. (a) TEM image, (b, c) SAED patterns, (d) high resolution TEM image, (e) AFM image and (f) corresponding height profile of our graphene film. (g) XPS survey scan and (h) C1s spectrum of the multi-layer graphene film.

Fig. 3 - (a) Sample labelling (left) and Equivalent circuit diagrams for EIS analysis (right). (b) Cyclic voltammograms (CVs), (c) EIS spectra and (d) J-V curves of the GO, RGO, our low-cost graphene and Pt based CE materials and their DSSC devices.

Fig. 4 - Simple functionalization of graphene film via SOCl_2 treatment. (a) J-V curves, (b) CV curves and (c) EIS spectra of our T2 – Gr CE based DSSC before and after SOCl_2 treatment.

Table 1. PV parameters of the DSSCs fabricated based on different CE materials.

Table 2. Detailed PV parameters of our graphene CE based DSSC before and after SOCl_2 treatment.

Figures & Tables:

Fig. 1 - Multi-layer graphene film grown in an ambient-air environment using soybean oil for DSSC application. (a, b, c) Photographs of the preparation of graphene CE for DSSC. (d, e, f) SEM images of multi-layer graphene on the FTO substrate. (g, h) Raman areal mapping of I_D/I_G and I_{2D}/I_G ratio of graphene film and (i) Raman spectrum of our graphene film.

Fig. 2 - Atomic and nanoscopic features of the multi-layer graphene film synthesized from soybean oil for DSSC CEs. (a) low magnification TEM image, revealing regions with different graphene thickness and orientation (b, c) respective SAED patterns with different graphene thickness and orientation, (d) high resolution TEM image, lines revealing graphitic lines (e) AFM image and (f) corresponding height profile of our graphene film. (g) XPS survey scan and (h) C1s spectrum of the multi-layer graphene film.

Fig. 3 - (a) Sample labelling (left) and Equivalent circuit diagrams for EIS analysis (right). (b) Cyclic voltammograms (CVs), (c) EIS spectra and (d) J-V curves of the GO, RGO, our low-cost graphene and Pt based CE materials and their DSSC devices.

Fig. 4 – Simple functionalization of graphene film via SOCl_2 treatment. (a) J-V curves, (b) CV curves and (c) EIS spectra of our T2 – Gr CE based DSSC before and after SOCl_2 treatment.

Table 1. PV parameters of the DSSCs fabricated based on different CE materials.

Sample	J_{sc} (mA cm ⁻²)	V_{oc} (V)	FF	PCE (%)	R_{ct}
GO	10.10	0.71	0.17	1.22	4.4 k Ω
rGO	14.06	0.72	0.42	4.29	93.4 Ω
T2 – Gr	14.45	0.77	0.44	4.95	20.8 Ω
Pt	14.19	0.76	0.62	6.66	15.6 Ω

Table 2. Detailed PV parameters of our graphene CE based DSSC before and after SOCl₂ treatment.

Device	J_{sc} (mA cm ⁻²)	V_{oc} (V)	FF	PCE (%)	R_{ct}
T2 – Gr	14.45	0.77	0.44	4.95	20.8 Ω
T2 – Gr (after SOCl ₂ treatment)	14.81	0.72	0.52	5.53	11.3 Ω

Specific novelties and innovations in this work are:

- 1) Our work demonstrates the highest device efficiency amongst CVD graphene based CE materials using our innovative, low-cost CVD graphene synthesis technology without use of any compressed gases in ambient air.
- 2) We demonstrate effective control of thicknesses in CVD graphene from a few tens of nanometers to 1 micrometer and demonstrate optimum thickness with optimum device performance.
- 3) We demonstrate our CVD graphene based electrodes provide significantly higher device performances compared other graphene films produced from widely used exfoliation methods (graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO)).
- 4) Simple functionalization of our CVD graphene film gave comparable device performance to that of Pt based electrodes.
- 5) Material cost calculation shows that the CVD graphene film derived from soybean oil is fivefold lower in cost than the Pt based electrode.