Carbohydrate-based nanocarriers and their application to target macrophages and deliver antimicrobial agents

Tamim Mosaib, Dylan Farr, Milton J. Kiefel, Todd A. Houston

PII: S0169-409X(19)30161-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2019.09.002>

Reference: ADR 13503

To appear in: *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*

Received date: 23 May 2019

Revised date: 2 September 2019

Accepted date: 5 September 2019

Please cite this article as: T. Mosaib, D. Farr, M.J. Kiefel, et al., Carbohydrate-based nanocarriers and their application to target macrophages and deliver antimicrobial agents, *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2019.09.002>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Carbohydrate-based nanocarriers and their application to target macrophages and deliver antimicrobial agents

Tamim Mosaib¹, Dylan Farr¹, Milton J. Kiefel¹, Todd A. Houston^{1,*}
t.houston@griffith.edu.au

¹Institute for Glycomics, Gold Coast Campus, Griffith University, Qld 4222, Australia.

***Corresponding author.**

Abstract

Many deadly infections are produced by microorganisms capable of sustained survival in macrophages. This reduces exposure to chemotherapy, prevents immune detection, and is akin to criminals hiding in police stations. Therefore, the use of glyco-nanoparticles (GNPs) as carriers of therapeutic agents is a burgeoning field. Such an approach can enhance the penetration of drugs into macrophages with specific carbohydrate targeting molecules on the nanocarrier to interact with macrophage lectins. Carbohydrates are natural biological molecules and the key constituents in a large variety of biological events such as cellular communication, infection, inflammation, enzyme trafficking, cellular migration, cancer metastasis and immune functions. The prominent characteristics of carbohydrates including biodegradability, biocompatibility, hydrophilicity and the highly specific interaction of targeting cell-surface receptors support their potential application to drug delivery system (DDS). This review presents the 21st century development of carbohydrate-based nanocarriers for drug targeting of therapeutic agents for diseases localized in macrophages. The significance of natural carbohydrate derived nanoparticles (GNPs) as anti-microbial drug carriers is highlighted in several areas of treatment including tuberculosis, salmonellosis, leishmaniasis, candidiasis, and HIV/AIDS.

Keywords: Glycopolymers; Polysaccharides; Carbohydrates; Glyconanoparticles; Macrophages; Antimicrobial Drug Delivery

1. Introduction:

Recent developments in medicine and biotechnology have fortified the requirement to improve nanoengineered non-viral delivery systems able to encapsulate a range of novel biological and chemo-therapeutics.[1-5] In addition, these delivery systems should be

designed and prepared in an intelligent way such that they can deliver their encapsulated therapeutics at a well-defined time, place, or in response to a specific stimulus with minimum cytotoxicity in comparison with viral systems. Delivery of therapeutic agents to the major sites of infection is still a major concern in the treatment of infectious diseases. Traditional development of many potential therapeutics is often limited by lack of selectivity and poor biodistribution that can be circumvented by targeted drug delivery.[6] In drug delivery systems (DDS), the therapeutic agent is escorted to the specific target site such that it influences the maximum absorption of the drug as well as minimizes the undesirable side effects. Moreover, DDS protect the therapeutic from quick degradation or clearance, thereby increasing the amount of drug in target tissues/cells, and hence lowering the effective dose.[7, 8]

The development of glycotechnology, glycobiology and glycomics and their continual adaptation by pharmaceutical scientists have highlighted the enormous potential of carbohydrates for medicinal applications.[9] Carbohydrates represent the third class of informational biomolecules after proteins and nucleic acids which play vital roles in both physiological and pathological events including cell signalling, differentiation, proliferation, tumor metastasis, inflammatory response and viral infection.[9] Carbohydrate-based non-viral nanoparticulate systems are commonly known as glyconanoparticles (GNPs).[10] GNPs have gained considerable attention in studies of carbohydrate-carbohydrate and carbohydrate-protein interactions in the fields of targeted drug (chemical and biological) delivery systems. With recent advances in polymerization techniques and glycoscience, the design and preparation of carbohydrate-based biomaterials has been a field of rapidly expanding research in the 21st century. For example, biocompatible polysaccharides have been exploited for designing and preparing GNPs and the targeting efficacies of these GNPs toward certain organs can be modulated either by incorporating specific ligands on the nanoparticle surface or by increasing the densities of carbohydrates.

In general, drugs can be delivered to macrophages by incorporating specific targeting ligands (active targeting) or can be targeted to particular organs or cells based on their own or their drug carrier's size and physicochemical properties (passive targeting).[9] When most drugs are applied in free form to treat macrophage-mediated disease this often prompts undesirable side effects because of lower bioavailability at the desired site. Therefore, it necessitates high drug doses to induce a therapeutic effect to overcome systemic dilution. For this, the application of drug-loaded GNPs offer a useful circumvention of many side effects and

increased potency at the site of infection.[11] This review summarizes (1) the synthetic methods for the preparation of various GNPs and (2) their application in the delivery of antimicrobial agents to macrophages. The first of these areas is covered as a broad overview to highlight the various methods of GNP assembly. Comprehensive coverage is beyond the scope of this review and many examples relate to cancer drug delivery; however, these also have potential application as macrophage DDS.

2. Nanocarriers used in drug delivery systems

Nanoparticle DDS are nanometric carriers used as a delivery vector of different drugs and biomolecules. According to the National Nanotechnology Initiative of the United States, nanotechnology is the research and development of technology at the atomic, molecular, or macromolecular levels to provide a fundamental understanding of materials and phenomena on the nanometer scale and creation of structure, devices or systems that have novel properties and functions.[12, 13] Recent nanotechnology research and development shows that nanoparticles measuring under 100 nm in at least one dimension are potential drug transporters. Due to their small sizes and large surface areas, the nanostructures provide unique biological and physicochemical properties that make them a favourable material in healthcare management including nanotherapeutics.

2.1 Advantages of nanoparticle-based DDS

Nanotechnology has opened a new field in DDS by the widespread utilization of nanocarriers including nanospheres, nanocapsules, nanovesicles, nanoemulsions, nanoliposomes, nanosuspensions, nanocrystals, micelles, virosomes, solid-lipid nanoparticles (SLN), and protein-based nanoparticles. The application of nanoparticles as a drug delivery tool has outstanding benefits[13-15]: (a) they possess the ability of drug targeting to the site of action and enhancing drug uptake that enables it to reduce toxic side effects; (b) they can exhibit controlled drug release properties against different physicochemical and metabolic responses (pH, ionic strength, biodegradability); (c) they may cross the blood-brain barrier and also accumulate in organs (brain, lung, liver, spleen, lymph nodes); (d) they are able to encapsulate drugs into their interior structures or adsorb them onto their exterior to improve the stability of the pharmaceutical agents.

Figure 1: Important features of nanoparticle DDS for intracellular applications.

2.2 Preparation and application of nanoparticles in DDS

At present, nanoparticles are being comprehensively developed to deliver drugs, vaccines, polypeptides, genes, photo/fluorophores, photo-responsive molecules, and photo-thermal agents. However, the potential application of nanoparticles is continually expanding, and some of these new areas are summarized in Figure 1.[16, 17] The preparation of nanoparticles for DDS depends on the selection of carrier materials to attain desired release properties and their surface modification to enhance their targeting capability.[18, 19] Researchers have also investigated *in vivo* processes to reveal the interaction of nanoparticles with blood and target tissues and organs.[20-22] Furthermore, development of nanocarriers for application in a clinical setting must address their large scale production.[13, 23-25]

Polymeric GNPs prepared from either natural polysaccharides or synthetic polymers have been studied extensively by researchers due to their stability and simplicity of modification. It is important that polymeric nanocarriers for DDS be biocompatible and ultimately biodegradable. For this reason, many synthetic and natural polymers have been used such as poly(ethylene glycol), poly(glycolic acid), Pluronic, poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), poly(lactic acid), poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid), poly(acrylic acid) family, poly(trimethylenecarbonate), polysaccharides (i.e., alginate, amylose, chitosan, heparin, hyaluronic acid, cyclodextrin), proteins or polypeptides (i.e., gelatin).[26-28] Natural polymers such as polysaccharides and proteins can be readily internalized and degraded promoting intracellular drug/biomolecule release. Thus, polysaccharides have been frequently applied in the preparation of DDS in preference to other polymeric materials due to their biodegradability, general stability, biocompatibility, and often low immunogenic activity.[29]

3. Polysaccharide-based nanoparticles and their advantages

Polysaccharides are a unique class of natural (plant, animal, algal, microbial) origin polymeric materials formed *via* glycosidic linkages between monosaccharides. Polysaccharides can form linear or branched chemical structures depending on the nature of the monosaccharides. Polysaccharides exhibit diverse structural variations consisting of modifiable groups including hydroxyl, amino, and carboxylic acid functionality. Additionally, the molecular weight of polysaccharides is widely distributed in ranges from hundreds to thousands of Daltons providing further structural diversity. Moreover, polysaccharides can exist in anionic, cationic and neutral charge states.[30] In addition, certain polysaccharides such as chitosan[31] display antimicrobial properties and may display synergism with antibiotic cargo. Also, the abundance of hydroxyl groups imparts non-covalent bioadhesion with biological tissue like epithelia and mucous membranes, and this can improve drug targeting. Unlike some synthetic polymers, polysaccharides generally display very low toxicity with a high biocompatibility and are subject to enzymatic degradation.[32] and their breakdown products are building blocks that can be reused by the cell.[33] Finally, polysaccharides are an inexpensive renewable natural resource. All of these advantages support their use as an effective carrier platform for DDS. A list of polysaccharides used for macrophage targeted drug delivery is shown in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1: Chemical structures of mono-, oligo-, and polysaccharides that are used in the development glyconanoparticle drug delivery systems are shown below.

Monosaccharides		
Fucose[34, 35]	Glucose[36, 37]	Galactose[38, 39]

Mannose[19, 40, 41]	N-acetyl glucosamine[42, 43]	Sialic acid[44, 45]

Di- or Oligosaccharides		
Lactose[38, 46]	α -Cyclodextrin[47-49]

Polysaccharides		
Alginate[23, 50, 51]	Amylose[26, 52]

Cellulose[53, 54]	Carboxymethyl cellulose[55, 56]

Chitosan[57, 58]	Carboxymethyl chitosan[59, 60]

Glycol chitosan[61, 62]	N-trimethyl or triethyl chitosan[63, 64]
R = CH ₃ or -CH ₂ CH ₃
Chondroitin sulphate[19, 65]	Guar gum (guaran)[66, 67]

Dextran[68, 69]	Dextran sulphate[70, 71]
<p>$R = \text{SO}_3^-$</p>
Glucomannan[72, 73]	Carboxymethyl konjac glucomannan[72, 74]

Heparin[34, 75]	Hyaluronic acid[76-78]

Pectin[79, 80]	Poly(sialic acid)[81, 82]

Pullulan[83, 84]	Arabinogalactan[85, 86]

3.1 Preparation methods of glyconanoparticles (GNPs)

3.1.1 Covalently crosslinked glyconanoparticles

Initially, preparation of GNPs was carried out by means of covalent crosslinking. Covalently crosslinked GNPs form permanent network structures that still permit absorption of drug molecules even if pH is significantly changed.[91, 92] Depending on the crosslinker, these networks can be biodegradable or stimuli-responsive to factors such as pH, temperature and light. These attributes are particularly useful in macrophage-promoted drug release where intracellular pH is lower than the bloodstream. The most commonly used polysaccharide for GNP development is chitosan. Chitosan is a linear polysaccharide, composed of glucosamine and *N*-acetyl glucosamine units *via* β -(1 $\rightarrow$ 4) linkages. Chitosan, the *N*-deacetylation product of chitin, is much more water soluble than chitin and highly soluble at acidic pH. Importantly, it undergoes enzymatic degradation from lysozymes, some proteases and lipases.[93] Crosslinking of chitosan can be carried out with many agents: glutaraldehyde, dopamine, genipin, and non-toxic acids such as citric acid, malic acid, succinic acid, tartaric acid.[94-98]

Recently, Meng and co-workers have synthesized dual pH-/light-responsive crosslinked nanocarriers by using glycol chitosan-*o*-nitrobenzyl succinate (GC-NBSCs) conjugates to crosslink with glutaraldehyde.[99] Following succinate conjugation, nanosized micelles were prepared by the self-assembly of GC-NBSCs under aqueous conditions and further stabilized by cross-linking their GC shells with glutaraldehyde to form dual responsive nanocarriers (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Schematic representation of preparation method of chitosan based camptothecin (CPT) encapsulated nanocarrier and intracellular drug release triggered by pH and UV light. Taken with permission from Meng *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society.[99]

Genipin, a naturally occurring crosslinking agent, has been used as cross-linkers of amino groups on chitosan backbones using w/o microemulsion method and also provided significantly less cell cytotoxicity compared to glutaraldehyde in crosslinking amine group containing biomaterials. For instance, multifunctional genipin-crosslinked fucose-chitosan/heparin GNPs have been created by Yu-Hsin and co-workers.[34] In this study, they combined genipin with fucose-conjugated chitosan to prepare pH-responsive chitosan/heparin nanoparticles (180 to 230 nm) (Figure 3).

Figure 3: A schematic representation of prepared amoxicillin-loaded genipin-crosslinked fucose-chitosan/heparin nanoparticles. Adapted with permission from Yu-Hsin *et al.* Copyright © 2013 Elsevier Ltd.[34]

Chih and Kuang have developed pH-responsive chitosan nanocapsules with tuneable sizes through interfacial crosslinking of *N*-maleoyl-functionalized chitosan (MCS) using a thiol-ene reaction in a water-in-oil (w/o) mini-emulsion. This chemistry is shown below in Figure 4.[91]

Figure 4: Preparation of chitosan nanocapsules *via* miniemulsion interfacial cross-linking. Taken with permission from Chih-Kuang *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society [91]

Hyaluronic acid (HA) is another common polysaccharide employed in the preparation of GNPs due to its presence in mammalian cells making it biocompatible. The chemical composition of HA consists of nonsulfated glycosaminoglycan containing repeat units of disaccharide, β -1,4-D-glucuronic acid and β -1,3-*N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine, with a molecular weight ranging from 100 kDa - 8000 kDa. Bondar and co-workers have prepared spherical GNPs by covalently cross-linking HA chains using carbodiimide techniques. An injectable hydrogel showing excellent tissue adhesion was developed using catechol-thiol reactions between the two biocompatible copolymers of HA and pluronic copolymer. HA-dopamine conjugates and thiol terminated Pluronic F127 copolymers were mixed to produce

HA/Pluronic hybrid hydrogels using catechol-thiol reactions.[100] Rolf and co-workers have obtained covalently stabilized trimethyl chitosan-hyaluronic acid nanoparticles with sizes of about 200-300 nm for nasal and intradermal vaccination.[101] Recently, robust GNPs were prepared by conjugating HA and branched polyethyleneimine (bPEI) through carbodiimide chemistry and these were consequently capped at the copolymer termini with mannose.[102] Martinez *et al.* synthesized GNPs with a size range of ca. 40-400 nm based on thiolated alginate and modified albumin, which were created by the formation of disulphide bonds crosslinks.[103] Jayanta and co-workers used an water-in-oil (w/o) emulsion polymer using glutaraldehyde as a crosslinker to prepare tamoxifen-loaded guar gum GNPs.[104]

3.1.2 Ionically crosslinked glyconanoparticles

GNPs can be formed by ionic cross-linkers and charged polysaccharides through electrostatic interactions. This reversible process provides GNPs that are biocompatible due to the lack of complex synthetic conditions or toxic cross-linkers. These GNPs are pH sensitive, and thus can potentially be used for stimuli-responsive drug release. GNPs can be obtained using polycations and polyanions acting as crosslinkers with polyanionic and polycationic polysaccharides, respectively. In this process, very dilute solutions of the polysaccharide are used to form GNPs with oppositely charged electrolytes.[105]

To date, tripolyphosphate (TPP), a non-toxic and multivalent polyanion, is the most used crosslinker for the generation of GNPs with cationic chitosan.[106-110] The positive charge of chitosan in an acidic solution (pH ca. 4-6) will create GNPs resulting from inter- and intrastrand linkages between chitosan's amino groups and negatively charged TPP phosphates in an basic solutions (pH 7-9).[111, 112] An ionotropic gelation method employed by Domaratzki & Ghanemin created smooth, spherical chitosan GNPs using the TPP crosslinker.[110] Their results show that nanoparticle size depends on degree of hydration, and crosslinking conditions, with the smallest GNPs in the size range of 160-270 nm. TPP-chitosan GNPs have been developed to deliver DNA, siRNA, proteins, doxorubicin, cladribine, and some antibiotics (such as aminoglycosides, rifampicin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin) with high encapsulation efficiency.[69, 113-116]

Water soluble chitosan derivatives (*N*-trimethyl chitosan, mannose-conjugated chitosan, galactosylated *N*-trimethyl chitosan, PEGylated chitosan, glycyrrhetic acid-modified chitosan) have been also ionically crosslinked using TPP as ionic crosslinkers to prepare GNPs.[41, 63, 117-122] These GNPs are capable of swelling and shrinking based on physical

and chemical stimuli including temperature, pH and ionic strength, consequently promoting release of the encapsulated drug. Recently, Xu *et al.* developed chitosan-TPP/IL-12 nanoparticles to deliver therapeutic macromolecules.[123] The modified chitosan-TPP shows a mean diameter that ranges from 178 to 372 nm along with an increasing in the chitosan/TPP weight ratio. They also measured the zeta potential of these GNPs in ranges between 24 - 53 mV depending on the feeding ratio of chitosan and TPP.[123]

Other researchers have obtained chitosan GNPs *via* more subtle physical crosslinking using functionality on the drug to cross-link forming H-bonding with compounds such as retinol, cladribine, or glabridine.[110, 124, 125] Recently, chitosan and adenosine-5'-triphosphate (ATP) were combined to form spherical aggregates based on electrostatic interactions (Figure 6).[126] Since the ratio between ATP and chitosan varies during dropwise addition of the former to the latter, part of the chitosan is associated with ATP and rest of the chitosan remains cationic and hydrophilic. In this way, a polymeric supra-amphiphile is fabricated and is responsible for chitosan-ATP aggregated by self-assembly with sizes between 50 and 68 nm.

Figure 5: Schematic illustration of the formation and the phosphatase-induced degradation of the polymeric supra-amphiphiles and the spherical aggregates. Adapted with permission from the Yuetong *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society. [126]

Amongst the more abundant anionic polysaccharides, alginate is widely used to obtain GNPs. Alginate is a polysaccharide from brown algae made of alternating blocks of 1-4 linked α -L-guluronic (G-block) and β -D-mannuronic acid (M-block) residues. These are arranged in a pattern of different proportions of M-M, M-G and G-G blocks.[57] Carboxylate groups on the main chain of alginate structure can be crosslinked through small cations like bivalent calcium, strontium, zinc, or barium ions to form GNPs.[57] Alginate can be crosslinked to create gels either directly in a solution of calcium salts or by mixing with an insoluble calcium salt.[127, 128] Polylysine can form a polyelectrolyte complex (PEC) with alginate

without pre-gel formation with Ca^{2+} :[129] however, more compact GNPs are formed otherwise.[130] The synthesized GNPs can be developed in various particle sizes and such PEC are an important class of GNP's.

3.1.3 GNPs by polyelectrolyte complexation (PEC)

GNPs can be prepared using oppositely charged polysaccharides without the addition of covalent cross-linkers. The major interaction between two polyelectrolytes is achieved by the strong but reversible electrostatic interaction, along with hydrogen bond and hydrophobic interactions. The stability of polyelectrolyte complexes (PEC) is dependent on a number of factors including pH, temperature, mixing ratio, and the molecular weight of the polyelectrolytes. Compared to chemically crosslinked complexes, PEC derived GNPs are generally less toxic, more biocompatible and well-tolerated due to ease of preparation and modification. Based on the type and concentration of the polysaccharides used, positively or negatively charged GNPs can be prepared. The hydrophobic interior of the GNPs comprises complexed neutral segments ("salt bridges") whereas the exterior extrudes the positive or negative charge of the GNPs from the excess components of polyelectrolytes not incorporated during the PEC process.[105]

In general, aqueous soluble and biocompatible polysaccharides are utilized to form GNPs, however a variety of polyelectrolytes can be used to prepare PEC nanoparticles. Chitosan is extensively utilized among the known polyanionic and polycationic polysaccharides because of its improved solubility and safety characteristics. The cationic nature of chitosan is derived from its free amino groups subsequently yielding a cationic polyelectrolyte which can develop PEC with negatively charged polyelectrolytes.[57] Extensive research has been conducted on PEC with chitosan and many polyanions from different categories such as alginate, pectin, carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), heparin, dextran sulphate, hyaluronic acid, carrageenan, collagen, xanthum gum, carboxymethyl pachyman, poly(acrylic acid) (PAA), or nucleic acids.[23, 46, 57, 79, 111, 131-136]

Motwani *et al.* have synthesized chitosan-sodium alginate nanoparticles based on the formation of PEC between the free amines on chitosan and alginate carboxylates.[131] In this case, particle sizes of the prepared GNPs were between 62 and 193 nm under optimal conditions with a maximum zeta potential value of 47.8 mV. Guo and co-workers developed alginate-coated chitosan PEC using hydrophobic modification for delivery of bovine serum albumin (BSA).[137] In this study, the amphiphilic molecule deoxycholic acid was grafted

into chitosan to form nano-sized self-aggregated in water. Then the positively charged chitosan-deoxycholic acid nanoparticles were coated with a linear alginate to obtain stable self-assembled alginate-coated hydrophobically-modified chitosan nanoparticles. Chitosan/carrageenan nanoparticles were prepared by PEC as means of interaction of ammonium groups with sulfate anions.[136] The reported nanoparticles can be readily prepared *via* a ionic gelation method. The surface charge of the prepared GNPs depends on both the mixing order and ratio of these polymers resulting in nanoparticles with sizes between 350 and 650 nm and positive zeta potentials were obtained within a range of 50-60 mV. Rodrigues and co-workers reported that the particle size of carrageenan and chitosan formed GNPs could be reduced from 500 nm to 200 nm with the incorporation of TPP into the polymer solution. The addition of tripolyphosphate (TPP) into the nanoparticle solution also improved yields from 20% to 35%.[138]

Chitosan PECs have been prepared by Lee *et al.* for siRNA delivery using the alginate-related polyguluronate to produce GNPs ranging in size from 110 to 430 nm.[139] Dextran sulphate and pectin have also been used as negative polyelectrolytes to form PEC with chitosan or its derivatives for antibiotic and protein drug delivery.[70, 79, 140] GNPs *via* PEC of chitosan and carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) have also been developed and applied to antibiotic delivery.[56, 135] Cafaggi *et al.* obtained GNPs by PEC of a cisplatin-hyaluronate complex with a modified chitosan and found a mean particle size of approximately 195 nm.[141] More recently, Ramasamy and co-workers developed layer-by-layer (LBL) method to produce GNPs through a sequential deposition of chitosan and HA on negatively charged hybrid solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs) for drug delivery to tumour cells (Figure 7).[142] The reported GNPs (ca. 265 nm diameter, zetapotential ca. -12 mV) showed both controlled and time dependent doxorubicin release characteristics.

Figure 6: Diagram illustrating the preparation process for layer-by-layer (LBL)-coated solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) containing DOX/DS complexes. Taken with permission from Ramasamy *et al.* Copyright © 2013 Elsevier Ltd.[142]

The formation of GNPs from poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and chitosan using PEC have been investigated by several groups. For instance, Chen and co-workers developed GNPs under different experimental conditions *via* complexation between polyanionic PAA and polycationic chitosan solutions forming nanoparticles with diverse microstructure.[143] Ronald *et al.* developed PEC based GNPs from chitosan and PAA homopolymers and polystyrene-block-PAA diblock copolymers.[144] They also investigated nanoparticle size and found the particles sizes were less than 100 and 200 nm for PEC of chitosan with homopolymer and copolymer, respectively. Tang *et al.* obtained a thermoresponsive chitosan/PVA hydrogel consisting of GNPs with charge differences through the electrostatic effect of the quaternary amines from trimethyl ammonium chitosan and carboxylates from carboxymethyl chitosan.[145] They also found the release of the positive or negative charged drugs were the slowest from hydrogels containing negative or positive charged nanoparticles, respectively. Davidenko and co-workers reported chitosan/PAA PEC nanoparticles and found it was possible to obtain suspensions of GNPs for solution concentration of 0.1 wt%.[146] They also demonstrated the pH values affected both the yield and the particle size of the

complex that was formed. A pH value of 4.5-5.5 for chitosan and 3.2 for PAA resulted in optimal nanoparticle yields of around 90%.

Apart from chitosan, PEC GNPs can also be formed using negatively charged polysaccharides combined with a positively charged peptides like poly-L-lysine (PLL).[80, 147, 148] For example, Boissiere *et al.* have studied silica/poly-L-lysine/alginate nanocomposites for targeted drug delivery applications.[149] PLL/alginate and silica/PLL/alginate nanoparticles were obtained by a spray-drying technique that exhibits a homogenous structure. PLL-alginate nanospheres were prepared by De & Robinson *via* formation of the calcium alginate aggregates, and subsequent transfer to an aqueous solution of PLL to obtain more compact nanospheres (ca. 300-400 nm).[130] Recently, polyanionic PEGylated hyaluronic acid (HA-g-PEG) was created to interact with cationic polycaprolactone-graft-poly(*N,N*-dimethylaminoethyl-methacrylates) and DNA forming GNPs *via* PEC (Figure 8).[150] The obtained nanoparticles size was ca. 54 nm with a negative Z of approximately -10 mV.

Figure 7: Schematic illustration of (A) self-assembly of PCL-g-PDMAEMA NPs (B) formation of binary polycation/DNA complexes (C) formation of polycation/DNA/HA-g-PEG complexes. Taken with permission from Zhang *et al.* Copyright © 2013 Elsevier Ltd.[150]

3.1.4 Self-assembled GNP's

Amphiphilic polymers are generated when hydrophobic fragments are grafted onto hydrophilic polymers allowing them to form micelles/vesicles. Therefore, introduction of hydrophilic polysaccharide backbones into hydrophobic segments generates self-assembled micelles/vesicles *via* undergoing intra- or intermolecular associations. Nanoparticles can be formed by maintaining the relative lengths and molar ratios of both polysaccharides and

hydrophobic segments. Hydrophobic segments on polysaccharides are obtained by adding hydrophobic molecules onto hydroxyl, amino, or carboxylic groups on the polysaccharide's chain. In general, self-assembled GNPs can become reservoirs for hydrophobic drugs. The hydrophobic compounds useful for self-assembled nanostructures include pluronic copolymers, poly(ϵ -caprolactone), cholesterol, deoxycholic acid, long-chain fatty acids, and other hydrophobic carboxylic acids.

Amphiphilic poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) is extensively used in drug delivery because of its excellent physico-chemical and biocompatible properties such as high hydrophilicity, low toxicity and biodegradability. In recent years, chitosan has been decorated with various PEG derivatives producing GNPs with a size range of 60-280 nm.[151-155] A pH-sensitive, self-assembled GNP of PEGylated carboxymethyl chitosan (PEG-CMCS) was reported by Xie *et al.* to deliver siRNA for anticancer therapy (Figure 9).[134] The amphiphilic PEG groups actually assemble on the GNP surface while the polysaccharide creates an internal shell around the RNA cargo. Vasquez and co-workers reported GNPs using PEGylated chitosan *Bombyxmori* copolymers by self-assembly in dilute aqueous solution.[151] Gao *et al.* developed siRNA/trimethylated chitosan/PEG complexes through bifunctional PEG for improved oligonucleotide delivery to the brain and obtained particles sizes between 115-325 nm with positive surface charges ranging from 3.3-11.5 mV.[155] Guo *et al.* synthesized PEGylated sodium alginate nanoparticles *via* a self-assembly method to improve the drug loading and efficacy of antitumor drugs.[156]

Figure 8: Schematic representation of the formation of siRNA loaded PEG-CMCS/CaP hybrid anionic nanoparticles (NPPEG-CMCS/CaP/siRNA). Taken with permission from Xie *et al.* Copyright © 2014 Elsevier Ltd.[134]

Cholesterol is one of the most utilized cyclic hydrophobic molecules to create self-assembled GNPs. Yuan *et al.* developed cholesterol-modified chitosan GNPs (<230 nm) for ocular drug delivery using 1.7-4.7% cholesterol substitution per glucosamine unit.[157] Self-assembled cholesterol-chitosan GNPs were prepared with varying degrees of substitution by a probe sonication method to provide spherical nanoparticles in the 100-235 nm size range.[158-160] Wang *et al.* also applied a probe sonication method to obtain self-aggregated cholesterol-modified chitosan nanoparticles.[161] Other steroids have been used to modify polysaccharides to create self-assembled GNPs. Recently, glycyrrhetic acid-sulphated chitosan conjugates were synthesized to create liver targeted drug delivery systems..[162, 163]

3.1.5 GNPs obtained by copolymerization

Glycopolymers are a class of synthetic polymers derived from traditional monomers decorated with carbohydrates. Glyconanoparticles are formed using various glycopolymer chains in aqueous conditions by introducing a hydrophilic block into the glycopolymer. Glycopolymers can mimic cell surface distribution of glycoconjugates and act as multivalent binding ligands for lectins. Self-assembly of amphiphilic diblock copolymers permits formation of wide ranging nanoparticles that have application in nanomedicine including drug delivery.[164-166] For instance, glycopolymers are very attractive for use in the self-assembly of GNPs and the delivery of therapeutics.[167] This is due to the synthetic glycopolymer exhibiting carbohydrate functionalities that are similar to those of natural glycoconjugates and promote their performance in specific biomedical applications. Moreover, glycopolymers permit greater structural diversity in the construction of GNPs to allow for a wider range of engineered properties to be explored.

Copolymers are synthesized through the sequential addition of two polymerizable monomers. Copolymers can be developed mechanistically through sequential, anionic, cationic, non-radical metal-catalysed and controlled radical polymerization.[164, 168] All of these synthetic procedures allow exquisite control over copolymer composition, structure, molecular weight, dispersity, solubility, and stability.[165] Synthesis of such glycopolymers was first developed by Kiessling using ring-opening polymerization,[169-171] and expanded with advances in controlled radical polymerization. Glycopolymers can be prepared either by the polymerization of “glycol-monomers” or by polymer modification with glycosyl

donors.[172] To prepare GNPs, radical polymerization, emulsion polymerization, and preformed copolymer are each utilized.

GNPs by radical polymerization

Radical polymerization has attracted much attention due to its use in the synthesis of glycopolymers in aqueous solution. Controlled radical polymerization is an excellent method for the preparation of glycopolymers and this area has been reviewed recently.[173] In one example, radical polymerization of monomers (e.g.- alkyl cyanoacrylate, methyl methacrylate) in the presence of dextran or heparin was initiated by cerium IV ions.[30] The acidic conditions lead to the diblock copolymer formation between polysaccharides and monomers by the cleavage of polysaccharides *via* cerium ions. The formation of nanoparticles with surface carbohydrate residues occurs in aqueous media due of the amphiphilic nature of the copolymer. In general, amphiphilic diblock copolymers bearing both a hydrophobic block and a hydrophilic block can assemble into larger aggregates. The GNPs prepared by this method show less cytotoxicity due to the lack of organic solvents and surfactants as well as creating nanostructures exhibiting a high degree of stability.[30]

Alternatively, living radical polymerization has been reported to synthesize GNPs allowing a facile tuning of the molecular weight and the structure of these polymers.[174] Recent progress in these techniques allows synthesis of polymers with well-defined α - and ω -terminal functionality, which is ideal for terminal functionalization and bio-conjugation. Methods including reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT),[175-178] atom transfer polymerization (ATRP),[179-181] nitoxide-mediated polymerization (NMP),[182] cyanoxyl-mediated radical polymerization (CMRP),[183] and iodine transfer polymerization,[184] have been reported to prepare well-defined GNPs.

Reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) allows the synthesis of a variety of desired molecular weight ranges and narrow polydispersity (PDI) under relatively mild reaction conditions.[175] RAFT is highly tolerant and can be utilized on functional groups common to carbohydrates.[185] The GNPs of varying molecular weights and architecture have been prepared *via* RAFT polymerization. The first glycopolymers synthesized by RAFT polymerization were reported in 2003 using a glucose-functionalized methacrylate monomer and showed “living” properties.[186] Briefly, 2-methacryloxyethyl glucoside was polymerized directly in water at 70 °C in the presence of (4-cyanopentanoic acid)-4-dithiobenzoate and the resultant materials exhibited a low polydispersity and displayed

“living” properties characteristic of the RAFT technique.[186] A related method was extrapolated to monodisperse and stable GNPs based on cationic glycopolymers which was composed of D-gluconolactone and aminoalkyl methacryamides.[178] Bertozzi's group has developed glycopolymers using facile modification of ketone-derivatized RAFT polymers with amino-oxy sugars to create mucin analogues.[187-189] In a recent example, these have been used to engineer the glycocalyx in vivo and increase cell survival.[190] Additionally, glycopolymers were constructed by combining anionic and RAFT polymerization to prepare highly stable polymeric nanostructures.[191-195] An example of this technique using a 2-(methacrylamido) glucopyranose monomer is shown below in Figure 10.[191]

Figure 9: Preparation of PEP-Poly(DMA-grad-MAG) Diblock Terpolymers. Taken with permission from Ligeng *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society.[191]

Copolymers of 2-(methacrylamido) glucopyranose (MAG) and methacrylic acid have been polymerized by RAFT creating polymers with low dispersity (1.20) and a particle size of

about 5 nm by this process.[196] Wu *et al.* also created a series of glycopolyacations by co-polymerizing MAG with an aminopropyl-methacrylate utilizing aqueous RAFT.[197] The prepared copolymers were able to bind plasmid DNA to create polyplex structures with diameters of about 45 nm and able to inhibit colloidal aggregation under physiological salt conditions.[197] Bernard *et al.* have synthesized linear poly-glucosamine in aqueous solution with unprotected hydroxyl groups using trithiocarbonate mediated RAFT and further chain extension with *N*-isopropylacrylamide to obtain narrowly dispersed (1.20) thermoresponsive diblocks.[198] Moreover, Stenzel and co-workers have reported the synthesis of novel glycopolymers by employing RAFT, such as the synthesis of unprotected poly(methyl 6-*O*-methacryloyl- α -D-glucoside) and its block copolymer as well as glycopolymer stars (dispersity ≤ 1.20).[185, 198-200]

Figure 10: (left) (a) Preparation of a GalSMA monomer using thia-Michael addition. (b) Development of self-assembled block copolymer nanoobjects (spheres, worms or vesicles) through PISA of HPMa using RAFT aqueous dispersion polymerization at 70 °C. (Right) Representative transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images attained for (1:9 PGalSMA₃₄ + PGMA₅₁)-PHPMA copolymer nano-objects. Taken with permission from Ladmiral *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society.[39]

A number of galactosylated diblock copolymers were prepared by RAFT polymerization using polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA) which were demonstrated to interact *in vitro* with galectins.[39] In this study, 1-thio- β -D-galactose was easily synthesized and

subsequently reacted by thia-Michael addition prior to RAFT polymerization. After PISA, the polymer formed nanospheres, “worm-like micelles”, or vesicles based on the PHPMA block length (Figure 11).[39] These were identified by transmission electron microscopic (TEM) imaging.

Figure 11: (a) One-pot synthesis of the gradient glycopolymers through simultaneous enzymatic monomer transformation and RAFT polymerization. TEM images of the micelles with different architectures: (b) PMAG-g-PTFEMA; (c) PMAG-s-PTFEMA. Scale bars are 100 nm. Taken with permission from Jiawei *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society [201] (d) synthesis of glycopolymer-coated iron oxide nanoparticles and (e) SEM micrograph of (a1) Fe₂O₃ NPs; (a2) Fe₂O₃@DMA; (a3) Fe₂O₃@PMAG; (b1) Fe₃O₄ NPs; (b2) Fe₃O₄@DMA; (b3) Fe₃O₄@PMAG. Taken with permission from Li *et al.* Copyright © Royal Society of Chemistry [202]

Recently, Chen and co-workers have developed glyconanoparticles of about 200 nm through a one-pot synthesis method using poly(2-(methacrylamido) glucopyranose) (PMAG) and porphyrin for the purpose of cancer imaging and therapy.[203] More recently, the same group developed a highly efficient method to synthesize gradient glycopolymers by combining enzyme catalysis and RAFT (Figure 12).[201] Furthermore, glycopolymer-coated Fe₂O₃

nanoparticles of different shapes were synthesized using PMAG, dopamine methacrylamide (DMA), iron oxide nanoparticles as a core *via* catecholic chemistry and thiol-ene chemistry by the same group (Figure 12 d,e).[202]

Figure 12: The synthesis of fluorophore conjugated RAFT-mediated glycopolymers and their suggested mechanism of murine mannose receptor-mediated macrophage internalization. Taken with permission from Song *et al.* Copyright © 2012 Elsevier Ltd.[204]

Well-defined glycopolymers were prepared using mannose, galactose, and *N*-acetylglucosamine conjugated ethyl methacrylate (EMA) as glycomonomers *via* RAFT polymerization for targeting macrophages (Figure 13).[204] Then a pyridyl disulphide monomer was incorporated into the polymerization to conjugate a maleimide-containing fluorophore for tracking the glycoconjugates inside the macrophages.[205] Ahmed and Narain have synthesized a family of cationic glycopolymers of narrow dispersity (3-30 kDa) using RAFT techniques, and this was one of the first uses of synthetic glycopolymers designed to carry DNA.[206] The same research group have also created pH-sensitive linear or hyperbranched glycopolymers *via* RAFT polymerization to explore the cellular uptake and transfection efficiencies. [207] The anionic glycopolymers were then complexed with the

branched poly(ethyleneimine) and this reduced its toxicity. Glycopolymer based dithiocarbamates-conjugates were synthesized by Ahmed *et al.* using RAFT polymerization and these were then treated with gold(I) phosphine to test as an anticancer agent.[208] Furthermore, Narain and co-workers have provided excellent reviews in the preparation of glycopolymers using RAFT polymerization.[209-211]

Figure 13: (Left) (a) Chemical compositions of PF-1-Gal, PF-6-Gal, and PF-1- Man and the schematic illustration of the self-assembled nanoparticles. (b) Rh distributions of NP-1-Gal, NP-6-Gal, and NP-1-Man (c) TEM image of NP-1-Gal. (Right) schematic representation of cellular uptake and confocal fluorescence microscopy images show the subcellular of NP-1-Gal and NP-6-Gal within early endosomes of Hep G2 cells with scale bar 10 μ m. Adapted with permission from Pengfei *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society [212].

Atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) has also proven to be a useful procedure in developing glycopolymers with poly(acrylate) backbones that create self-assembled GNPs. ATRP is also a controlled radical polymerization method like RAFT which can produce polymers with predictable molecular weight profiles. Various structures of glycopolymers have been successfully synthesized by ATRP such as multi-block,

hyperbranched and graft glycopolymers, stars, and cylindrical brushes. The major advantage of ATRP is the creation of functionalized end groups. For instance, Li and co-workers described one of the first examples of glycopolymeric self-assembled nanostructures using an glucose modified ethyl acrylate for synthesis using ATRP.[213] Shortly thereafter, Fukuda *et al.* developed glucose substituted polymers *via* ATRP using a surface-immobilized initiator.[214] Maynard and Vazquez-Dorbatt synthesized biotinylated glycopolymers by ATRP using poly(methacrylate) modified with *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine.[215] Müller and his group prepared hyperbranched glycopolymers and brushes to graft polystyrene nanospheres.[216-218] This group also designed temperature and pH-responsive spherical brushes using ATRP of galactose- and dimethylamino-modified methacrylates.[218]

Temperature sensitive glucose-decorated nanoparticles reported by Alexander and Pasparakis have been shown to interact with bacteria that express glucose-binding lectins.[219] These GNPs were prepared as copolymers obtained by ATRP and RAFT polymerization. Armes and co-workers described the preparation of a number of glycopolymers using ATRP polymerization in solution without the use of protecting groups.[220-222] Sun *et al.* incorporated sugar regioisomers (C1- and C6-linked galactose) as pendant groups in glycopolymers to create fluorescent nanoparticles (Figure 14).[212] The anomeric-linked (C1) isomers reached the late endosome and lysosome of the macrophage while the C6-linked isomers reached only the early endosomes. This group also developed glycopolymers with the same molecular weight and polydispersity containing respective monosaccharide stereoisomers, i.e. α -mannopyranoside, α -galactopyranoside and α -glucopyranoside utilizing ATRP to explore the stereoisomeric impact of the monosaccharide units of glycopolymers on hydrogelation.[223]

Figure 14: Synthesis and characterization of poly(ManA)₁₀-b-(DEGEEA)₈₀ by SEC (DMF), UV-vis, and TEM image confirms the sugar-decorated nanoparticle micelles ≈ 25 nm. Taken with permission from Qiang et al. Copyright © American Chemical Society.[224]

Currently, single-electron transfer living radical polymerization (SET-LRP) has also been used to create functional glycopolymers and is a useful method of controlling vinyl polymerization.[225, 226] In the case of SET-LRP, Cu(0) activates the polymerization and is oxidized to Cu(I) in the process and a disproportionation of the intermediate generates a Cu(II) deactivator.[180] As an example, Xue *et al.* synthesized thermoresponsive glucosamine-armed brushes by SET-LRP in water that produced micelles for binding and targeting applications.[227] An unprotected mannosyl-acrylate (ManA) has been polymerized by copper activation to obtain self-assembled, well-defined GNPs with narrow PDI's (Figure 15).[224] Haddleton and co-workers reported a novel

SET-LRP approach in order to obtain a water-soluble glycopolymer with a narrow PDI dispersity by premixing Cu(0) with Cu(I) and Cu(II) salts prior to adding glycomonomer.[225]

Figure 15: (Left) CuAAC polyaddition of **1** (6,6'-diazido-6,6'-dideoxysucrose) and **2** (bis(propargyloxy)butane) (top) and the preparation method of glyconanocapsules from interfacial CuAAC polyaddition (bottom). (Right) SEM (top) and TEM (bottom) images of glyconanocapsules obtained by interfacial CuAAC polyaddition. Taken with permission from Roux *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society.[228]

Diblock copolymers are generally synthesised through RAFT polymerisation containing a macro-RAFT agent to be further used for polymerization of the second monomer. The advent of “click chemistry” provides methods to expand this methodology, allowing for site-specific modifications.[229] Step growth polymerization using Cu(I)-catalyzed alkyne-azide cycloaddition (CuAAC) was explored [230] and applied to the synthesis of mannose glycopolymers.[185] The resulting slightly amphiphilic glycopolymer-block-poly(vinyl acetate) was formed self-assembled GNPs with a hydrodynamic diameter around 200 nm.[185] Biocompatible glyconanocapsules have been successfully synthesised *via* interfacial step growth polymerization using CuAAC between a diazidosucrose derivative and bis(propargyloxy)butane.[228] Glyconanocapsules of 200 nm diameter were formed through optimization of the interfacial polymerization process in dispersed medium (Figure 16). Moreover, Haddleton and co-workers studied different azido-sugar derived polymers prepared using ATRP containing alkyne side chain through CuAAC.[231] Recently, they synthesized a series of cyclodextrin (CD)-based glycoclusters by combination of two Cu-promoted steps: CuAAC and living radical polymerization (Figure 17) which allowed high loading of anticancer and antiviral cargo.[232] The glycoconjugates also displayed

significant interaction with immune lectin DC-SIGN that is normally hijacked by HIV envelop glycoprotein gp120.[232]

A

B

C

Figure 16: The preparation of CD-Based glycoclusters using CuAAC (A) and the evolution route from glycocluster to star diblock glycopolymer (B) and schematic representation of human dendritic cell specific ICAM-3 grabbing nonintegrin lectin (C). Adapted with permission from Qiang *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society.[232].

Nitroxide-mediated polymerization (NMP) is the oldest technique for controlled/living radical polymerization compared to ATRP and RAFT; however, it is infrequently employed for the synthesis of glycopolymers. A major limitation of NMP is that it requires extensive heating to permit the homolytic cleavage of an alkoxyamine initiator. Polymerization temperature is important as glycoconjugates and their monosaccharides are typically unstable at greater than 120 °C.[233] However, toxicity is usually reduced in NMP compared to polymers synthesized *via* ATRP and RAFT due to the fact that nitroxide compounds have been extensively developed as biocompatible probes for multiple applications in biological systems.[234, 235] A major advantage of NMP compared to other polymerization methods is that it does not require use of toxic catalysts. For instance, polymers prepared using phosphonate-based NMP provide safer materials for biological applications.[236]

Figure 17: (Top) The preparation pathway of diblock copolymers by NMP to produce poly(2-(2',3',4',6'-tetra-*O*-acetyl-β-D-galactosyloxy)ethyl methacrylate-*co*-styrene)-*b*-Polystyrene, namely P(AcGalEMA-*co*-S)-*b*-PS) and (bottom) the formation of micelles with glycopolymer shell depend on β-galactose residues and honeycomb structured porous films with glycopolymer enriched inside the pore. Taken with permission from Ting *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society.[236]

Ohno *et al.* reported one of the first glycopolymers obtained by NMP, which was synthesized from acrylate and styrene glycosyl-monomers using a di-*tert*-butyl nitroxide initiator.[233, 237, 238] Kakuchi's group prepared star-shaped glycopolymers with amiphilic properties using two styrene-glucoside derivatives.[239] To overcome the thermal demands of NMP

reactions, Stenzel and co-workers prepared glycopolymers using methylacryloyl glycomonomer and styrene with *N*-*tert*-butyl-*N*-(1-diethylphosphono-2,2-dimethylpropyl) (SG1) and BlocBuilder® alkoxyamine mediator at 85°C.[236] The obtained amphiphilic glycopolymers showed self-assembled properties when polymerized in low concentrations of styrene and was used to prepare honeycomb shaped bioactive porous films for liver-targeted drug delivery (Figure 18). Schubert and co-workers demonstrated the utility of a thiol-fluorine “click” reaction to generate a glucosyl tetrafluorostyryl monomer and carried out subsequent NMP polymerization at 110 °C for coating the magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles.[240] This group also synthesized similar glycopolymers using the same “click” reaction onto a preformed copolymer of styrene and tetrafluorostyrene.[233] Subsequently, a solvent displacement method was used to prepare GNPs with diameters of 15-40 nm (Figure 19).[241]

Figure 18: (Left) Schematic representation of the synthesis of the glucosylated and galactosylated homo and block copolymers. (Right) SEM and cryo-TEM images of the glycosylated nanoparticles sizes between 15 and 40 nm. Taken with permission from Krzysztof *et al.* Copyright © John Wiley and Sons.[241]

Cyanoxyl-mediated radical polymerization (CMRP) was explored by Chaikof *et al.* for the synthesis of well-defined glycopolymers directly from unprotected glycomonomers.[242-244] In CMRP, selective and controlled polymerization has been described using methacrylate monomers in the presence of “persistent” cyanoxyl radical ($\bullet\text{OC}\equiv\text{N}$) species. In a glycopolymer example, CMRP has been utilized to polymerize acrylate-derived glycomonomers (and co-polymerize with acrylamide) directly in aqueous conditions or with the use of THF as a co-solvent.[245] Moreover, multiple biotin-terminated

glycopolymers have been developed by CMRP using lactose haptasulfate-based glycomonomers.[244, 246] The resulting polymers were used to modify both quantum dots and magnetic beads.[238] Narla *et al.* synthesized sialyllactose-containing glycopolymers using sialyltransferases to modify a lactose-containing polymer prepared by CMRP.[247] More recently, Konradsson and co-workers reported the facile construction of long fucoidan-like glycopolymers chains using CMRP polymerization of monofucoside-pendant monomers.[248] The resulting sulfated methacrylamido α -L-fucoside glycopolymers exhibited comparable characteristics to natural fucoidan displaying similar levels of inhibition of HSV-1 binding and cellular uptake. Wibowo *et al.* designed fucosylated glycopolymers comprising of a proximal photoactivated group to trap glycan-binding lectins.[35] To synthesize glycopolymers with narrow dispersity, they explored *in situ* CMRP polymerization using fucose- α (1-2)-galactose and acrylamide in 1:1 ratio using H₂O/THF at 60 °C (Figure 20).[35]

Figure 19: Synthesis of biotin-functionalized, photoactivatable glycopolymers. Taken with permission from Wibowo *et al.* Copyright © American Chemical Society.[35]

3.1.6 GNPs from preformed copolymer

Preformed polymers have been modified using aminosugars offering a simple alternative pathway to obtain GNPs as these glycopolymers have the tendency to self-assemble to form

GNPs. Modification has focused on aminosugars as amines exhibit excellent nucleophilicity in comparison to alcohols and selective modification can be achieved without the use of protecting group chemistry.[249] In general, polymers with pendant carbonyl compounds including carboxylic acids, *N*-hydroxysuccinimide anhydrides and esters are reported to react with aminosugars such as *N*-acetylactosamine.[250]

Alkylation reactions have also been used to append sugars to preformed polymers. Recently, Stenzel and co-workers developed glycopolymer-based nanoparticles using *N*-isopropyl acrylamide and *N*-homocysteine thiolactone acrylamide *via* RAFT polymerization.[251] The ring opening polymerization of amines and thiolactone groups enabled subsequent thiol alkylation with a halogenated mannose derivative that further formed GNPs in aqueous solution with particle sizes between 200 and 600 nm.[251]

3.1.7 GNPs developed *via* nanoprecipitation

Nanoprecipitation is a widely utilized method to prepare GNPs due to its simplicity and economy. This requires two solvents: one in which the polymer dissolves and a “non-solvent” in which it does not (usually water). The polymer solution is mixed with the non-solvent to produce nanoparticles. Briefly, the polymer is dissolved in a completely or partly water-miscible solvent (THF, ethyl acetate, acetone etc.) then is added to an aqueous solution which may contain a surfactant. Rapid de-solvation of the core polymer results in precipitation of nano-scale vesicles. Polysaccharide derivatives such as chitosan and amphiphilic cyclodextrins have been used to obtain nanoparticles through nanoprecipitation. One main drawback of nanoprecipitation is the large dilution of prepared dispersions, since dilute organic polymer solutions have to be used to avoid aggregate formation during polymer precipitation. However, several interesting GNPs have been prepared by this method.

Figure 20: (Top) General representation of nanoprecipitation manufactured functional nanocapsules A) cross-linked oil-filled nanocapsules; B) metal-nanoparticle-coated nanocapsules; C) nanocapsules with bioactive molecules within the shell; D) core- or shell-tagged fluorescent nanocapsules and overlapped phase diagrams of PHMM and HD in acetone/water mixtures. (Middle) one-pot cross-linking and functionalization of the polymer shell. (Bottom) A, B, C) TEM images of nanocapsules obtained by adding a solution of HD (2 mg) in acetone (0.65 g) to an aqueous polymer solution (0.35 g of water and 1 mg of PHMM2) and cross-linking with 0.5 (A), 1 (B), and 24 equivalents (C) of a crosslinker isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI) with respect to the glycopolymers chains. Taken with permission from Yan *et al.* Copyright © John Wiley and Sons.[252]

Sodium sulphate is a widely utilized precipitating agent for generation of chitosan-based nanoparticles. Addition of this salt to a stirred solution of chitosan and polysorbate 80 yields particulate chitosan in the micro/nano range of 900-1100 nm following ultrasonication.[253] Amphiphilic cyclodextrin nanospheres have been synthesized by nanoprecipitation and were obtained in the range of 90 and 150 nm.[254] Gavory *et al.* reported the dextran-covered poly(lactic acid) nanoparticles *via* nanoprecipitation and produced small particles, with convenient yield and good colloidal stability.[255] Recently, surfactant-free glyconanocapsules have been synthesized through nanoprecipitation by Yan *et al.* using water soluble glycopolymers (Figure 21).[252] They showed initial addition of diisocyanate in the organic solvent allows the nanocapsules to be stitched *via* polymer shell cross-links enabling it to encapsulate hydrophobic molecules as well as to attach bioactive molecules of interest after introduction in the water phase.[252]

4. Macrophage targeting

Macrophages are crucial components of the immune system and play a vital role to engulf and destroy microbes. However, pathogenic microorganisms sometimes have the ability to survive and replicate following ingestion by these sentinel immune cells, and this results in less effective treatments against infections occurring from facultative or obligate intracellular microorganisms. Furthermore, these reservoirs may cause chronic disease or delayed onset through latency. Since microorganisms can circumvent the effectiveness of antibiotics by surviving inside host cells, this can amplify the potential for drug resistance. Antibiotics in current use to treat intracellular infections belong to various classes including aminoglycosides, macrolides, fluoroquinolones, beta-lactams and glycopeptides. These therapeutics have varying ability to enter macrophages, limiting their efficiency as antimicrobial agents. Therefore, use of GNP delivery systems capable of directly targeting and modulating macrophages as well as possessing the ability for selective distribution in macrophages is critical to improve chemotherapeutic activity against intracellular infections.

Phenotypically distinct macrophage subsets are most often defined by two types of activation, termed M1 and M2. Classically-activated macrophages (M1 macrophages) are essential for host antimicrobial defence and play a role in antitumor immune response, whereas alternatively-activated macrophages (M2 macrophages) are critical for injury resolution and wound healing.[256] Additionally, disturbances in regulation of these functions are linked to autoimmune diseases, allergic asthma, atherosclerosis, and other conditions.[256] However,

the simple M1 and M2 dichotomy of macrophage activation conceptualized in the pre-genomic era has become too broad to encompass the complexity of macrophage activation. Instead macrophage activation occurs by a response to several stimuli and macrophages acquire specialized functional phenotypes that exist on a spectrum that cannot be easily defined by two subsets.[257-259] To unify macrophage terminology many in the field proposed that the nomenclature should encompass the macrophage source, definition of activators and markers to describe macrophage activation.[260]

4.1 Phagocytosis and nanoparticle uptake by macrophages

Macrophages play vital roles in immunity against microorganisms through the phagocytotic process. Phagocytosis is the ingestion of foreign microorganisms by macrophages resulting in their subsequent destruction and elimination from the host. The cellular uptake of the microorganism occurs *via* surface receptors to form a phagosome, and this then fuses with lysosomes to form a phagolysosome.[22] Macrophages also generate antibactericidal agents including nitric oxide and cationic proteins contributing to the destruction of foreign microorganisms (Figure 22 a). In some diseases, macrophages are rendered incapable of destroying infectious microbes due to the latter's ability to prevent fusion of the lysosome and phagosome or by inhibiting the antimicrobial response within the phagolysosome. Consequently, microorganisms can lie dormant or even replicate within various organelles and/or cytosol of macrophages and this allows immune evasion (Figure 22 b).

Figure 21: (a) Schematic representation of phagocytosis of microorganisms within the macrophages (b) upon phagocytosis, bacteria reside in phagosome and do not interact with

lysosomes. Bacteria then rapidly disrupt the phagosome membrane and reach the cytosol where they undergo extensive replication, followed by cell death and bacterial release.

Intracellular bacterial pathogens use several sophisticated mechanisms that facilitate replication within macrophages by invading host defence and influencing host processes such as membrane trafficking, signalling pathways, metabolism and modulation of host cell lifecycle pathways.[261] Intracellular bacteria can be broadly defined according to their colonization niche being either intravacuolar or cytosolic. Most intracellular bacterial species however have unique intracellular life cycles and adaptive mechanisms to survive intracellularly.

Intravacuolar bacteria generate specialized vacuoles that are biochemically and morphologically different to unaffected cells allowing them to avoid being trafficked by the phagolysosome and prevent cytosolic innate immune sensor detection.[262] The common function of these across species is to support intracellular replication however several strategies are used to remodel membrane bounded compartments among different intravacuolar pathogens. Shortly after entry intravacuolar bacteria hijack host cell endomembrane systems to prevent or delay fusion with lysosomes.[262] Intravacuolar bacteria utilize specialized secretion systems to deliver effector proteins into the host cell to modify host physiology creating environments accommodating bacterial proliferation. Four major accessory secretion systems are known to establish intravacuolar bacterial replication niches through alteration of vesicular trafficking and host immune response.[261] Maintenance of these vacuoles is essential towards survival and intravacuolar bacteria target multiple host components and signalling pathways to maintain these replication niches.[263, 264]

Escape from the phagosome is crucial to cytosolic bacterial pathogen survival. Once inside the vacuole cytosolic bacteria secrete virulence factors that facilitate vacuole escape by disruption of the vacuolar membrane, where they go on to establish infection through manipulation of host innate immune responses.[265, 266] Cytosolic bacteria are protected from extracellular immune responses and escape the microbicidal lysosomal environment however their detection by cytosolic pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) activates defence mechanisms including autophagy, host cell death and secretion of cytokines. As cytosolic bacteria are directly exposed to cytosolic sensing machinery, they down regulate Pathogen-Associated molecular patterns expression to delay recognition.[261] Common to both

intravacuolar and cytosolic bacteria is inhibition of the host autophagy pathway and manipulation of host apoptotic pathways to maintain their replication niche through inhibition of pro-apoptotic pathways and activation of pro-survival pathways.[261, 265, 267, 268]

Recently, it has been shown that macrophage-mediated therapies are a promising and effective approach towards the treatment of many diseases. Macrophages facilitate uptake, degradation and clearance of nanoparticles from the circulatory system.[269] However, cellular uptake of hydrophilic drugs and macromolecules remains a challenge for effective treatments of intracellular infections. The internalization of nanoparticles by macrophages involves interaction between the macrophage cell membrane and the nanoparticle outer surface, resulting in internalization of this nanoparticle *via* the formation of plasma membrane surrounded vesicles (Figure 23).[25] Based on the internalization pathway, the resulting membrane-bound vesicles can vary in size, composition and internal environments and subsequently form endosomes, phagosomes, or macropinosomes. There are five known mechanisms for the uptake of nanoparticles that vary based on the proteins assisting in the endocytosis process including phagocytosis, macropinocytosis, and endocytotic uptake mediated by either clathrin or caveolin, or endocytosis that is independent of both.[270]

Cellular uptake of nanoparticles by macrophages involves a two-step process and the initial binding of nanoparticles to the macrophage exterior is greatly influenced by the nanoparticle's surface charge. Subsequent uptake following binding can occur through several processes such as pinocytosis, non-specific or receptor-mediated endocytosis which are energy dependent processes. The uptake mechanism is based on different cell types, the cellular location and the nanoparticle's surface properties.[271-273] Furthermore, both the energy-independent and receptor-independent routes involve direct translocation of nanoparticles across the membrane and do not rely on the cell's metabolic activity.[274] Such an uptake process results in the direct transport of nanoparticles through the cell membrane into the cytoplasm. Moreover, the receptor-independent uptake pathway can be utilized to design simplified nanoparticles without additional structural modifications required to disrupt the endocytic vesicles.

Figure 22: Factors affecting the intracellular activity of antibiotics and mechanism of glyconanoparticles as a carrier of antibiotics in macrophages.

Nanocarrier systems permit site specific drug delivery to macrophages providing an enhanced bioavailability of antibiotics towards intracellular infection, particularly for antibiotics with poor pharmacokinetic properties. [14, 16, 275] They also provide protection from metabolic clearance, enhance membrane transport as well as prevent enzymatic and environmental degradation of antibiotics allowing for a therapeutically effective drug concentration at the site of infection.[276] In addition, antibiotic-loaded nanoparticles can maintain the suitable drug concentration for a prolonged period by means of slow drug release, thus reducing the number of doses required, while administration of free antibiotics often demonstrates a more fleeting effect and requires multiple doses per day.[16]

4.2 Physicochemical properties of nanoparticles to penetrate macrophages

The phagocytic uptake of the nanoparticles is related to physical characteristics and morphology (particle size and shape), physicochemical attributes (surface charge, hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity), interaction with plasma protein (opsonins), biodistribution and targeted drug delivery to cells.[11] For example, improved hydrophobicity of the nanocarrier can allow better interaction with the macrophage to enhance ingestion. In

addition to GNP size and surface composition, the overall shape is a crucial component that impacts cellular uptake and efficient delivery of therapeutics.[277] These areas, along with use of targeting ligands and the role of GNP elasticity and mechanical properties in cellular uptake are covered in detail below.

4.2.1 Nanoparticle size

The size of a nanoparticle represents an impactful variable to GNPs influencing several factors including endocytosis, cellular uptake, and efficacy of endocytic processing.[278, 279] For effective delivery of therapeutics to diseased cells, nanoparticles must avoid clearance by reticuloendothelial system (RES) or filtration by liver or kidneys. Nanoparticles within the 40-50 nm size range exhibited the strongest impact on basic cell signalling pathways.[280, 281] Furthermore, polymeric nanoparticles with an intermediate size between 20 and 100 nm have been shown to be internalized readily by cells, compared to smaller or larger nanoparticles.[282]

The cellular uptake of GNPs by macrophages is also related to particle size.[280, 283] Larger particles are more readily up compared to smaller particles that have a more curved surface and this may be related to complement activation.[284] For example, the uptake kinetics of rhodamin B labelled carboxymethyl chitosan-based GNPs in murine macrophages vary with size, and GNPs with 300 and 500 nm showed greater uptakes by cells when compared to 150 nm GNPs.[278] The size of particles themselves can determine the pathway of endocytosis.[285] Uptake of nanoparticles less than 200 nm involves clathrin-coated pits whereas particles with a diameter up to 500 nm are internalized through an energy-dependent process. Nanoparticles with a size greater than 500 nm are internalized predominantly by a coaveolae-mediated mechanism.[285] In general, particles that have a diameter greater than 1 μm are internalized through phagocytosis and smaller particles (0.2-1 μm) uptaken by endocytosis. Nonetheless, particles with a diameter of 5 μm have been shown to undergo receptor-mediated endocytosis, and this could be utilized to target drug delivery to the vascular system.[279, 286] Caldorera-Moore, Roy, and co-workers have reviewed “top-down” nanofabrication techniques to control both particle size and shape for drug delivery purposes.[287]

4.2.2 Nanoparticle Shape

Additionally, shape is one of the essential characteristics of nanoparticles that play a role in internalization *via* macrophages. A wide range of nanoparticles with non-spherical shapes have been reported such as cones, cubes, cylinders, discs, ellipsoids, hemispheres, stars, urchins, and other complex shapes including red blood cell-like biconcave discoids, and filovirus-mimicking filamentous particles.[288-291] Experimental studies on several different shaped nanoparticles revealed that their phagocytic uptake by immune cells is shape-dependent.[292, 293] Recent studies proved that endocytosis by macrophages including phagocytosis follow similar shape dependence and not only ingest larger particles but also engulf highly curved targets.[294] The surface geometry of the particle that interacts with the cell membrane determines whether the particle will internalize or maintain only surface interaction without uptake.[292] For instance, when a macrophage interacts at the terminal end of a particle with an ellipse it can be fully internalized within minutes, while interaction at the flat surface can take up to 12 h for internalization to occur (Figure 24).[292] As shown in Figure 24, the internalization velocity of the nanoparticles is dependent on the angle between tangential angle average (T) and the membrane normal (N) which is denoted as omega (Ω). Nanoparticles can be uptaken quickly when $\Omega \leq 45^\circ$, but the internalization is inhibited in case of $\Omega > 45^\circ$. [292] Therefore, nanoparticles with greater aspect ratios may interact with $\Omega > 45^\circ$ and are less susceptible to be phagocytosed and this may be useful in other DDS.[295] Increasing the aspect ratios of particles results in a reduced rate of phagocytosis by macrophages; elongated particles show significantly reduced rates of phagocytosis. For example, worm-like particles that have high aspect ratios show a reduced rate of phagocytosis relative to spheres of similar volume.[289] However, other research has found higher aspect ratios allow nanoparticle uptake into the macrophage more readily and at a greater extent.[279, 296] Nanoparticle rods that have an aspect ratio between 2.1 and 2.5 are internalized more readily in greater amounts relative to longer or shorter particles.[297] Nanoparticle rods that have an aspect ratio between 2.1 and 2.5 are internalized more readily in greater amounts relative to longer or shorter particles.[298] These conflicting reports indicate internalization is determined by more than a nanoparticles' geometry such as those factors discussed below.

Figure 23: The local geometry of nanoparticles at the contact point between cell and nanoparticles. The shape indicates whether macrophages initiate internalization or spread on particles. Ω is defined as the angle between the average of tangential angles (T) from 0° to $\pi/2$ and the membrane normal at the site of attachment. When $\Omega \leq 45^\circ$, particles are internalized successfully (a and b); when $\Omega > 45^\circ$, cells can spread on the particle, but internalization is inhibited (c). Redrawn with permission from Duan *et al.* Copyright © John Wiley and Sons.[284]

4.2.3 Surface charge

Overall surface charge influences the recognition, adsorption, phagocytosis and elimination of nanoparticles with macrophages which subsequently affects their biodistribution.[299] Nanoparticles with a negative surface charge exhibit a low phagocytic internalization, resulting in a prolonged circulation in the blood stream. However, positively charged nanoparticles are more susceptible to phagocytosis resulting from their enhanced interaction with the anionic plasma membrane of macrophages. For instance, nanoparticles possessing a positive zeta potential (Z) have been found more phagocytatable through the proton sponge effect in comparison with non-charged nanoparticles.[300] Vadakkan *et al.* reported the first step of the cellular uptake for cationic nanocarriers was likely fusion into the cell membrane [301] The linear correlation between Z value of the nanoparticles and their cellular uptake has also been reported.[302]

Figure 24: Effect of surface properties on nanoparticle internalization. Charged nanoparticles can lead to high internalization, presumably because of better interactions with the cationic and/or anionic domains of the cell surfaces. In contrast, coating with hydrophilic polymers (e.g., PEG) will form a ‘cloud’ of hydrophilic steric barrier for non-charged nanoparticles, which prevents interactions with cells, resulting in less internalization. Redrawn with permission from Duan *et al.* Copyright © John Wiley and Sons.[284]

Due to their free amines, chitosan-based GNPs have a positive zeta potential and show higher cellular uptake in comparison to negatively-charged or neutral nanoparticles (Figure 25).[303, 304] The rhodamin B labelled chitosan-based GNPs with positive zeta potential (25 mV) have been found to increase the phagocytic uptake by nearly 1.3-fold when compared to negatively charged GNPs with the similar absolute value of zeta potential (-25 mV).[278] It is believed this involves a concomitant influx with chloride anions in order to achieve charge balance, in turn resulting in osmotic internalization (also known as ‘Proton-Sponge’ effect).[284] It has however also been reported that cationic and neutral nanoparticles can diminish macrophage uptake, while nanoparticles that are anionic can bind to the positively charged sites on the macrophages thus facilitating uptake by RES as they can be recognized by scavenger receptors.[305] In addition to this, nanoparticles with negative zeta potential can cluster at the cationic site due to repulsion by more surface-abundant anions; consequently these nanoparticles are internalized more efficiently.[306-308] These conflicting results are due to variation in nanoparticle scaffolds, surface hydrophobicity or composition and other factors including nonhomogeneous particle sizes, and the variation of cell type under investigation. The most significant factor affecting nanoparticle phagocytosis

is the absolute value of the zeta potential, nanoparticles with a low absolute zeta potential can effectively avoid internalization by macrophages.[278, 309] In addition to this, cationic nanoparticles are more effectively ingested by proliferation cells, while anionic systems may provide improved therapeutic effectiveness for the delivery of drugs into deep tissues due to faster diffusion and less cellular internalization.[310] Additionally, nanoparticles bearing a high positive charge may induce toxicity and provoke immunological responses. In the context of GNP's, both cationic and anionic polysaccharides have been used successfully and this allows tuning of additional electrostatic interactions between the drug and its carrier.

4.2.4 Surface composition and hydrophobicity

Surface composition of nanoparticles impacts the rate and even route of internalization into macrophages.[311] Generally, the surface's composition and physicochemical properties control the type of biomolecules (e.g., proteins, aptamers, and antibodies) that interact with it to achieve targeted delivery of therapeutic agents.[312-315] The interaction of the nanoparticle with the plasma membrane also depends on the thickness of the adsorbed bio-layer.[316, 317] Nanoparticles that contain a hydrophobic surface have higher affinities for the lipid bilayer present on macrophages resulting in an enhanced cellular internalization.

Hydrophilic modification also has potentially useful impact on GNP performance. The chemical modification of nanoparticles surface composition with polyethylene glycol (PEG) or an analogue is common as it prolongs the circulatory half-life and effective tissue accumulation. The PEGylation of the nanoparticle's surface results in prolonged circulation time as a hydrophilic steric barrier is formed that prevents interaction with circulating macrophages or plasma protein.[284, 318] PEGylated nanoparticles can effectively suppress the macrophage internalization and extend circulatory half-life, thus decreasing their accumulation in the liver.[319-321] For example, it was found that macrophage uptake of PEGylated polyelectrolyte nanoshells at 24 h was reduced three fold compared with either positively- or negatively-charged nanoshells.[318]

4.2.5 Targeting ligand

Macrophage targeting can be enhanced by using ligands on the nanoparticle's surface that are recognized by specific receptors of macrophages and this can facilitate their internalization through pattern recognition receptor (PPR) mediated endocytosis.[322] As macrophages'

PPRs have evolved to identify pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), a restricted number of phagocytic receptors are involved including the mannosyl, fucosyl, scavenger, and Fc (fragment, crystallizable) receptors.[34, 117, 323] A general method for macrophage targeting is to apply polysaccharides or glycoproteins ending in mannose or fucose residues for the binding of macrophage receptors.[19] In addition, the affinity of carbohydrate ligands for these receptors can be enhanced by multivalent display creating a “cluster glycoside effect”.[232] Uniquely, the macrophage mannose receptor has the capability to mediate the phagocytosis of sugar-coated nanoparticles and the pinocytosis of soluble glycoconjugates.[324] It can be exploited in the development of nanocarrier based macrophage-mediated therapies through the incorporation of specific ligands that may promote their cellular uptake.[19]

The number and nature of macrophage receptors is dependent on their activation and differentiation state and this is essential to consider when attempting to target macrophages. For example, Xiong and co-workers reported a macrophage targeted antibacterial drug delivery system using an enzymatically degradable mannosylated nanogel as the drug carrier (Figure 26).[325] The mannose are conjugated to the shell of PEG arms, thus offering targeted antibiotic delivery to macrophages expressing multiple mannose receptors. The crosslinked polyphosphoester core carries drug cargo and can undergo degradation by enzymes produced by the intracellular bacteria. In related work, Jiang *et al.* developed mannosylated chitosan-graft-polyethyleneimine copolymer for uptake by mannose receptors on antigen presenting cells.[326]

Figure 25: (A) Graphical representation of vancomycin-loaded mannosylated nanogels (MNG-V) and the bacteria-responsive drug release; (B) Schematic illustration of targeted uptake of MNG-V, transport, degradation, drug release and bacteria inhibition. Mannose is incorrectly presented in this published Figure 26. Taken with permission from Xiong *et al.* Copyright © John Wiley and Sons.[325]

Recently, Ratner and co-workers reported that mannose-based glycopolymers showed increased uptake by macrophages relative to galactose-containing glycopolymers.[204] To determine the macrophage-specific targeting, various glycopolymers displaying pendent carbohydrate moieties were fluorescently labelled. In an *in vivo* mouse experiment using alveolar macrophages, they demonstrated higher internalization (6-fold) of mannose glycopolymer relative to galactose and a *N*-acetylglucosamine polymer also showed significant uptake (Figure 27).[204, 205]

Figure 26: (a) The binding and subsequent endocytosis of fluorescent mannose glycopolymers by the macrophage mannose receptor.[205] (b) *in vitro* BMDM glycopolymer uptake. Inset shows a higher magnification image of the AF488-pManEMA treated cells. (c) internalization of glycopolymers by alveolar macrophages *in vivo*. Mice were given 10 mM AF488-glycopolymers intratracheally. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was performed after 30 min and BAL cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. Taken with permission from Song *et al.* Copyright © 2012 Elsevier Ltd.[204]

Considerations around the macrophage's binding affinity of nanoparticles must also involve their charge. For instance, macrophages that contain anionic sialic acid on their surface can bind to the positively charged nanoparticle consequently resulting in an enhanced phagocytosis.[327] Paulson and co-workers developed an *in silico*-aided ligand of sialoadhesin for macrophage targeting,[327] and prepared liposomal nanoparticles for targeting sialoadhesin to deliver antigen to macrophages.[328, 329] In these cases, the synthesized liposomal GNPs targeting sialoadhesin were selectively bound as well as internalized by macrophages, but not by sialoadhesin-negative mice.[329] Cho *et al.* developed fluorescent, lectin-modified polymeric nanoparticles 35 nm in diameter for

targeting of sialic acid on macrophages.[330] Gupta *et al.* reported macrophage targeting by lectinized lipo-polymerosome GNPs that enhanced macrophages uptake >2-fold and had significantly higher internalization in J774A and RAW 246.7 macrophages cell lines as compared to non-functionalized polymerosome.[331]

Overall, nanoparticles with various surface properties may be internalized through several endocytic mechanisms. For example, albumin, cholesterol or folic acid decorated nanoparticles favour caveolin-mediated endocytosis, which circumvents the lysosomal degradation pathways. Alternatively, transferring and cell penetrating peptides enhance the internalization by clathrin-mediated endocytosis and macropinocytosis, respectively. It is still challenging to manufacture nanoparticles for specific endocytic pathways.[332] The endocytic pathways are interchangeable and blocking the uptake of one pathway may result in an enhanced internalization of nanoparticle *via* another endocytic process.[25] Recent reviews on the effect of nanoparticle shape and surface properties on biodistribution and nanocarrier function have been published elsewhere.[25, 284, 333]

4.2.6 Mechanical properties and elasticity

Finally, there have been recent studies identifying mechanical properties as important to macrophage uptake as they internalize rigid particulates to a greater extent than “soft” elastic particles.[334, 335] The energy involved in “winding up” a particle within the plasma membrane declines as a function of increasing stiffness, resulting in favourable uptake of rigid nanoparticles.[336] Stenzel and co-workers have explored the relationship between nanoparticle length and stiffness in breast cancer cell uptake fructose-modified rod-shaped micelles. They developed a model to explain preferential uptake of larger, more rigid particles.[337] However, more rigid nanoparticles are easily excreted during *in vivo* administration compared to elastic nanoparticles which may simply deform to squeeze through narrow blood vessels.[338] Therefore, improving the elasticity of the nanoparticles might be an option for enhancing the circulation of the nanoparticles. Among the common natural polysaccharides used in drug delivery, many are linear 1,4-linked polymers (alginate, amylose, cellulose, chitosan) and would be expected to provide more rigidity to a GNP. Hyaluronic acid and polysialic (colominic) acid have a natural curvature to their backbone structure and this may prove to provide more flexibility to GNPs. Further research to confirm this generalization is required.

5. Glyconanoparticles for macrophage-mediated therapies

As stated previously, macrophage-specific drug delivery systems are considered of clinical importance because macrophages are host cells for many pathogenic bacteria and parasites that can produce outbreaks of deadly diseases. In designing nanoparticulate drug carriers for macrophage-mediated infections, issues of host toxicity and bioavailability must be considered. Therefore, GNPs are emerging as new promising technologies to overcome these issues upon macrophage targeting. Some recent examples of GNP-based macrophage delivery system are described below in terms of bacterial, protozoan, and viral infectious diseases.

5.1 Bacterial infectious diseases

The intracellular localization of bacteria protects them from the host immune response as well as the bactericidal actions of antibiotics. Thus, novel therapeutic approaches are required in order to overcome these limitations, and GNPs loaded with antimicrobial drugs represent a promising approach. As shown in previous sections, it is possible to load therapeutic compounds into a variety GNPs to deliver their payloads intracellularly. For instance, GNPs prepared from alginate, chitosan, dextran sulphate, mannose, cyclodextrin, carboxymethyl cellulose, hyaluronic acid have been used to deliver various antibiotics such as amoxicillin,[339-341], ampicillin,[342] clarithromycin,[343] ciprofloxacin,[344-347] daptomycin,[348], doxycycline,[349] erythromycin,[49] gentamicin,[77, 350], tetracycline,[351] trimethoprim,[135] vancomycin,[325, 352] to treat brucellosis, tubercular, salmonellosis, skin, ocular, osteomyelitis, typhoid, and cholera infections.

5.1.1 Tuberculosis

Tuberculosis is a widespread lung infection caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, the deadliest bacterial disease in the world, which is widely endemic in certain regions.[353] Furthermore, the incidence of tuberculosis has increased recently due to its association with AIDS. Pulmonary TB is treated through a combination therapy of orally administered rifampicin, isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol, until the infection is proven susceptible (i.e.- not drug resistant) at which point the latter drug can be removed. In total, a multidrug treatment is given for 6-9 months for drug susceptible strains and 18-24 months for multidrug resistant strains. In the latter case, this can involve roughly 10,000 doses (12-13/day), thus it can lead to non-compliance and development of undesired side-effects. Moreover, most of the known anti-tubercular agents are less effective *in vivo* due to the low macrophage

permeability and rapid degradation of these drugs. Therefore, the use of antibiotic-loaded, macrophage-targeted GNPs can enable a prolonged and systemic dose of antibiotics allowing for a reduced treatment time.

Antibiotic encapsulated GNPs have shown promising anti-tubercular activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. [354-359] The aminoglycoside (AG) antibiotics including amikacin, apramycin, gentamycin, kanamycin, neomycin, netilmicin, paromomycin, streptomycin, and tobramycin, are very efficacious against mycobacterial infections, but they are not highly membrane permeable, thus causing side effects, particularly nephro- and oto-toxicity. Aminoglycoside-loaded chitosan nanoparticles have been prepared by Lu *et al.* and in order to increase antibiotic loading efficiency of the chitosan based GNPs dextran sulphate was used as a counter ion to shield the positive charge of the AG. [69] They incorporated three AGs—streptomycin, gentamycin, and tobramycin—into the GNPs and obtained drug incorporation efficiencies of 60, 63, and 38%, respectively. The *in vivo* results indicated that oral drug loaded GNPs were effective in killing intracellular *M. tuberculosis* with one log 10 reduction in growth of the bacilli growth for both treated groups, AG loaded GNPs against free AG, at the same concentration of 100 mg Kg⁻¹. [69] Recently, Grumezescu and co-workers reported cross-linked magnetic chitosan nanoparticles for AG antibiotics (kanamycin and neomycin) and reported the AG loaded GNPs reduced the needed dose. [360] Hombach *et al.* studied *in vitro* evaluation of an oral tobramycin GNP using thiolated chitosan and observed almost 90% of the tobramycin was released within 4 h. [361] A number of examples using antibacterial GNPs are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Polysaccharide-based nanoparticles tested for drug delivery to bacteria infected macrophages.

Nanocarrier Composition	Targeted microbes	Encapsulated Drug (s)	Targeted cells	Advantages	Ref.
Alginate	<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>	Isoniazid, rifampicin, Pyrazinamide	Murine macrophage	Inhalable drug loaded GNPs with efficient activity against MTB	[359]
Chitosan	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Ceftriaxone sodium	J744.2 cells	Inhibits the growth of intracellular <i>S. typhimurium</i>	[114]
Mannosylated	<i>Mycobacterium</i>	Rifampicin	Alveolar	Enhanced macrophage	[362]

dendrimers	<i>tuberculosis</i>		macrophages	uptake of rifampicin	
Chitosan and Galactomannan	<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>	Rifampicin	RAW 264.7 cells	Increased intracellular concentration of rifampicin	[363]
Chitosan	<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>	siRNA (targeting luciferase)	Murine Alveolar macrophages	Inhalable dry siRNA powders have the possibility of effective pulmonary gene silencing	[364]
Dextran	<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>	siRNA (targeting CD45)	Murine Alveolar macrophages	Effective siRNA delivery to resident alveolar macrophages	[365, 366]
Chitosan	<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>	Isoniazid, rifampicin	Murine Alveolar macrophages	Drug loaded nanoparticles more effective against the mycobacterium than free drugs.	[367]
Chitin	<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Rifampicin	human monocytes	Exhibits sustained release of antibiotics until 72 hours	[368]
O-carboxymethyl chitosan	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Tetracycline	HEK-293 and THP-1 macrophages	Bacterial inhibition increased sixfold compared to free drug	[369]
Chondroitin sulfate and dextran sulfate	<i>Salmonella</i> Paratyphi A	Chloramphenicol	RAW 264.7 cells	Enhanced intracellular uptake and effectively killed intracellular microbes both <i>in vitro</i> and <i>ex vivo</i>	[370]
Fucodan coated chitosan	<i>Salmonella</i> Paratyphi A	Ciprofloxacin	RAW 264.7 cells	Effective in eradicating <i>Salmonella</i> infections and effective in dispersing <i>Salmonella</i> gallstone biofilms.	[371]

Saraogi *et al.* described mannosylated gelatin nanoparticles for targeted transport of the potent anti-TB agent isoniazid into macrophages.[354] The prepared nanoparticle size was between 260 and 380 nm with a maximum drug payload of 40-55%. The macrophage targeting efficacy of the prepared nanoparticles was evaluated against J774 cell lines using fluorescence activated cell sorters (FACS).[354] The same group previously studied mannosylated solid lipid GNPs loaded with rifabutin and reported promising alveolar macrophage uptake, drug release, and haematological studies.[357] Kumar *et al.* reported

uptake of rifampicin-loaded GNP dendrimers and found the dendrimer displayed a reduced rate of drug release at pH 7.4, and lowered haemolytic toxicity; but the mannosylated dendritic system stimulated significant alveolar macrophage uptake and elevated drug release at pH 5.0.[372]

5.1.2 Salmonellosis

Salmonella infections occur after ingestion of contaminated food or after contact with another person with this infection and are caused by the salmonella bacteria. Salmonella bacteria also cause typhoid fever when they enter the lymphatic system, which makes treatment more difficult as Salmonella can produce biofilms and create associated gallstones.[371] Dipshikha *et al.* prepared chitosan-dextran sulphate nanocapsule (CD) for efficient targeting and killing intracellular *Salmonella* infection after successfully loading ciprofloxacin into the nanocapsule.[133] These displayed significant inhibition of *Salmonella* infections *in vivo* in time-dependent manner upon treatment with antibiotic loaded CD compared to free drug. Noha and Mohamed developed ceftriaxone sodium (CTX)-loaded chitosan GNPs and these nanoparticles exhibited considerable reduction of *Salmonella typhimurium* in J774.2 macrophage cells compared to placebo nanoparticles.[114]

5.1.3 Staphylococcus aureus

Staphylococcus aureus is a leading cause of death in hospital settings and causes illnesses such as skin infections, pneumonia, meningitis, osteomyelitis, endocarditis and sepsis. *S. aureus* occasionally causes intracellular infections by invading macrophages, and can survive intracellularly for up to a week.[351] Maya *et al.* reported a tetracycline-loaded chitosan-based GNP that displayed sixfold more effective killing of *S. aureus* within macrophages compared to free tetracycline treatment.[351] Zhongwei and co-workers developed another chitosan GNP (CM-chitosan) formulation with gentamycin sulfate (GS) to achieve potent bacterial growth inhibition of *S. aureus*.[373] They also reported the loaded GS was beneficial for the osteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cell responses as well as enhancing the antibacterial efficiency.[373]

5.2 Protozoan infectious diseases

Leishmania is a protozoa that causes a number of infectious diseases and is transmitted through vector insects (sandflies). The infection can spread to vital organs including liver and spleen, subsequently causing visceral leishmaniasis. Leishmaniasis is endemic in many

developing countries and often results in death if not treated correctly. The infection has a co-synergism with the HIV infection resulting in an increasing incidence of leishmaniasis.[22] The intracellular localization of *Leishmania* inside the phagolysosome of host macrophages limits chemotherapy treatment.[11] There are many compounds with antileishmanial activity, but their use is compromised because of their toxicity or limited bioavailability. The oldest frontline antileishmanial agents used are pentavalent antimonials including meglumine antimonials, or sodium stibogluconate, but these are toxic for both the liver and the skin. Liposomal formulation of amphotericin B is also used as an antileishmanial treatment, but this is toxic to the liver and heart. Other antileishmanial drugs include the recently FDA-approved miltefosine, as well as aphidicolin, atovaquone, pentamidine, primaquine, and natural products such as amarogentin, andrographolide and the aminoglycoside paromomycin.[22]

Recently, lectin-functionalized lipo-polymerosome encapsulated amphotericin B (AmB) has been designed to target macrophage receptors for treatment of visceral leishmaniasis.[331] Chaubey *et al.* studied curcumin-loaded GNPs for the treatment of visceral *Leishmania donovani* infection.[112] Their pharmacokinetic study of the drug-loaded mannosylated chitosan GNPs exhibited significant improvement of mean resident time and an uptake study showed the effective endocytosis of GNPs within the macrophages of reticuloendothelial system.[112] This group also studied use of the antitubercular rifampicin loaded into the same mannose-chitosan GNPs for the treatment of leishmaniasis.[117] Astana and co-workers reported an amphotericin B-loaded GNP for visceral leishmaniasis treatment where the *in vitro* result showed 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of 0.2 µg AmB/ml compare to free drug and these GNPs were also successful *in vivo*. [374]

5.3 Viral infectious diseases

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is a Lentivirus that leads to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), an immunocompromised condition that increases susceptibility to macrophage resident diseases, particularly TB.[375] The joint United Nations Programme on HIV and AIDS (UNAIDS) reported around 2.1 million new cases with 1.1 million AIDS-related death just in 2015.[376] Although two viral types of HIV are responsible for AIDS, type 1 (HIV-1) exhibits higher transmission rates and more rapid progression.[377] White blood cells including macrophages are the first to be infected by the

virus and this generally begins with binding of envelop gp 120 to the CD4 receptor on the extra-cellular side, followed by binding of other co-receptors, thereby delivering the viral core to the cytoplasm where there is reverse-transcription of viral RNA genome into DNA.[378, 379] As with other intracellular infections, this residency protects the virus against antiretroviral treatment.[380]

Recently, Stavudine-loaded chitosan nanoparticles have been developed for treating AIDS,[357, 363, 364] and Dev *et al.* designed poly(lactic acid)/chitosan GNPs for lamivudine drug delivery.[365] Stearate-g-chitosan oligosaccharide polymeric micelles were also used to deliver lamivudine and showed high uptake with low cytotoxicity toward viral transfected cells.[366] Azidothymidine-triphosphate, the active form of AZT (Zidovudine), is still important for the treatment of HIV. Chitosan GNPs have been synthesized for the intracellular targeting of triphosphate analogues of Zidovudine for the treatment of HIV with minimal particle size of 200 nm.[367] More recently, saquinavir-loaded chitosan GNPs were reported to be potent anti-HIV therapeutic systems and displayed enhanced inhibition of viral growth.[368]

Recently, Stavudine-loaded chitosan nanoparticles have been developed for treating AIDS.[375, 381, 382] and Dev *et al.* designed poly(lactic acid)/chitosan GNPs for lamivudine drug delivery.[383] Stearate-g-chitosan oligosaccharide polymeric micelles were also used to deliver lamivudine and showed high uptake with low cytotoxicity toward viral transfected cells.[384] Azidothymidine-triphosphate, the active form of AZT (Zidovudine), is still important for the treatment of HIV. Chitosan GNPs have been synthesized for the intracellular targeting of triphosphate analogues of Zidovudine for the treatment of HIV with minimal particle size of 200 nm.[385] More recently, saquinavir-loaded chitosan GNPs were reported to be potent anti-HIV therapeutic systems and displayed enhanced inhibition of viral growth.[386]

5.4 Fungal infections

Fungal infections are a global health concern especially regarding management of individuals who have weakened immune systems such as those who have HIV/AIDS or cancer. Candidiasis, aspergillosis and cryptococcosis are some of the opportunistic infections that

impact such patients, particularly in hospital settings.[387] Once the fungus reaches the bloodstream, phagocytosing macrophages play a vital role to restrain the fungus or allow it to spread to different organs including brain, lungs and kidneys.[388] The presence of any fungal infection in patients commonly indicates that the host defence systems have been compromised to some extent. The clinical effect of the fungal disease in the immunocompromised host depends on the nature of the underlying disorder and the types of the fungus involved. In general, fungal diseases are very likely to be progressive in nature, and if left untreated, can become life threatening.[389]

Many drugs can be used to combat all systemic and superficial fungal infections in humans. Various effective antifungal agents available include polyenes, azoles, allylamines, flucytosine, morpholines, griseofulvin, hydroxyl-stibamine, triphenylmethanes, iodides and imidazole classes of drugs.[390, 391] Among them, polyene antibiotics are the most widely used because of their broad spectrum antifungal activity. Amphotericin B (Amp B) is used to treat systemic infections including aspergillosis, candidiasis and histoplasmosis. The major side effects associated with Amp B are its dose-dependent toxicity to the host, particularly in kidneys. Other side effects of Amp B includes fever to severe haemolytic anaemia, headache, chills, hypotension, thrombophlebitis, dyspnoea, nausea and acute nephritis, limiting its complete exploitation as a suitable therapeutic measure.[392]

Chemical analogues of Amp B have been prepared to enhance biodistribution and reduce its toxicity but have not led to clinical success. Different drug delivery systems have been demonstrated to deliver Amp B while eliminating its toxic side reactions as well as increasing its therapeutic index. The development of liposomes as vehicles for Amp B has been demonstrated against numerous fungal diseases including candidiasis, histoplasmosis and cryptococcosis. This allows the selective administration of Amp B to phagocytes with higher doses to achieve a sustained drug distribution and systemic trafficking to the sites of active disease, reducing the potential nephrotoxicity of Amp B. However, liposomal formulations have limited shelf life, and high expense per treatment.[392] Therefore, much effort has been spent to produce more affordable Amp B delivery vehicles.

Glyconanoparticles are being developed as better Amp B delivery systems because of their many attributes discussed throughout this review. Tiyafoonchai and co-workers reported uniform spherical shape Amp B-chitosan-dextran sulfate nanoparticles (700-800 nm) made by PEC with efficient drug loading capacity, a rapid release profile and reduced renal

toxicity.[393] Recently, Parker *et al.* reported chitosan and PEG sponges for invasive fungal infection, such as those faced by soldiers in Afghanistan from 2009 to 2010, for delivery of Amp B.[387] They showed the drug Amp B eluted from the blended sponges within an hour. In a mouse model, they found 100% death of *S. aureus* for the vancomycin-loaded chitosan/PEG 6000 sponges.[387, 394]

Figure 27: Schematic illustration of Amp B conjugated arabinogalactan.

The antifungal activity of Amp B conjugated onto natural polysaccharide chains of arabinogalactan through reductive amination has been reported by Ehrenfreund-Kleinman *et al.* for efficient inhibition of pathogenic yeast growth (Figure 28). [395, 396] The conjugates proved less hemolytic (60x) compared to the free drug, and less toxic (40x) in an *in vivo* experiment for acute toxicity. Moreover, the conjugation of Amp B to arabinogalactan neutralizes the toxic effect of cytokine induction in kidneys, and apoptosis in renal tubular cells.[392, 397] Vieira and Carmona-Ribeiro reported that cationic nanoparticles prepared from Amp B, cationic lipid and polyelectrolytes display high colloidal stability and excellent fungicidal activity against *C. albicans*. [398] *In vitro* studies suggest the efficient killing of *C. albicans* for the Amp B loaded cationic nanoparticles relative to free drug.[398]

The liposomal encapsulation of cyclodextrin and Amp B has been developed providing higher stability and an improved *in vivo* efficacy compared to free drug or traditional Amp B liposomes.[399] It has been found incorporating p-aminophenyl-mannopyranoside in

liposomes delivers Amp B to liver and spleen, suggesting that mannopyranoside is a specific ligand for targeting bioactives to the macrophages in these organs while *O*-palmitoyl mannan accumulated the drug in the lungs which preferentially navigates the targeting of bioactives to the alveolar macrophages.[400] Narendra and co-workers developed Amp B loaded muramyl dipeptide conjugated poly(propylene imine) dendrimers for targeting macrophages and caused preferential stimulation of Th1 immunity to increase the safety and efficacy of Amp B.[401] An *in vitro* study revealed very significant reduction of toxicity against erythrocytes and J774A.1 macrophages and an *in vivo* study demonstrated improved antimicrobial activity in Balb/c mice.[401]

Apart from Amp B delivery, chitosan-tailored cubic GNPs were developed using the thin film hydration method with Pluronic F127 to deliver clotrimazole antifungal agents and to improve the mucoadhesion properties.[402] The optimum concentration of the polymer mixture in the cubic nanoparticles loaded with clotrimazole showed significantly higher antifungal activity than conventional clotrimazole suspension against *C. albicans*. [402] Low-methoxy amidated pectin (LMA) and carboxymethylcellulose based formulation was prepared to deliver econazole nitrate against *C. albicans* and *C. krusei*. [403] Finally, Saraf and his group reported cellulose based mucoadhesive gel in a novel ternary mixture of miconazole nitrate with efficient killing of *C. albicans*, *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Sporothrix schenckii*. [404]

6. Future perspective of GNPs

The future success of GNPs in treatment of immune resident infections lies in the development of more efficient approaches towards their preparation while improving their selectivity toward macrophages and/or microorganisms. The potency of the GNPs can be developed *via* control of drug release rate allowing rapid release of payloads from the GNPs at the targeted site. To implement the GNPs clinically, it is not only important for GNPs to target the diseased cells, but they also need to have high drug-loading capability, be non-toxic, cost-effective and potential for industrial manufacturing. Unfortunately, GNPs seldom achieve all of these requirements currently.[373, 405] Liposomal formulations of AmpB (discussed in Section 5.2) and doxorubicin (Doxil[®]) were successfully approved in 1995, and several have been approved since, primarily targeting cancer.[406] Among antibiotics, nebulised liposomal amikacin (ArikaceTM) completed phase II studies in CF patients

recently.[407] Beyond liposomes, more complex drug delivery systems such as the vast majority described herein will require further development and scrutiny.

It is challenging to prepare the GNPs in a uniform, reproducible and scalable manner as the polymer structure such as molecular weight, dispersity, functional group, purity etc. varies depending on their source and batch. In addition, the size of the GNPs is required to be in a range (less than 200 nm) for better macrophage uptake as well as protect them from rapid clearance, particularly the resident macrophages of the liver (Kupffer cells), bone marrow, lung and spleen.[408] At present, it is still somewhat challenging to manufacture a GNP system with suitable properties. The main drawbacks of many GNPs are their ill-defined toxicity profiles as new chemical entities which require additional FDA approval.[389] Greater understanding of the mechanism between GNPs and the targeted living cells are required to optimize these antimicrobial drug delivery systems.

This is not meant to imply there is anything but tremendous potential for these systems in drug delivery and more broadly as biological probes. For example, a recent report by Bertozzi highlights the utility of glycopolymer synthesis using *N*-carboxyanhydrides of glycosylated serine residues with different carbohydrate ligands to active antigen-presenting cells through either Dectin-1 or Dectin-2.[409] This facile glycopeptide synthetic method has been developed by Lecommandoux and others for application to cancer DDS[410] redox-triggered drug release[5] and interaction with macrophage lectins.[411]

What have we learned about application of GNP's for macrophage drug delivery to date? From a carbohydrate standpoint, it is clear that mannose and to a similar extent *N*-acetylglucosamine promote significant uptake by macrophages.[204] Chitosan has been used frequently for construction of macrophage-targeting GNPs and the *N*-acetylglucosamine residues may play a role in enhancing uptake. Fructose-coated systems have little affinity for macrophages but can target cancer cells via GLUT5, a fructose-specific transporter.[412] Galactose can target the liver and improve accumulation in lysosomes provided it is linked via its anomeric carbon.[212] Little attention has been paid to potential synergies possible by using multiple carbohydrate types on the surface of GNP's, but this may allow dual targeting toward both the macrophage and microbial cell-surface.

Perhaps such dual targeting will be facilitated by structures that closely mimic the shape of microorganisms described in this review. Bacterial pathogens like mycobacteria and salmonella have rod-like structures that are accessible by modern polymer chemistry. For

example, block copolymers can be engineered to form cylindrical rod-shaped micelles. It has been noted that rod-shaped filamentous bacteria are best phagocytized at their ends in a manner that has been similarly proposed for GNP rods.[337] There is evidence pathogenic bacteria possess morphologic plasticity and actually elongate to avoid immune consumption.[413] Successful macrophage uptake of *E. coli* has been shown to require access to bacterial filament termini and as a bacterial cell elongates this becomes more difficult.[414] This may inform the design of rod-shaped GNP's where it might be ideal to have the macrophage targeting ligands on the caps leaving the core structure available for ligands to interact with the microorganism whether this be secondary targeting ligands or linkers that are biodegradable by pathogen-specific enzymes.

Figure 28. A model for dual-targeted antimicrobial drug delivery.

7. Concluding remarks

Carbohydrates have been a crucial component behind development of novel drug targeting methods for several decades.[28-33, 390] This review has focused mainly upon development of carbohydrate-based nanoparticles (GNPs) toward macrophage targeting and their potential application in antimicrobial drug delivery systems. The clinical and commercial impact of the antimicrobial drug delivery system based on GNPs has significantly advanced over recent decades directly enabled by synthetic polymer chemistry, material science and bioengineering technology. Particularly, the introduction of new powerful polymerization methods has afforded glycopolymers of diverse architectures that have been exploited to produce well-defined and unique properties of GNPs with better targeting capability towards macrophages as well as high loading content of antimicrobial drugs.[232, 325] Despite

current progress, many factors need to be considered for the application of GNPs in clinical use including well-defined toxicity profiles, high drug loading and releasing efficiency, and reproducibility. Furthermore, macrophage targeting could be improved by identifying the precise interactions of lectin receptors, which are present exclusively on macrophages, for various types of GNPs to facilitate drug targeting to various macrophage types generated from different parts, organs and/or cells of the body.

Author Contributions

Tamim Mosaib, Dylan C. Farr, Milton J. Kiefel and Todd A. Houston contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] L.J. De Cock, S. De Koker, B.G. De Geest, J. Grooten, C. Vervaet, J.P. Remon, G.B. Sukhorukov, M.N. Antipina, Polymeric Multilayer Capsules in Drug Delivery, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 49 (2010) 6954-6973.
- [2] K. Raemdonck, T.F. Martens, K. Braeckmans, J. Demeester, S.C. De Smedt, Polysaccharide-based nucleic acid nanoformulations, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 65 (2013) 1123-1147.
- [3] S. Xie, Y. Tao, Y. Pan, W. Qu, G. Cheng, L. Huang, D. Chen, X. Wang, Z. Liu, Z. Yuan, Biodegradable nanoparticles for intracellular delivery of antimicrobial agents, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 187 (2014) 101-117.
- [4] K. Forier, K. Raemdonck, S.C. De Smedt, J. Demeester, T. Coenye, K. Braeckmans, Lipid and polymer nanoparticles for drug delivery to bacterial biofilms, *Journal of Controlled Release*, (2014) 607-623.
- [5] J.R. Kramer, T.J. Deming, Glycopolypeptides with a Redox-Triggered Helix-to-Coil Transition, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 134 (2012) 4112-4115.
- [6] A.Z. Wilczewska, K. Niemirowicz, K.H. Markiewicz, H. Car, Nanoparticles as drug delivery systems, *Pharmacological Reports*, 64 (2012) 1020-1037.
- [7] U.S. Toti, B.R. Guru, M. Hali, C.M. McPharlin, S.M. Wykes, J. Panyam, J.A. Whittum-Hudson, Targeted delivery of antibiotics to intracellular chlamydial infections using PLGA nanoparticles, *Biomaterials*, 32 (2011) 6606-6613.
- [8] G. Fontana, M. Licciardi, S. Mansueto, D. Schillaci, G. Giammona, Amoxicillin-loaded polyethylcyanoacrylate nanoparticles: influence of PEG coating on the particle size, drug release rate and phagocytic uptake, *Biomaterials*, 22 (2001) 2857-2865.
- [9] H. Zhang, Y. Ma, X.-L. Sun, Recent developments in carbohydrate-decorated targeted drug/gene delivery, *Medicinal Research Reviews*, 30 (2010) 270-289.
- [10] M. Ahmed, R. Narain, Glyconanoparticles for Gene Delivery, 1119 (2012) 81-105.

- [11] F. Chellat, Y. Merhi, A. Moreau, L.H. Yahia, Therapeutic potential of nanoparticulate systems for macrophage targeting, *Biomaterials*, 26 (2005) 7260-7275.
- [12] S.K. Sahoo, S. Parveen, J.J. Panda, The present and future of nanotechnology in human health care, *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine*, 3 (2007) 20-31.
- [13] M.M. Mehanna, S.M. Mohyeldin, N.A. Elgindy, Respirable nanocarriers as a promising strategy for antitubercular drug delivery, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 187 (2014) 183-197.
- [14] N. Abed, P. Couvreur, Nanocarriers for antibiotics: A promising solution to treat intracellular bacterial infections, *International journal of antimicrobial agents*, 43 (2014) 485-496.
- [15] S.K. Nitta, K. Numata, Biopolymer-based nanoparticles for drug/gene delivery and tissue engineering, *International journal of molecular sciences*, 14 (2013) 1629-1654.
- [16] M.-H. Xiong, Y. Bao, X.-Z. Yang, Y.-H. Zhu, J. Wang, Delivery of antibiotics with polymeric particles, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, (2014) 63-76.
- [17] G. Chen, I. Roy, C. Yang, P.N. Prasad, Nanochemistry and Nanomedicine for Nanoparticle-based Diagnostics and Therapy, *Chemical Reviews*, 116 (2016) 2826-2885.
- [18] C. Lawlor, C. Kelly, S. O'Leary, M.P. O'Sullivan, P.J. Gallagher, J. Keane, S.A. Cryan, Cellular targeting and trafficking of drug delivery systems for the prevention and treatment of MTb, *Tuberculosis (Edinb)*, 91 (2011) 93-97.
- [19] J.M. Irache, H.H. Salman, C. Gamazo, S. Espuelas, Mannose-targeted systems for the delivery of therapeutics, *Expert Opin Drug Del*, 5 (2008) 703-724.
- [20] C. Loira-Pastoriza, J. Todoroff, R. Vanbever, Delivery strategies for sustained drug release in the lungs, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, (2014).
- [21] J. Kreuter, Drug delivery to the central nervous system by polymeric nanoparticles: What do we know?, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 71 (2014) 2-14.
- [22] E. Briones, C. Isabel Colino, J.M. Lanao, Delivery systems to increase the selectivity of antibiotics in phagocytic cells, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 125 (2008) 210-227.
- [23] J.P. Paques, E. van der Linden, C.J.M. van Rijn, L.M.C. Sagis, Preparation methods of alginate nanoparticles, *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 209 (2014) 163-171.
- [24] A.C. Engler, N. Wiradharma, Z.Y. Ong, D.J. Coady, J.L. Hedrick, Y.-Y. Yang, Emerging trends in macromolecular antimicrobials to fight multi-drug-resistant infections, *Nano Today*, 7 (2012) 201-222.
- [25] M. Elsayahy, K.L. Wooley, Design of polymeric nanoparticles for biomedical delivery applications, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 41 (2012) 2545-2561.
- [26] R.T. Chacko, J. Ventura, J. Zhuang, S. Thayumanavan, Polymer nanogels: A versatile nanoscopic drug delivery platform, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 64 (2012) 836-851.
- [27] R. De Souza, P. Zahedi, C.J. Allen, M. Piquette-Miller, Polymeric drug delivery systems for localized cancer chemotherapy, *Drug delivery*, 17 (2010) 365-375.
- [28] N. Zhang, P. Wardwell, R. Bader, Polysaccharide-Based Micelles for Drug Delivery, *Pharmaceutics*, 5 (2013) 329-352.
- [29] Z. Liu, Y. Jiao, Y. Wang, C. Zhou, Z. Zhang, Polysaccharides-based nanoparticles as drug delivery systems, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 60 (2008) 1650-1662.
- [30] C. Lemarchand, R. Gref, P. Couvreur, Polysaccharide-decorated nanoparticles, *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 58 (2004) 327-341.
- [31] M. Kong, X.G. Chen, K. Xing, H.J. Park, Antimicrobial properties of chitosan and mode of action: A state of the art review, *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 144 (2010) 51-63.
- [32] S. Shu, L. Sun, X. Zhang, Z. Wu, Z. Wang, C. Li, Polysaccharides-based polyelectrolyte nanoparticles as protein drugs delivery system, *J Nanopart Res*, 13 (2011) 3657-3670.
- [33] G. Saravanakumar, D.G. Jo, J.H. Park, Polysaccharide-Based Nanoparticles: A Versatile Platform for Drug Delivery and Biomedical Imaging, *Current medicinal chemistry*, 19 (2012) 3212-3229.
- [34] Y.-H. Lin, S.-C. Tsai, C.-H. Lai, C.-H. Lee, Z.S. He, G.-C. Tseng, Genipin-cross-linked fucose-chitosan/heparin nanoparticles for the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*, *Biomaterials*, 34 (2013) 4466-4479.

- [35] A. Wibowo, E.C. Peters, L.C. Hsieh-Wilson, Photoactivatable Glycopolymers for the Proteome-Wide Identification of Fucose- α (1-2)-Galactose Binding Proteins, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 136 (2014) 9528-9531.
- [36] Z. Gu, A.A. Aimetti, Q. Wang, T.T. Dang, Y. Zhang, O. Veiseh, H. Cheng, R.S. Langer, D.G. Anderson, Injectable Nano-Network for Glucose-Mediated Insulin Delivery, *ACS Nano*, 7 (2013) 4194-4201.
- [37] L. Zhao, C. Xiao, J. Ding, P. He, Z. Tang, X. Pang, X. Zhuang, X. Chen, Facile one-pot synthesis of glucose-sensitive nanogel via thiol-ene click chemistry for self-regulated drug delivery, *Acta biomaterialia*, 9 (2013) 6535-6543.
- [38] C.-H. Lai, T.-C. Chang, Y.-J. Chuang, D.-L. Tzou, C.-C. Lin, Stepwise Orthogonal Click Chemistry toward Fabrication of Paclitaxel/Galactose Functionalized Fluorescent Nanoparticles for HepG2 Cell Targeting and Delivery, *Bioconjugate Chem*, 24 (2013) 1698-1709.
- [39] V. Ladmiral, M. Semsarilar, I. Canton, S.P. Armes, Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly of Galactose-Functionalized Biocompatible Diblock Copolymers for Intracellular Delivery, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 135 (2013) 13574-13581.
- [40] R. Yang, J. Xu, L. Xu, X. Sun, Q. Chen, Y. Zhao, R. Peng, Z. Liu, Cancer Cell Membrane-Coated Adjuvant Nanoparticles with Mannose Modification for Effective Anticancer Vaccination, *ACS Nano*, 12 (2018) 5121-5129.
- [41] H.L. Jiang, M.L. Kang, J.S. Quan, S.G. Kang, T. Akaike, H.S. Yoo, C.S. Cho, The potential of mannosylated chitosan microspheres to target macrophage mannose receptors in an adjuvant-delivery system for intranasal immunization, *Biomaterials*, 29 (2008) 1931-1939.
- [42] P. Tripathi, P. Dwivedi, R. Khatik, A.K. Jaiswal, A. Dube, P. Shukla, P.R. Mishra, Development of 4-sulfated N-acetyl galactosamine anchored chitosan nanoparticles: A dual strategy for effective management of Leishmaniasis, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 136 (2015) 150-159.
- [43] D. Singodia, A. Verma, R.K. Verma, P.R. Mishra, Investigations into an alternate approach to target mannose receptors on macrophages using 4-sulfated N-acetyl galactosamine more efficiently in comparison with mannose-decorated liposomes: an application in drug delivery, *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine*, 8 (2012) 468-477.
- [44] I. Papp, C. Sieben, K. Ludwig, M. Roskamp, C. Böttcher, S. Schlecht, A. Herrmann, R. Haag, Inhibition of Influenza Virus Infection by Multivalent Sialic-Acid-Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles, *Small*, 6 (2010) 2900-2906.
- [45] G. Tosi, A.V. Vergoni, B. Ruozzi, L. Bondioli, L. Badiali, F. Rivasi, L. Costantino, F. Forni, M.A. Vandelli, Sialic acid and glycopeptides conjugated PLGA nanoparticles for central nervous system targeting: In vivo pharmacological evidence and biodistribution, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 145 (2010) 49-57.
- [46] E. Marsich, M. Borgogna, I. Donati, P. Mozetic, B.L. Strand, S.G. Salvador, F. Vittur, S. Paoletti, Alginate/lactose-modified chitosan hydrogels: a bioactive biomaterial for chondrocyte encapsulation, *Journal of biomedical materials research. Part A*, 84 (2008) 364-376.
- [47] A. Fernández-Ferreiro, N. Fernández Bargiela, M.S. Varela, M.G. Martínez, M. Pardo, A. Piñeiro Ces, J.B. Méndez, M.G. Barcia, M.J. Lamas, F.J. Otero-Espinar, Cyclodextrin-polysaccharide-based, in situ-gelled system for ocular antifungal delivery, *Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 10 (2014) 2903-2911.
- [48] F. van de Manakker, T. Vermonden, C.F. van Nostrum, W.E. Hennink, Cyclodextrin-Based Polymeric Materials: Synthesis, Properties, and Pharmaceutical/Biomedical Applications, *Biomacromolecules*, 10 (2009) 3157-3175.
- [49] W. Song, X. Yu, S. Wang, R. Blasier, D.C. Markel, G. Mao, T. Shi, W. Ren, Cyclodextrin-erythromycin complexes as a drug delivery device for orthopedic application, *International journal of nanomedicine*, 6 (2011) 3173-3186.
- [50] S.M. Abdelghany, D. Schmid, J. Deacon, J. Jaworski, F. Fay, K.M. McLaughlin, J.A. Gormley, J.F. Burrows, D.B. Longley, R.F. Donnelly, C.J. Scott, Enhanced Antitumor Activity of the Photosensitizer

meso-Tetra(N-methyl-4-pyridyl) Porphine Tetra Tosylate through Encapsulation in Antibody-Targeted Chitosan/Alginate Nanoparticles, *Biomacromolecules*, 14 (2013) 302-310.

[51] S. Tiwari, A.P. Chaturvedi, Y.B. Tripathi, B. Mishra, Microspheres based on mannosylated lysine-co-sodium alginate for macrophage-specific delivery of isoniazid, *Carbohydr Polym*, 87 (2012) 1575-1582.

[52] B. Pelaz, C. Alexiou, R.A. Alvarez-Puebla, F. Alves, A.M. Andrews, S. Ashraf, L.P. Balogh, L. Ballerini, A. Bestetti, C. Brendel, S. Bosi, M. Carril, W.C.W. Chan, C. Chen, X. Chen, X. Chen, Z. Cheng, D. Cui, J. Du, C. Dullin, A. Escudero, N. Feliu, M. Gao, M. George, Y. Gogotsi, A. Grünweller, Z. Gu, N.J. Halas, N. Hampp, R.K. Hartmann, M.C. Hersam, P. Hunziker, J. Jian, X. Jiang, P. Jungebluth, P. Kadhiresan, K. Kataoka, A. Khademhosseini, J. Kopeček, N.A. Kotov, H.F. Krug, D.S. Lee, C.-M. Lehr, K.W. Leong, X.-J. Liang, M. Ling Lim, L.M. Liz-Marzán, X. Ma, P. Macchiaroni, H. Meng, H. Möhwald, P. Mulvaney, A.E. Nel, S. Nie, P. Nordlander, T. Okano, J. Oliveira, T.H. Park, R.M. Penner, M. Prato, V. Puntès, V.M. Rotello, A. Samarakoon, R.E. Schaak, Y. Shen, S. Sjöqvist, A.G. Skirtach, M.G. Soliman, M.M. Stevens, H.-W. Sung, B.Z. Tang, R. Tietze, B.N. Udugama, J.S. VanEpps, T. Weil, P.S. Weiss, I. Willner, Y. Wu, L. Yang, Z. Yue, Q. Zhang, Q. Zhang, X.-E. Zhang, Y. Zhao, X. Zhou, W.J. Parak, *Diverse Applications of Nanomedicine*, *ACS Nano*, 11 (2017) 2313-2381.

[53] A.A. Date, A. Shibata, E. McMullen, K. La Bruzzo, P. Bruck, M. Belshan, Y. Zhou, C.J. Destache, Thermosensitive Gel Containing Cellulose Acetate Phthalate-Efavirenz Combination Nanoparticles for Prevention of HIV-1 Infection, *Journal of biomedical nanotechnology*, 11 (2015) 416-427.

[54] Q. Zhang, D. Lin, S. Yao, Review on biomedical and bioengineering applications of cellulose sulfate, *Carbohydr Polym*, 132 (2015) 311-322.

[55] M.J. Ernsting, W.-L. Tang, N. MacCallum, S.-D. Li, Synthetic Modification of Carboxymethylcellulose and Use Thereof to Prepare a Nanoparticle Forming Conjugate of Docetaxel for Enhanced Cytotoxicity against Cancer Cells, *Bioconjugate Chem*, 22 (2011) 2474-2486.

[56] S. Kaihara, Y. Suzuki, K. Fujimoto, In situ synthesis of polysaccharide nanoparticles via polyion complex of carboxymethyl cellulose and chitosan, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 85 (2011) 343-348.

[57] Y. Luo, Q. Wang, Recent development of chitosan-based polyelectrolyte complexes with natural polysaccharides for drug delivery, *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 64 (2014) 353-367.

[58] L. Casettari, L. Illum, Chitosan in nasal delivery systems for therapeutic drugs, *Journal of Controlled Release*, (2014).

[59] N. Joshi, R. Saha, T. Shanmugam, B. Balakrishnan, P. More, R. Banerjee, Carboxymethyl-Chitosan-Tethered Lipid Vesicles: Hybrid Nanobasket for Oral Delivery of Paclitaxel, *Biomacromolecules*, 14 (2013) 2272-2282.

[60] B. Shi, Z. Shen, H. Zhang, J. Bi, S. Dai, Exploring N-Imidazolyl-O-Carboxymethyl Chitosan for High Performance Gene Delivery, *Biomacromolecules*, 13 (2011) 146-153.

[61] H.Y. Yoon, S. Son, S.J. Lee, D.G. You, J.Y. Yhee, J.H. Park, M. Swierczewska, S. Lee, I.C. Kwon, S.H. Kim, K. Kim, M.G. Pomper, Glycol chitosan nanoparticles as specialized cancer therapeutic vehicles: Sequential delivery of doxorubicin and Bcl-2 siRNA, *Scientific Reports*, 4 (2014) 6878.

[62] A. Trapani, S. Di Gioia, N. Ditaranto, N. Cioffi, F.M. Goycoolea, A. Carbone, M. Garcia-Fuentes, M. Conese, M.J. Alonso, Systemic heparin delivery by the pulmonary route using chitosan and glycol chitosan nanoparticles, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 447 (2013) 115-123.

[63] M. Amidi, S.G. Romeijn, G. Borchard, H.E. Junginger, W.E. Hennink, W. Jiskoot, Preparation and characterization of protein-loaded N-trimethyl chitosan nanoparticles as nasal delivery system, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 111 (2006) 107-116.

[64] S. Cafaggi, E. Russo, R. Stefani, R. Leardi, G. Caviglioli, B. Parodi, G. Bignardi, D. De Toter, C. Aiello, M. Viale, Preparation and evaluation of nanoparticles made of chitosan or N-trimethyl chitosan and a cisplatin-alginate complex, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 121 (2007) 110-123.

- [65] M.H. Hettiaratchi, T. Miller, J.S. Temenoff, R.E. Guldberg, T.C. McDevitt, Heparin microparticle effects on presentation and bioactivity of bone morphogenetic protein-2, *Biomaterials*, 35 (2014) 7228-7238.
- [66] K.S. Soppimath, A.R. Kulkarni, T.M. Aminabhavi, Chemically modified polyacrylamide-g-guar gum-based crosslinked anionic microgels as pH-sensitive drug delivery systems: preparation and characterization, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 75 (2001) 331-345.
- [67] U.S. Toti, T.M. Aminabhavi, Modified guar gum matrix tablet for controlled release of diltiazem hydrochloride, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 95 (2004) 567-577.
- [68] L. Cui, J.A. Cohen, K.E. Broaders, T.T. Beaudette, J.M.J. Fréchet, Mannosylated Dextran Nanoparticles: A pH-Sensitive System Engineered for Immunomodulation through Mannose Targeting, *Bioconjugate Chem*, 22 (2011) 949-957.
- [69] E. Lu, S. Franzblau, H. Onyuksel, C. Popescu, Preparation of aminoglycoside-loaded chitosan nanoparticles using dextran sulphate as a counterion, *Journal of microencapsulation*, 26 (2009) 346-354.
- [70] E. Lu, S. Franzblau, H. Onyuksel, C. Popescu, Preparation of aminoglycoside-loaded chitosan nanoparticles using dextran sulphate as a counterion, *Journal of microencapsulation*, 26 (2009) 346-354.
- [71] R. Heo, D.G. You, W. Um, K.Y. Choi, S. Jeon, J.-S. Park, Y. Choi, S. Kwon, K. Kim, I.C. Kwon, D.-G. Jo, Y.M. Kang, J.H. Park, Dextran sulfate nanoparticles as a theranostic nanomedicine for rheumatoid arthritis, *Biomaterials*, 131 (2017) 15-26.
- [72] W. Ha, H. Wu, X.-L. Wang, S.-L. Peng, L.-S. Ding, S. Zhang, B.-J. Li, Self-aggregates of cholesterol-modified carboxymethyl konjac glucomannan conjugate: Preparation, characterization, and preliminary assessment as a carrier of etoposide, *Carbohydr Polym*, 86 (2011) 513-519.
- [73] Y.-q. Zhang, B.-j. Xie, X. Gan, Advance in the applications of konjac glucomannan and its derivatives, *Carbohydr Polym*, 60 (2005) 27-31.
- [74] J.-X. Xiao, L.-H. Wang, T.-C. Xu, G.-Q. Huang, Complex coacervation of carboxymethyl konjac glucomannan and chitosan and coacervate characterization, *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 123 (2019) 436-445.
- [75] D.-W. Tang, S.-H. Yu, Y.-C. Ho, F.-L. Mi, P.-L. Kuo, H.-W. Sung, Heparinized chitosan/poly(γ -glutamic acid) nanoparticles for multi-functional delivery of fibroblast growth factor and heparin, *Biomaterials*, 31 (2010) 9320-9332.
- [76] K.Y. Choi, H.Y. Yoon, J.-H. Kim, S.M. Bae, R.-W. Park, Y.M. Kang, I.-S. Kim, I.C. Kwon, K. Choi, S.Y. Jeong, K. Kim, J.H. Park, Smart Nanocarrier Based on PEGylated Hyaluronic Acid for Cancer Therapy, *ACS Nano*, 5 (2011) 8591-8599.
- [77] Y. Minaberry, D.A. Chiappetta, A. Sosnik, M. Jobbágy, Micro/Nanostructured Hyaluronic Acid Matrices with Tuned Swelling and Drug Release Properties, *Biomacromolecules*, 14 (2012) 1-9.
- [78] H.Y. Yoon, H. Koo, K.Y. Choi, I. Chan Kwon, K. Choi, J.H. Park, K. Kim, Photo-crosslinked hyaluronic acid nanoparticles with improved stability for in vivo tumor-targeted drug delivery, *Biomaterials*, 34 (2013) 5273-5280.
- [79] N.P. Birch, J.D. Schiffman, Characterization of Self-Assembled Polyelectrolyte Complex Nanoparticles Formed from Chitosan and Pectin, *Langmuir*, 30 (2014) 3441-3447.
- [80] Y. Chang, L. McLandsborough, D.J. McClements, Interactions of a Cationic Antimicrobial (ϵ -Polylysine) with an Anionic Biopolymer (Pectin): An Isothermal Titration Calorimetry, Microelectrophoresis, and Turbidity Study, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59 (2011) 5579-5588.
- [81] G. Gregoriadis, B. McCormack, Z. Wang, R. Lifely, Polysialic acids: potential in drug delivery, *FEBS letters*, 315 (1993) 271-276.
- [82] W. Zhang, D. Dong, P. Li, D. Wang, H. Mu, H. Niu, J. Duan, Novel pH-sensitive polysialic acid based polymeric micelles for triggered intracellular release of hydrophobic drug, *Carbohydr Polym*, 139 (2016) 75-81.

- [83] C. Zhang, T. An, D. Wang, G. Wan, M. Zhang, H. Wang, S. Zhang, R. Li, X. Yang, Y. Wang, Stepwise pH-responsive nanoparticles containing charge-reversible pullulan-based shells and poly(β -amino ester)/poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) cores as carriers of anticancer drugs for combination therapy on hepatocellular carcinoma, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 226 (2016) 193-204.
- [84] Y. Liang, X. Zhao, P.X. Ma, B. Guo, Y. Du, X. Han, pH-responsive injectable hydrogels with mucosal adhesiveness based on chitosan-grafted-dihydrocaffeic acid and oxidized pullulan for localized drug delivery, *J Colloid Interf Sci*, 536 (2019) 224-234.
- [85] B. Singh, V. Sharma, Designing galacturonic acid /arabinogalactan crosslinked poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)- co-poly(2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane sulfonic acid) polymers: Synthesis, characterization and drug delivery application, *Polymer*, 91 (2016) 50-61.
- [86] Z. Zhang, L. Yang, J. Hou, X. Xia, J. Wang, Q. Ning, S. Jiang, Promising positive liver targeting delivery system based on arabinogalactan-anchored polymeric micelles of norcantharidin, *Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology*, 46 (2018) S630-S640.
- [87] Y. Zhang, H. Kong, Y. Fang, K. Nishinari, G.O. Phillips, Schizophyllan: A review on its structure, properties, bioactivities and recent developments, *Bioactive Carbohydrates and Dietary Fibre*, 1 (2013) 53-71.
- [88] Y.-R. Kim, J. Hwang, H.-J. Koh, K. Jang, J.-D. Lee, J. Choi, C.-S. Yang, The targeted delivery of the c-Src peptide complexed with schizophyllan to macrophages inhibits polymicrobial sepsis and ulcerative colitis in mice, *Biomaterials*, 89 (2016) 1-13.
- [89] H. Yamada, B. Loretz, C.-M. Lehr, Design of Starch-graft-PEI Polymers: An Effective and Biodegradable Gene Delivery Platform, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 1753-1761.
- [90] S.F. Chin, S.N.A. Mohd Yazid, S.C. Pang, Preparation and Characterization of Starch Nanoparticles for Controlled Release of Curcumin, *International Journal of Polymer Science*, 2014 (2014) 8.
- [91] C.-K. Chen, Q. Wang, C.H. Jones, Y. Yu, H. Zhang, W.-C. Law, C.K. Lai, Q. Zeng, P.N. Prasad, B.A. Pfeifer, C. Cheng, Synthesis of pH-Responsive Chitosan Nanocapsules for the Controlled Delivery of Doxorubicin, *Langmuir*, 30 (2014) 4111-4119.
- [92] G.Z. Kyzas, P.I. Siafaka, D.A. Lambropoulou, N.K. Lazaridis, D.N. Bikiaris, Poly(itaconic acid)-Grafted Chitosan Adsorbents with Different Cross-Linking for Pb(II) and Cd(II) Uptake, *Langmuir*, 30 (2013) 120-131.
- [93] R. Fernández, C. Ocando, S.C.M. Fernandes, A. Eceiza, A. Tercjak, Optically Active Multilayer Films Based on Chitosan and an Azopolymer, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 1399-1407.
- [94] J. Zhi, Y. Wang, G. Luo, Adsorption of diuretic furosemide onto chitosan nanoparticles prepared with a water-in-oil nanoemulsion system, *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 65 (2005) 249-257.
- [95] H. Liu, B. Chen, Z. Mao, C. Gao, Chitosan nanoparticles for loading of toothpaste actives and adhesion on tooth analogs, *J Appl Polym Sci*, 106 (2007) 4248-4256.
- [96] M. Bodnar, J.F. Hartmann, J. Borbely, Preparation and Characterization of Chitosan-Based Nanoparticles, *Biomacromolecules*, 6 (2005) 2521-2527.
- [97] B.B. Hsu, S.R. Hagerman, K. Jamieson, J. Veselinovic, N. O'Neill, E. Holler, J.Y. Ljubimova, P.T. Hammond, Multilayer Films Assembled from Naturally-Derived Materials for Controlled Protein Release, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 2049-2057.
- [98] M.A. Pujana, L. Pérez-Álvarez, L.C. Cesteros Iturbe, I. Katime, "Water dispersible pH-responsive chitosan nanogels modified with biocompatible crosslinking-agents", *Polymer*, 53 (2012) 3107-3116.
- [99] L. Meng, W. Huang, D. Wang, X. Huang, X. Zhu, D. Yan, Chitosan-Based Nanocarriers with pH and Light Dual Response for Anticancer Drug Delivery, *Biomacromolecules*, 14 (2013) 2601-2610.
- [100] Y. Lee, H.J. Chung, S. Yeo, C.-H. Ahn, H. Lee, P.B. Messersmith, T.G. Park, Thermo-sensitive, injectable, and tissue adhesive sol-gel transition hyaluronic acid/pluronic composite hydrogels prepared from bio-inspired catechol-thiol reaction, *Soft Matter*, 6 (2010) 977.
- [101] R.J. Verheul, B. Slütter, S.M. Bal, J.A. Bouwstra, W. Jiskoot, W.E. Hennink, Covalently stabilized trimethyl chitosan-hyaluronic acid nanoparticles for nasal and intradermal vaccination, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 156 (2011) 46-52.

- [102] S. Mahor, B.C. Dash, S. O'Connor, A. Pandit, Mannosylated Polyethyleneimine–Hyaluronan Nanohybrids for Targeted Gene Delivery to Macrophage-Like Cell Lines, *Bioconjugate Chem*, 23 (2012) 1138-1148.
- [103] A. Martínez, I. Iglesias, R. Lozano, J.M. Teijón, M.D. Blanco, Synthesis and characterization of thiolated alginate-albumin nanoparticles stabilized by disulfide bonds. Evaluation as drug delivery systems, *Carbohydr Polym*, 83 (2011) 1311-1321.
- [104] J.K. Sarmah, R. Mahanta, S.K. Bhattacharjee, R. Mahanta, A. Biswas, Controlled release of tamoxifen citrate encapsulated in cross-linked guar gum nanoparticles, *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 49 (2011) 390-396.
- [105] A. Martínez, A. Fernández, E. Pérez, M. Benito, J. Teijón, M. Blanco, Polysaccharide-based nanoparticles for controlled release formulations, *The delivery of nanoparticles. InTech*, (2012).
- [106] P. Calvo, C. Remuñán-López, J.L. Vila-Jato, M.J. Alonso, Novel hydrophilic chitosan-polyethylene oxide nanoparticles as protein carriers, *J Appl Polym Sci*, 63 (1997) 125-132.
- [107] L. Chen, M. Subirade, Chitosan/ β -lactoglobulin core-shell nanoparticles as nutraceutical carriers, *Biomaterials*, 26 (2005) 6041-6053.
- [108] Y. Huang, Y. Lapitsky, Salt-Assisted Mechanistic Analysis of Chitosan/Tripolyphosphate Micro- and Nanogel Formation, *Biomacromolecules*, 13 (2012) 3868-3876.
- [109] H. Jonassen, A.-L. Kjørnsen, M. Hiorth, Stability of Chitosan Nanoparticles Cross-Linked with Tripolyphosphate, *Biomacromolecules*, 13 (2012) 3747-3756.
- [110] R.E. Domaratzki, A. Ghanem, Encapsulation and release of cladribine from chitosan nanoparticles, *J Appl Polym Sci*, 128 (2013) 2173-2179.
- [111] T. Ramasamy, T. Tran, H. Cho, J. Kim, Y. Kim, J. Jeon, H.-G. Choi, C. Yong, J. Kim, Chitosan-Based Polyelectrolyte Complexes as Potential Nanoparticulate Carriers: Physicochemical and Biological Characterization, *Pharmaceutical research*, 31 (2014) 1302-1314.
- [112] P. Chaubey, R.R. Patel, B. Mishra, Development and optimization of curcumin-loaded mannosylated chitosan nanoparticles using response surface methodology in the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis, *Expert Opin Drug Del*, 0 (2014) 1-19.
- [113] K.A. Janes, M.P. Fresneau, A. Marazuela, A. Fabra, M.a.J. Alonso, Chitosan nanoparticles as delivery systems for doxorubicin, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 73 (2001) 255-267.
- [114] N.M. Zaki, M.M. Hafez, Enhanced Antibacterial Effect of Ceftriaxone Sodium-Loaded Chitosan Nanoparticles Against Intracellular *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Aaps Pharmscitech*, 13 (2012) 411-421.
- [115] X. Deng, M. Cao, J. Zhang, K. Hu, Z. Yin, Z. Zhou, X. Xiao, Y. Yang, W. Sheng, Y. Wu, Y. Zeng, Hyaluronic acid-chitosan nanoparticles for co-delivery of MiR-34a and doxorubicin in therapy against triple negative breast cancer, *Biomaterials*, 35 (2014) 4333-4344.
- [116] S. Honary, P. Ebrahimi, R. Hadianamrei, Optimization of particle size and encapsulation efficiency of vancomycin nanoparticles by response surface methodology, *Pharm Dev Technol*, 19 (2014) 987-998.
- [117] P. Chaubey, B. Mishra, Mannose-conjugated chitosan nanoparticles loaded with rifampicin for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis, *Carbohydr Polym*, 101 (2014) 1101-1108.
- [118] J. Zhang, C. Tang, C. Yin, Galactosylated trimethyl chitosan–cysteine nanoparticles loaded with Map4k4 siRNA for targeting activated macrophages, *Biomaterials*, 34 (2013) 3667-3677.
- [119] B. Slütter, W. Jiskoot, Dual role of CpG as immune modulator and physical crosslinker in ovalbumin loaded N-trimethyl chitosan (TMC) nanoparticles for nasal vaccination, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 148 (2010) 117-121.
- [120] Q. Tian, C.-N. Zhang, X.-H. Wang, W. Wang, W. Huang, R.-T. Cha, C.-H. Wang, Z. Yuan, M. Liu, H.-Y. Wan, H. Tang, Glycyrrhetic acid-modified chitosan/poly(ethylene glycol) nanoparticles for liver-targeted delivery, *Biomaterials*, 31 (2010) 4748-4756.
- [121] M. Ravina, E. Cubillo, D. Olmeda, R. Novoa-Carballal, E. Fernandez-Megia, R. Riguera, A. Sanchez, A. Cano, M.J. Alonso, Hyaluronic Acid/Chitosan-g-Poly(ethylene glycol) Nanoparticles for Gene Therapy: An Application for pDNA and siRNA Delivery, *Pharmaceutical research*, 27 (2010) 2544-2555.

- [122] M. Malhotra, C. Tomaro-Duchesneau, S. Prakash, Synthesis of TAT peptide-tagged PEGylated chitosan nanoparticles for siRNA delivery targeting neurodegenerative diseases, *Biomaterials*, 34 (2013) 1270-1280.
- [123] Q. Xu, L. Guo, X. Gu, B. Zhang, X. Hu, J. Zhang, J. Chen, Y. Wang, C. Chen, B. Gao, Y. Kuang, S. Wang, Prevention of colorectal cancer liver metastasis by exploiting liver immunity via chitosan-TPP/nanoparticles formulated with IL-12, *Biomaterials*, 33 (2012) 3909-3918.
- [124] D.-G. Kim, Y.-I. Jeong, C. Choi, S.-H. Roh, S.-K. Kang, M.-K. Jang, J.-W. Nah, Retinol-encapsulated low molecular water-soluble chitosan nanoparticles, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 319 (2006) 130-138.
- [125] Y.-S. Park, H.-J. Park, J. Lee, Stabilization of glabridin by chitosan nano-complex, *J Korean Soc Appl Biol Chem*, 55 (2012) 457-462.
- [126] Y. Kang, C. Wang, K. Liu, Z. Wang, X. Zhang, Enzyme-Responsive Polymeric Supra-Amphiphiles Formed by the Complexation of Chitosan and ATP, *Langmuir*, 28 (2012) 14562-14566.
- [127] D.A. Rees, E.J. Welsh, Secondary and Tertiary Structure of Polysaccharides in Solutions and Gels, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English*, 16 (1977) 214-224.
- [128] L.W. Chan, H.Y. Lee, P.W.S. Heng, Mechanisms of external and internal gelation and their impact on the functions of alginate as a coat and delivery system, *Carbohydr Polym*, 63 (2006) 176-187.
- [129] N.F.S.d. Melo, E.V.R. Campos, C.M. Gonçalves, E. de Paula, T. Pasquoto, R. de Lima, A.H. Rosa, L.F. Fraceto, Development of hydrophilic nanocarriers for the charged form of the local anesthetic articaine, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 121 (2014) 66-73.
- [130] S. De, D. Robinson, Polymer relationships during preparation of chitosan–alginate and poly-l-lysine–alginate nanospheres, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 89 (2003) 101-112.
- [131] S.K. Motwani, S. Chopra, S. Talegaonkar, K. Kohli, F.J. Ahmad, R.K. Khar, Chitosan–sodium alginate nanoparticles as submicroscopic reservoirs for ocular delivery: Formulation, optimisation and in vitro characterisation, *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 68 (2008) 513-525.
- [132] S.J. Florczyk, K. Wang, S. Jana, D.L. Wood, S.K. Sytsma, J.G. Sham, F.M. Kievit, M.Q. Zhang, Porous chitosan-hyaluronic acid scaffolds as a mimic of glioblastoma microenvironment ECM, *Biomaterials*, 34 (2013) 10143-10150.
- [133] D.P. Gnanadhas, M. Ben Thomas, M. Elango, A.M. Raichur, D. Chakravorty, Chitosan-dextran sulphate nanocapsule drug delivery system as an effective therapeutic against intraphagosomal pathogen *Salmonella*, *The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*, 68 (2013) 2576-2586.
- [134] Y. Xie, H. Qiao, Z. Su, M. Chen, Q. Ping, M. Sun, PEGylated carboxymethyl chitosan/calcium phosphate hybrid anionic nanoparticles mediated hTERT siRNA delivery for anticancer therapy, *Biomaterials*, 35 (2014) 7978-7991.
- [135] A.M. Grumezescu, E. Andronescu, A. Ficai, C. Bleotu, D.E. Mihaiescu, M.C. Chifiriuc, Synthesis, characterization and in vitro assessment of the magnetic chitosan–carboxymethylcellulose biocomposite interactions with the prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 436 (2012) 771-777.
- [136] A. Grenha, M.E. Gomes, M. Rodrigues, V.E. Santo, J.F. Mano, N.M. Neves, R.L. Reis, Development of new chitosan/carrageenan nanoparticles for drug delivery applications, *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*, 92A (2010) 1265-1272.
- [137] R. Guo, L.L. Chen, S.S. Cai, Z.H. Liu, Y. Zhu, W. Xue, Y.M. Zhang, Novel alginate coated hydrophobically modified chitosan polyelectrolyte complex for the delivery of BSA, *J Mater Sci-Mater M*, 24 (2013) 2093-2100.
- [138] S. Rodrigues, A.M.R.d. Costa, A. Grenha, Chitosan/carrageenan nanoparticles: Effect of cross-linking with tripolyphosphate and charge ratios, *Carbohydr Polym*, 89 (2012) 282-289.
- [139] D.W. Lee, K.-S. Yun, H.-S. Ban, W. Choe, S.K. Lee, K.Y. Lee, Preparation and characterization of chitosan/polyguluronate nanoparticles for siRNA delivery, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 139 (2009) 146-152.

- [140] S.J. Shu, L. Sun, X.G. Zhang, Z.M. Wu, Z. Wang, C.X. Li, Polysaccharides-based polyelectrolyte nanoparticles as protein drugs delivery system, *J Nanopart Res*, 13 (2011) 3657-3670.
- [141] S. Cafaggi, E. Russo, R. Stefani, B. Parodi, G. Caviglioli, G. Sillo, A. Bisio, C. Aiello, M. Viale, Preparation, characterisation and preliminary antitumour activity evaluation of a novel nanoparticulate system based on a cisplatin-hyaluronate complex and N-trimethyl chitosan, *Invest New Drug*, 29 (2011) 443-455.
- [142] T. Ramasamy, T.H. Tran, J.Y. Choi, H.J. Cho, J.H. Kim, C.S. Yong, H.G. Choi, J.O. Kim, Layer-by-layer coated lipid-polymer hybrid nanoparticles designed for use in anticancer drug delivery, *Carbohydr Polym*, 102 (2014) 653-661.
- [143] Q. Chen, Y. Hu, Y. Chen, X. Jiang, Y. Yang, Microstructure Formation and Property of Chitosan-Poly(acrylic acid) Nanoparticles Prepared by Macromolecular Complex, *Macromol Biosci*, 5 (2005) 993-1000.
- [144] J. Rolland, P. Guillet, J.-M. Schumers, N. Duhem, V. Prat, J.-F. Gohy, Polyelectrolyte complex nanoparticles from chitosan and poly(acrylic acid) and Polystyrene-block-poly(acrylic acid), *Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 50 (2012) 4484-4493.
- [145] Y. Tang, Y. Zhao, Y. Li, Y. Du, A thermosensitive chitosan/poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogel containing nanoparticles for drug delivery, *Polym Bull*, 64 (2010) 791-804.
- [146] N. Davidenko, M.D. Blanco, C. Peniche, L. Bechern, S. Guerrero, J.M. Teijn, Effects of different parameters on the characteristics of chitosan-poly(acrylic acid) nanoparticles obtained by the method of coacervation, *J Appl Polym Sci*, 111 (2009) 2362-2371.
- [147] M. George, T.E. Abraham, Polyionic hydrocolloids for the intestinal delivery of protein drugs: alginate and chitosan--a review, *Journal of controlled release : official journal of the Controlled Release Society*, 114 (2006) 1-14.
- [148] B. Thu, P. Bruheim, T. Espevik, O. Smidsrd, P. Soon-Shiong, G. Skjk-Brk, Alginate polycation microcapsules: I. Interaction between alginate and polycation, *Biomaterials*, 17 (1996) 1031-1040.
- [149] M. Boissiere, P.J. Meadows, R. Brayner, C. Helary, J. Livage, T. Coradin, Turning biopolymer particles into hybrid capsules: the example of silica/alginate nanocomposites, *J Mater Chem*, 16 (2006) 1178-1182.
- [150] W. Zhang, Q. Cheng, S. Guo, D. Lin, P. Huang, J. Liu, T. Wei, L. Deng, Z. Liang, X.-J. Liang, A. Dong, Gene transfection efficacy and biocompatibility of polycation/DNA complexes coated with enzyme degradable PEGylated hyaluronic acid, *Biomaterials*, 34 (2013) 6495-6503.
- [151] D. Vasquez, R. Milusheva, P. Baumann, D. Constantin, M. Chami, C.G. Palivan, The Amine Content of PEGylated Chitosan Bombyx mori Nanoparticles Acts as a Trigger for Protein Delivery, *Langmuir*, 30 (2014) 965-975.
- [152] C.-T. Tsao, F.M. Kievit, A. Ravanpay, A.E. Erickson, M.C. Jensen, R.G. Ellenbogen, M. Zhang, Thermoreversible Poly(ethylene glycol)-g-Chitosan Hydrogel as a Therapeutic T Lymphocyte Depot for Localized Glioblastoma Immunotherapy, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 2656-2662.
- [153] H. Ragelle, R. Riva, G. Vandermeulen, B. Naeye, V. Pourcelle, C.S. Le Duff, C. D'Haese, B. Nysten, K. Braeckmans, S.C. De Smedt, C. Jrme, V. Prat, Chitosan nanoparticles for siRNA delivery: Optimizing formulation to increase stability and efficiency, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 176 (2014) 54-63.
- [154] B.H.L. Oh, A. Bismarck, M.B. Chan-Park, High Internal Phase Emulsion Templating with Self-Emulsifying and Thermoresponsive Chitosan-graft-PNIPAM-graft-Oligoproline, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 1777-1787.
- [155] Y. Gao, Z.-Y. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, H. Huo, T. Wang, T. Jiang, S. Wang, RVG-Peptide-Linked Trimethylated Chitosan for Delivery of siRNA to the Brain, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 1010-1018.
- [156] H. Guo, C. Yang, Z. Hu, W. Wang, Y. Wu, Q. Lai, Z. Yuan, Ethylene glycol oligomer modified-sodium alginate for efficiently improving the drug loading and the tumor therapeutic effect, *J Mater Chem B*, 1 (2013) 5933-5941.
- [157] X.-b. Yuan, H. Li, Y.-b. Yuan, Preparation of cholesterol-modified chitosan self-aggregated nanoparticles for delivery of drugs to ocular surface, *Carbohydr Polym*, 65 (2006) 337-345.

- [158] W. Yinsong, L. Lingrong, W. Jian, Q. Zhang, Preparation and characterization of self-aggregated nanoparticles of cholesterol-modified O-carboxymethyl chitosan conjugates, *Carbohydr Polym*, 69 (2007) 597-606.
- [159] X. Li, M. Chen, W. Yang, Z. Zhou, L. Liu, Q. Zhang, Interaction of bovine serum albumin with self-assembled nanoparticles of 6-O-cholesterol modified chitosan, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 92 (2012) 136-141.
- [160] W. Yin-song, J. Qian, L. Rong-shan, L. Ling-long, Z. Qi-qing, W. Yu-mei, Z. Jing, Self-assembled nanoparticles of cholesterol-modified O-carboxymethyl chitosan as a novel carrier for paclitaxel, *Nanotechnology*, 19 (2008) 145101.
- [161] Y.-S. Wang, L.-R. Liu, Q. Jiang, Q.-Q. Zhang, Self-aggregated nanoparticles of cholesterol-modified chitosan conjugate as a novel carrier of epirubicin, *European Polymer Journal*, 43 (2007) 43-51.
- [162] Q. Tian, X.-H. Wang, W. Wang, C.-N. Zhang, P. Wang, Z. Yuan, Self-assembly and liver targeting of sulfated chitosan nanoparticles functionalized with glycyrrhetic acid, *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine*, 8 (2012) 870-879.
- [163] X.-H. Wang, Q. Tian, W. Wang, C.-N. Zhang, P. Wang, Z. Yuan, In vitro evaluation of polymeric micelles based on hydrophobically-modified sulfated chitosan as a carrier of doxorubicin, *J Mater Sci: Mater Med*, 23 (2012) 1663-1674.
- [164] H.-C. Kim, S.-M. Park, W.D. Hinsberg, Block Copolymer Based Nanostructures: Materials, Processes, and Applications to Electronics, *Chemical Reviews*, 110 (2009) 146-177.
- [165] M.C. Orilall, U. Wiesner, Block copolymer based composition and morphology control in nanostructured hybrid materials for energy conversion and storage: solar cells, batteries, and fuel cells, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 40 (2011) 520-535.
- [166] S.G. Spain, N.R. Cameron, A spoonful of sugar: the application of glycopolymers in therapeutics, *Polym Chem-Uk*, 2 (2011) 60-68.
- [167] C. Schatz, S. Lecommandoux, Polysaccharide-Containing Block Copolymers: Synthesis, Properties and Applications of an Emerging Family of Glycoconjugates, *Macromolecular rapid communications*, 31 (2010) 1664-1684.
- [168] W. Wang, D. Chance, V. Mossine, T. Mawhinney, RAFT-based tri-component fluorescent glycopolymers: synthesis, characterization and application in lectin-mediated bacterial binding study, *Glycoconj J*, 31 (2014) 133-143.
- [169] L.L. Kiessling, J.E. Gestwicki, L.E. Strong, Synthetic Multivalent Ligands as Probes of Signal Transduction, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 45 (2006) 2348-2368.
- [170] K.H. Mortell, M. Gingras, L.L. Kiessling, Synthesis of Cell Agglutination Inhibitors by Aqueous Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 116 (1994) 12053-12054.
- [171] E.J. Gordon, J.E. Gestwicki, L.E. Strong, L.L. Kiessling, Synthesis of end-labeled multivalent ligands for exploring cell-surface-receptor-ligand interactions, *Chemistry & Biology*, 7 (2000) 9-16.
- [172] Y. Zhao, F. Sakai, L. Su, Y. Liu, K. Wei, G. Chen, M. Jiang, Progressive Macromolecular Self-Assembly: From Biomimetic Chemistry to Bio-Inspired Materials, *Advanced materials*, 25 (2013) 5215-5256.
- [173] V. Ladmiral, E. Melia, D.M. Haddleton, Synthetic glycopolymers: an overview, *European Polymer Journal*, 40 (2004) 431-449.
- [174] K. Babiuch, M.H. Stenzel, Synthesis and Application of Glycopolymers, *Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.2002.
- [175] C. Boyer, V. Bulmus, T.P. Davis, V. Ladmiral, J. Liu, S. Perrier, Bioapplications of RAFT Polymerization, *Chemical Reviews*, 109 (2009) 5402-5436.
- [176] C.L. McCormick, B.S. Sumerlin, B.S. Lokitz, J.E. Stempka, RAFT-synthesized diblock and triblock copolymers: thermally-induced supramolecular assembly in aqueous media, *Soft Matter*, 4 (2008) 1760-1773.

- [177] A.E. Smith, X. Xu, C.L. McCormick, Stimuli-responsive amphiphilic (co)polymers via RAFT polymerization, *Progress in Polymer Science*, 35 (2010) 45-93.
- [178] Z. Deng, M. Ahmed, R. Narain, Novel well-defined glycopolymers synthesized via the reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer process in aqueous media, *Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 47 (2009) 614-627.
- [179] N.V. Tsarevsky, K. Matyjaszewski, "Green" Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization: From Process Design to Preparation of Well-Defined Environmentally Friendly Polymeric Materials, *Chemical Reviews*, 107 (2007) 2270-2299.
- [180] B.M. Rosen, V. Percec, Single-Electron Transfer and Single-Electron Transfer Degenerative Chain Transfer Living Radical Polymerization, *Chemical Reviews*, 109 (2009) 5069-5119.
- [181] M. Ouchi, T. Terashima, M. Sawamoto, Transition Metal-Catalyzed Living Radical Polymerization: Toward Perfection in Catalysis and Precision Polymer Synthesis, *Chemical Reviews*, 109 (2009) 4963-5050.
- [182] C.J. Hawker, A.W. Bosman, E. Harth, New Polymer Synthesis by Nitroxide Mediated Living Radical Polymerizations, *Chemical Reviews*, 101 (2001) 3661-3688.
- [183] A. Ghadban, L. Albertin, Synthesis of Glycopolymer Architectures by Reversible-Deactivation Radical Polymerization, *Polymers*, 5 (2013) 431-526.
- [184] G. David, C. Boyer, J. Tonnar, B. Ameduri, P. Lacroix-Desmazes, B. Boutevin, Use of Iodocompounds in Radical Polymerization, *Chemical Reviews*, 106 (2006) 3936-3962.
- [185] S.R.S. Ting, A.M. Granville, D. Quémener, T.P. Davis, M.H. Stenzel, C. Barner-Kowollik, RAFT Chemistry and Huisgen 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition: A Route to Block Copolymers of Vinyl Acetate and 6-O-Methacryloyl Mannose?, *Australian Journal of Chemistry*, 60 (2007) 405-409.
- [186] A.B. Lowe, B.S. Sumerlin, C.L. McCormick, The direct polymerization of 2-methacryloxyethyl glucoside via aqueous reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization, *Polymer*, 44 (2003) 6761-6765.
- [187] D. Rabuka, R. Parthasarathy, G.S. Lee, X. Chen, J.T. Groves, C.R. Bertozzi, Hierarchical Assembly of Model Cell Surfaces: Synthesis of Mucin Mimetic Polymers and Their Display on Supported Bilayers, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 129 (2007) 5462-5471.
- [188] K. Godula, M.L. Umbel, D. Rabuka, Z. Botyanszki, C.R. Bertozzi, R. Parthasarathy, Control of the Molecular Orientation of Membrane-Anchored Biomimetic Glycopolymers, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 131 (2009) 10263-10268.
- [189] B. Belardi, G.P. O'Donoghue, A.W. Smith, J.T. Groves, C.R. Bertozzi, Investigating Cell Surface Galectin-Mediated Cross-Linking on Glycoengineered Cells, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 134 (2012) 9549-9552.
- [190] E.C. Woods, N.A. Yee, J. Shen, C.R. Bertozzi, Glycocalyx Engineering with a Recycling Glycopolymer that Increases Cell Survival In Vivo, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 54 (2015) 15782-15788.
- [191] L. Yin, M.C. Dalsin, A. Sizovs, T.M. Reineke, M.A. Hillmyer, Glucose-Functionalized, Serum-Stable Polymeric Micelles from the Combination of Anionic and RAFT Polymerizations, *Macromolecules*, 45 (2012) 4322-4332.
- [192] G. Pasparakis, M. Vamvakaki, N. Krasnogor, C. Alexander, Diol-boronic acid complexes integrated by responsive polymers—a route to chemical sensing and logic operations, *Soft Matter*, 5 (2009) 3839.
- [193] R. Ma, H. Yang, Z. Li, G. Liu, X. Sun, X. Liu, Y. An, L. Shi, Phenylboronic acid-based complex micelles with enhanced glucose-responsiveness at physiological pH by complexation with glycopolymer, *Biomacromolecules*, 13 (2012) 3409-3417.
- [194] D. Sprouse, T.M. Reineke, Investigating the Effects of Block versus Statistical Glycopolycations Containing Primary and Tertiary Amines for Plasmid DNA Delivery, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 2616-2628.
- [195] C. Boyer, A. Bousquet, J. Rondolo, M.R. Whittaker, M.H. Stenzel, T.P. Davis, Glycopolymer Decoration of Gold Nanoparticles Using a LbL Approach, *Macromolecules*, 43 (2010) 3775-3784.

- [196] W. Lu, W. Ma, J. Lu, X. Li, Y. Zhao, G. Chen, Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of Glycopolymer-Functionalized Silver Nanoclusters: Combining the Bioactivity of Sugar with the Fluorescence and Cytotoxicity of Silver, *Macromolecular rapid communications*, 35 (2014) 827-833.
- [197] Y. Wu, M. Wang, D. Sprouse, A.E. Smith, T.M. Reineke, Glucose-Containing Diblock Polycations Exhibit Molecular Weight, Charge, and Cell-Type Dependence for pDNA Delivery, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 1716-1726.
- [198] J. Bernard, X. Hao, T.P. Davis, C. Barner-Kowollik, M.H. Stenzel, Synthesis of Various Glycopolymer Architectures via RAFT Polymerization: From Block Copolymers to Stars, *Biomacromolecules*, 7 (2005) 232-238.
- [199] L. Albertin, M. Stenzel, C. Barner-Kowollik, L.J.R. Foster, T.P. Davis, Well-Defined Glycopolymers from RAFT Polymerization: Poly(methyl 6-O-methacryloyl- α -D-glucoside) and Its Block Copolymer with 2-Hydroxyethyl Methacrylate, *Macromolecules*, 37 (2004) 7530-7537.
- [200] L. Albertin, M.H. Stenzel, C. Barner-Kowollik, L.J.R. Foster, T.P. Davis, Well-Defined Diblock Glycopolymers from RAFT Polymerization in Homogeneous Aqueous Medium, *Macromolecules*, 38 (2005) 9075-9084.
- [201] J. Lu, C. Fu, S. Wang, L. Tao, L. Yan, D.M. Haddleton, G. Chen, Y. Wei, From Polymer Sequence Control to Protein Recognition: Synthesis, Self-Assembly and Lectin Binding, *Macromolecules*, 47 (2014) 4676-4683.
- [202] X. Li, M. Bao, Y. Weng, K. Yang, W. Zhang, G. Chen, Glycopolymer-coated iron oxide nanoparticles: shape-controlled synthesis and cellular uptake, *J Mater Chem B*, 2 (2014) 5569-5575.
- [203] J. Lu, W. Zhang, L. Yuan, W. Ma, X. Li, W. Lu, Y. Zhao, G. Chen, One-Pot Synthesis of Glycopolymer-Porphyrin Conjugate as Photosensitizer for Targeted Cancer Imaging and Photodynamic Therapy, *Macromol Biosci*, 14 (2014) 340-346.
- [204] E.-H. Song, M.J. Manganiello, Y.-H. Chow, B. Ghosh, A.J. Convertine, P.S. Stayton, L.M. Schnapp, D.M. Ratner, In vivo targeting of alveolar macrophages via RAFT-based glycopolymers, *Biomaterials*, 33 (2012) 6889-6897.
- [205] K. Lin, A.M. Kasko, Carbohydrate-Based Polymers for Immune Modulation, *ACS Macro Letters*, 3 (2014) 652-657.
- [206] M. Ahmed, R. Narain, The effect of polymer architecture, composition, and molecular weight on the properties of glycopolymer-based non-viral gene delivery systems, *Biomaterials*, 32 (2011) 5279-5290.
- [207] M. Ahmed, R. Narain, Cell line dependent uptake and transfection efficiencies of PEI-anionic glycopolymer systems, *Biomaterials*, 34 (2013) 4368-4376.
- [208] M. Ahmed, S. Mamba, X.-H. Yang, J. Darkwa, P. Kumar, R. Narain, Synthesis and Evaluation of Polymeric Gold Glyco-Conjugates as Anti-Cancer Agents, *Bioconjugate Chem*, 24 (2013) 979-986.
- [209] M. Ahmed, R. Narain, Progress of RAFT based polymers in gene delivery, *Progress in Polymer Science*, 38 (2013) 767-790.
- [210] M. Ahmed, P. Wattanaarsakit, R. Narain, Recent advances in the preparation of glycopolymer bioconjugates, *European Polymer Journal*, 49 (2013) 3010-3033.
- [211] R. Sunasee, C.K. Adokoh, J. Darkwa, R. Narain, Therapeutic potential of carbohydrate-based polymeric and nanoparticle systems, *Expert Opin Drug Del*, 11 (2014) 867-884.
- [212] P. Sun, Y. He, M. Lin, Y. Zhao, Y. Ding, G. Chen, M. Jiang, Glyco-regioisomerism Effect on Lectin-Binding and Cell-Uptake Pathway of Glycopolymer-Containing Nanoparticles, *ACS Macro Letters*, 3 (2013) 96-101.
- [213] Z.-C. Li, Y.-Z. Liang, F.-M. Li, Multiple morphologies of aggregates from block copolymers containing glycopolymer segments, *Chem Commun*, (1999) 1557-1558.
- [214] M. Ejaz, K. Ohno, Y. Tsujii, T. Fukuda, Controlled Grafting of a Well-Defined Glycopolymer on a Solid Surface by Surface-Initiated Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization, *Macromolecules*, 33 (2000) 2870-2874.
- [215] V. Vázquez-Dorbatt, H.D. Maynard, Biotinylated Glycopolymers Synthesized by Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization, *Biomacromolecules*, 7 (2006) 2297-2302.

- [216] A. Pfaff, V.S. Shinde, Y. Lu, A. Wittemann, M. Ballauff, A.H.E. Müller, Glycopolymer-Grafted Polystyrene Nanospheres, *Macromol Biosci*, 11 (2011) 199-210.
- [217] A. Pfaff, A.H.E. Müller, Hyperbranched Glycopolymer Grafted Microspheres, *Macromolecules*, 44 (2011) 1266-1272.
- [218] H. Arslan, A. Pfaff, Y. Lu, P. Stepanek, A.H.E. Müller, Stimuli-Responsive Spherical Brushes Based on D-Galactopyranose and 2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl Methacrylate, *Macromol Biosci*, 14 (2014) 81-91.
- [219] G. Pasparakis, C. Alexander, Sweet Talking Double Hydrophilic Block Copolymer Vesicles, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 47 (2008) 4847-4850.
- [220] R. Narain, S.P. Armes, Synthesis of low polydispersity, controlled-structure sugar methacrylate polymers under mild conditions without protecting group chemistry, *Chem Commun*, (2002) 2776-2777.
- [221] R. Narain, S.P. Armes, Synthesis and Aqueous Solution Properties of Novel Sugar Methacrylate-Based Homopolymers and Block Copolymers, *Biomacromolecules*, 4 (2003) 1746-1758.
- [222] R. Narain, S.P. Armes, Direct Synthesis and Aqueous Solution Properties of Well-Defined Cyclic Sugar Methacrylate Polymers, *Macromolecules*, 36 (2003) 4675-4678.
- [223] M. Lin, P. Sun, G. Chen, M. Jiang, The glyco-stereoisomerism effect on hydrogelation of polymers interacting via dynamic covalent bonds, *Chem Commun*, 50 (2014) 9779-9782.
- [224] Q. Zhang, P. Wilson, A. Anastasaki, R. McHale, D.M. Haddleton, Synthesis and Aggregation of Double Hydrophilic Diblock Glycopolymers via Aqueous SET-LRP, *ACS Macro Letters*, 3 (2014) 491-495.
- [225] Q. Zhang, P. Wilson, Z. Li, R. McHale, J. Godfrey, A. Anastasaki, C. Waldron, D.M. Haddleton, Aqueous Copper-Mediated Living Polymerization: Exploiting Rapid Disproportionation of CuBr with Me6TREN, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 135 (2013) 7355-7363.
- [226] V. Percec, T. Guliashvili, J.S. Ladislaw, A. Wistrand, A. Stjerndahl, M.J. Sienkowska, M.J. Monteiro, S. Sahoo, Ultrafast Synthesis of Ultrahigh Molar Mass Polymers by Metal-Catalyzed Living Radical Polymerization of Acrylates, Methacrylates, and Vinyl Chloride Mediated by SET at 25 °C, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 128 (2006) 14156-14165.
- [227] L. Xue, Z. Lyu, X. Shi, Z. Tang, G. Chen, H. Chen, Fast and Green Synthesis of a Smart Glyco-surface via Aqueous Single Electron Transfer-Living Radical Polymerization, *Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics*, 215 (2014) 1491-1497.
- [228] R. Roux, L. Sallet, P. Alcouffe, S. Chambert, N. Sintès-Zydowicz, E. Fleury, J. Bernard, Facile and Rapid Access to Glyconanocapsules by CuAAC Interfacial Polyaddition in Miniemulsion Conditions, *ACS Macro Letters*, 1 (2012) 1074-1078.
- [229] H.C. Kolb, M.G. Finn, K.B. Sharpless, Click Chemistry: Diverse Chemical Function from a Few Good Reactions, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 40 (2001) 2004-2021.
- [230] J.A. Opsteen, J.C.M. van Hest, Modular synthesis of block copolymers via cycloaddition of terminal azide and alkyne functionalized polymers, *Chem Commun*, (2005) 57-59.
- [231] V. Ladmiral, G. Mantovani, G.J. Clarkson, S. Cauet, J.L. Irwin, D.M. Haddleton, Synthesis of Neoglycopolymers by a Combination of "Click Chemistry" and Living Radical Polymerization, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 128 (2006) 4823-4830.
- [232] Q. Zhang, L. Su, J. Collins, G. Chen, R. Wallis, D.A. Mitchell, D.M. Haddleton, C.R. Becer, Dendritic Cell Lectin-Targeting Sentinel-like Unimolecular Glycoconjugates To Release an Anti-HIV Drug, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 136 (2014) 4325-4332.
- [233] K. Ohno, Y. Tsujii, T. Miyamoto, T. Fukuda, M. Goto, K. Kobayashi, T. Akaike, Synthesis of a Well-Defined Glycopolymer by Nitroxide-Controlled Free Radical Polymerization, *Macromolecules*, 31 (1998) 1064-1069.
- [234] E. Damiani, L. Greci, P. Hrelia, Cyto- and genotoxic effects of novel aromatic nitroxide radicals in vitro, *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 28 (2000) 330-336.
- [235] S.M. Hahn, M.C. Krishna, A.M. DeLuca, D. Coffin, J.B. Mitchell, Evaluation of the hydroxylamine tempol-H as an in vivo radioprotector, *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 28 (2000) 953-958.

- [236] S.R.S. Ting, E.H. Min, P. Escalé, M. Save, L. Billon, M.H. Stenzel, Lectin Recognizable Biomaterials Synthesized via Nitroxide-Mediated Polymerization of a Methacryloyl Galactose Monomer, *Macromolecules*, 42 (2009) 9422-9434.
- [237] K. Ohno, Y. Izu, S. Yamamoto, T. Miyamoto, T. Fukuda, Nitroxide-controlled free radical polymerization of a sugar-carrying acryloyl monomer, *Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics*, 200 (1999) 1619-1625.
- [238] K. Ohno, T. Fukuda, H. Kitano, Free radical polymerization of a sugar residue-carrying styryl monomer with a lipophilic alkoxyamine initiator: synthesis of a well-defined novel glycolipid, *Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics*, 199 (1998) 2193-2197.
- [239] A. Narumi, T. Satoh, H. Kaga, T. Kakuchi, Glycoconjugated Polymer. 3. Synthesis and Amphiphilic Property of Core-Glycoconjugated Star-Shaped Polystyrene, *Macromolecules*, 35 (2001) 699-705.
- [240] K. Babiuch, R. Wyrwa, K. Wagner, T. Seemann, S. Hoeppener, C.R. Becer, R. Linke, M. Gottschaldt, J.r. Weisser, M. Schnabelrauch, U.S. Schubert, Functionalized, Biocompatible Coating for Superparamagnetic Nanoparticles by Controlled Polymerization of a Thioglycosidic Monomer, *Biomacromolecules*, 12 (2011) 681-691.
- [241] K. Babiuch, D. Pretzel, T. Tolstik, A. Vollrath, S. Stanca, F. Foertsch, C.R. Becer, M. Gottschaldt, C. Biskup, U.S. Schubert, Uptake of Well-Defined, Highly Glycosylated, Pentafluorostyrene-Based Polymers and Nanoparticles by Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells, *Macromol Biosci*, 12 (2012) 1190-1199.
- [242] D. Grande, S. Baskaran, C. Baskaran, Y. Gnanou, E.L. Chaikof, Glycosaminoglycan-Mimetic Biomaterials. 1. Nonsulfated and Sulfated Glycopolymers by Cyanoxyl-Mediated Free-Radical Polymerization, *Macromolecules*, 33 (2000) 1123-1125.
- [243] D. Grande, S. Baskaran, E.L. Chaikof, Glycosaminoglycan Mimetic Biomaterials. 2. Alkene- and Acrylate-Derivatized Glycopolymers via Cyanoxyl-Mediated Free-Radical Polymerization, *Macromolecules*, 34 (2001) 1640-1646.
- [244] X.-L. Sun, K.M. Faucher, M. Houston, D. Grande, E.L. Chaikof, Design and Synthesis of Biotin Chain-Terminated Glycopolymers for Surface Glycoengineering, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 124 (2002) 7258-7259.
- [245] X.-L. Sun, D. Grande, S. Baskaran, S.R. Hanson, E.L. Chaikof, Glycosaminoglycan Mimetic Biomaterials. 4. Synthesis of Sulfated Lactose-Based Glycopolymers That Exhibit Anticoagulant Activity, *Biomacromolecules*, 3 (2002) 1065-1070.
- [246] X.-L. Sun, W. Cui, C. Haller, E.L. Chaikof, Site-Specific Multivalent Carbohydrate Labeling of Quantum Dots and Magnetic Beads, *Chembiochem*, 5 (2004) 1593-1596.
- [247] S.N. Narla, X.-L. Sun, Immobilized Sialyloligo-Macroligand and Its Protein Binding Specificity, *Biomacromolecules*, 13 (2012) 1675-1682.
- [248] M. Tengdelius, C.-J. Lee, M. Grenegård, M. Griffith, P. Pålsson, P. Konradsson, Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Fucoidan-Mimetic Glycopolymers through Cyanoxyl-Mediated Free-Radical Polymerization, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 2359-2368.
- [249] S.R.S. Ting, G. Chen, M.H. Stenzel, Synthesis of glycopolymers and their multivalent recognitions with lectins, *Polym Chem-Uk*, 1 (2010) 1392-1412.
- [250] K. Kobayashi, A. Tsuchida, T. Usui, T. Akaike, A New Type of Artificial Glycoconjugate Polymer: A Convenient Synthesis and Its Interaction with Lectins, *Macromolecules*, 30 (1997) 2016-2020.
- [251] Y. Chen, P. Espeel, S. Reinicke, F.E. Du Prez, M.H. Stenzel, Control of Glycopolymer Nanoparticle Morphology by a One-Pot, Double Modification Procedure Using Thiolactones, *Macromolecular rapid communications*, 35 (2014) 1128-1134.
- [252] X. Yan, M. Delgado, A. Fu, P. Alcouffe, S.G. Gouin, E. Fleury, J.L. Katz, F. Ganachaud, J. Bernard, Simple but Precise Engineering of Functional Nanocapsules through Nanoprecipitation, *Angewandte Chemie*, 126 (2014) 7030-7033.

- [253] A. Berthold, K. Cremer, J. Kreuter, Preparation and characterization of chitosan microspheres as drug carrier for prednisolone sodium phosphate as model for anti-inflammatory drugs, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 39 (1996) 17-25.
- [254] M. Skiba, D. Wouessidjewe, F. Puisieux, D. Duchêne, A. Gulik, Characterization of amphiphilic β -cyclodextrin nanospheres, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 142 (1996) 121-124.
- [255] C. Gavory, A. Durand, J.-L. Six, C. Nouvel, E. Marie, M. Leonard, Polysaccharide-covered nanoparticles prepared by nanoprecipitation, *Carbohydr Polym*, 84 (2011) 133-140.
- [256] P.J. Murray, T.A. Wynn, Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets, *Nat Rev Immunol*, 11 (2011) 723-737.
- [257] F.O. Martinez, S. Gordon, The M1 and M2 paradigm of macrophage activation: time for reassessment, *F1000Prime Rep*, 6 (2014) 13.
- [258] S. Gordon, S. Clarke, D. Greaves, A. Doyle, Molecular immunobiology of macrophages: recent progress, *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 7 (1995) 24-33.
- [259] C. Mills, M1 and M2 Macrophages: Oracles of Health and Disease, *Critical reviews in immunology*, 32 (2012) 463-488.
- [260] P.J. Murray, J.E. Allen, S.K. Biswas, E.A. Fisher, D.W. Gilroy, S. Goerdt, S. Gordon, J.A. Hamilton, L.B. Ivashkiv, T. Lawrence, M. Locati, A. Mantovani, F.O. Martinez, J.L. Mege, D.M. Mosser, G. Natoli, J.P. Saeij, J.L. Schultze, K.A. Shirey, A. Sica, J. Suttles, I. Udalova, J.A. van Ginderachter, S.N. Vogel, T.A. Wynn, Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines, *Immunity*, 41 (2014) 14-20.
- [261] G. Mitchell, C. Chen, D.A. Portnoy, Strategies Used by Bacteria to Grow in Macrophages, *Microbiol Spectr*, 4 (2016).
- [262] Y. Kumar, R.H. Valdivia, Leading a sheltered life: intracellular pathogens and maintenance of vacuolar compartments, *Cell host & microbe*, 5 (2009) 593-601.
- [263] E.A. Creasey, R.R. Isberg, Maintenance of vacuole integrity by bacterial pathogens, *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 17 (2014) 46-52.
- [264] Y. Kumar, R.H. Valdivia, Leading a Sheltered Life: Intracellular Pathogens and Maintenance of Vacuolar Compartments, *Cell host & microbe*, 5 (2009) 593-601.
- [265] K. Ray, B. Marteyn, P.J. Sansonetti, C.M. Tang, Life on the inside: the intracellular lifestyle of cytosolic bacteria, *Nat Rev Microbiol*, 7 (2009) 333-340.
- [266] R. Henry, L. Shaughnessy, M.J. Loessner, C. Alberti-Segui, D.E. Higgins, J.A. Swanson, Cytolysin-dependent delay of vacuole maturation in macrophages infected with *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Cell Microbiol*, 8 (2006) 107-119.
- [267] D.M. Shin, B.Y. Jeon, H.M. Lee, H.S. Jin, J.M. Yuk, C.H. Song, S.H. Lee, Z.W. Lee, S.N. Cho, J.M. Kim, R.L. Friedman, E.K. Jo, Mycobacterium tuberculosis eis regulates autophagy, inflammation, and cell death through redox-dependent signaling, *PLoS Pathog*, 6 (2010) e1001230.
- [268] H. Ashida, H. Mimuro, M. Ogawa, T. Kobayashi, T. Sanada, M. Kim, C. Sasakawa, Cell death and infection: a double-edged sword for host and pathogen survival, *The Journal of cell biology*, 195 (2011) 931-942.
- [269] J.H. Park, H.E. Jin, D.D. Kim, S.J. Chung, W.S. Shim, C.K. Shim, Chitosan microspheres as an alveolar macrophage delivery system of ofloxacin via pulmonary inhalation, *Int J Pharm*, 441 (2013) 562-569.
- [270] G. Sahay, D.Y. Alakhova, A.V. Kabanov, Endocytosis of nanomedicines, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 145 (2010) 182-195.
- [271] X. Jiang, J. Dausend, M. Hafner, A. Musyanovych, C. Röcker, K. Landfester, V. Mailänder, G.U. Nienhaus, Specific Effects of Surface Amines on Polystyrene Nanoparticles in their Interactions with Mesenchymal Stem Cells, *Biomacromolecules*, 11 (2010) 748-753.
- [272] M.R. Lorenz, V. Holzapfel, A. Musyanovych, K. Nothelfer, P. Walther, H. Frank, K. Landfester, H. Schrezenmeier, V. Mailänder, Uptake of functionalized, fluorescent-labeled polymeric particles in different cell lines and stem cells, *Biomaterials*, 27 (2006) 2820-2828.

- [273] T.-H. Chung, S.-H. Wu, M. Yao, C.-W. Lu, Y.-S. Lin, Y. Hung, C.-Y. Mou, Y.-C. Chen, D.-M. Huang, The effect of surface charge on the uptake and biological function of mesoporous silica nanopartides in 3T3-L1 cells and human mesenchymal stem cells, *Biomaterials*, 28 (2007) 2959-2966.
- [274] A. Verma, O. Uzun, Y. Hu, Y. Hu, H.-S. Han, N. Watson, S. Chen, D.J. Irvine, F. Stellacci, Surface-structure-regulated cell-membrane penetration by monolayer-protected nanoparticles, *Nat Mater*, 7 (2008) 588-595.
- [275] J. Pruthi, N.K. Mehra, N.K. Jain, Macrophages targeting of amphotericin B through mannosylated multiwalled carbon nanotubes, *J Drug Target*, 20 (2012) 593-604.
- [276] R. Diab, J. Brillault, A. Bardy, A.V. Gontijo, J.C. Olivier, Formulation and in vitro characterization of inhalable polyvinyl alcohol-free rifampicin-loaded PLGA microspheres prepared with sucrose palmitate as stabilizer: efficiency for ex vivo alveolar macrophage targeting, *Int J Pharm*, 436 (2012) 833-839.
- [277] Y. Tabata, Y. Ikada, Effect of the size and surface charge of polymer microspheres on their phagocytosis by macrophage, *Biomaterials*, 9 (1988) 356-362.
- [278] C. He, Y. Hu, L. Yin, C. Tang, C. Yin, Effects of particle size and surface charge on cellular uptake and biodistribution of polymeric nanoparticles, *Biomaterials*, 31 (2010) 3657-3666.
- [279] S.E.A. Gratton, P.A. Ropp, P.D. Pohlhaus, J.C. Luft, V.J. Madden, M.E. Napier, J.M. DeSimone, The effect of particle design on cellular internalization pathways, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105 (2008) 11613-11618.
- [280] W. Jiang, Y.S. KimBetty, J.T. Rutka, C.W. ChanWarren, Nanoparticle-mediated cellular response is size-dependent, *Nat Nano*, 3 (2008) 145-150.
- [281] S. Zhang, J. Li, G. Lykotrafitis, G. Bao, S. Suresh, Size-Dependent Endocytosis of Nanoparticles, *Advanced materials*, 21 (2009) 419-424.
- [282] T.-G. Iversen, T. Skotland, K. Sandvig, Endocytosis and intracellular transport of nanoparticles: Present knowledge and need for future studies, *Nano Today*, 6 (2011) 176-185.
- [283] J. Huang, L. Bu, J. Xie, K. Chen, Z. Cheng, X. Li, X. Chen, Effects of Nanoparticle Size on Cellular Uptake and Liver MRI with Polyvinylpyrrolidone-Coated Iron Oxide Nanoparticles, *ACS Nano*, 4 (2010) 7151-7160.
- [284] X. Duan, Y. Li, Physicochemical Characteristics of Nanoparticles Affect Circulation, Biodistribution, Cellular Internalization, and Trafficking, *Small*, 9 (2013) 1521-1532.
- [285] J. Rejman, V. Oberle, I.S. Zuhorn, D. Hoekstra, Size-dependent internalization of particles via the pathways of clathrin- and caveolae-mediated endocytosis, *The Biochemical journal*, 377 (2004) 159-169.
- [286] S. Muro, C. Garnacho, J.A. Champion, J. Leferovich, C. Gajewski, E.H. Schuchman, S. Mitragotri, V.R. Muzykantov, Control of Endothelial Targeting and Intracellular Delivery of Therapeutic Enzymes by Modulating the Size and Shape of ICAM-1-targeted Carriers, *Molecular therapy : the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy*, 16 (2008) 1450-1458.
- [287] M. Caldorera-Moore, N. Guimard, L. Shi, K. Roy, Designer nanoparticles: incorporating size, shape and triggered release into nanoscale drug carriers, *Expert Opin Drug Del*, 7 (2010) 479-495.
- [288] E. Hutter, S. Boridy, S. Labrecque, M. Lalancette-Hébert, J. Kriz, F.M. Winnik, D. Maysinger, Microglial Response to Gold Nanoparticles, *ACS Nano*, 4 (2010) 2595-2606.
- [289] Y. Geng, P. Dalhaimer, S. Cai, R. Tsai, M. Tewari, T. Minko, D.E. Discher, Shape effects of filaments versus spherical particles in flow and drug delivery, *Nat Nano*, 2 (2007) 249-255.
- [290] T.K. Sau, A.L. Rogach, Nonspherical Noble Metal Nanoparticles: Colloid-Chemical Synthesis and Morphology Control, *Advanced materials*, 22 (2010) 1781-1804.
- [291] N. Doshi, S. Mitragotri, Designer Biomaterials for Nanomedicine, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 19 (2009) 3843-3854.
- [292] J.A. Champion, S. Mitragotri, Role of target geometry in phagocytosis, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103 (2006) 4930-4934.
- [293] N. Doshi, S. Mitragotri, Macrophages Recognize Size and Shape of Their Targets, *PloS one*, 5 (2010) e10051.

- [294] D.M. Richards, R.G. Endres, Target shape dependence in a simple model of receptor-mediated endocytosis and phagocytosis, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113 (2016) 6113-6118.
- [295] S. Mitragotri, J. Lahann, Physical approaches to biomaterial design, *Nat Mater*, 8 (2009) 15-23.
- [296] X. Huang, X. Teng, D. Chen, F. Tang, J. He, The effect of the shape of mesoporous silica nanoparticles on cellular uptake and cell function, *Biomaterials*, 31 (2010) 438-448.
- [297] H. Meng, S. Yang, Z. Li, T. Xia, J. Chen, Z. Ji, H. Zhang, X. Wang, S. Lin, C. Huang, Z.H. Zhou, J.I. Zink, A.E. Nel, Aspect Ratio Determines the Quantity of Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticle Uptake by a Small GTPase-Dependent Macropinocytosis Mechanism, *ACS Nano*, 5 (2011) 4434-4447.
- [298] H.L. Herd, A. Malugin, H. Ghandehari, Silica nanoconstruct cellular toleration threshold in vitro, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 153 (2011) 40-48.
- [299] R.R. Arvizo, O.R. Miranda, D.F. Moyano, C.A. Walden, K. Giri, R. Bhattacharya, J.D. Robertson, V.M. Rotello, J.M. Reid, P. Mukherjee, Modulating Pharmacokinetics, Tumor Uptake and Biodistribution by Engineered Nanoparticles, *PloS one*, 6 (2011) e24374.
- [300] M.V. Yezhelyev, L. Qi, R.M. O'Regan, S. Nie, X. Gao, Proton-Sponge Coated Quantum Dots for siRNA Delivery and Intracellular Imaging, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 130 (2008) 9006-9012.
- [301] M.V. Vadakkan, K. Annapoorna, K.C. Sivakumar, S. Mundayoor, G.S. Kumar, Dry powder cationic lipopolymeric nanomicelle inhalation for targeted delivery of antitubercular drug to alveolar macrophage, *International journal of nanomedicine*, 8 (2013) 2871-2885.
- [302] J.K. Gbadamosi, A.C. Hunter, S.M. Moghimi, PEGylation of microspheres generates a heterogeneous population of particles with differential surface characteristics and biological performance, *FEBS letters*, 532 (2002) 338-344.
- [303] J.Y. Yhee, S. Son, S.H. Kim, K. Park, K. Choi, I.C. Kwon, Self-assembled glycol chitosan nanoparticles for disease-specific theranostics, *Journal of Controlled Release*, (2014).
- [304] Z.-G. Yue, W. Wei, P.-P. Lv, H. Yue, L.-Y. Wang, Z.-G. Su, G.-H. Ma, Surface Charge Affects Cellular Uptake and Intracellular Trafficking of Chitosan-Based Nanoparticles, *Biomacromolecules*, 12 (2011) 2440-2446.
- [305] A. Rigotti, S.L. Acton, M. Krieger, The Class B Scavenger Receptors SR-BI and CD36 Are Receptors for Anionic Phospholipids, *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 270 (1995) 16221-16224.
- [306] S. Patil, A. Sandberg, E. Heckert, W. Self, S. Seal, Protein adsorption and cellular uptake of cerium oxide nanoparticles as a function of zeta potential, *Biomaterials*, 28 (2007) 4600-4607.
- [307] C. Wilhelm, C. Billotey, J. Roger, J.N. Pons, J.C. Bacri, F. Gazeau, Intracellular uptake of anionic superparamagnetic nanoparticles as a function of their surface coating, *Biomaterials*, 24 (2003) 1001-1011.
- [308] L.K. Limbach, Y. Li, R.N. Grass, T.J. Brunner, M.A. Hintermann, M. Muller, D. Gunther, W.J. Stark, Oxide Nanoparticle Uptake in Human Lung Fibroblasts: Effects of Particle Size, Agglomeration, and Diffusion at Low Concentrations, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39 (2005) 9370-9376.
- [309] K. Xiao, Y. Li, J. Luo, J.S. Lee, W. Xiao, A.M. Gonik, R.G. Agarwal, K.S. Lam, The effect of surface charge on in vivo biodistribution of PEG-oligocholeic acid based micellar nanoparticles, *Biomaterials*, 32 (2011) 3435-3446.
- [310] Nanotubes keep rolling on, *Nat Nano*, 4 (2009) 465-465.
- [311] A. Musyanovych, J. Dausend, M. Dass, P. Walther, V. Mailänder, K. Landfester, Criteria impacting the cellular uptake of nanoparticles: A study emphasizing polymer type and surfactant effects, *Acta biomaterialia*, 7 (2011) 4160-4168.
- [312] L. Brannon-Peppas, J.O. Blanchette, Nanoparticle and targeted systems for cancer therapy, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 56 (2004) 1649-1659.
- [313] J. Sudimack, R.J. Lee, Targeted drug delivery via the folate receptor, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 41 (2000) 147-162.
- [314] W. Arap, R. Pasqualini, E. Ruoslahti, Cancer Treatment by Targeted Drug Delivery to Tumor Vasculature in a Mouse Model, *Science*, 279 (1998) 377-380.

- [315] O.C. Farokhzad, J. Cheng, B.A. Teply, I. Sherifi, S. Jon, P.W. Kantoff, J.P. Richie, R. Langer, Targeted nanoparticle-aptamer bioconjugates for cancer chemotherapy in vivo, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103 (2006) 6315-6320.
- [316] M.S. Ehrenberg, A.E. Friedman, J.N. Finkelstein, G. Oberdörster, J.L. McGrath, The influence of protein adsorption on nanoparticle association with cultured endothelial cells, *Biomaterials*, 30 (2009) 603-610.
- [317] Z. Chen, R. Xu, Y. Zhang, N. Gu, Effects of Proteins from Culture Medium on Surface Property of Silanes- Functionalized Magnetic Nanoparticles, *Nanoscale Research Letters*, 4 (2008) 204 - 209.
- [318] A.S. Zahr, C.A. Davis, M.V. Pishko, Macrophage Uptake of Core-Shell Nanoparticles Surface Modified with Poly(ethylene glycol), *Langmuir*, 22 (2006) 8178-8185.
- [319] A.E. Nel, L. Madler, D. Velegol, T. Xia, E.M.V. Hoek, P. Somasundaran, F. Klaessig, V. Castranova, M. Thompson, Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio interface, *Nat Mater*, 8 (2009) 543-557.
- [320] P. Free, C.P. Shaw, R. Levy, PEGylation modulates the interfacial kinetics of proteases on peptide-capped gold nanoparticles, *Chem Commun*, (2009) 5009-5011.
- [321] G. Storm, S.O. Belliot, T. Daemen, D.D. Lasic, Surface modification of nanoparticles to oppose uptake by the mononuclear phagocyte system, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 17 (1995) 31-48.
- [322] W. Wijagkanalan, S. Kawakami, Y. Higuchi, F. Yamashita, M. Hashida, Intratracheally instilled mannosylated cationic liposome/NF κ B decoy complexes for effective prevention of LPS-induced lung inflammation, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 149 (2011) 42-50.
- [323] D. Singodia, A. Verma, R.K. Verma, P.R. Mishra, Investigations into an alternate approach to target mannose receptors on macrophages using 4-sulfated N-acetyl galactosamine more efficiently in comparison with mannose-decorated liposomes: an application in drug delivery, *Nanomedicine : nanotechnology, biology, and medicine*, 8 (2012) 468-477.
- [324] S. Chono, T. Tanino, T. Seki, K. Morimoto, Uptake characteristics of liposomes by rat alveolar macrophages: influence of particle size and surface mannose modification, *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 59 (2007) 75-80.
- [325] M.H. Xiong, Y.J. Li, Y. Bao, X.Z. Yang, B. Hu, J. Wang, Bacteria-responsive multifunctional nanogel for targeted antibiotic delivery, *Advanced materials*, 24 (2012) 6175-6180.
- [326] H.L. Jiang, Y.K. Kim, R. Arote, D. Jere, J.S. Quan, J.H. Yu, Y.J. Choi, J.W. Nah, M.H. Cho, C.S. Cho, Mannosylated chitosan-graft-polyethylenimine as a gene carrier for Raw 264.7 cell targeting, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 375 (2009) 133-139.
- [327] C.M. Nycholat, C. Rademacher, N. Kawasaki, J.C. Paulson, In Silico-Aided Design of a Glycan Ligand of Sialoadhesin for in Vivo Targeting of Macrophages, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 134 (2012) 15696-15699.
- [328] W.C. Chen, G.C. Completo, D.S. Sigal, P.R. Crocker, A. Saven, J.C. Paulson, In vivo targeting of B-cell lymphoma with glycan ligands of CD22, *Blood*, 115 (2010) 4778-4786.
- [329] W.C. Chen, N. Kawasaki, C.M. Nycholat, S. Han, J. Pilotte, P.R. Crocker, J.C. Paulson, Antigen Delivery to Macrophages Using Liposomal Nanoparticles Targeting Sialoadhesin/CD169, *PLoS one*, 7 (2012) e39039.
- [330] J. Cho, K. Kushiuro, Y. Teramura, M. Takai, Lectin-Tagged Fluorescent Polymeric Nanoparticles for Targeting of Sialic Acid on Living Cells, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 2012-2018.
- [331] P.K. Gupta, S. Asthana, A.K. Jaiswal, V. Kumar, A.K. Verma, P. Shukla, P. Dwivedi, A. Dube, P.R. Mishra, Exploitation of Lectinized Lipo-Polymerosome Encapsulated Amphotericin B to Target Macrophages for Effective Chemotherapy of Visceral Leishmaniasis, *Bioconjugate Chem*, 25 (2014) 1091-1102.
- [332] A.F. Adler, K.W. Leong, Emerging links between surface nanotechnology and endocytosis: Impact on nonviral gene delivery, *Nano Today*, 5 (2010) 553-569.
- [333] V.R. Devadasu, V. Bhardwaj, M.N.V.R. Kumar, Can Controversial Nanotechnology Promise Drug Delivery?, *Chemical Reviews*, 113 (2012) 1686-1735.

- [334] I. Levental, P.C. Georges, P.A. Janmey, Soft biological materials and their impact on cell function, *Soft Matter*, 3 (2007) 299-306.
- [335] K.A. Beningo, Y.-I. Wang, Fc-receptor-mediated phagocytosis is regulated by mechanical properties of the target, *Journal of cell science*, 115 (2002) 849-856.
- [336] X. Yi, X. Shi, H. Gao, Cellular Uptake of Elastic Nanoparticles, *Physical Review Letters*, 107 (2011) 098101.
- [337] J. Zhao, H. Lu, Y. Yao, S. Ganda, M.H. Stenzel, Length vs. stiffness: which plays a dominant role in the cellular uptake of fructose-based rod-like micelles by breast cancer cells in 2D and 3D cell culture models?, *J Mater Chem B*, 6 (2018) 4223-4231.
- [338] W. Sun, Q. Hu, W. Ji, G. Wright, Z. Gu, Leveraging Physiology for Precision Drug Delivery, *Physiological Reviews*, 97 (2016) 189-225.
- [339] A. Altinisik, K. Yurdakoc, Chitosan/poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogels for amoxicillin release, *Polym Bull*, 71 (2014) 759-774.
- [340] C.-H. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, C.-L. Yeh, Y.-C. Chen, S.-F. Chiou, Y.-M. Hsu, Y.-S. Chen, C.-C. Wang, Nanoparticles Incorporated in pH-Sensitive Hydrogels as Amoxicillin Delivery for Eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*, *Biomacromolecules*, 11 (2009) 133-142.
- [341] P.M.d.I. Torre, Y. Enobakhare, G. Torrado, S. Torrado, Release of amoxicillin from polyionic complexes of chitosan and poly(acrylic acid). study of polymer/polymer and polymer/drug interactions within the network structure, *Biomaterials*, 24 (2003) 1499-1506.
- [342] P. Saha, A.K. Goyal, G. Rath, Formulation and Evaluation of Chitosan-Based Ampicillin Trihydrate Nanoparticles, *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, (2010) 483-488.
- [343] Salem, II, N. Duzgunes, Efficacies of cyclodextrin-complexed and liposome-encapsulated clarithromycin against *Mycobacterium avium* complex infection in human macrophages, *Int J Pharm*, 250 (2003) 403-414.
- [344] L. Zhao, B. Zhu, Y. Jia, W. Hou, C. Su, Preparation of biocompatible carboxymethyl chitosan nanoparticles for delivery of antibiotic drug, *Biomed Res Int*, 2013 (2013) 236469.
- [345] R. Osman, P.L. Kan, G. Awad, N. Mortada, A. EL-Shamy, O. Alpar, Spray dried inhalable ciprofloxacin powder with improved aerosolisation and antimicrobial activity, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 449 (2013) 44-58.
- [346] D.P. Gnanadhas, M. Ben Thomas, M. Elango, A.M. Raichur, D. Chakravorty, Chitosan–dextran sulphate nanocapsule drug delivery system as an effective therapeutic against intraphagosomal pathogen *Salmonella*, *J Antimicrob Chemother*, (2013).
- [347] D. Jain, R. Banerjee, Comparison of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride-loaded protein, lipid, and chitosan nanoparticles for drug delivery, *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*, 86B (2008) 105-112.
- [348] N.C. Silva, S. Silva, B. Sarmiento, M. Pintado, Chitosan nanoparticles for daptomycin delivery in ocular treatment of bacterial endophthalmitis, *Drug delivery*, 0 (2013) 1-9.
- [349] N.F. Cover, S. Lai-Yuen, A.K. Parsons, A. Kumar, Synergetic effects of doxycycline-loaded chitosan nanoparticles for improving drug delivery and efficacy, *International journal of nanomedicine*, 7 (2012) 2411.
- [350] F. Wu, G. Meng, J. He, Y. Wu, F. Wu, Z. Gu, Antibiotic-Loaded Chitosan Hydrogel with Superior Dual Functions: Antibacterial Efficacy and Osteoblastic Cell Responses, *Acs Appl Mater Inter*, (2014).
- [351] S. Maya, S. Indulekha, V. Sukhithasri, K.T. Smitha, S.V. Nair, R. Jayakumar, R. Biswas, Efficacy of tetracycline encapsulated O-carboxymethyl chitosan nanoparticles against intracellular infections of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 51 (2012) 392-399.
- [352] E. Cevher, Z. Orhan, L. Mülazımoğlu, D. Şensoy, M. Alper, A. Yıldız, Y. Özsoy, Characterization of biodegradable chitosan microspheres containing vancomycin and treatment of experimental osteomyelitis caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* with prepared microspheres, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 317 (2006) 127-135.
- [353] I.P. Kaur, H. Singh, Nanostructured drug delivery for better management of tuberculosis, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 184 (2014) 36-50.

- [354] G.K. Saraogi, B. Sharma, B. Joshi, P. Gupta, U.D. Gupta, N.K. Jain, G.P. Agrawal, Mannosylated gelatin nanoparticles bearing isoniazid for effective management of tuberculosis, *J Drug Target*, 19 (2011) 219-227.
- [355] P.S. Pourshahab, K. Gilani, E. Moazeni, H. Eslahi, M.R. Fazeli, H. Jamalifar, Preparation and characterization of spray dried inhalable powders containing chitosan nanoparticles for pulmonary delivery of isoniazid, *Journal of microencapsulation*, 28 (2011) 605-613.
- [356] K. Ohashi, T. Kabasawa, T. Ozeki, H. Okada, One-step preparation of rifampicin/poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanoparticle-containing mannitol microspheres using a four-fluid nozzle spray drier for inhalation therapy of tuberculosis, *Journal of controlled release : official journal of the Controlled Release Society*, 135 (2009) 19-24.
- [357] N. Nimje, A. Agarwal, G.K. Saraogi, N. Lariya, G. Rai, H. Agrawal, G.P. Agrawal, Mannosylated nanoparticulate carriers of rifabutin for alveolar targeting, *J Drug Target*, 17 (2009) 777-787.
- [358] S.M. Hwang, D.D. Kim, S.J. Chung, C.K. Shim, Delivery of ofloxacin to the lung and alveolar macrophages via hyaluronan microspheres for the treatment of tuberculosis, *Journal of controlled release : official journal of the Controlled Release Society*, 129 (2008) 100-106.
- [359] A. Zahoor, S. Sharma, G.K. Khuller, Inhalable alginate nanoparticles as antitubercular drug carriers against experimental tuberculosis, *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 26 (2005) 298-303.
- [360] A.M. Grumezescu, E. Andronescu, A.M. Holban, A. Ficai, D. Ficai, G. Voicu, V. Grumezescu, P.C. Balaure, C.M. Chifiriuc, Water dispersible cross-linked magnetic chitosan beads for increasing the antimicrobial efficiency of aminoglycoside antibiotics, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 454 (2013) 233-240.
- [361] J. Hombach, H. Hoyer, A. Bernkop-Schnurch, Thiolated chitosans: development and in vitro evaluation of an oral tobramycin sulphate delivery system, *Eur J Pharm Sci*, 33 (2008) 1-8.
- [362] P.V. Kumar, A. Asthana, T. Dutta, N.K. Jain, Intracellular macrophage uptake of rifampicin loaded mannosylated dendrimers, *J Drug Target*, 14 (2006) 546-556.
- [363] M.A. Moretton, D.A. Chiappetta, F. Andrade, J. das Neves, D. Ferreira, B. Sarmento, A. Sosnik, Hydrolyzed Galactomannan-Modified Nanoparticles and Flower-Like Polymeric Micelles for the Active Targeting of Rifampicin to Macrophages, *Journal of biomedical nanotechnology*, 9 (2013) 1076-1087.
- [364] T. Okuda, D. Kito, A. Oiwa, M. Fukushima, D. Hira, H. Okamoto, Gene Silencing in a Mouse Lung Metastasis Model by an Inhalable Dry Small Interfering RNA Powder Prepared Using the Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Technique, *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 36 (2013) 1183-1191.
- [365] L. De Backer, K. Braeckmans, M.C.A. Stuart, J. Demeester, S.C. De Smedt, K. Raemdonck, Bio-inspired pulmonary surfactant-modified nanogels: A promising siRNA delivery system, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 206 (2015) 177-186.
- [366] L. De Backer, T. Naessens, S. De Koker, E. Zagato, J. Demeester, J. Grooten, S.C. De Smedt, K. Raemdonck, Hybrid pulmonary surfactant-coated nanogels mediate efficient in vivo delivery of siRNA to murine alveolar macrophages, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 217 (2015) 53-63.
- [367] T. Garg, G. Rath, A.K. Goyal, Inhalable chitosan nanoparticles as antitubercular drug carriers for an effective treatment of tuberculosis, *Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology*, 44 (2016) 997-1001.
- [368] K.T. Smitha, N. Nisha, S. Maya, R. Biswas, R. Jayakumar, Delivery of rifampicin-chitin nanoparticles into the intracellular compartment of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 74 (2015) 36-43.
- [369] S. Maya, S. Indulekha, V. Sukhithasri, K.T. Smitha, S.V. Nair, R. Jayakumar, R. Biswas, Efficacy of tetracycline encapsulated O-carboxymethyl chitosan nanoparticles against intracellular infections of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 51 (2012) 392-399.
- [370] V. Kiruthika, S. Maya, M.K. Suresh, V. Anil Kumar, R. Jayakumar, R. Biswas, Comparative efficacy of chloramphenicol loaded chondroitin sulfate and dextran sulfate nanoparticles to treat intracellular *Salmonella* infections, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 127 (2015) 33-40.

- [371] E. S, N. T.R, R. V.K, G. Baranwal, R. Biswas, J. R, S. S, Fucoidan coated ciprofloxacin loaded chitosan nanoparticles for the treatment of intracellular and biofilm infections of Salmonella, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 160 (2017) 40-47.
- [372] P.V. Kumar, A. Asthana, T. Dutta, N.K. Jain, Intracellular macrophage uptake of rifampicin loaded mannosylated dendrimers, *J Drug Target*, 14 (2006) 546-556.
- [373] F. Wu, G. Meng, J. He, Y. Wu, F. Wu, Z. Gu, Antibiotic-Loaded Chitosan Hydrogel with Superior Dual Functions: Antibacterial Efficacy and Osteoblastic Cell Responses, *Acs Appl Mater Inter*, 6 (2014) 10005-10013.
- [374] S. Asthana, A.K. Jaiswal, P.K. Gupta, V.K. Pawar, A. Dube, M.K. Chourasia, Immunoadjuvant chemotherapy of visceral leishmaniasis in hamsters using amphotericin B-encapsulated nanoemulsion template-based chitosan nanocapsules, *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*, 57 (2013) 1714-1722.
- [375] R. Zeng, Z. Wang, H. Wang, L. Chen, L. Yang, R. Qiao, L. Hu, Z. Li, Effect of bond linkage on in vitro drug release and anti-HIV activity of chitosan-stavudine conjugates, *Macromolecular Research*, 20 (2012) 358-365.
- [376] UNAIDS, AIDS-by-the-numbers, AIDS-by-the-numbers, Switzerland, Gneva, (2016).
- [377] S. Nyamweya, A. Hegedus, A. Jaye, S. Rowland-Jones, K.L. Flanagan, D.C. Macallan, Comparing HIV-1 and HIV-2 infection: Lessons for viral immunopathogenesis, *Reviews in Medical Virology*, 23 (2013) 221-240.
- [378] P.J. Klasse, The molecular basis of HIV entry, *Cellular Microbiology*, 14 (2012) 1183-1192.
- [379] J. Dev, D. Park, Q. Fu, J. Chen, H.J. Ha, F. Ghantous, T. Herrmann, W. Chang, Z. Liu, G. Frey, M.S. Seaman, B. Chen, J.J. Chou, Structural basis for membrane anchoring of HIV-1 envelope spike, *Science*, 353 (2016) 172-175.
- [380] J. das Neves, R. Nunes, F. Rodrigues, B. Sarmiento, Nanomedicine in the development of anti-HIV microbicides, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 103 (2016) 57-75.
- [381] J. Adlin Jino Nesalin, A. Anton Smith, Preparation and evaluation of stavudine loaded chitosan nanoparticles, *Journal of Pharmacy Research*, 6 (2013) 268-274.
- [382] P. GOUDANAVAR, K. THANDAVA, D. HIREMATH, M. SARFAZ, DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF STAVUDINE LOADED NANOPARTICULATE DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM, *BIOINFO Pharmaceutical Biotechnology*, 2 (2013) 24-28.
- [383] A. Dev, N.S. Binulal, A. Anitha, S.V. Nair, T. Furuike, H. Tamura, R. Jayakumar, Preparation of poly(lactic acid)/chitosan nanoparticles for anti-HIV drug delivery applications, *Carbohydr Polym*, 80 (2010) 833-838.
- [384] Q. Li, Y.-Z. Du, H. Yuan, X.-G. Zhang, J. Miao, F.-D. Cui, F.-Q. Hu, Synthesis of Lamivudine stearate and antiviral activity of stearic acid-g-chitosan oligosaccharide polymeric micelles delivery system, *Eur J Pharm Sci*, 41 (2010) 498-507.
- [385] G. Giacalone, E. Fattal, H. Hillaireau, Chitosan nanoparticles for the intracellular delivery of triphosphate nucleotide analogues, *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 14 (2014) P75.
- [386] L.N. Ramana, S. Sharma, S. Sethuraman, U. Ranga, U.M. Krishnan, Evaluation of chitosan nanoformulations as potent anti-HIV therapeutic systems, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects*, 1840 (2014) 476-484.
- [387] A.C. Parker, K.E. Beenken, J.A. Jennings, L. Hittle, M.E. Shirtliff, J.D. Bumgardner, M.S. Smeltzer, W.O. Haggard, Characterization of local delivery with amphotericin B and vancomycin from modified chitosan sponges and functional biofilm prevention evaluation, *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 33 (2015) 439-447.
- [388] V. Staedtke, M. Braehler, A. Muller, R. Georgieva, S. Bauer, N. Sternberg, A. Voigt, A. Lemke, C. Keck, J. Moschwitz, H. Baumler, In vitro inhibition of fungal activity by macrophage-mediated sequestration and release of encapsulated amphotericin B nanosuspension in red blood cells, *Small*, 6 (2010) 96-103.
- [389] S.P. Vyas, K. Khatri, Liposome-based drug delivery to alveolar macrophages, *Expert Opin Drug Deliv*, 4 (2007) 95-99.

- [390] A.S. Nagle, S. Khare, A.B. Kumar, F. Supek, A. Buchynskyy, C.J.N. Mathison, N.K. Chennamaneni, N. Pendem, F.S. Buckner, M.H. Gelb, V. Molteni, Recent Developments in Drug Discovery for Leishmaniasis and Human African Trypanosomiasis, *Chemical Reviews*, 114 (2014) 11305-11347.
- [391] A.K. Agrawal, C.M. Gupta, Tuftsin-bearing liposomes in treatment of macrophage-based infections, *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 41 (2000) 135-146.
- [392] D.E. Ickowicz, S. Farber, E. Sionov, S. Kagan, A. Hoffman, I. Polacheck, A.J. Domb, Activity, Reduced Toxicity, and Scale-Up Synthesis of Amphotericin B-Conjugated Polysaccharide, *Biomacromolecules*, 15 (2014) 2079-2089.
- [393] W. Tiyafoonchai, N. Limpeanchob, Formulation and characterization of amphotericin B-chitosan-dextran sulfate nanoparticles, *Int J Pharmaceut*, 329 (2007) 142-149.
- [394] A.C. Parker, C. Rhodes, J.A. Jennings, L. Hittle, M. Shirtliff, J.D. Bumgardner, W.O. Haggard, Preliminary evaluation of local drug delivery of amphotericin B and in vivo degradation of chitosan and polyethylene glycol blended sponges, *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*, 104 (2016) 78-87.
- [395] T. Ehrenfreund-Kleinman, T. Azzam, R. Falk, I. Polacheck, J. Golenser, A.J. Domb, Synthesis and characterization of novel water soluble amphotericin B-arabinogalactan conjugates, *Biomaterials*, 23 (2002) 1327-1335.
- [396] R. Falk, J. Grunwald, A. Hoffman, A.J. Domb, I. Polacheck, Distribution of amphotericin B-arabinogalactan conjugate in mouse tissue and its therapeutic efficacy against murine aspergillosis, *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*, 48 (2004) 3606-3609.
- [397] S. Kagan, D. Ickowicz, M. Shmuel, Y. Altschuler, E. Sionov, M. Pitusi, A. Weiss, S. Farber, A.J. Domb, I. Polacheck, Toxicity mechanisms of amphotericin B and its neutralization by conjugation with arabinogalactan, *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*, 56 (2012) 5603-5611.
- [398] D.B. Vieira, A.M. Carmona-Ribeiro, Cationic nanoparticles for delivery of amphotericin B: preparation, characterization and activity in vitro, *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, 6 (2008) 1-13.
- [399] K.K. Chakraborty, S.R. Naik, Therapeutic and hemolytic evaluation of in-situ liposomal preparation containing amphotericin - beta complexed with different chemically modified beta - cyclodextrins, *Journal of pharmacy & pharmaceutical sciences : a publication of the Canadian Society for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Societe canadienne des sciences pharmaceutiques*, 6 (2003) 231-237.
- [400] S.P. Vyas, Y.K. Katore, V. Mishra, V. Sihorkar, Ligand directed macrophage targeting of amphotericin B loaded liposomes, *Int J Pharm*, 210 (2000) 1-14.
- [401] K. Jain, A.K. Verma, P.R. Mishra, N.K. Jain, Characterization and evaluation of amphotericin B loaded MDP conjugated poly(propylene imine) dendrimers, *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine*, 11 (2015) 705-713.
- [402] P. Verma, M. Ahuja, Optimization, characterization and evaluation of chitosan-tailored cubic nanoparticles of clotrimazole, *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 73 (2015) 138-145.
- [403] P. Mura, N. Mennini, I. Kosalec, S. Furlanetto, S. Orlandini, M. Jug, Amidated pectin-based wafers for econazole buccal delivery: Formulation optimization and antimicrobial efficacy estimation, *Carbohydr Polym*, 121 (2015) 231-240.
- [404] V.K. Rai, N.P. Yadav, P. Sinha, N. Mishra, S. Luqman, H. Dwivedi, K.M. Kymonil, S.A. Saraf, Development of cellulosic polymer based gel of novel ternary mixture of miconazole nitrate for buccal delivery, *Carbohydr Polym*, 103 (2014) 126-133.
- [405] P.M. Torre, Y. Enobakhare, G. Torrado, S. Torrado, Release of amoxicillin from polyionic complexes of chitosan and poly (acrylic acid). Study of polymer/polymer and polymer/drug interactions within the network structure, *Biomaterials*, 24 (2003) 1499-1506.
- [406] U. Bulbake, S. Doppalapudi, N. Kommineni, W. Khan, Liposomal Formulations in Clinical Use: An Updated Review, *Pharmaceutics*, 9 (2017) 12.
- [407] J.P. Clancy, L. Dupont, M.W. Konstan, J. Billings, S. Fustik, C.H. Goss, J. Lymp, P. Minic, A.L. Quittner, R.C. Rubenstein, K.R. Young, L. Saiman, J.L. Burns, J.R.W. Govan, B. Ramsey, R. Gupta, f.t.A.S. Group, Phase II studies of nebulised Arikace in CF patients with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection, *Thorax*, 68 (2013) 818-825.

- [408] S. Hallaj-Nezhadi, M. Hassan, Nanoliposome-based antibacterial drug delivery, *Drug delivery*, 0 (2013) 1-9.
- [409] M.N. Zhou, C.S. Delaveris, J.R. Kramer, J.A. Kenkel, E.G. Engleman, C.R. Bertozzi, N-Carboxyanhydride Polymerization of Glycopolypeptides That Activate Antigen-Presenting Cells through Dectin-1 and Dectin-2, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 57 (2018) 3137-3142.
- [410] K.K. Upadhyay, A.N. Bhatt, A.K. Mishra, B.S. Dwarakanath, S. Jain, C. Schatz, J.-F. Le Meins, A. Farooque, G. Chandraiah, A.K. Jain, A. Misra, S. Lecommandoux, The intracellular drug delivery and anti tumor activity of doxorubicin loaded poly(γ -benzyl L-glutamate)-b-hyaluronan polymersomes, *Biomaterials*, 31 (2010) 2882-2892.
- [411] C. Lavilla, G. Yilmaz, V. Uzunova, R. Napier, C.R. Becer, A. Heise, Block-Sequence-Specific Glycopolypeptides with Selective Lectin Binding Properties, *Biomacromolecules*, 18 (2017) 1928-1936.
- [412] J. Zhao, K. Babiuch, H. Lu, A. Dag, M. Gottschaldt, M.H. Stenzel, Fructose-coated nanoparticles: a promising drug nanocarrier for triple-negative breast cancer therapy, *Chem Commun*, 50 (2014) 15928-15931.
- [413] S.S. Justice, D.A. Hunstad, L. Cegelski, S.J. Hultgren, Morphological plasticity as a bacterial survival strategy, *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6 (2008) 162.
- [414] J. Möller, T. Luehmann, H. Hall, V. Vogel, The Race to the Pole: How High-Aspect Ratio Shape and Heterogeneous Environments Limit Phagocytosis of Filamentous *Escherichia coli* Bacteria by Macrophages, *Nano Letters*, 12 (2012) 2901-2905.