Entanglement dynamics in open two-qubit systems via diffusive quantum trajectories

Carlos Viviescas,¹ Ivonne Guevara,¹ André R.R. Carvalho,² Marc Busse,³ and Andreas Buchleitner⁴

¹*Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia,
Carrera 30 No.45-03 Edificio 404, Bogotá D.C., Colombia*

²*Australian Centre for Quantum-Atom Optics, Department of Quantum Science,
Research School of Physics and Engineering, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia*

³*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München,
Theresienstrasse 33, D-80033 München, Germany*

⁴*Physikalisches Institut der Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg,
Hermann-Herder-Strasse 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany*

(Dated: October 29, 2018)

We use quantum diffusive trajectories to prove that the time evolution of two-qubit entanglement under spontaneous emission can be fully characterized by *optimal* continuous monitoring. We analytically determine this optimal unraveling and derive a deterministic evolution equation for the system's concurrence. Furthermore, we propose an experiment to monitor the entanglement dynamics in bipartite two-level systems and to determine the disentanglement time from a single trajectory.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Yz, 42.50.Lc

The fragility of entanglement with respect to decoherence persists as one of the major obstacles faced by most implementations of quantum information protocols. The unavoidable coupling of quantum systems to their surroundings is responsible for the deterioration of this most important quantum information resource, in ways that still escape quantification, and of which we have only a limited understanding. A complete account of this degradation process, even for the most elementary systems, is hampered, to a large extent, by the optimization problem inherent to the characterization of mixed state entanglement [1–3]. This is one of the major current challenges for theory and experiment.

Recently, new light was shed on open systems entanglement dynamics with the use of quantum trajectories [4, 5]. Based on numerical results, it was conjectured [5] that an *optimal* continuous measurement prescription generates the – in the above sense – optimal pure state decomposition of the system state, such that the mean entanglement yields the correct mixed state entanglement, at all times. Such optimal unravelings may help to overcome the mentioned experimental and theoretical shortcomings, since they allow for a restriction of the optimization space, and define continuous monitoring prescriptions for the experimental measurement of entanglement.

In the present paper we show that the use of diffusive quantum trajectories allows for an analytical proof of the existence of optimal unravelings in the sense of [5], for two qubits coupled to a zero temperature environment. Our results, however, go beyond this point, complementing the program proposed in [4, 5] in three further directions. First, we deduce a deterministic differential equation for the evolution of entanglement in open systems. To our knowledge, the first of its kind. Second, we show

that a single quantum trajectory unambiguously determines the disentanglement time [6–10], i.e. the time at which entanglement disappears completely. Finally, we propose an optical experimental realization of the optimal measurement strategy, for the actual monitoring of entanglement under incoherent dynamics.

Consider a quantum system interacting with its environment. Initially prepared in a pure state $|\Psi_0\rangle$, it will evolve into a mixed state ρ_t . The temporal change of the entanglement inscribed into the system state can be inferred by evaluation of some entanglement measure $\mathcal{M}(\rho_t)$ at all times t , with [2]

$$\mathcal{M}(\rho) = \inf_{\{p_i, |\Psi_i\rangle\}} \sum_i p_i \mathcal{M}(\Psi_i), \quad (1)$$

and $\mathcal{M}(\Psi)$ one of the known entanglement measures for pure states [3]. The *infimum* is understood over all possible decompositions of ρ into pure states, $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i|$, with positive $p_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_i p_i = 1$. This optimization turns into a formidable numerical problem for higher dimensional or multipartite systems. The drawbacks, however, are not only computational. Even in those cases where (1) can be analytically evaluated [11], e.g., for a system composed of two qubits, no clear physical interpretation of this optimal decomposition exists such as to guide our intuition for the physics of mixed state entanglement. We believe that our present quantum trajectory approach indicates a possible strategy to overcome both these computational and conceptual obstacles.

We analyse open quantum systems described by a Lindblad master equation [12]

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho] + \sum_{k=1}^N \mathcal{L}_k \rho. \quad (2)$$

Here, the first term on the right hand side accounts for the unitary dynamics generated by the system Hamiltonian H , while the superoperators $\mathcal{L}_k$ describe the effects of the environment on the system. Their action on an arbitrary state ρ is

$$\mathcal{L}_k \rho = J_k \rho J_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} (J_k^\dagger J_k \rho + \rho J_k^\dagger J_k),$$

and the operators J_k – which we will lump together as a vector $\mathbf{J}$ hereafter – are determined by the specific coupling between system and environment.

Instead of attempting a direct solution of (2) for the mixed state ρ , we follow an alternative path in the spirit of [5]: A continuous *monitoring* of the environment yields information on the system, and induces a stochastic state evolution [13–15] conditioned on the measurement record. The unconditional state ρ is recovered upon averaging the conditioned state $|\Psi_c\rangle$ over many independent realizations of the quantum trajectories at some given time t . Hence, the master equation is *unraveled* into quantum trajectories, with different unravelings arising from different ways to measure the environment [13]. It is this last feature which is at the core of our work. In what follows, we rigorously show that an optimal measurement scheme can be found that generates physically motivated decompositions of ρ into pure states, with minimal entanglement average equal to the system entanglement as defined by Eq. (1).

Our focus is on diffusive unravelings, yielding a continuous evolution for $|\Psi_c\rangle$. The corresponding Itô stochastic equation for the state increment is [14–17]

$$d|\Psi_c\rangle = \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} H - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{J}^\dagger \mathbf{J} + \langle \mathbf{J}^\dagger \rangle_c \langle \mathbf{J} \rangle_c - 2 \langle \mathbf{J}^\dagger \rangle_c \mathbf{J}) \right] |\Psi_c\rangle dt + d\xi^\dagger(t) (\mathbf{J} - \langle \mathbf{J} \rangle_c) |\Psi_c\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where all expectation values are taken with respect to the conditional state $|\Psi_c\rangle$. The first term describes the deterministic dynamics of the system, while the second, proportional to the vector $d\xi = (d\xi_1, \dots, d\xi_N)^\top$ composed of infinitesimal complex Wiener increments [18], encompasses the stochastic nature of the evolution. The stochastic process $d\xi$ has vanishing ensemble average, $E[d\xi] = 0$, with correlations

$$d\xi d\xi^\dagger = \mathbb{I} dt, \quad d\xi d\xi^\top = u dt, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity matrix and u a complex symmetric matrix subject to the condition $\|u\|_2 \leq 1$ for the matrix two-norm [22].

Associated with the quantum diffusive process (3) is the measurement record which conditions the evolution of $|\Psi_c\rangle$, and which can be written as a vector of complex functions

$$\mathbf{Y}^\top dt = \langle \mathbf{J}^\dagger u + \mathbf{J}^\top \rangle_c dt + d\xi^\top, \quad (5)$$

where each vector component represents a specific detection event. The conditional change in $|\Psi_c\rangle$ is obtained after using this experimental record to substitute the noise term $d\xi$ in (3).

Notice that with the parametrization (4), a diffusive unraveling defined by (3) is completely specified once the correlation matrix u is given. Different unravelings, even though equivalent and leading to the same unconditional solution of the master equation (2), generate ensembles of trajectories with distinct statistical properties. In particular, the *optimal* unraveling we are seeking must generate, at each time step, an optimal pure state decomposition of ρ_t which minimizes the average entanglement $E[\mathcal{M}]$. Consequently, the necessary condition for an optimal unraveling is that it has to minimize the average differential $E[d\mathcal{M}]$ of the entanglement measure. This is achieved by optimization of the parameters which define u .

Let us illustrate the ideas above by a specific example. Consider two qubits each coupled to a private bath, which we assume to induce decoherence by spontaneous emission (zero temperature reservoir). The corresponding Lindblad operators are $\mathbf{J} = (\sqrt{\gamma} \sigma_-^{(1)} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \sqrt{\gamma} \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma_-^{(2)})^\top$, where $\sigma_-^{(i)}$ is the deexcitation operator of the i th qubit and γ the spontaneous decay rate, which we assumed equal for both qubits.

We will employ concurrence c [11] to quantify the system entanglement so far denoted by $\mathcal{M}$. Analogous results are obtained for other measures such as, e.g., entanglement of formation [3, 11]. The concurrence of a general pure state $|\Psi\rangle = \psi_{00}|00\rangle + \psi_{01}|01\rangle + \psi_{10}|10\rangle + \psi_{11}|11\rangle$ is $c(\Psi) \equiv |\langle \Psi^* | \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y | \Psi \rangle| = 2|\psi_{10}\psi_{01} - \psi_{00}\psi_{11}|$ [11]. Under the dynamics generated by Eq. (3), the change of concurrence reads

$$dc_\Psi = \left[-\gamma c_\Psi + 2\gamma \text{Re} \left(\frac{\bar{c}_\Psi \psi_{11}^{*2}}{c_\Psi} u_{12} \right) \right] dt - 2c_\Psi \text{Re}(\langle \mathbf{J}^\dagger \rangle_\Psi d\xi), \quad (6)$$

where $c_\Psi = c(\Psi)$, and $\bar{c}_\Psi = \langle \Psi^* | \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y | \Psi \rangle$ [11].

The optimal unraveling is now found by minimization of the ensemble average $E[dc_\Psi]$. This is accomplished by imposing that, for all trajectories and all times, the deterministic term in the first line of (6) be minimal. It is readily verified that this is achieved by setting

$$u^{(\text{opt})} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{i\theta_{\text{opt}}} \\ -e^{i\theta_{\text{opt}}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

with $\theta_{\text{opt}} = \arg(\bar{c}_\Psi^* \psi_{11}^2)$. This choice of parameters implies that the optimal unravelling, determined by the phase of $\Theta_\Psi \equiv \bar{c}_\Psi^* \psi_{11}^2$, is time independent: The equation of motion of Θ_Ψ in its Itô form can be derived as

$$d\Theta_\Psi = [2\gamma |\psi_{11}|^4 u_{12} + \Theta_\Psi \text{Re}(f_\Psi)] dt + \Theta_\Psi \text{Re}(\mathbf{g}_\Psi^\top d\xi),$$

where f_Ψ and $\mathbf{g}_\Psi$ are functions of $|\Psi\rangle$. Note that this equation depends on the unraveling through u_{12} . Upon

substitution of $u^{(\text{opt})}$, it reduces to

$$d\Theta_\Psi = \Theta_\Psi \text{Re}(\tilde{f}_\Psi dt + \mathbf{g}_\Psi^\top d\xi),$$

with $\tilde{f}_\Psi$ a new function of the state, and $d\Theta_\Psi$ thus has the same phase as Θ_Ψ . Hence, along trajectories generated with the optimal unraveling, the phase of Θ_Ψ remains unchanged – its value is fixed by the initial state. This feature has at least two significant consequences:

- (a) First, the optimal decompositions for the unconditional state ρ_t are singled out as ensembles of pure states which all exhibit the same phase of Θ_Ψ , signaling the existence of a subtle relation between the temporal entanglement evolution and the characteristics of the optimal decompositions in Eq. (1).
- (b) Second, it implies that the optimal unraveling is *time independent*, with

$$\theta_{\text{opt}} = \arg(\Theta_{\Psi_0}) = \arg(\bar{c}_{\Psi_0}^* \psi_{11}^2(0))$$

determined by the initial state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ only. This remarkable property, observed numerically in [5] and analytically confirmed here, is of utmost importance for the design of experimental setups to directly monitor the entanglement evolution (see below): the monitoring strategy associated with the optimal unraveling is settled once the initial state is chosen, and does not require any steering along with the system's temporal evolution.

As a last step, we still need to show that the optimal unraveling defined above indeed coincides with the state's mixed state entanglement as defined in (1), since the set of physically realizable decompositions of ρ is only a subset of all possible decompositions [19]. Substitution of $u_{12}^{(\text{opt})}$ into (6) and a subsequent ensemble average leads to the equation of motion

$$\frac{dE[c_\Psi]}{dt} = -\gamma E[c_\Psi] - 2\gamma E[|\psi_{11}|^2]. \quad (8)$$

The evolution equation of $E[|\psi_{11}|^2]$ is unraveling independent and can be derived to read $dE[|\psi_{11}|^2]/dt = -2\gamma E[|\psi_{11}|^2]$, which integrates to $E[|\psi_{11}|^2] = |\psi_{11}(0)|^2 e^{-2\gamma t}$. Thus Eq. (8) reduces to

$$\frac{dE[c_\Psi]}{dt} = -\gamma E[c_\Psi] - 2\gamma |\psi_{11}(0)|^2 e^{-2\gamma t}, \quad (9)$$

a deterministic equation of motion for the average concurrence, with parameters that depend on $|\Psi_0\rangle$ only. The solution of this equation is

$$E[c_\Psi](t, \Psi_0) = e^{-\gamma t} [c(0, \Psi_0) - 2|\psi_{11}(0)|^2(1 - e^{-\gamma t})], \quad (10)$$

which coincides with the known result [5, 20] for the specific physical scenario under study here.

FIG. 1: Time evolution of concurrence for initial states $|\Psi_0\rangle = (i\sqrt{5}|00\rangle - |01\rangle + i|10\rangle + |11\rangle)/\sqrt{8}$ (dashed line) and $|\Psi_0\rangle = (|00\rangle - |01\rangle + i|10\rangle + i\sqrt{5}|11\rangle)/\sqrt{8}$ (solid line) under incoherent coupling to a zero temperature environment (time is rescaled as $p = 1 - e^{-\gamma t}$). Both states have the same initial concurrence $c(\Psi_0) = 0.809$. The average concurrence evaluated using 500 trajectories with an optimal unraveling faithfully reproduces the exact result [cf. Eq. (10)]. Irregular lines represent the pure state concurrence along individual quantum trajectories for each initial state. The inset shows the time evolution of $\theta = \arg(\bar{c}_{\Psi}^* \psi_{11}^2)$ along these trajectories.

In addition to this coincidence between the average entanglement generated by optimal unraveling and mixed state entanglement according to (1), even *single* quantum state trajectories carry relevant information on the disentanglement process induced by the environment coupling: As evident from Eq. (10), entangled initial states with $c(0, \Psi_0) < 2|\psi_{11}(0)|^2$ turn separable at finite times $t_s < \infty$, while they only asymptotically reach separability otherwise [5, 9, 20] (see Fig. 1). Since the concurrence of a two-qubit state is given by $c(\rho) = \max\{0, \Lambda(\rho)\}$ [11], where $\Lambda(\rho) = \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sum_{i=2}^4 \sqrt{\lambda_i}$, and λ_i are the eigenvalues of the matrix $\rho(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho^*(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$, with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_i$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, while $E[c_\Psi](t, \Psi_0)$ is obtained as a sum of positive numbers, the latter reproduces the *modulus* of $\Lambda(t) \equiv \Lambda(\rho(t))$. Consequently, even $c_\Psi(t)$ as derived from an *individual* quantum trajectory vanishes when $\Lambda(t)$ changes sign at $t = t_s$; this is associated with a jump of the phase $\theta(t) = \arg(\bar{c}_{\Psi}^* \psi_{11}^2)$ by π . Thus, the disentanglement time t_s is unambiguously encoded in each individual quantum state trajectory, as shown in Fig. 1.

Let us finally describe an experimentally realizable setup for the direct monitoring of the open system entanglement evolution. For the optimal unraveling (7), the measurement record (5) has the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 dt &= \sqrt{\gamma} \langle -e^{i\theta_{\text{opt}}} \sigma_+^{(2)} + \sigma_-^{(1)} \rangle_c dt + d\xi_1, \\ Y_2 dt &= \sqrt{\gamma} \langle -e^{i\theta_{\text{opt}}} \sigma_+^{(1)} + \sigma_-^{(2)} \rangle_c dt + d\xi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where, for notational simplicity, we let the operators' superscripts specify the subspace on which they act.

FIG. 2: Experimental setup for direct monitoring of two-qubit entanglement evolution under incoherent dynamics. One of the photons emitted by the two qubits passes through a phase shifter (PS) with $\theta = -\theta_{\text{opt}} + \pi/2$ before combining with the other photon in a 50-50 beam splitter (BS). Each of the outgoing modes is then subject to homodyne detection with a local oscillator.

Such measurement can be realized in optical experiments: Photons emitted by qubits 1 and 2, corresponding to $\sqrt{\gamma}\sigma_-^{(1)}$ and $\sqrt{\gamma}\sigma_-^{(2)}$, respectively, enter the detection scheme shown in Fig. 2. The photon coming from the second qubit passes through a phase shifter (PS) with $\theta = -\theta_{\text{opt}} + \pi/2$ before impinging on a 50-50 beam splitter (BS), where it is combined with the photon from qubit one. Each of the outgoing modes is then subject to homodyne detection with a local oscillator with its phase set equal to $\theta_{\text{loc}} = -\pi/2$. At the detectors, this procedure yields the real homodyne photocurrents [21]

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 dt &= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \langle -e^{i\theta_{\text{opt}}} \sigma_+^{(2)} + \sigma_+^{(1)} + \text{h.c.} \rangle_c dt + d\zeta_1, \\ I_2 dt &= -i\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \langle e^{i\theta_{\text{opt}}} \sigma_+^{(2)} + \sigma_+^{(1)} - \text{h.c.} \rangle_c dt + d\zeta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here, $d\zeta_i$ are real, independent increments, corresponding to the detectors' shot noise. The complex currents (11) are recovered from these real currents through $Y_1 dt = (I_1 dt - iI_2 dt)/\sqrt{2}$ and $Y_2 dt = -e^{i\theta_{\text{opt}}}(I_1 dt + iI_2 dt)/\sqrt{2}$. The conditional evolution of the state is reconstructed by substituting these, with the help of (5), into (3). This then allows for an immediate evaluation of the pure state concurrence. The mixed state entanglement evolution of the system is recovered after averaging over the ensemble of entanglement records generated in this way.

This experimental proposal, together with the time evolution equation (9) and the finite time disentanglement detection with a single trajectory, convey the strength of diffusive quantum trajectories as a complete and efficient method for the characterization of entanglement under incoherent dynamics. Extensions of these ideas to other type of environments, higher dimensional systems, and considerations of finite detection efficiencies for experimental realizations are relevant issues to be addressed in future work.

We thank Lajos Diósi, Marek Kuś, Hans Maassen, Marco Piani, Dominique Spehner, Juan D. Urbina, Howard M. Wiseman and Karol Życzkowski for interesting and stimulating discussions. Financial support by VolkswagenStiftung and DAAD/PROBRAL is gratefully acknowledged.

-
- [1] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 4277 (1989).
 - [2] A. Uhlmann, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 032307 (2000).
 - [3] F. Mintert, A. R. R. Carvalho, M. Kuś, and A. Buchleitner, Phys. Rep. **415**, 207 (2005).
 - [4] H. Nha and H. J. Carmichael, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 120408 (2004).
 - [5] A. R. R. Carvalho, M. Busse, O. Brodier, C. Viviescas, and A. Buchleitner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 190501 (2007).
 - [6] K. Życzkowski, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 012101 (2001).
 - [7] C. Simon and J. Kempe, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 052327 (2002).
 - [8] W. Dür and H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 180403 (2004).
 - [9] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 140404 (2004).
 - [10] A. R. R. Carvalho, F. Mintert, and A. Buchleitner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 230501 (2004).
 - [11] W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245 (1998).
 - [12] G. Lindblad, Commun. Math. Phys. **48**, 119 (1976).
 - [13] H. Carmichael, *An Open System Approach to Quantum Optics* (Springer, Berlin, 1993).
 - [14] I. C. Percival, *Quantum state diffusion* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
 - [15] H. M. Wiseman and L. Diósi, Chem. Phys. **268**, 91 (2001).
 - [16] M. Rigo, F. Mota-Furtado, and P. F. O'Mahony, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30**, 7557 (1997).
 - [17] H. M. Wiseman and A. C. Doherty, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 070405 (2005).
 - [18] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Methods* (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
 - [19] H. M. Wiseman and J. A. Vaccaro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 240402 (2001).
 - [20] M. F. Santos, P. Milman, L. Davidovich, and N. Zagury, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 040305(R) (2006).
 - [21] H. M. Wiseman and G. J. Milburn, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 642 (1993).
 - [22] The matrix two-norm $\|A\|_2$ of a square complex matrix A is defined as the squared root of the maximum eigenvalue of $A^\dagger A$.