The emergence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD): Insights gained from studies of brain and behaviour in high-risk infants

Kandice J Varcin, PhD¹ and Shafali S Jeste, MD²

¹Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

²UCLA Semel Institute of Neuroscience and Human Behavior, David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

Purpose of review—We review studies of infants at risk for autism spectrum disorder (ASD), proposing that the earliest manifestations of disrupted brain development can shed light on pre-behavioural markers of risk and mechanisms underlying the heterogeneity of ASD.

Recent findings—Prospective, longitudinal studies of infants at-risk for ASD have revealed that behavioural signs of ASD are generally not observed until the second year of life. The developmental signs within the first year are often subtle and rooted in processes outside the core diagnostic domains of ASD, such as motor and visual-perceptual function. However, studies examining early brain development and function have identified a myriad of atypicalities within the first year that are associated with risk for ASD.

Summary—Longitudinal studies of high risk infants provide a unique opportunity to identify and quantify the sources of the atypical development and developmental heterogeneity of ASD. Integration of assays of behaviour and brain in the first year of life, expansion of the definition of high-risk, and coordinated efforts in multi-site investigations to adequately power integrative studies will lead to new insights into mechanisms of atypical development and, ultimately, the ideal timing and target for interventions that aim to attenuate delays or impairments.

Keywords

cognitive neuroscience; autism spectrum disorder; neurodevelopment; early development; behaviour

INTRODUCTION

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a group of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by impairments in social communication skills and the presence of restricted interests and repetitive behaviours [1]. ASD is truly a spectrum of conditions, with considerable variability across individuals in cognitive function, language ability, and psychiatric and neurological comorbidities. This heterogeneity poses a tremendous challenge to the

development of more tailored approaches to diagnosis, clinical monitoring, biomarker development, and treatment. In this review, we propose that studies of the earliest manifestations of disrupted brain development in infants at risk for ASD can shed light on pre-behavioural markers of risk and mechanisms underlying the heterogeneity of ASD, both of which should ultimately inform timing of interventions. We provide an overview of studies of high risk infants, emphasizing the fact that overt behavioural measures may fail to identify the earliest features of neurodevelopmental disorders but that studies of brain function, through EEG, MRI and eye tracking, have identified differences in the first year of life. We then discuss key future directions in these longitudinal studies.

THE PROBLEM OF HETEROGENEITY

It has been proposed that the clinical heterogeneity in ASD results, in part, from the etiologic heterogeneity of the syndrome. In addition to environmental and medical risk factors, such as prenatal drug exposure, preterm birth, or congenital infection, hundreds of genetic variants have been identified that contribute to the development of ASD. In fact, up to one third of individuals with ASD have an identified, causative genetic variation [2]. Although these variations impact specific molecular pathways that can lead to distinct clinical presentations, the various functions of many of these genetic variants also converge in the regulation of fundamental processes of early brain development such as cortical organization, synapse structure and function, connectivity, and the excitation/inhibition balance [3,4]. As we elucidate these genetic mechanisms, key questions emerge. How early do these convergent processes diverge into distinct developmental pathways, and can they be captured through tools in developmental neuroscience? In other words, can we begin to identify and quantify the sources of the developmental heterogeneity of not only ASD, but of the broader spectrum of neurodevelopmental disorders, even before a diagnosis is made?

EARLY MARKERS OF ASD: WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM STUDIES OF BEHAVIOR?

Infants at high familial risk for ASD, based on having at least one sibling with ASD, (“infant siblings”) have constituted the primary focus of research in early developmental markers of ASD, not only because they are at heightened risk for ASD, with prevalence estimates up to 20%, but also because they are identified prenatally and can be followed from birth [5–9]. These prospective, longitudinal studies of infants at familial risk for ASD have significantly advanced our understanding of the developmental progression and unfolding of ASD over the earliest years of life. A number of recent reviews have summarised current knowledge regarding early risk markers and developmental precursors to ASD in infancy and toddlerhood in high-risk infant siblings [10–13]. Based on this collective body of work, we now have an understanding that overt, behavioural signs of ASD do not typically manifest until the second year of life (i.e., between 12 – 24 months). Over this early developmental period, there are a number of behavioural markers that distinguish high-risk infants who go on to meet criteria for ASD, including delays or atypicalities in the use of gesture [8, 14–16], eye contact [14], social attention [6, 17], response to name [18], imitation [9, 19–20], receptive and expressive language [5, 9], and the presence of repetitive behaviours [21–22].

At 18-months of age, different combinations of these risk markers have been found to be predictive of various subtypes of ASD at 3 years [23]. Moreover, diagnoses of ASD over this second year of life (i.e., at 18 and 24-months), based on behavioural markers, have been shown to be highly stable over time [24].

Few behavioural markers of ASD have been identified within the first year of life [10, 12], with several hypotheses proposed for this phenomenon. Is it possible that ASD results from a typical developmental trajectory that is subsequently derailed over later infancy and toddlerhood [6]? Given our understanding of the underlying neurobiology of ASD, it is more likely that atypical behaviours in the first year are subtle, perhaps transient, and lie outside of the core domains of ASD, such as in sensorimotor development or atypical visual attention [25–27]. However, these core developmental constructs appear to be more sensitive to risk status (i.e., high-risk versus low-risk) rather than ASD outcome. In other words, while there are signs of atypical development within the first year in infants at-risk, it isn't until the second year of life that behaviours emerge that show phenotypic continuity with and specificity for an ASD diagnosis [28]. It is also possible that the lack of behavioural signs in the first year reflects the limitations of the measures themselves, with developmental assays being constrained by the restricted repertoire of infants. Indeed, as will be discussed in the subsequent sections of this review, studies in developmental neuroscience are increasingly identifying differences in brain function and structure, as well as gaze patterns, that manifest within the first year of life and are predictive of later ASD (at least at the group level). These early markers are consistent with genetic findings implicating the prenatal and early postnatal period in the etiology of ASD [3].

DEVELOPMENTAL NEUROSCIENCE METHODS TO STUDY EARLY BRAIN DEVELOPMENT

The first years of life are marked by rapid and significant changes in brain development. The pace and significance of this development are not immediately represented at the level of overt behaviour (i.e., changes in the brain appear to precede changes in behaviour). Developmental neuroscience methods offer a window into this very early, critical period of development where the ability to stratify infants on the basis of overt behaviour is limited.

MRI/DTI/fMRI

There are a number of non-invasive tools in developmental neuroscience that allow us to study different aspects of infant brain development, all with unique strengths and limitations. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) techniques allow for the visualization of the developing anatomy and structural connectivity of the brain. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) provides a means in which to investigate functional networks (as opposed to structural networks) or changes in cortical activity with a degree of spatial accuracy that is unable to be obtained by other non-invasive methods. While these methods provide invaluable information about early brain development, there are challenges in their application to infants and in their more widespread use as screening or diagnostic tools, most notably their sensitivity to motion artifact and their cost. To minimize head movement, infant studies typically require conditions of natural sleep, thus

limiting the types of paradigms that can be used to study functional aspects of infant brain development.

fNIRS

Functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) is a relatively new technology in its application to infancy. However, over recent years, it has been increasingly applied to the study of typical and atypical brain development [29]. Similar to fMRI, fNIRS provides an indirect measure of neural activity based on hemodynamic responses in the brain, albeit with considerably lower spatial resolution than fMRI can afford. A major advantage of fNIRS in the study of infant development is its higher degree of motion tolerance relative to fMRI. fNIRS can be used to index regional neural activity in awake infants on the cortical surface (within 2–3cm of the scalp), allowing for the probing of a broader range of paradigms and developmental functions, including social-cognitive functions [29–30].

EEG

Electroencephalography (EEG), both resting state and event related, measures postsynaptic pyramidal cell activity on a temporal scale that is close to real-time. These techniques can resolve neurophysiological activity with millisecond temporal resolution, permitting the measurement of brain oscillatory patterns and dynamics at the large-scale network level [31]. Despite advances in methods around source localization, compared with fMRI and fNIRS, the spatial resolution of EEG remains relatively poor. Like fNIRS, EEG can be recorded in the awake, behaving infant, permitting the assessment of a wide range of developmental functions and neural pathways, from basic sensory processing to higher-level cognitive and social processes. EEG is relatively inexpensive compared to other imaging methodologies. Similar to MRI, EEG holds high translational potential from the laboratory to the clinic and also holds high translational potential from animal models to humans, as similar neurophysiological signals and even event related paradigms can be applied across species [32–34].

Eye-tracking

Although not a measure of brain anatomy or function, eye-tracking is also considered an integral tool for developmental cognitive neuroscientists, and it is commonly used in the study of early development. Eye-tracking technology provides a quantitative, objective measure of infant gaze patterns from the earliest months of life. As visual fixation and visual orienting appears to be supported by brain-wide subcortical networks in infancy [35–36], gaze patterns may represent a readout of broader cortical development and functioning. In other words, eye-tracking technology provides a bridge between analyses at the level of the brain and overt behaviour.

EARLY MARKERS OF ASD: WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM STUDIES OF THE BRAIN?

The application of developmental neuroscience tools to the study of early development in infants at-risk for ASD has revealed a myriad subtle and dynamic differences in brain

function, structure, and gaze patterns over the earliest years of life (including within the first year) in infants who go on to meet diagnostic criteria for ASD.

Studies of brain structure

Imaging studies using MRI, DTI and fMRI have identified atypical, age-dependent patterns of structural growth and connectivity early in life in infants who are later diagnosed with ASD. Emerging findings from DTI studies in particular suggest that there are age-dependent atypicalities in the microstructural organization of white matter tracts in infants who later meet criteria for ASD [37]. Specifically, Wolff et al. [38] identified higher fractional anisotropy (FA) at 6 months of age in high-risk infant siblings with later emerging ASD compared to infants without ASD; this increased in FA was followed by a slower rate of development in ASD infants such that by 24 months, ASD infants had lower values than infants without ASD. A similar pattern of high FA at earlier ages followed by a slower than normal rate of development in ASD infants has since been observed in community-sampled high-risk infants aged between 1 to 4 years [39]. MRI studies have also identified differences in various brain morphological features as early as six months of age in at-risk infants who develop ASD compared to infants who do not develop ASD. These features include increased extra-axial fluid [40] and increased thickness in the corpus callosum [41]. These early atypical morphological features have been linked to later ASD symptom severity [40] and restricted and repetitive behaviours [41].

Studies of brain function

In addition to early differences in brain anatomy and structure, there is evidence emerging from fMRI and EEG findings for concomitant differences in brain function early in life in at-risk infants, prior to the emergence of overt behavioural signs of ASD. Between 6 and 12 months, high-risk infants who later meet criteria for ASD show atypicalities in event related EEG responses (defined as an event related potential, or ERP) [42–43] and patterns of hemispheric specialization (based on event-related coherence analyses) [44] to facial stimuli compared to infants who do not develop ASD. Over the second year of life (at 14 months), elevated alpha connectivity (particularly over the frontal region) has been demonstrated in at-risk infants who later meet criteria for ASD while viewing social and non-social videos [45]. Alongside these differences in neural processing of social perceptual stimuli, there is also evidence from functional imaging studies of atypical auditory processing. fMRI studies have demonstrated atypical response patterns to human voices in infants at high-risk for ASD between 4 to 7 months [46]. Lombardo et al. [47] also found that activation patterns to speech sounds prior to receiving an ASD diagnosis was associated with later language outcomes amongst children with ASD, highlighting the potential predictive power of these methods. While fNIRS also provides a measure of early brain function, to date, no studies have used this method to examine or predict ASD outcomes early in development. However, in studies using this technology to examine differences based on familial risk status, patterns of functional connectivity and hemodynamic responses to social stimuli (e.g., faces) have been found to differentiate infants at high-risk for ASD compared to infants at low-risk over the first year and as early as 3 months [48–50].

Studies have also shown differences in developmental trajectories of gaze patterns over time, as well as at fixed ages, over the first year in infants who go on to meet criteria for ASD. For example, infant siblings who later met criteria for ASD showed a decline in their attention to eyes from 2 to 6 months of postnatal life [51]. At six months, infants with ASD attended less to social scenes [52] and between 6 to 9 months, demonstrated shorter durations between saccades (i.e., shorter fixation durations) [53]. At nine months, infants who met later criteria for ASD showed enhanced visual search performance compared to high-risk infants without ASD [54]. Similarly, enhanced perceptual abilities at nine months were associated with ASD symptom severity at 15 and 24 months in one study [55]. Together, these findings indicate that eye-tracking technology can reveal variations in gaze patterns within the first year of life that appear to represent predictive markers of ASD, prior to the onset of overt, behavioural signs of the disorder.

These studies of brain function and structure support the contention that changes in the brain precede changes in behaviour. There are a wide array of differences spanning alterations in morphology, structural and functional connectivity, and responses to sensory stimuli suggestive of widespread, rather than localized, atypicalities in brain development that precede the emergence of ASD [12]. Moreover, in many studies, particular patterns of atypical development change across development, suggesting that the utility of any potential brain-based marker of ASD will age-dependent. Thus far, in part due to sample sizes, the majority of studies in this area have focused only on group-level differences, thus limiting our capacity to identify meaningful subgroups (or individual differences) that may inform our understanding of convergent and divergent brain-based mechanisms in ASD.

NEXT STEPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The data reviewed here support the fact that atypical brain development and function, particularly in baseline neural connectivity as well as low level processes (such as visual and auditory processing), gaze patterns, and social attention, can be identified in the first year of life in infants at heightened risk for ASD. These findings, largely propelled by the innovative use of technologies that provide greater precision than behavioural assays to capture neurodevelopmental processes have supported the need for more comprehensive and integrative (multi-modal and multi-site) studies of risk in early infancy. Studies continue to be encumbered by small sample sizes and the cost (both financial and in experienced personnel) of testing infants with these methods, thus restricting replication studies and large-scale collaborations. From a scientific perspective, several key future directions emerge from this body of work. First, due to heterogeneity in risk groups and small sample sizes, most studies to date have focused on group-level differences, either based on risk groups or diagnostic outcome. Larger samples will facilitate more sophisticated analyses of correlation between core behavioural and neuroimaging measures which, in turn, will elucidate the developmental relationship between neural networks and function and atypical behaviours. In fact, several infant consortia have been developed to achieve these goals, including the international Baby Sibs Research Consortium (BSRC) as well as the Infant Brain Imaging Study (IBIS).

Secondly, much of the focus of these early measures has centered on prediction of ASD. However, it is well appreciated that at least one third of infants at high familial risk for ASD exhibit delays or atypical features outside of the autism spectrum, such as motor delays, language impairment, intellectual disability or ADHD [56]. Studies need to examine the specificity and sensitivity of these brain markers to ASD alongside predictive markers across more continuous developmental domains, such as motor function, sensory processing, social attention, and even behaviour regulation to inform our understanding of the biological underpinnings and precursors of a broader range of neuropsychiatric conditions.

Finally, future studies must also expand upon the definition of risk, leveraging changes in practices around genetic testing. Other risk groups have emerged with earlier, targeted genetic testing in infants. In a recent meta-analysis of ASD phenomenology across genetic syndromes [57], reported rates of ASD ranged from 10 to 60%, depending on the specific genetic etiology. These authors and others have argued for the need for research comparing ASD profiles between syndromes and between syndromic and non-syndromic forms of ASD, as these efforts can shed light upon convergent and distinct mechanisms of atypical development [58]. Most comparison studies of this type have been performed cross-sectionally, well after an ASD diagnosis is made and often after neurological comorbidities, such as epilepsy, have emerged that may obscure the core behavioural manifestations of ASD [59–60]. Relatively unexplored remain the earliest behavioural and biological markers of risk in these infants, the unfolding of ASD in very early infancy (especially in the 0–6 month postnatal period), and the comparison of these developmental processes in defined genetic syndromes with familial risk groups. Of course, part of the challenge lies in the reduced sample sizes in rare disorders and the delayed timing of genetic diagnoses in conditions where clinical manifestations prompting the genetic diagnosis do not emerge until later in childhood. However, in disorders where prenatal diagnosis occurs more readily, including monogenic syndromes such as Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC) and copy number variants such as 22q11.2 deletion syndrome, prospective studies are not only feasible but necessary.

Finally, these prospective, natural history studies of risk must be coupled with studies of early intervention, with strategies for modulation timed to the earliest expression of atypical development. These integrative studies can focus not on prediction of outcome but on defining the earliest markers of risk, determining their sensitivity to ASD vs. other neurodevelopmental disabilities, and then identifying the exact targets for intervention with outcomes defined by changes in both brain and behaviour.

CONCLUSION

The ultimate goal for translational research in neurodevelopmental disorders lies in the optimization of clinical outcomes through the most effective, targeted and timely treatments. This goal becomes hampered by the tremendous etiological, biological and clinical heterogeneity of disorders such as ASD, particularly as we attempt to examine brain-behaviour relationships in childhood and adulthood. Studies of early infancy, rooted in a fundamental hypothesis that disruptions in brain development precede the emergence of clinical symptoms, hold tremendous promise in improving our understanding of the timing

and type of disruptions that can be modulated with both pharmacological and behavioural interventions.

Acknowledgments

none.

Financial support and sponsorship: K.J.V. none. S.S.J is supported by NIMH 2P50HD055784-08, DoD TS140030, NICHD U54HD087101-01, NIH U19 MH108206.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5. 5th. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
2. Jeste SS, Geschwind DH. Disentangling the heterogeneity of autism spectrum disorder through genetic findings. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2014; 10:74–81. [PubMed: 24468882]
3. Chen JA, Peñagarikano O, Belgard TG, et al. The emerging picture of autism spectrum disorder: genetics and pathology. *Annu Rev Pathol*. 2015; 10:11–144.
- *4. de la Torre-Ubieta L, Won H, Stein JL, Geschwind DH. Advancing the understanding of autism disease mechanisms through genetics. *Nat Med*. 2016; 22:345–361. This paper reviews evidence from animal models and humans that demonstrates a surprising degree of genetic convergence in ASD-associated risk genes on pathways involved in early brain development, among others. [PubMed: 27050589]
5. Landa R, Garrett-Mayer E. Development in infants with autism spectrum disorders: a prospective study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2006; 47:629–638. [PubMed: 16712640]
6. Ozonoff S, Iosif AM, Baguio F, et al. A prospective study of the emergence of early behavioural signs of autism. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2010; 49:256–266. [PubMed: 20410715]
7. Ozonoff S, Young GS, Carter A, et al. Recurrence risk for autism spectrum disorders: a Baby Siblings Research Consortium Study. *Pediatrics*. 2011; 128:e488–495. [PubMed: 21844053]
8. Rozga A, Hutman T, Young GS, et al. Behavioral profiles of affected and unaffected siblings of children with autism: contribution of measures of mother-infant interaction and nonverbal communication. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2011; 41:287–301. [PubMed: 20568002]
9. Zwaigenbaum L, Bryson S, Rogers T, et al. Behavioral manifestations of autism in the first year of life. *Int J Dev Neurosci*. 2005; 23:143–152. [PubMed: 15749241]
10. Jones EJ, Gliga T, Bedford R. Developmental pathways to autism: a review of prospective studies of infants at risk. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2014; 39:1–33. [PubMed: 24361967]
11. Johnson MH, Gliga T, Jones E, Charman T. Annual research review: infant development, autism, and ADHD-early pathways to emerging disorder. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2015; 56:228–247. [PubMed: 25266278]
- **12. Elsabbagh M, Johnson MH. Autism and the social brain: the first-year puzzle. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2016; 80:94–99. This paper reviews current evidence regarding brain and behaviour development in at-risk infants with a focus on the first year of life. On the basis of the current evidence, the authors suggest that patterns of brain and behavioural development within the first year in infants who go on to meet criteria for ASD are more consistent with widespread, domain-general alterations in early development rather than specific alterations in the social brain. [PubMed: 27113503]
13. Gliga T, Jones EJ, Bedford R, et al. From early markers to neuro-developmental mechanisms of autism. *Dev Re*. 2014; 34:189–207.
14. Landa RJ, Holman KC, Garrett-Mayer E. Social and communication development in toddlers with very early and later diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007; 64:853–864. [PubMed: 17606819]
15. Talbott MR, Nelson CA, Tager-Flusberg H. Maternal gesture use and language development in infant siblings of children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2015; 45:4–14. [PubMed: 23585026]

16. Barbaro J, Dissanayake C. Early markers of autism spectrum disorders in infants and toddlers prospectively identified in the Social Attention and Communication Study. *Autism*. 2013; 17:64–86. [PubMed: 22735682]
17. Hutman T, Chela M, Gillespie-Lynch K, Sigman M. Selective visual attention at twelve months: signs of autism in very early social interaction. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2012; 42:487–498. [PubMed: 21519953]
18. Nadig AS, Ozonoff S, Young GS, et al. A prospective study of response to name in infants at risk for autism. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2007; 161:378–383. [PubMed: 17404135]
19. Feldman MA, Ward RA, Savona D, et al. Development and initial validation of a parent report measure of the behavioural development of infants at risk for autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2012; 42:13–22. [PubMed: 21387111]
20. Macari SL, Campbell D, Gengoux GW, et al. Predicting developmental status from 12 to 24 months in infants at risk for autism spectrum disorder: a preliminary report. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2012; 42:2636–2647. [PubMed: 22484794]
21. Ellison JT, Wolff JJ, Reznick JS, et al. Repetitive behaviour in 12-month-olds later classified with autism spectrum disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2014; 53:1216–1224. [PubMed: 25440311]
22. Wolff JJ, Botteron KN, Dager SR, et al. Longitudinal patterns of repetitive behaviour in toddlers with autism. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2014; 55:945–953. [PubMed: 24552513]
23. Chawarska K, Shic F, Macari S, et al. 18-month predictors of later outcomes in younger siblings of children with autism spectrum disorder: a baby siblings research consortium study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2014; 53:1317–1327. [PubMed: 25457930]
- *24. Ozonoff S, Young GS, Landa RJ, et al. Diagnostic stability in young children at risk for autism spectrum disorder: a baby siblings research consortium study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2015; 56:988–998. This study highlights the high stability of an ASD diagnosis amongst high-risk infant siblings between 18 and 24 months while also emphasizing the need for ongoing follow-up of these infants due to the high number of infants that did not meet criteria for ASD until 36 months. [PubMed: 25921776]
25. Flanagan JE, Landa R, Bhat A, et al. Head lag in infants at risk for autism: a preliminary study. *Am J Occup Ther*. 2012; 66:577–585. [PubMed: 22917124]
26. Estes A, Zwaigenbaum L, Gu H, et al. Behavioral, cognitive, and adaptive development in infants with autism spectrum disorder in the first 2 years of life. *J Neurodev Disord*. 2015; 7:24. [PubMed: 26203305]
- **27. Di Giorgio E, Frasnelli E, Salva Rosa, et al. Difference in visual social predispositions between newborns at low- and high-risk for autism. *Sci Rep*. 2016; 6:26395. This paper demonstrates, for the first time, that infants at high familial risk for autism show differences in visual attention to social stimuli shortly after birth. These findings are suggestive of alterations in the prenatal development of subcortical orienting mechanisms in high-risk infants. [PubMed: 27198160]
28. Gammer I, Bedford R, Elsabbagh M, et al. Behavioural markers for autism in infancy: scores on the Autism Observation Scale for Infants in a prospective study of at-risk siblings. *Infant Behav Dev*. 2015; 38:107–115. [PubMed: 25656952]
29. Vanderwert RE, Nelson CA. The use of near-infrared spectroscopy in the study of typical and atypical development. *Neuroimage*. 2014; 85:264–271. [PubMed: 24128733]
30. Aslin RN, Shukla M, Emberson LL. Hemodynamic correlates of cognition in human infants. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2015; 66:349–379. [PubMed: 25251480]
31. Jeste SS, Frohlich J, Loo SK. Electrophysiological biomarkers of diagnosis and outcome in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Cur Opin Neurol*. 2013; 28:110–116.
32. Crawley JN. Translational animal models of autism and neurodevelopmental disorders. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2012; 14:293–305. [PubMed: 23226954]
- *33. LeBlanc JJ, DeGregorio G, Centofante E, et al. Visual evoked potentials detect cortical processing deficits in Rett syndrome. *Ann Neurol*. 2015; 78:775–786. This paper highlights the translational potential of electroencephalography in advancing our understanding of mechanisms underlying atypical development in genetic and neurodevelopmental disorders. [PubMed: 26332183]

34. Boggio EM, Pancrazi L, Gennaro M, et al. Visual impairment in FOXP1-mutated individuals and mice. *Neuroscience*. 2016; 324:496–508. [PubMed: 27001178]
35. Amso D, Scerif G. The attentive brain: insights from developmental cognitive neuroscience. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2015; 16:606–619. [PubMed: 26383703]
36. Stjerna S, Sairanen V, Gröhn R, et al. Visual fixation in human newborns correlates with extensive white matter networks and predicts long-term neurocognitive development. *J Neurosci*. 2015; 35:4824–4829. [PubMed: 25810513]
37. Conti E, Calderoni S, Marchi V, et al. The first 1000 days of the autistic brain: a systematic review of diffusion tensor imaging studies. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2015; 9:159. [PubMed: 25859207]
38. Wolff JJ, Gu H, Gerig G, et al. Differences in white matter fiber tract development present from 6 to 24 months in infants with autism. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2012; 169:589–600. [PubMed: 22362397]
- *39. Solso S, Xu R, Proudfoot J, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging provides evidence of possible axonal overconnectivity in frontal lobes in autism spectrum disorder toddlers. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2016; 79:676–684. This paper is an example of how imaging techniques, and in this case, diffusion tensor imaging, have provided evidence for age-dependent changes in the structural organization of the brain in infants with ASD from early in life. [PubMed: 26300272]
40. Shen MD, Nordahl CW, Youngn GS, et al. Early brain enlargement and elevated extra-axial fluid in infants who develop autism spectrum disorder. *Brain*. 2013; 136:2825–2835. [PubMed: 23838695]
41. Wolff JJ, Gerig G, Lewis JD, et al. Altered corpus callosum morphology associated with autism over the first 2 years of life. *Brain*. 2015; 138:2046–2058. [PubMed: 25937563]
42. Elsabbagh M, Mercure E, Hudry K, et al. Infant neural sensitivity to dynamic eye gaze is associated with later emerging autism. *Curr Biol*. 2012; 22:338–342. [PubMed: 22285033]
- *43. Jones EJ, Venema K, Earl R, et al. Reduced engagement with social stimuli in 6-month-old infants with later autism spectrum disorder: a longitudinal prospective study of infants at high familial risk. *J Neurodev Disord*. 2016; 8:7. This study highlights the means by which non-invasive functional measures of brain development may differentiate infants with later emerging ASD, prior to the emergence of overt behavioural signs of the disorder as well as informing potential mechanisms of later social dysfunction. [PubMed: 26981158]
44. Keehn B, Vogel-Farley V, Tager-Flusberg H, Nelson CA. Atypical hemispheric specialization for faces in infants at risk for autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res*. 2015; 8:187–198. [PubMed: 25808162]
45. Orekhova EV, Elsabbagh M, Jones EJ, et al. EEG hyper-connectivity in high-risk infants is associated with later autism. *J Neurodev Disord*. 2014; 6:40. [PubMed: 25400705]
- *46. Blasi A, Lloyd-Fox S, Sethna V, et al. Atypical processing of voice sounds in infants at risk for autism spectrum disorder. *Cortex*. 2015; 71:122–133. This study is one of the very few studies that has examined brain function within the first six months of life amongst infants at high-risk for ASD. [PubMed: 26200892]
47. Lombardo MV, Pierce K, Eyler LT, et al. Different functional neural substrates for good and poor language outcome. *Neuron*. 2015; 86:567–577. [PubMed: 25864635]
48. Keehn B, Wagner JB, Tager-Flusberg H, Nelson CA. Functional connectivity in the first year of life in infants at-risk for autism: a preliminary near-infrared spectroscopy study. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2013; 7:444. [PubMed: 23964223]
49. Lloyd-Fox S, Blasi A, Elwell CE, et al. Reduced neural sensitivity to social stimuli in infants at risk for autism. *Proc Biol Sci*. 2013; 280:20123026. [PubMed: 23486434]
50. Fox SE, Wagner JB, Shrock CL, et al. Neural processing of facial identity and emotion in infants at high-risk for *autism* spectrum disorders. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2013; 7:89. [PubMed: 23576966]
51. Jones W, Klin A. Attention to the eyes is present but in decline in 2–6-month-old infants later diagnosed with autism. *Nature*. 2013; 504:427–431. [PubMed: 24196715]
52. Chawarska K, Macari S, Shic F. Decreased spontaneous attention to social scenes in 6-month-old infants later diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013; 74:195–203. [PubMed: 23313640]
53. Wass SV, Jones EJ, Gliga T, et al. Shorter spontaneous fixation durations in infants with later emerging autism. *Sci Rep*. 2015; 5:8284. [PubMed: 25655672]

- **54. Cheung CH, Bedford R, Johnson MH, et al. Visual search performance in infants associates with later ASD diagnosis. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*. 2016 in press. This paper is an example of how eye-tracking technology can be used to identify atypical patterns of development within the first year of life in infants who later meet criteria for ASD. In this paper, ASD-infants were differentiated from other infants within the first year on the basis of their visual perceptual abilities, a domain outside of the core domains of ASD.
- 55. Gliga T, Bedford R, Charman T, et al. Enhanced visual search in infancy predicts emerging autism symptoms. *Curr Biol*. 2015; 25:1727–1730. [PubMed: 26073135]
- *56. Charman T, Young GS, Brian J, et al. Non-ASD outcomes at 36 months in siblings at familial risk for autism spectrum disorder (ASD): A baby siblings research consortium (BSRC) study. *Autism Res*. 2016 in press. This study, using infant consortia data, highlights the need for ongoing monitoring of high-risk infant siblings throughout early development, even in those that do not meet criteria for ASD, due to their familial vulnerability to range of atypical outcomes outside of ASD.
- *57. Richards C, Jones C, Groves L, et al. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder phenomenology in genetic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2015; 2:909–916. This is a timely paper that provides prevalence data for ASD phenomenology, relative to the general population, within and across multiple genetic and metabolic syndromes associated with ASD. [PubMed: 26341300]
- *58. Jeste SS, Varcin KJ, Hellemann GS, et al. Symptom profiles of autism spectrum disorder in tuberous sclerosis complex. *Neurology*. 2016; 87:766–772. This paper is an example of how comparisons between syndromic and non-syndromic forms of ASD may help guide intervention approaches and highlight potential points of convergence of atypical development in the context of distinct etiological pathways. [PubMed: 27440144]
- 59. van Eeghen, Pulsifer MB, Merker VL, et al. Understanding relationships between autism, intelligence, and epilepsy: a cross-disorder approach. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2013; 55:146–153. [PubMed: 23205844]
- 60. Thurman AJ, McDuffie A, Kover ST, et al. Autism symptomatology in boys with fragile X syndrome: a cross sectional developmental trajectories comparison with nonsyndromic autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2015; 45:2816–2832. [PubMed: 25904201]

Key Points

- Prospective, longitudinal studies of infant siblings of children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) have revealed that behavioral signs of the disorder do not emerge until the second year of life
- Behavioral signs of atypical development within the first year of life are limited and of those that have been identified, appear to be subtle, more sensitive to risk-status than ASD outcome, and lie outside of core ASD diagnostic domains
- Studies using developmental neuroscientific techniques to examine early brain development have unveiled a myriad of differences in brain structure and function within the first year of life in infants who later meet criteria for ASD
- Studies of very early brain development in infants at-risk for ASD have the potential to shed light on the pre-behavioral markers of risk and mechanisms underlying the heterogeneity in ASD
- Future brain-based research requires larger samples to delineate meaningful subgroups and examine brain-behavior correlations, examination of predictive markers across more continuous developmental domains, and the inclusion of other at-risk populations outside alongside infants at high familial risk