Off-line Signature Identification Using Background and Foreground Information

Srikanta Pal
School of Information
and Communication
Technology, Griffith
University, Gold Coast
Australia, Email:
srikanta.pal@griffithuni.
edu.au

Alaei Alireza
Department of Studies
in Computer Science,
University of Mysore,
Mysore, 570 006, India,
Email:alireza20alaei@y
ahoo.com

Umapada Pal
Computer Vision and
Pattern Recognition
Unit, Indian Statistical
Institute, Kolkata, India,
Email:umapada@isical.
ac.in

Michael Blumenstein
School of Information
and Communication
Technology, Griffith
University, Gold Coast,
Australia, Email:
m.blumenstein@griffith.
edu.au

Abstract—Biometric systems play an important role in the field of information security as they are extremely required for user authentication. Automatic signature recognition and verification is one of the biometric techniques, which is currently receiving renewed interest and is only one of several techniques used to verify the identities of individuals. Signatures provide a secure means for confirmation and authorization in legal documents. So nowadays, signature identification and verification becomes an essential component in automating the rapid processing of documents containing embedded signatures. In this paper, a technique for a bi-script off-line signature identification system is proposed. In the proposed signature identification system, the signatures of English and Bengali (Bangla) are considered for the identification process. Different features such as under-sampled bitmaps, modified chain-code direction features and gradient features computed from both background and foreground components are employed for this purpose. Support Vector Machines (SVMs) and Nearest Neighbour (NN) techniques are considered as classifiers for signature identification in the proposed system. A database of 1554 English signatures and 1092 Bengali signatures are used to generate the experimental results. Various results based on different features are calculated and analysed. The highest accuracies of 99.41%, 98.45% and 97.75% are obtained based on the modified chain-code direction, under-sampled bitmaps and gradient features respectively using 1800 (1100 English+700 Bengali) samples for training and 846 (454 English+392 Bengali) samples for testing.

Keywords- *Offline systems, Signature identification, Biometrics, Authentication systems, Modified chain-code directions, SVMs.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Today, biometric technologies are increasingly and more commonly being used to ensure identity verification or the authorisation of access to sensitive data. For historical reasons, the handwritten signature continues to be the most commonly accepted form of transaction confirmation, as well as being used in civil law contracts, acts of volition, or authenticating one's identity. Signature verification has been a topic of intensive research during the past several years [1-13] due to the important role it plays in numerous areas, including the financial system.

Signatures have been accepted as an official means to verify personal identity for legal purposes on such documents such as cheques, credit cards, wills etc. The handwritten signature is therefore well established and accepted as a behavioral biometric. Considering the large number of signatures verified daily through visual inspection by people, the construction of a robust and accurate automatic signature verification system has many potential benefits for ensuring authenticity of signatures and reducing fraud and other crimes.

The goal of a signature verification system is to verify the identity of an individual based on an analysis of his or her signature through a process that discriminates a genuine signature from a forgery. The verification of human signatures is particularly concerned with the improvement of the interface between human-beings and computers [2]. A signature verification system and the associated techniques used to solve the inherent problems of authentication can be divided into two classes [3]: (a) on-line methods [4] to measure temporal and sequential data by utilizing intelligent algorithms [5] and (b) off-line methods [6] that use an optical scanner to obtain handwriting data written on paper. Off-line signature verification deals with the verification of signatures, which appear in a static format [7]. On-line signature verification has been shown to achieve much higher verification rates than off-line verification [6], as a considerable amount of dynamic information is lost in the off-line mode. But off-line systems have a significant advantage as they do not require access to special processing devices when the signatures are produced. Moreover, the off-line method has many more practical application areas than that of the on-line variety.

Signatures represent a particular writing style and very often are a combination of symbols and strokes. So it is obviously necessary to deal with a signature as a complete image with a special distribution of pixels, representing a particular writing style and is not considered as a collection of letters and words [8]. It is often difficult for a human to instantly verify two signatures of the same person because signature samples from the same person are similar but not identical, and signatures can change depending on elements such as mood, fatigue and time. In addition, a person's signature often changes radically during their lifetime. Great

inconsistency can even be observed in signatures according to country, habits, psychological or mental state, physical and practical conditions [9].

Numerous techniques for feature extraction and classification have been put forward in the literature for the processing of signatures. Justino *et al.* [10] proposed an off-line signature verification system based on Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) to detect random, casual, and skilled forgeries. Three features: a pixel density feature, a pixel distribution feature and an axial slant feature are extracted from a grid segmentation scheme. Lv *et al.* [11] developed a Chinese off-line signature verification system employing a data base of 1100 signatures. Support Vector Machines were employed for classification. Four different types of features such as Moment feature, Direction feature, Gray distribution and Stroke width distribution feature were used in their research. Nguyen *et al.* [12] developed an off-line signature verification system based on global features and the Support Vector Machine classifier. In their paper, the combination of the Modified Direction Feature (MDF) with three global features: feature from Energy information, maxima feature, and ratio feature are reported. In addition, the survey by Weiping *et al.* [13] summarises some additional features and approaches that have been previously investigated.

Although, many systems involving off-line signature recognition and verification have been developed, all of these systems have solely considered single-script signatures. However, signatures may be written in different languages and there is a need to undertake a systematic study in this area. In the field of signature verification, most of the published work has been undertaken only for English and signatures. Only a few studies have been performed for Chinese, Japanese, Persian and Arabic signatures. As indicated earlier, researchers have used different features for signature verification and it was noted that all the published work is based on foreground information.

Moreover, to the best of our knowledge there is no published work on signatures written in Indian languages. India is a multi-lingual and multi-script country, and many people write signatures in local state languages such as Hindi, Bangla, Telugu, and Tamil. Thus there is a need to work on signatures written in Indian languages, especially off-line signature identification considering signatures of two or more languages. This area of signature identification and verification would be considered as a novel contribution to the field. In this paper we propose a signature identification system for two scripts: Bangla and English. To the best of our knowledge, background information has not so far been used in signature identification research, and our proposed system is the first work of its kind that uses a combination of background and foreground information for improving results. Some Bengali and English signature samples are shown in Table I and Table II, respectively.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II deals the feature extraction techniques employed. Details of the classifiers used are presented in Section III.

Results and discussion are given in Section IV and error analysis is detailed in Section V. Finally, conclusions and future work are discussed in Section VI.

TABLE I. BENGALI SIGNATURE SAMPLES

TABLE II. ENGLISH SIGNATURE SAMPLES

II. FEATURE EXTRACTION

In this work, three different sets of features (Under-sampled bitmaps, modified chain-code direction and gradient features) are extracted from the signature images for the purpose of signature identification. Before extracting the feature sets, a filtering process was performed to eliminate some small components from the signature images.

A. Under-sampled bitmap feature

Under-sampled bitmaps have been used in the literature by many researchers for the purpose of feature extraction and they have shown good performance in different areas [14]. To obtain the under-sampled bitmaps, each input image is divided into a number of non-overlapping blocks of similar size. Then, the number of black pixels in each block is tallied. This generates an input matrix with each element being an integer in the range of 0 to the size of the non-overlapping blocks. By dividing these values into the size of the block, the values are normalized between 0 and 1. The under-sampling process considerably reduces dimensionality of features compared to the whole image size as feature inputs. It also provides invariance to small distortions and slant.

In the literature, only foreground information has been used to compute the under-sampled bitmap features [15]. In the proposed under-sampled bitmap-based feature extraction technique, both the foreground and background information are used. First, the input signature image is binarized. A filtering process is performed, and the bounding box of each input image is found. Then for the enhanced results and the independency of features to size and position (invariant to scale and translation), each signature image located in the

bounding box is converted to a normal size of 200×800 pixels (Figure 1). This value is decided experimentally. To compute 100-dimension foreground under-sampled bitmap features, the normalized image (200×800) is divided into 100 non-overlapping blocks of 20×80 (Figure 2). The number of black pixels is then counted in each block. This generates an input matrix of 10×10 with each element being an integer in the range of 0 to 1600. By dividing these values into the size of the block (1600), the values are normalized between 0 and 1. The result of the pixel distribution obtained from Figure 2 is shown in Figure 3. To obtain the background information, all the columns of the input image are scanned from top to bottom and from bottom to top to get the uppermost and lowermost black pixels. The portion between the uppermost and lowermost black pixels is converted into black. All the rows of the input image are scanned from left to right and from right to left to get the leftmost and rightmost black pixels. The portion between the leftmost and rightmost black pixels is also converted into black. In this way the black ground portion of every signature is detected. This converted black pixels portion is called the background area. The features are extracted on the portion of background area. Figure 4 shows the background areas obtained from the image shown in Figure 1. To compute 100-dimension background under-sampled bitmap features, the normalized image (200×800) is divided into 100 non-overlapping blocks of 20×80 (Figure 5). The same procedures performed on the foreground part to obtain the 100 under-sampled bitmap features, are applied on the background area to obtain 100 under-sampled bitmap features for the background. Finally, the sum of these two feature vectors (one from the foreground and the other from the background) is taken to obtain the final resultant feature vector. As a result, 100 under-sampled bitmap features are obtained for each signature image. For computing the 200-dimension under-sampled bitmap features, both the normalized foreground and background images are divided into 200 non-overlapping blocks of size 20×40 . Similar to the 100-dimension features, 200 features are extracted. For 400-dimension features a similar procedure is performed where the size of non-overlapping blocks are 10×40 . As a result, 400 under-sampled bitmap features are obtained accordingly.

Figure 1. Example of a normalized Bengali signature image

Figure 2. Non-overlapping window-map of size 20×80 on the normalized signature image shown in Figure 1

367	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	93	14	75	30	159	285	325	0	0
70	133	186	338	119	169	347	260	46	196
15	372	268	404	326	97	259	262	232	192
0	313	304	280	430	109	118	212	413	138
0	110	123	5	333	64	104	0	269	48
0	30	0	135	173	54	44	0	80	0
0	0	0	86	284	2	0	0	31	0
0	0	0	0	91	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	64	0	0	0	0	0

Figure 3. Pixel distribution obtained from undersampled bitmaps using non-overlapping window-map of size 20×80 on the normalized image.

B. Chain-code direction feature extraction

The chain-code direction information of the contour points of the foreground pixels of an input image have been used as features for different purposes such as numeral/character segmentation, and recognition [18]. In this feature, computation of both the foreground and background are used and their combination was considered for this work. To compute the chain-code direction frequency features of foreground information, the contour of the foreground of the normalized image is obtained (Figure 6). The contour of the signature image is then divided into 100 non-overlapping blocks (Figure 7). For each non-overlapping block, the chain code frequencies for all 8 directions (Figure 9) are computed. Instead of expressing the features in terms of 8 directions, we consider only 4 directions: (i) Horizontal direction code (direction 0 and 4), (ii) Vertical direction code (direction 2 and 6), (iii) Diagonal direction code (direction 1 and 5) and (iv) Off-diagonal direction code (direction 3 and 7). Thus, in each block, four features representing the frequencies of these four directions are obtained (an illustration of these values from a block is given in Figure 8). As a result, in each image, we obtain 400 (100×4) features from the foreground information. To compute 400 modified chain-code direction features for the background information, the same procedures performed on the foreground part to get the 400 modified chain-code direction features is employed on the background contour area to obtain 400 modified chain-code direction features for the background. Figures 10 and 11 demonstrate the contour of the normalized background and non-overlapping blocks of the background image, respectively. Finally, the sum of these two feature vectors (one from the foreground and the other from the background) is computed

to get the final resultant feature vector. As a result, 400 modified chain-code direction features are obtained for each signature image.

Figure 4. Background information of the the normalized signature image shown in Figure 1

Figure 5. Non-overlapping window-map of size 20x80 on the normalized background information of the signature image shown in Figure 4

Figure 6. Contour of the foreground information of the signature image shown in Figure 1

Figure 7. Non-overlapping window-map of size 20x80 on contour of the foreground information of the signature image

Figure 8. Zoomed version of a block and the extracted feature values in 4 directions (here values of 0, 1, 2 and 3 directions are 28, 26, 4 and 5, respectively)

Figure 9. Point P and its 8-direction codes

Figure 10. Contour of the background information of the signature image shown in Figure 4

Figure 11. Non-overlapping window-map of size 20x80 on the contour of the background information of the signature image

C. 400 dimensional gradient feature extraction

The gray-scale local-orientation histogram of an image component is used for 400-dimensional feature extraction. To obtain 400 dimensional features, at first, the size of the input binary image is normalized by resizing the image into 126x126 pixels. The input binary image is converted into a gray-scale image applying 2x2 mean filtering 5 times. The normalized image is segmented into 16 overlapping blocks of size 9x9. A Robert's filter is then applied on the image to obtain the gradient image. The arc tangent of the gradient is quantized into 16 directions (an interval of 22.5) and the strength of the gradient is accumulated with each of the quantized directions. By strength of Gradient ($f(x, y)$) we mean $f(x, y) = \sqrt{\Delta u^2 + \Delta v^2}$ and by direction of gradient ($\theta(x, y)$) we mean $\theta(x, y) = \tan^{-1} \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta u}$, here $\Delta u = g(x+1, y+1) - g(x, y)$, $\Delta v = g(x+1, y) - g(x, y+1)$ and $g(x, y)$ is a gray scale value at an (x, y) point. Histograms of the values of 16 quantized directions are computed in each of the 9x9 blocks. 9x9 blocks are finally down-sampled into 5x5 by a Gaussian filter to obtain 5x5x16 = 400 gradient features. The same procedure is employed on the background information (shown in Figure 4) to get another 400 Gaussian features for background information. Adding these two feature vectors, a new 400-dimension feature vector is obtained.

III. CLASSIFIERS DETAILS

A. SVM Classifier

In our experiments, we have used a Support Vector Machine (SVM) as a classifier. The SVM is originally defined for two-class problems and it looks for the optimal hyper plane which maximizes the distance, the margin, between the nearest examples of both classes, named support vectors (SVs). Given a training database of M data: $\{x_m | m=1, \dots, M\}$, the linear SVM classifier is then defined as:

$$f(x) = \sum_j \alpha_j x_j \cdot x + b$$

where $\{x_j\}$ are the set of support vectors and the parameters α_j and b have been determined by solving a quadratic problem [16]. The linear SVM can be extended to various non-linear variants; details can be found in [16, 17]. In our experiments, the Gaussian kernel SVM outperformed other non-linear SVM kernels, hence we are reporting our

recognition results based on the Gaussian kernel only. Different parameters of the kernel are chosen experimentally.

B. Nearest Neighbors Classifier

Amongst the various methods of supervised statistical pattern recognition, the Nearest Neighbour (NN) rule achieves consistently high performance, without a priori assumptions about the distributions from which the training examples are drawn. A new sample is classified by calculating the distance to the nearest training case; the sign of that point then determines the classification of the sample. The k-NN classifier extends this idea by taking the k nearest points and assigning the sign of the majority. It is common to select k small and odd to break ties (typically 1, 3, 5 or 7). Larger k values help reduce the effects of noisy points within the training data set, and the choice of k is often performed through cross-validation. The distances can be calculated using one of the distance measures such as Euclidian, Mahalanobis and City-block. In this paper, the Euclidian distance measure is used for experimentation.

TABLE III. RESULTS BASED ON DIFFERENT FEATURES AND CLASSIFIERS
(RESULTS IN PARENTHESSE OBTAINED WHEN ONLY FOREGROUND FEATURES ARE USED)

Classifier \ Feature Set	Recognition rates (%)				
	SVM	NN	3-NN	5-NN	7-NN
400-Dim Gradient Features	97.75 (96.92)	95.94 (95.82)	96.52 (96.28)	96.23 (95.82)	95.36 (95.23)
400-Dim modified chain-code direction Features	99.41 (99.29)	99.29 (99.17)	99.29 (99.29)	98.58 (98.11)	98.70 (98.22)
400-Dim Under-sampled Bitmap Features	98.23 (97.28)	97.99 (95.74)	97.87 (96.09)	97.28 (94.32)	96.93 (93.85)
200-Dim Under-sampled Bitmap Features	98.45 (97.75)	97.99 (97.64)	97.75 (97.04)	97.52 (95.74)	97.28 (95.62)
100-Dim Under-sampled Bitmap Features	97.99 (97.99)	98.45 (97.63)	97.99 (98.22)	97.64 (96.80)	97.16 (96.92)

Figure 12. Comparison results of different features are shown

Figure 13. Comparison results of different classifiers are shown

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. SIGNATURE COLLECTION

The signatures of English and Bengali scripts are considered for this signature identification approach. As no public signature data set was available for Bengali script, it was necessary to create a custom database, which included English as well as Bengali signatures. The data set of 1092 Bengali signatures was collected from 50 individuals from different parts in West Bengal, India. Another dataset of 1554 English signatures was collected from 65 individuals from Australia and India. A database of 1800 (1100 English+700 Bengali) signature samples for training and 846 (454 English+392 Bengali) signature samples for testing was used for our experiment. The training and test samples were allocated nearly 66.66% and 33.33% respectively of total signature samples for each script.

B. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

Table III shows the results of various features computed from both foreground and background parts and applied using different classifiers for signature identification of Bangla and English scripts. To obtain a better understanding of the advantage of using both foreground and background features instead of using only foreground features, results obtained only on foreground features are also shown in Table-III and they are given in parentheses. It can be seen that the use of a combination of background and foreground features generated better results than that of only foreground features. From Table III it can be noted that the best result (99.41%) was obtained using 400-dimension chain-code direction features and the SVM classifier. 100-dimension features extracted from under-sampled bitmaps have also demonstrated good results. The results are also plotted in two different ways (Figures 12 and 13) to show the significance of features and classifiers, respectively. Figure 12 demonstrates the effectiveness of different feature sets. Amongst the features, 400-dimension modified chain-code direction features extracted based on the combination of foreground and background information gave the best

performance. From Figure 13 it is evident that the SVM classifier performed the best.

V. ERROR ANALYSIS

Confusion matrices obtained from different classifiers and features are shown in Table IV to Table VIII. Table IV shows the confusion matrix of the best results obtained using 400-dimension modified chain-code direction features and SVMs. We noted that only 5 Bangla signatures were misidentified as English signatures. A sample of such errors is shown in Figure 14. From Figure 14, it is evident that some components of the Bangla signature images look like English characters, and this caused misidentification of that signature. Furthermore, our experiments demonstrate that most of the errors occurred because of misclassification of Bangla signatures as English signatures irrespective of the classifiers and feature sets (Tables V, VI, VII and VIII).

TABLE IV. CONFUSION MATRIX OF 400-DIM MODIFIED CHAIN-CODE DIRECTION FEATURES AND SVM AS CLASSIFIER

	English	Bangla
English	454	0
Bangla	5	387

TABLE V. CONFUSION MATRIX OF 100-DIM UNDERSAMPLED BITMAP FEATURES AND NN AS CLASSIFIER

	English	Bangla
English	448	4
Bangla	9	383

TABLE VI. CONFUSION MATRIX OF 200-DIM UNDERSAMPLED BITMAP FEATURES AND SVM AS CLASSIFIER

	English	Bangla
English	454	0
Bangla	13	373

TABLE VII. CONFUSION MATRIX OF 400-DIM UNDERSAMPLED BITMAP FEATURES AND SVM AS CLASSIFIER

	English	Bangla
English	454	1
Bangla	14	369

TABLE VIII. CONFUSION MATRIX OF 400-DIM GRADIENT FEATURES AND SVM AS CLASSIFIER

	English	Bangla
English	447	7
Bangla	12	380

Figure 14. An error of the proposed technique (a Bangla Signature that was identified as an English signature)

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This paper presents a signature identification scheme of bi-script off-line signatures. Different feature sets and classifiers for off-line signature verification were utilized and very

encouraging results were obtained. To the best of our knowledge, background features have never been used for the task of signature verification and this is the first report of using background features in this area. This scheme of bi-script off-line signature identification is also a novel contribution to the field of signature verification. In the near future, we plan to extend our work for multi-script off-line signature verification.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Chen, and S. Srihari, "Use of Exterior Contour and Shape Features in Off-line Signature Verification", 8th ICDAR, pp. 1280-1284, 2005.
- [2] M.A. Ferrer, J.B. Alonso and C. M. Travieso, "Off-line Geometric Parameters for Automatic Signature Verification Using Fixed-Point Arithmetic" IEEE PAMI, Vol.27, no.6, pp. 993-997, 2005.
- [3] S. Madabusi, V. Srinivas, S. Bhaskaran and M. Balasubramanian, "On-line and off-line signature verification using relative slope algorithm", International Workshop on Measurement Systems for Homeland Security, pp. 11-15, 2005.
- [4] S. Emerich, E. Lupu and C. Rusu. "On-line Signature Recognition Approach Based on Wavelets and Support Vector Machines", Intl Conf. on Automation Quality and Testing Robotics, pp.1-4, 2010.
- [5] A. Kholmatov, and B. Yanikoglu, "Identity Authentication using improved online signature verification method", PRL, Vol-26, pp. 2400-2408, 2005.
- [6] M. Kalera, S. Srihari, and A. Xu. "Offline signature verification and identification using distance statistics", IJPRAI, pp.1339-1360, 2004.
- [7] I. Pottier and G. Burel, "Identification and Authentication of Handwritten Signatures with a Connectionist Approach", In Proc. IEEE Conf. On Neural Networks, pp. 2948-2951, 1994.
- [8] B. Fang, C.H. Leung, Y.Y. Tang, K.W. Tse, P.C.K. Kwok and Y.K. Wong, "Off-line signature verification by the tracking of feature and stroke positions", Pattern Recognition, Vol. 36, pp. 91-101, 2003.
- [9] Katrin Franke, "Analysis of Authentic Signatures and Forgeries" In Proc. IWCF, pp 150-164, 2009.
- [10] E. Justino, E. Bortolozzi, R. Sabourin, "Off-line Signature Verification Using HMM for Random, Simple and Skilled Forgeries," Proceedings of 7th ICDAR, pp. 105-110, 2001.
- [11] H. Lv, W. Wang, C. Wang, and Q. Zhuo, "Off-line chinese signature verification based on support vector machines," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 26, no. 15, pp. 2390-2399, 2005.
- [12] V. Nguyen, M. Blumenstein, G. Leedham, "Global Features for the Off-Line Signature Verification Problem", Proceedings of 10th ICDAR, pp.1300-1304, 2009.
- [13] H. Weiping, Y. Xiufen, and W. Kejun, "A survey of off-line signature verification," Proceedings of the Intl. Conf. on Intelligent Mechatronics and Automation, pp. 536-541, 2004.
- [14] A. Alaci, P. Nagabhushan and Umapada Pal, "A New Two-stage Scheme for the Recognition of Persian Handwritten Characters", Proceedings of 12th ICFHR, pp.130-135, 2010.
- [15] E. Alpaydin, C. Kaynak, "Cascading Classifiers", Kybernetika, 34(4), pp. 369-374, 1998.
- [16] V.Vapnik, "The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory", Springer Verlag, 1995.
- [17] C. Burges, "A Tutorial on support Vector machines for pattern recognition", Data mining and knowledge discovery, pp.1-43, 1998.
- [18] F. Kimura, T. Wakabayashi, S.Tsuruoka and Y. Miyake, "Improvement of handwritten Japanese character recognition using weighted direction code histogram", Pattern recognition. 30(8), pp.1329-1337, 1997.