Title: The growth response of *Alternanthera philoxeroides* in a simulated post-combustion emission with ultrahigh [CO₂] and acidic pollutants

Cheng-Yuan Xu ^{1*}, Kevin L. Griffin¹, John C. Blazier², Elizabeth C. Craig³, Dominique S. Gilbert², Sanpisa Sritrairat¹, O. Roger Anderson¹, Marco J. Castaldi⁴, Larry Beaumont^{5¶}

Keywords: *greenhouse, elevated CO₂, SO₂, NO₂, flue gas, Alternanthera philoxeroides*

¹ Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades NY 10964 USA

² School of Continuing education, Columbia University, New York NY 10027 USA

³ Department of Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Biology, Columbia University, New York NY 10027 USA

⁴ Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York NY 10027 USA

⁵ Energy Answers Cooperation, Albany, NY 12207 USA

*Corresponding Author Current Contact:

CSIRO Entomology, 120 Meiers Road, Indooroopilly QLD 4068, Australia

Phone: 61-7-32142750 Fax: 61-7-32142885 Email: chengyuan.xu@csiro.au

¶Current address: Beacon Tech Net, LLC, Littleton, CO 80127 USA

Abstract

Although post-combustion emissions from power plants are a major source of air pollution, they contain excess CO₂ that could be used to fertilize commercial greenhouses and stimulate plant growth. We addressed the combined effects of ultrahigh [CO₂] and acidic pollutants in flue gas on the growth of *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. When acidic pollutants were excluded, the biomass yield of *A. philoxeroides* saturated near 2000 μmol mol⁻¹ [CO₂] with doubled biomass accumulation relative to the ambient control. The growth enhancement was maintained at 5000 μmol mol⁻¹ [CO₂], but declined when [CO₂] rose above 1%, in association with a strong photosynthetic inhibition. Although acidic components (SO₂ and NO₂) significantly offset the CO₂ enhancement, the aboveground yield increased considerably when the concentration of pollutants was moderate (200 times dilution). Our results indicate that using excess CO₂ from the power plant emissions to optimize growth in commercial green house could be viable.

Capsule: Diluted post-combustion emission gas from fossil fuel fired power plants stimulate the growth of C₃ plant

1. Introduction

The extensive burning of fossil fuels has raised the atmospheric CO₂ concentration ([CO₂]) and the trend will continue in the next 100 – 150 years (Houghton et al., 1990; Pearson and Palmer, 2000). The potential threat posed by elevated-[CO₂]-led climate change (i.e. global warming) and increasing demand for energy has stimulated the search for more efficient methods of energy use to decrease industrial CO₂ emissions. In the greenhouse industry, CO₂ gas is widely used as a fertilizer to increase crop yield (Stanhill and Enoch, 1998). Because the heat and CO₂ in commercial greenhouses is usually supplied by burning carbon-based fuel, the increasing fuel cost in recent years has become a significant limitation to many greenhouse operations. The post-combustion emissions of modern power plants (flue gas) contains high concentrations of CO₂ and waste heat for potential industrial use, and modern power plants usually own large unoccupied 'security buffer zone'. If large-scale commercial greenhouses were built on the 'security buffer zone', the waste heat and CO₂ in power plant flue gas could be supplied to greenhouses to improve productivity at low costs.

Unfortunately, as a major source of air pollution, power plant flue gas contains components that may be damaging to the plant or reduce growth, including very high [CO₂], acidic pollutants (e.g. SO₂, NO_x), and possibly trace amounts of heavy metal (e.g. mercury) and incomplete combusted hydrocarbons (e.g. ethylene). In order to use the flue gas as greenhouse CO₂ fertilizer, it is essential to understand the CO₂ concentration at which the plant growth response saturates, the maximum harmless [CO₂], and the safety threshold of acidic pollutants for plants. This knowledge will help to determine the ideal treatment (e.g. dilution, cleaning) before flue gas is introduced into a greenhouse.

Currently, most research in CO₂ mitigation strategies tend to focus on plant responses to projected near future atmospheric [CO₂] (350 – 740 µmol mol⁻¹), which have been shown to increase photosynthetic carbon fixation and biomass accumulation in C₃ plants (Curtis and Wang, 1998). Some studies reported that the photosynthetic response may not saturate until [CO₂] reaches 1200 µmol mol⁻¹ (*reviewed in* Reuveni and Bugbee, 1997). However, studies of plant growth response to ultrahigh [CO₂] are rare, and there is limited evidence that higher [CO₂] (up to 1%) may be harmful to plants (Wheeler et al., 1993; Wheeler et al., 1994; Grotenhuis and Bugbee, 1997; Grotenhuis et al., 1997b), so it is not clear what the maximum harmless [CO₂] regime is for plants. Furthermore, acidic pollutants in flue gas, such as SO₂ and NO₂, might cause phytotoxic reactions in many plant species, including acidification of leaves, reduction of photosynthetic pigments, inhibition of physiological processes, and alteration of enzyme activities (Darrall, 1986; 1989; Saxe, 1991; Okpodu et al., 1996; Verma and Agrawal, 1996; Agrawal and Verma, 1997). At lower levels, however, the effect of these acidic pollutants on plant growth and biomass accumulation may be minimal (Okano et al., 1985; Kosobryukhov and Mudrik, 1997; Van Der Kooij et al., 1997; Qiao and Murray, 1998), or even offset by elevated [CO₂] of 1000-1200 µmol mol⁻¹ (Carlson, 1983; Idso and Idso, 1994; Lee et al., 1997; Verma et al., 2000; Agrawal and Deepak, 2003). Nevertheless, the combined effects of ultrahigh [CO₂] (>1500 µmol mol⁻¹) and acidic pollutants are not known.

In this study, we determined the growth response of a C₃ plant to a simulated power plant flue gas. For a typical power plant in the US, the flue gas component can be 71.5% [N₂], 19% [H₂O], 9.5% [CO₂], 300 µmol mol⁻¹ [SO₂], 150 µmol mol⁻¹ [NO₂] and 750 µmol mol⁻¹ [HCl] (Beaumont, unpublished results). In a related study, we modeled

the effect of a newly designed condensing heat exchanger to clean the flue gas (Castaldi and Beaumont, unpublished results). The resulting properly-treated flue gas would contain 12% [CO₂], 130 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [NO₂] and 15 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [SO₂]. A simulated dilution gradient of this treated flue gas was used to fumigate plants grown in a customized microcosm system in three experiments. First, plants were grown in [CO₂] up to 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ to determine the CO₂ saturation point, the [CO₂] stimulation of growth, and relative biomass allocation patterns. Second, plants were grown in 5000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ to 2% [CO₂] to assess whether very high [CO₂] might reduce aboveground plant growth. Third, plants were grown in a dilution gradient of simulated flue gas (containing both CO₂ and acidic pollutants) to test whether the acidic components of the gas would negatively affect aboveground growth or whether, alternatively, the CO₂ would compensate for the phytotoxicity. We expected that (1) as observed in previous studies (*reviewed in* Reuveni and Bugbee, 1997), biomass accumulation would saturate between 1000 – 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, and (2) that [CO₂] above 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ or the presence of acidic pollutants would cause negative effects on the growth and/or physiology. To address the physiological mechanism of the growth response, we also survey the leaf chlorophyll florescence property (F_v/F_m) and nitrogen to carbon ratio (C: N) in plant biomass. Because the microcosm environment in this study could not accommodate most greenhouse crops, *Alternanthera philoxeroides*, a fast growing weed, which copes well with the microcosm conditions of limited space and high humidity, was used as an indicator species to study the C₃ plant growth response to the simulated flue gas. We believe *A. philoxeroides* is an appropriate indicator species for the aim of our study because: (1) it is a convenient plant material for ecotoxicological investigations, and has

been well used to examine plant response to environmental pollutions (e.g. heavy metals and salinity, Bolanos and Longstreth, 1984; Naqvi and Rizvi, 2000; Deng et al., 2006; Wang and Qin, 2006; Ding et al., 2007); (2) *A. philoxeroides* was introduced in many countries as forage crop and has proved nutrient value for food supplement of stock or human (Dewanji, 1993; Bhatta and Das, 1996; Dewanji and Matai, 1996), so it shares some characters with usual crop species; (3) *A. philoxeroides* is a widely spread global species and displays niche overlap with some important crops (e.g. rice); finally, (4) the Amaranthaceae family, represented by *A. philoxeroides*, includes some important crops, such as beet and spinach. The observed growth response pattern in *A. philoxeroides* could be further tested by alternative greenhouse species in future larger scale greenhouse experiments.

2. Materials & Methods

General Growth Conditions:

In order to fully replicate the [CO₂]/ pollutant treatments, plants were grown in 12 custom-built microcosm growth chambers connected with a fumigation system, which effectively created a stable [CO₂]/ pollutant gradient by blending two air streams respectively containing ambient air and high concentration of CO₂/ pollutants in different proportions (Figure 1, see supplementary material for detailed descriptions). Each growth chamber was supplied with a total flow of 2 L min⁻¹ of fumigation gas with targeted concentration of CO₂ and acidic pollutants (SO₂ and NO₂). *A. philoxeroides* collected from Southern US states were grown from vegetative cuttings for the experiment. In each growth chamber, the plants were grown in 3.8 kg of tap-water-saturated sand (pH 7). The wet sand matrix was then covered by 1.9 kg of gravel to impede the light penetration and to prevent algae growth on the wet sand surface. The water level in microcosm was just above the sand layer but below the gravel layer. Slow releasing fertilizer (approximately 16 g of Osmocote, Scotts, Marysville, OH, USA) , provided most required macro nutrients, and Hoagland's solution (250 ml, strengthened with NH₄NO₃ to 12 mM total N) was added at the beginning of each experiment to insure adequate nutrient supply. The humidity in the growth chambers was close to saturation as condensation typically appeared on the chamber side walls. Water was supplied weekly (usually 120 ml per growth chamber) or whenever condensation was absent from the growth chambers up on daily observation. The photoperiod in the large environmentally controlled plant growth chamber was set at 18 hours of day and 6 hours of night.

The photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) level ranged from 600-650 $\mu\text{mol PPFD m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ above the growth chambers and 470-540 $\mu\text{mol PPFD m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ within the growth chambers. The differences in PAR among the treatments were not significant. For example, in the first experiment, the light level above the growth chambers was 627 – 658 $\mu\text{mol PPFD m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for each treatment on average (within treatment standard error < 13 $\mu\text{mol PPFD m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$; between treatments $P > 0.12$, Mann-Whitney U-test). The day/night temperatures in the growth chambers were $25.5 \pm 1.5 / 18 \pm 1.0^\circ\text{C}$. The diurnal variation of the temperature in growth chambers was 7 to 9°C .

Experiment Protocols:

Experiment 1: The first experiment was designed to determine the CO_2 saturation point of growth and the potential maximum yield in our experimental conditions. Twelve stem cuttings (with 4-7 nodes each, no leaves or buds) were used in each growth chamber to insure complete use of the available space. Four treatments, ~ 350 (ambient), 1000, 2000 and 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} [\text{CO}_2]$ were randomly assigned to the 12 growth chambers creating three replicates of each treatment. Because previous studies suggested that photosynthesis/ growth saturation point could occur by 1200 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} [\text{CO}_2]$, we believed this $[\text{CO}_2]$ range would be sufficient to examine the growth saturation point. All plants were started from cuttings on March 12th, 2005; CO_2 fumigation commenced on March 14th, and all treatments were then allowed to grow undisturbed until the plants in the fastest growing treatment filled the microcosm (21 days).

Experiment 2: Three stem cuttings with three to four nodes each (no leaves or buds) were grown in each growth chamber. Because ultrahigh $[\text{CO}_2]$ and acidic pollutants would have more direct effect on aboveground biomass, we focused on the aboveground growth response in experiment 2 and 3. Plants were grown in the same $[\text{CO}_2]$ gradients as experiment 1 (ambient, 1000, 2000, and 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) for 13 days in order to establish the root system. Then, aboveground biomass was removed, and after 3 days, ultrahigh $[\text{CO}_2]$ treatments began: 1000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ was increased to 5000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ to 1.2% and 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ to 1.9%, until the plants in the fastest growing treatment filled the microcosm (another 19 days). The experiment began on June 13th, 2005 and the high CO_2 fumigation was begun on June 29th.

Experiment 3: The same amounts of materials as used in experiment 2 were grown in each growth chamber. Plants were grown in the same $[\text{CO}_2]$ gradient as experiment 1 for 10 days to establish the root system. Removal of aboveground parts was not necessary because bud generation was slow and new biomass accumulation was negligible during the 10 day period. The plants were grown on July 19th, 2005 and fumigation pollution exposure started on July 29th. Four treatments in this experiment were, ambient, 1000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{CO}_2]$, 0.8 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{NO}_2]$ & 0.09 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{SO}_2]$; 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{CO}_2]$, 1.9 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{NO}_2]$ & 0.22 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{SO}_2]$, and 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{CO}_2]$, 3.1 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{NO}_2]$ & 0.35 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{SO}_2]$. These treatments mimic the pollution components in power plant flue gas diluted approximately for 45, 75, and 200 times with ambient air. For safety, the air from the growth chambers was passed through activated carbon to remove the acidic components before being vented from the system. In this

experiment, the treatment effect of a simulated dilution gradient of flue gas was examined against the ambient control. Although a full factorial experiment (with [CO₂] and pollutants as main factors) would be a more strict design to examine the interactive effect of ultrahigh [CO₂] and pollutants, our design was a reasonable simplification given that the goal of this study was to assess the plant growth response to the power plant emission with specific pollutant composition.

The number of viable nodes and the length of the surviving original stems were recorded in each experiment. The differences in the number of nodes and the total length of the original stems were not significant among treatments in all three experiments. For example, in the first experiment, there were on average 61 – 64 nodes per growth chamber (standard error < 2.6, $P > 0.38$, Mann-Whitney U-test) and 272 – 282 cm of total stem length for each treatment (standard error < 11cm, $P > 0.13$, Mann-Whitney U-test). The initial biomass was not used as covariant because the inter-treatment differences were very small and related studies indicated that initial cutting size would not affect the new growth yield of *A. philoxeroides* at this time scale (Geng et al., 2007). Because the growth status and the plant density used in experiment 1 and 2 are not consistent, which may affect the microcosm level growth response, the harvest date was set at the time when plants in the fastest growing treatment visually fill the whole chamber based on daily observation. In this case, the aboveground biomass in control and fastest growth treatment can be compared between experiment 1 and 2 to interpret whether density-specific response pattern happened. Furthermore, the growth response of aboveground biomass from ambient to 2% CO₂ was constructed with the relative growth to the ambient control.

During the harvests, all plants were separated into leaves, stems and roots. The tissues were dried at 80 °C for at least 48 hours before being weighed. Carbon and nitrogen concentrations were measured by a CHNS/O analyzer (2400 Series II, Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA, USA). Biomass accumulation was measured in newly grown tissues only (not including the original stem cutting). In the first experiment, the light use efficiency (LUE) was calculated in the fastest growing treatment as:

$$\text{LUE} = \text{Total actual biomass accumulation} / [\text{Total PAR (PPFD)} \times \text{Theoretical maximum quantum yield (0.125)} \times 12 \text{ (Dolton, for carbon)} / \text{Biomass carbon \%}].$$

Here the denominator represents the amount of maximum biomass accumulation in theory if 100% of the photosynthetic active radiation is used by plants.

Starch accumulation at the cellular level was observed in experiment 1. One leaf (5th leaf on one new bud) from each of the twelve growth chambers was sampled for transmission electronic microscope (TEM) observation. Portions of the leaf blade were cut into 3 mm wide strips and fixed in 3% TEM-grade glutaraldehyde prepared in cold 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7.2), post-fixed in 2% osmium tetroxide in the same phosphate buffer, rinsed in distilled water, dehydrated in an acetone series and embedded in TAAB epoxy resin. Ultrathin sections were obtained with a Porter-Blum MT-2 ultramicrotome fitted with a diamond knife, collected on 200 mesh copper grids, post-stained with Reynold's lead citrate, and viewed with a Philips TEM 201 transmission electron microscope operated at 60 kV accelerating voltage (TEM 201, Philips Electronics, Eindhoven, Netherlands).

To investigate the possible mechanism that govern the growth response, F_v/F_m was surveyed with a chlorophyll fluorometer (FMS2, Hansatech, Norfolk UK) on one

leaf from each chamber immediately before harvest in room ambient condition. The leaf surveyed was dark adapted for a minimum of 20 minutes before chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were made.

Statistical Analysis

In our three experiments, there was no solid basis to determine whether the variables fit a particular distribution pattern. Therefore, a nonparametric method of Mann-Whitney U-test, which is applicable to samples with unknown distribution, was used. The variables derived from each treatment were compared to one another, and the inter-treatment differences were tested at the 0.05 level.

3. Results

Experiment 1: CO₂ Saturation Point:

Biomass accumulation in *A. philoxeroides* was significantly stimulated in the growth chambers with elevated [CO₂] (Table 1). Compared with the ambient control, total biomass accumulation increased 65% in the 1000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂] treatment, 100% at the 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂] level, but with no further increase at 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂]. The LUE in the fastest growing treatment is about 12% of the total PAR. The cellular-level structural observations were consistent with the biomass data—more starch deposits were observed in leaves from the 3000 and 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ treatments and the starch grains were clearly denser (Figure 2). The increase in aboveground biomass accumulation was 80% at 1000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ to 120% at 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ (Figure 3A). *A. philoxeroides* allocated 50-60% of biomass to the leaves, and the allocation pattern was relatively constant across all [CO₂] treatments (Table 1).

Experiment 2 & 3: The Effects of Excessive CO₂ and CO₂ – NO₂ – SO₂ on Aboveground Biomass Yield

Compared to the ambient control, the 5000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂] treatment increased the above ground biomass yield of *A. philoxeroides* by 110%. The yield enhancement was comparable to that in experiment 1, indicating that no suppression of growth occurred at this [CO₂] level. However, the degree of enhancement declined when the atmospheric [CO₂] level exceeded 1%. When plants were grown in 1.9% [CO₂], the average aboveground biomass dropped below the level of the ambient control. In experiments 1 and 2, the average aboveground biomass accumulations were similar,

approximately 6 grams in the ambient control plants and a maximum of 13 grams (in elevated [CO₂]) after approximately 20 days (Figure 3A). Considering that significantly fewer stem cuttings were used in experiment 2, the similar yields indicate that *A. philoxeroides* approached the maximum yield possible under the experimental conditions.

In experiment 3, the addition of acidic pollutants significantly offset the growth enhancement of elevated [CO₂] (Figure 3A). Compared with the ambient control, aboveground biomass yield in the 1000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂], 0.8 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [NO₂] & 0.09 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [SO₂] treatment growth chamber increased by 55%. This enhancement, however, is lower than that observed in the 1000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂] treatment (without pollutants) in experiment 1 (80%). The aboveground biomass yield dropped back to the ambient control level in the other two treatments with higher concentrations of pollutants, indicating that [CO₂] enhancement was unable to offset the strong negative effect of these high concentrations of acidic pollutants (Figure 3).

Integrating the results of the three experiments reveals a bell-shaped CO₂ response curve for the aboveground biomass yield of *A. philoxeroides* (Figure 3B). Because the aboveground biomass showed no significant difference in the ambient control among three experiments, or in the fastest growing treatment in experiment 1 and 2 (3000 and 5000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ CO₂ respectively), we interpret that the growth response is not likely to be affected by initial plant density. Elevated [CO₂] significantly increased aboveground biomass yield, and saturated near 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ with more than 100% enhancement. This effect was maintained till 5000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂] and then declined when the [CO₂] exceeded 1%. The introduction of acidic gaseous pollutants diminished the [CO₂] enhancement effect, but the aboveground biomass yield of *A. philoxeroides* was still

considerably higher than the ambient control when the original flue gas is well diluted (200 times) (Figure 3B).

Leaf chlorophyll florescence and C:N ratio

In ambient to 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{CO}_2]$, leaf F_v/F_m values were in the normal range (0.81 – 0.87) and did not show significant change, but pronounced decline happened in $[\text{CO}_2]$ of 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ or above, regardless of the presence of pollutants (Figure 4A), suggesting strong photosynthetic inhibition. All leaves showed higher F_v/F_m in experiment 1 than that in experiment 3, probably due to the difference in the original material, but the changing pattern along CO_2 gradient remain consistent (Figure 4A), suggesting that the existence of pollutants did not inhibit photosynthesis.

Exposure to CO_2 and acidic gases did not have a significant effect on the carbon percentage of the aboveground biomass which varied between 37% and 41% (data not shown) and did not show any clear trend along the treatment gradient. The abundant nitrogen supply in the growth system resulted in a high N% (up to 7.3%) and low C:N ratio (by 5.6) in all plant tissues (Table 1, Figure 4B). Although this C: N value is much lower than most plants, it is regularly observed in cultured *A. philoxeroides* with abundant nutrient supply (Xu, unpublished results).

The C:N ratio of aboveground plant material increased from 5.6 to 7.0 between the ambient and the 5000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ $[\text{CO}_2]$ treatment and did not change when the CO_2 was increased to 1.2% (Figure 4B). At 2% CO_2 the C/N ratio dropped to 6.5 (Figure 4B). In experiment 3, despite the addition of pollutants, the C:N ratio response pattern did not change from that in pollutant-free treatments in experiment 1, increasing from 5.6 to 7.0

(Figure 5B). Therefore, the C:N ratio response pattern seems to covary with growth [CO₂], regardless of the presence of pollutants.

4. Discussion

This study was designed to acquire a better understanding of some basic C₃ plant growth responses and the possible physiological controls over plant production in a simulated flue gas (with ultra-high [CO₂] and acidic gas components). For the indicator species *A. philoxeroides*, three main conclusions can be drawn. First, ultrahigh [CO₂] in power plant flue gas can significantly enhance biomass yield and the effect can be maintained over a large range of CO₂ concentrations (2000 – 5000 µmol mol⁻¹). Second, the shape of the growth – CO₂ response curve indicates that the growth can be harmed with very high CO₂ (above 1%) and that the flue gas needed to be properly diluted to reach the optimum CO₂ range. Thirdly, acidic components of the flue gas may cause significant damage to plants and thus they need to be diluted to a safe level, or removed from the air stream; alternatively pollutant-tolerant species will be needed for flue-gas-fed commercial greenhouses. Although caution should be taken when attempting to extrapolate the results of *A. philoxeroides* to commercial greenhouse species, our results demonstrate the potential that the yield of C₃ plants could be significantly stimulated in the flue gas, and warrants further study of crop species on larger scale. In addition, our study is directly indicative to the response of some important crops and ornamental plants closely related to the indicator species (Amaranthaceae, e.g. beet, spinach, quinoa, love-lies-bleeding, Hopi Red Dye).

The Effects of [CO₂] Enhancement on Plant Growth

We addressed plant growth response to atmospheric [CO₂] at a much broader range (ambient – 2%) than most previous studies (typically ambient – 1500 µmol mol⁻¹),

which usually observed the initial part of the saturation of the growth/ photosynthesis – [CO₂] response curve but rarely addressed by what [CO₂] the stimulation would end and a decline would start. Our study across a board [CO₂] range observed the declining part of the response curve and demonstrated that the growth enhancement of *A. philoxeroides* can be maintained up to 5000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂]. This result suggests a promising perspective to apply the growth response in greenhouse industry. Although there is abundant evidence that over longer time scales, photosynthesis can acclimate to elevated [CO₂] and offset the short term growth enhancement (*see review* Drake et al., 1997; Long et al., 2004), the acclimation will not affect the productivity in flue-gas-fed commercial greenhouses, because many crops will only be grown for short periods and then harvested. Thus, our short term experiments will still be representative of the expected plant growth response pattern to CO₂ enhancement in commercial greenhouses.

In natural ecosystems, nutrient limitation may also restrict the growth of plants in elevated atmospheric [CO₂] (Johnson, 2006). However, nutrient limitation was unlikely to be a controlling factor in our experiments, as indicated by high nitrogen content measured in the plant tissues (>5%). Furthermore, in the highest yielding treatment, the total nitrogen content of the plant tissue was roughly half of the N supplied in the fertilizer. Finally, it has been reported that in nitrogen limiting environments, the C:N ratio should be negatively correlated to the growth rate (Hessen et al., 2004); but we did not observe this relationship in our experiments ($r^2=0.09$, $P=0.35$). Since other elements were added in proportion to nitrogen, deficiency in other nutrients is not likely to have been a limiting factor for biomass accumulation in our study. Thus, our results should be applicable to the greenhouse industry, in which abundant fertilization is standard.

Detrimental Effects of Ultrahigh CO₂

Previous studies on the plant growth response to ultrahigh [CO₂] (> 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) are limited (Wheeler et al., 1994; Grotenhuis and Bugbee, 1997; Grotenhuis et al., 1997b; Reuveni and Bugbee, 1997; Tisserat and Vaughn, 2003). In general, ultrahigh CO₂ concentrations have been reported to reduce the seed yield but did not have significant negative effects on vegetative growth. For example, in a greenhouse experiment, seed yield of wheat grown in 1000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, and 1% [CO₂] was approximately 110%, 130%, 100% and 90% of that grown in ambient control (Grotenhuis et al., 1997a; Grodzinski et al., 1999a). In these same experiments vegetative biomass peaked at 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ (about 30 – 40% increase) and then declined slightly in higher [CO₂] up to 1%. Overall, the response curve of total wheat biomass vs. growth [CO₂] was also bell shaped, peaking between 1000 – 2000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂] and then dropping to a level close to ambient control by 1% [CO₂]. Therefore, while the general growth response to [CO₂] observed in our experiments is consistent with earlier studies, the precise CO₂ saturation and damage points appear species specific.

Specific physiological responses in superoptimal CO₂ environment had been observed in previous studies and were interpreted as possible explanations of the bell-shaped growth response curve. Reuveni and Bugbee (1997) discovered that the photosynthesis and dark respiration respectively decreased 8% and 25% in wheat exposed to 2600 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ [CO₂]. They concluded that the reduction in the dark respiration decreased functional products that are needed for seed development, so as to be

responsible for the reduction of seed yield and total biomass. Alternatively, we observed that when grown in $[\text{CO}_2]$ of $3000 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ and above, leaves of *A. philoxeroides* displayed decrement in F_v/F_m , indicating inhibition of photosynthetic apparatus. Thus, it seems photoinhibition and potentially decreased photosynthetic rate are also likely to be associated with the reduction of growth in superoptimal $[\text{CO}_2]$.

Observations in our study indicate that proper dilution of the flue gas will be required even if acidic pollutants are scrubbed. The actual CO_2 set point in a specific greenhouse environment would involve tradeoffs among the effects of $[\text{CO}_2]$ on crop yield, crop value, heat dissipation, humidity control and ventilation costs. If the acidic pollutants are removed or diluted to a safe level, growing plants in the flue-gas-fed system with higher $[\text{CO}_2]$ can provide three advantages. First, the cost of diluting flue gas can be lowered while $[\text{CO}_2]$ remains at the optimum level for growth. Second, ultrahigh $[\text{CO}_2]$ may reduce pest damage in the greenhouse (Nicolas and Sillans, 1989; Grodzinski et al., 1999b). Therefore, we suggest that the mechanism causing detrimental effects in ultrahigh $[\text{CO}_2]$ merits further investigation in different greenhouse crops.

Detrimental Effects of Acidic Pollutants

The use of flue gas as a CO_2 source for greenhouses presents several further challenges since, in addition to CO_2 , flue gas also contains high concentration of acidic pollutants, mainly SO_2 and NO_2 . Our experiments show that these levels of acidic pollutants can significantly offset the growth enhancement effect of elevated $[\text{CO}_2]$. Ultrahigh $[\text{CO}_2]$ ($>2000 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ in our study), has been shown to increase stomatal conductance (Wheeler et al., 1993; Mackowiak and Wheeler, 1996; Wheeler et al., 1999),

increasing the susceptible to injury from other pollutants. However, this problem can potentially be resolved. For example, the flue gas can be diluted to optimize the [CO₂] enhancement effect and minimize the deleterious effects of the acidic components (Carlson, 1983; Idso and Idso, 1994; Lee et al., 1997; Agrawal and Deepak, 2003). In our experiments, plants grown in properly diluted flue gas still had considerably higher biomass accumulation than in the ambient control. In future industrial applications, to determine the optimum flue gas treatment protocol for targeted greenhouse crops, a fully factorial experiment may need to be conducted to examine the interactive effect of CO₂ and acidic pollutant for the species of interest. Alternatively, the acidic components could be scrubbed from the air stream, and then may be converted to nutrient sources to decrease fertilizer cost. In the long term, it is possible that artificial selection and genetic modification (e.g. transgenic plants, Morikawa et al., 2003) can also be used to create the crop plant lines appropriate for the flue-gas-fed commercial greenhouse.

In some power plants (especially coal combustion plants), flue gas may contain trace amount of heavy metals or incompletely combusted carbohydrates, such as mercury and ethylene, which can damage the growth of crops and/or affect the safety of any greenhouse products and these effects deserve further investigation. However, establishment of flue-gas-fed commercial greenhouse can firstly be considered in the power plants with relatively clean fuel source (e.g. natural gas) to avoid the potential product safety issue. It is also possible to grow commercial crops not used for food, such as fiber/ oil crops for industrial use or ornamental flowers/ trees, to eliminate the risk of product consumption.

Potential Economic and Environmental Benefit of Flue – Gas – Fed Commercial Greenhouses

Flue-gas-fed greenhouse, if successfully established, can realize obvious economic and environmental benefits by: 1) utilizing the waste heat and CO₂ from the power plant to reduce the cost and fossil fuel consumption in heating and CO₂ fertilization, and 2) cooling and cleaning a part of the power plant post-combustion emission. For traditional greenhouses, CO₂ fertilization and heating normally constitute the major energy requirements and contribute both emissions and costs. For example, in the temperate regions, elevating CO₂ concentration to 700 µmol mol⁻¹ in the greenhouse results in approximately 100 ton ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ CO₂ emissions (equivalent to approximately 200 MJ m⁻² yr⁻¹ fossil fuel energy costs, Stanhill and Enoch, 1998), and can cost 13 600 US dollars ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in natural gas (50 000 m³), or 25 400 US dollars ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in propane (33.3 ton) if CO₂ is generated by the traditional methods of burning hydrocarbon fuels (assuming 2006-2007 prices, Energy Information Administration, USA). Meanwhile, heating cost can be 6 to 21.5 times of the CO₂ fertilization (1200 to 4300 MJ m⁻² yr⁻¹) depending on the local climate (Stanhill and Enoch, 1998). If flue gas is used to supply CO₂ and a part of heat in substitution, considerable amount of fuel can be saved to improve the profit, and reduce the emission. Additional benefits can be gained from more flexible greenhouse climate management to reach the optimum crop productivity, given the lower cost and abundant supply of flue gas. For example, during warm growing periods when glasshouses are typically ventilated and conventional CO₂ enrichment is too costly, using flue gas will make CO₂ fertilization in greenhouses more economically viable. Although investment is required to treat the other potential pollutants in the flue

gas, the cost may not be very high. For example, in greenhouses where hydrocarbon fuels are burnt to enrich atmosphere CO_2 to $\sim 1000 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, $[\text{NO}_x]$ may reach $0.5 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ (compared with $0.8 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ in our study), a level at which many crops can be successfully grown with significant productivity improvements and minimal effects of NO_x -pollution that can be overlooked by growers (Hand, 1986). Properly diluted flue gas (e.g. 200 times) approaches this 'safe level' and may only need minimum treatment (e.g. scrubbed by activated carbon). These potential economic and carbon emissions benefits suggest that the use of flue gas from power plants can be used as a source of CO_2 and heat to optimize plant growth in commercial greenhouses and might viably increase the efficiency of both industries.

Acknowledgements

We thank to the technical support of Mr. Howard Fung. This study is part of an integrating project based on intellectual property of Energy Answers Corporation and was supported by funding from this company. We also thank the comments of anonymous reviewers.

References

- Agrawal, M., Deepak, S.S., 2003. Physiological and biochemical responses of two cultivars of wheat to elevated levels of CO₂ and SO₂, singly and in combination. *Environmental Pollution* 121, 189-197.
- Agrawal, M., Verma, M., 1997. Amelioration of sulphur dioxide phytotoxicity in wheat cultivars by modifying NPK nutrients. *Journal of Environmental Management* 49, 231-244.
- Bhatta, R., Das, T.K., 1996. Chemical and amino acid composition of alligator weed (*Alternanthera philoxeroides*). *Indian Veterinary Journal* 73, 799-800.
- Bolanos, J.A., Longstreth, D.J., 1984. Salinity Effects on Water Potential Components and Bulk Elastic-Modulus of *Alternanthera Philoxeroides* (Mart) Griseb. *Plant Physiology* 75, 281-284.
- Carlson, R.W., 1983. The effect of SO₂ on photosynthesis and leaf resistance at varying concentrations of CO₂. *Environmental Pollution Series a-Ecological and Biological* 30, 309-321.
- Curtis, P.S., Wang, X.Z., 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. *Oecologia* 113, 299-313.
- Darrall, N.M., 1986. The sensitivity of net photosynthesis in several plant-species to short-term fumigation with sulfur-dioxide. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 37, 1313-1322.
- Darrall, N.M., 1989. The effect of air-pollutants on physiological processes in plants. *Plant Cell and Environment* 12, 1-30.

- Deng, H., Ye, Z.H., Wong, M.H., 2006. Lead and zinc accumulation and tolerance in populations of six wetland plants. *Environmental Pollution* 141, 69-80.
- Dewanji, A., 1993. Amino-Acid-Composition of Leaf Proteins Extracted from Some Aquatic Weeds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 41, 1232-1236.
- Dewanji, A., Matai, S., 1996. Nutritional evaluation of leaf protein extracted from three aquatic plants. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 44, 2162-2166.
- Ding, B.Z., Shi, G.X., Xu, Y., Hu, J.Z., Xu, Q.S., 2007. Physiological responses of *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (Mart.) Griseb leaves to cadmium stress. *Environmental Pollution* 147, 800-803.
- Drake, B.G., GonzalezMeler, M.A., Long, S.P., 1997. More efficient plants: A consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 48, 609-639.
- Geng, Y.P., Pan, X.Y., Xu, C.Y., Zhang, W.J., Li, B., Chen, J.K., 2007. Plasticity and ontogenetic drift of biomass allocation in response to above- and below-ground resource availabilities in perennial herbs: a case study of *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *Ecological Research* 22, 255-260.
- Grodzinski, B., Schmidt, J.M., Watts, B., Taylor, J., Bates, S., Dixon, M.A., Staines, H., 1999a. Regulating plant/insect interactions using CO₂ enrichment in model ecosystems. *Life Sciences: Artificial Ecosystems* 24, 281-291.
- Grodzinski, B., Schmidt, J.M., Watts, B., Taylor, J., Bates, S., Dixon, M.A., Staines, H., 1999b. Regulating plant/insect interactions using CO₂ enrichment in model ecosystems, *Life Sciences: Artificial Ecosystems*. Elsevier Science Ltd., Oxford, pp. 281-291.

- Grotenhuis, T., Reuveni, J., Bugbee, B., 1997a. Super-optimal CO₂ reduces wheat yield in growth chamber and greenhouse environments. *Life Sciences: Life Support Systems Studies-I* 20, 1901-1904.
- Grotenhuis, T.P., Bugbee, B., 1997. Super-optimal CO₂ reduces seed yield but not vegetative growth in wheat. *Crop Science* 37, 1215-1222.
- Grotenhuis, T.P., Reuveni, J., Bugbee, B., 1997b. Super-optimal CO₂ reduces wheat yield in growth chamber and greenhouse environments, *Life Sciences: Life Support Systems Studies-I*. Elsevier Science Ltd., Oxford, pp. 1901-1904.
- Hand, D.W., 1986. CO₂ sources and problems in burning hydrocarbon fuels for CO₂ enrichment, in: Enoch, H.Z., Kimball, B.A. (Eds.), *Carbon dioxide enrichment of greenhouse crops*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 99-122.
- Hessen, D.O., Agren, G.I., Anderson, T.R., Elser, J.J., De Ruiter, P.C., 2004. Carbon, sequestration in ecosystems: The role of stoichiometry. *Ecology* 85, 1179-1192.
- Houghton, J.T., Jenkins, G.J., Ephraums, J.J. (Eds.), 1990. *Climate change: the IPCC scientific assessment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Idso, K.E., Idso, S.B., 1994. Plant-responses to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment in the face of environmental constraints - a review of the past 10 years research. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 69, 153-203.
- Johnson, D.W., 2006. Progressive N limitation in forests: Review and implications for long-term responses to elevated CO₂. *Ecology* 87, 64-75.
- Kosobryukhov, A.A., Mudrik, V.A., 1997. CO₂ gas exchange of the pine needle upon action of SO₂ against the background of natural and increased CO₂ concentration. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Seriya Biologicheskaya*, 629-633.

- Lee, E.H., Pausch, R.C., Rowland, R.A., Mulchi, C.L., Rudorff, B.F.T., 1997. Responses of field-grown soybean (cv. Essex) to elevated SO₂ under two atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 37, 85-93.
- Long, S.P., Ainsworth, E.A., Rogers, A., Ort, D.R., 2004. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: Plants face the future. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 55, 591-628.
- Mackowiak, C.L., Wheeler, R.M., 1996. Growth and stomatal behavior of hydroponically cultured potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) at elevated and super-elevated CO₂. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 149, 205-210.
- Morikawa, H., Takahashi, M., Hakata, M., Sakamoto, A., 2003. Screening and genetic manipulation of plants for decontamination of pollutants from the environments. *Biotechnology Advances* 22, 9-15.
- Naqvi, S.M., Rizvi, S.A., 2000. Accumulation of chromium and copper in three different soils and bioaccumulation in an aquatic plant, *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 65, 55-61.
- Nicolas, G., Sillans, D., 1989. Immediate and latent effects of carbon-dioxide on insects. *Annual Review of Entomology* 34, 97-116.
- Okano, K., Totsuka, T., Fukuzawa, T., Tazaki, T., 1985. Growth-responses of plants to various concentrations of nitrogen-dioxide. *Environmental Pollution Series a-Ecological and Biological* 38, 361-373.
- Okpodu, C.M., Alscher, R.G., Grabau, E.A., Cramer, C.L., 1996. Physiological, biochemical and molecular effects of sulfur dioxide. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 148, 309-316.

- Pearson, P.N., Palmer, M.R., 2000. Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations over the past 60 million years. *Nature* 406, 695-699.
- Qiao, Z., Murray, F., 1998. The effects of NO₂ on the uptake and assimilation of nitrate by soybean plants. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 39, 33-40.
- Reuveni, J., Bugbee, B., 1997. Very high CO₂ reduces photosynthesis, dark respiration and yield in wheat. *Annals of Botany* 80, 539-546.
- Saxe, M., 1991. Photosynthesis and stomatal response to polluted air and the use of physiological and biochemical responses for easy detection and diagnostic tools, in: Callow, J.A. (Ed.), *Advances in Botanical Research*. Academic Press, Toronto, pp. 1-128.
- Stanhill, G., Enoch, H.Z. (Eds.), 1998. *Greenhouse ecosystems*. Elsevier, New York.
- Tisserat, B., Vaughn, S.F., 2003. Ultra-high CO₂ levels enhance loblolly pine seedling growth, morphogenesis, and secondary metabolism. *Hortscience* 38, 1083-1085.
- Van Der Kooij, T.A.W., DeKok, L.J., Haneklaus, S., Schnug, E., 1997. Uptake and metabolism of sulphur dioxide by *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytologist* 135, 101-107.
- Verma, M., Agrawal, M., 1996. Alleviation of injurious effects of sulphur dioxide on soybean by modifying NPK nutrients. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 57, 49-55.
- Verma, M., Agrawal, M., Deepak, S.S., 2000. Interactive effects of sulphur dioxide and mineral nutrient supply on photosynthetic characteristics and yield in four wheat cultivars. *Photosynthetica* 38, 91-96.

- Wang, X.S., Qin, Y., 2006. Removal of Ni(II), Zn(II) and Cr(VI) from aqueous solution by *Altemanthera philoxeroides* biomass. Journal of Hazardous Materials 138, 582-588.
- Wheeler, R.M., Mackowiak, C.L., Sager, J.C., Knott, W.M., 1994. Growth of soybean and potato at high CO₂ partial pressures, Life Sciences and Space Research Xxv (3). Pergamon Press Ltd., Oxford, pp. 251-255.
- Wheeler, R.M., Mackowiak, C.L., Siegriest, L.M., Sager, J.C., 1993. Supraoptimal carbon-dioxide effects on growth of soybean *Glycine Max* (L) Merr. Journal of Plant Physiology 142, 173-178.
- Wheeler, R.M., Mackowiak, C.L., Yorio, N.C., Sager, J.C., 1999. Effects of CO₂ on stomatal conductance: Do stomata open at very high CO₂ concentrations? Annals of Botany 83, 243-251.

Table 1. Biomass growth, biomass allocation, nitrogen percentage and carbon to nitrogen ratio of *A. philoxeroides* grown in different [CO₂] treatments. Values shown are means ($\pm$ standard error of the mean, SE), where n=3.

	CO ₂ Concentration ($\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$)	Root	Stem	Leaf	Total
Biomass Growth (g)	350 (Ambient)	3.36(0.38) ^a	0.72(0.03) ^a	5.05 (0.30) ^a	9.49(0.56) ^a
	1000	4.55(0.54) ^a	2.13(0.57) ^b	8.52(0.98) ^b	15.66(1.98) ^b
	2000	6.78(0.46) ^b	2.48(0.09) ^b	10.21(0.65) ^b	19.92(0.24) ^c
	3000	5.81(0.26) ^{ab}	2.69(0.73) ^b	10.46(1.06) ^b	19.45(2.13) ^{bc}
Biomass Allocation (%)	350 (Ambient)	35.2(2.5) ^a	7.6(0.1) ^a	57.2(2.4) ^a	--
	1000	29.4(2.1) ^a	13.0(2.3) ^b	57.6(1.9) ^a	--
	2000	34.1(2.7) ^a	12.4(0.4) ^b	53.5(2.5) ^a	--
	3000	30.3(1.8) ^a	13.4(2.1) ^b	56.4(0.8) ^a	--
N%	350 (Ambient)	3.28(0.17) ^a	5.68(0.11) ^b	7.33(0.05) ^b	5.77(0.13) ^b
	1000	3.29(0.12) ^a	5.86(0.09) ^b	6.89(0.17) ^a	5.70(0.10) ^b
	2000	3.16(0.24) ^a	5.53(0.21) ^{ab}	6.42(0.13) ^a	5.19(0.24) ^a
	3000	3.37(0.16) ^a	5.39(0.03) ^a	6.38(0.16) ^a	5.33(0.04) ^a
C:N (w/w)	350 (Ambient)	9.52(0.17) ^a	6.37(0.16) ^a	5.57(0.07) ^a	6.42(0.12) ^a
	1000	10.26(0.57) ^{ab}	6.18(0.13) ^a	6.09(0.17) ^b	6.79(0.16) ^a
	2000	10.99(0.45) ^{ab}	6.77(0.26) ^{ab}	6.50(0.13) ^b	7.46(0.20) ^b
	3000	10.43(0.62) ^b	7.04(0.14) ^b	6.57(0.21) ^b	7.36(0.21) ^b

The values not sharing any superscript letters indicate significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the [CO₂] treatments (Mann – Whitney U-test).

Figure Legend

Figure 1. The plant growth system. A) custom built growth chamber or microcosm; B) diagram of the CO₂ / pollutant control system.

Figure 2. Cell structure of the leaf tissues of *A. philoxeroides*. A) Ambient and B) 3000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ CO₂. The arrows indicate starch deposits.

Figure 3. Aboveground biomass growth response to [CO₂] (close circles and diamonds) and [CO₂] + pollutants (open circles). A) Aboveground biomass accumulation in three experiments. Values are means ($\pm$ SEM), where n = 3. The points not sharing any letters (normal font, experiment 1; **bold font**, experiment 2; *Italic font*, experiment 3) show significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the [CO₂] treatments in each experiment (Mann-Whitney U-test). B) Relative growth to ambient control (mean to mean) in each experiment. The growth [CO₂] on the x-axis is shown as a log transformed scale.

Figure 4. The response of F_v / F_m (A), and aboveground C/N (B) to [CO₂] (close circles and diamonds) and [CO₂] + pollutants (open circles). Values are means ($\pm$ SEM), where n = 3. The points not sharing any letters (normal font, experiment 1; **bold font**, experiment 2; *Italic font*, experiment 3) show significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the [CO₂] treatments in each experiment (Mann-Whitney U-test). The growth [CO₂] on the x-axis is shown as a log transformed scale.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.