Appraisal of ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance methods for estimating N_2 fixation by understorey *Acacia leiocalyx* and *A. disparimma* in a native forest in subtropical Australia

Shahla Hosseini Bai • Fangfang Sun • Zhihong Xu • Timothy J Blumfield • Chengrong Chen • Clyde Wild

S. Hosseini Bai (✉)

Environmental Futures Centre, School of Biomolecular and Physical Sciences, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane, Queensland 4111, Australia

e-mail: s.hosseini-bai@griffith.edu.au

F.F. Sun

Research Centre for Quality, Safety and Standard of Agricultural Products, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China

Z. H. Xu • T. J. Blumfield

Environmental Futures Centre, School of Biomolecular and Physical Sciences, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane, Queensland 4111, Australia

C. R. Chen

Environmental Futures Centre, School of Environment, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane, Queensland 4111, Australia

C. Wild

Environmental Futures Centre, School of Environment, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland 9726, Australia

Abstract

Purpose: It is anticipated that global climate change will increase the frequency of wildfires in native forests of eastern Australia. Understorey legumes such as *Acacia* species play an

important role in maintaining ecosystem N balance through biological nitrogen fixation (BNF). This is particularly important in Australian native forests with soils of low nutrient status and frequent disturbance of the nutrient cycles by fire. This study aimed to examine ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance techniques in terms of their utilisation for evaluation of N_2 fixation of understorey acacias and determine the relationship between species ecophysiological traits and N_2 fixation.

Materials and methods: A trial was established at two Sites 1 and 2 located at Toohey Forest, Queensland, Australia, a eucalypt-dominated native forest, to examine the determination of BNF using ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance methods. Toohey Forest is an urban forest and subjected to frequent fuel reduction burns to protect the adjacent properties. Plant physiological status was measured to determine the relationship between physiological and N_2 fixation activities.

Results and discussion: Both ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance techniques may be used to estimate N_2 fixation of acacia tree species. The estimation of BNF using ^{15}N enrichment was higher than those of the ^{15}N natural abundance method. A grass reference plant, *Themeda triandra*, as well as tree reference plants provided an appropriate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal. Potential *B* values for *Acacia* spp. between -0.3‰ and 1.0‰ provided an acceptable BNF estimation. This suburban forest has been located nearby busy highways leading to the N deposition over time with negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal. This N deposition may explain the separation between acacias $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal and that of the reference plants which would be led to the successful use of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique. *A. leiocalyx* demonstrated greater N_2 fixation as well as photosynthesis and instantaneous water use efficiency (iWUE) than *A. disparimma*. However, no strong relationship between plant photosynthesis and N_2 fixation was observed in this study. A high-within treatment variation may have masked the relationships between plant BNF activities and photosynthesis

Conclusions: The ^{15}N natural abundance technique is preferred to be used for future studies as it is simple and inexpensive compared to ^{15}N enrichment method. The dependence of both species on BNF at Site 2, where fuel reduction burning had not taken place for 8 years, suggests that the frequent burning impoverished the soil and this has wider implications as higher fire frequencies are to be expected in other Australian ecosystems as a result of global climate change.

Keywords ^{15}N enrichment method • ^{15}N natural abundance method • *Acacia leiocalyx*, *A. disparimma* • N_2 fixation

1 Introduction

Global climate change, particularly rising atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentration and temperature can alter rainfall patterns and distribution (Xu et al. 2009; McKeon et al. 2009); and reduce soil moisture across Australia (Jung et al. 2010). These alterations may be linked to more extreme environmental conditions such as prolonged drought and drier growing seasons and consequently more frequent fires. Prescribed burning is widely used as a forest management tool in Australia and may result in the loss of N through volatilisation (Crutzen and Andreae 1990; May and Attiwill 2003; Reverchon et al. 2011) and also increase the release of terrestrial carbon (C) to the atmosphere (Thonicke et al. 2010). Therefore, after a fire, fast growing understorey legumes such as *Acacia* species may play an important role in post-fire recovery by adding N through N₂ fixation (Hamilton et al. 1993; Guinto et al. 2000; Reverchon et al. 2011) and increasing C sequestration. Nitrogen input to the soil through N₂ fixation of *Acacia* species, in Australia, may exceed 50 Kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ depending on acacia density in the ecosystem (May and Attiwill 2003; Adams et al. 2010). With the exception of a study conducted by Guinto et al. (2000), who determined the ability of *A. leiocalyx* to fix atmospheric N₂, there is limited published information of *Acacia* spp. particularly *A. leiocalyx* and *A. disparimma* in subtropical Australia. Quantifying the ability of these species to fix N₂ will increase understanding of their contribution to Australian native forest ecosystems.

The most commonly used methods to determine N₂ fixation in legumes are: the acetylene reduction assay (ARA); ¹⁵N enrichment; and ¹⁵N natural abundance (Forrester et al. 2006). Different studies have shown that the accuracy of ARA to estimate BNF may be uncertain when used in the field (Peoples et al. 1989; Unkovich and Pate 2000). ¹⁵N enrichment and ¹⁵N natural abundance are considered to be time integrated methods to determine N₂ fixation under natural conditions (Boddey et al. 2000; Unkovich and Pate 2000). However, both methods have limitations under natural conditions including: alteration in the pattern of N uptake by trees; the different potential capacity for nodulation of plants within species; and uneven plant distribution leading to variation in the availability of resources (Hansen and Pate 1987; Ladha et al. 1993; Peoples et al. 1996). Furthermore, the determination of the N₂ fixation in large plants has always been challenging due to difficulties associated with existing methodologies for large trees which could underestimate the N input to ecosystems (Hopmans et al. 1993). The use of ¹⁵N natural abundance method

in agricultural ecosystems has been well established (Unkovich and Pate 2000; Somado and Kuehne 2006; Houngnandan et al. 2008; Naab et al. 2009). In Australia, this method has also been used successfully for shrub and woody legumes including: western Australia for *Chamaecytisus proliferus* (Unkovich et al. 2000); north Queensland for *Calliandra calothyrsus* (Peoples et al. 1996); and Victoria for three species of acacias (Hamilton et al. 1993; May and Attiwill 2003). However, the method was unsuccessful when applied to *A. leiocalyx* in subtropical Queensland (Guinto et al. 2000). Using ^{15}N natural abundance method to estimate the percentage of BNF derived from the atmosphere (%Ndfa) of woody plants in subtropical Australia therefore requires further investigations.

For the ^{15}N enrichment technique, a ^{15}N -enriched fertiliser is applied to the soil and the dilution of ^{15}N enrichment in the plant by atmospheric ^{15}N reveals the extent of N_2 fixation (Warembourg 1993). To attain the greatest accuracy, soils need to be homogeneously labelled by the fertiliser solution to provide a stable $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratio (Danso et al. 1992; Warembourg 1993; Parrotta et al. 1994).

The ^{15}N natural abundance method uses the differences between the ^{15}N enrichment of soil and atmospheric N_2 (Shearer and Kohl 1986). The ^{15}N natural abundance technique requires well matched reference plants and accurate B values, the ^{15}N abundance of the N in the plant that is derived from the air, to quantify BNF (Boddey et al. 2000; Guinto et al. 2000; Gehring and Vlek 2004). Guinto et al. (2000) found no significant difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal between *Acacia* spp. and the selected reference plants which led to unsuccessful use of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique. The experiment was also conducted in a natural forest with high spatial variability. Reference plants are selected from species that naturally occur at the experimental sites and the availability of suitable plants may be a condition to using the ^{15}N natural abundance method to evaluate BNF.

N_2 fixation is an energy consuming process which may be directly linked to plant photosynthetic capacity (Lambers et al. 2008). Under frequently water limiting conditions, alteration in plant water use efficiency strategies (WUE), including stomatal closures, leaf to air vapour pressure and transpiration, may influence both N_2 fixation and plant photosynthetic capacity. Hence, these parameters may be linked to each other and the ability of the plant to fix C through photosynthesis may control the rate of N_2 fixation (Fujita et al. 1988; Imsande 1988; Aranjuelo et al. 2007).

This study aimed to (1) examine ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance techniques in terms of their utilisation for evaluation of N_2 fixation of understorey acacias; (2) evaluate the utility of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique for future study due to its low

cost and simplicity; and also (3) determine the relationship between species ecophysiological traits, including leaf-level photosynthesis and WUE, and N₂ fixation.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Site description

The experimental sites were situated at Toohey Forest (27°32'53 S;153°03'21E) located in Brisbane, south-east Queensland, Australia. Toohey Forest is a remnant island of native vegetation of about 640 ha, completely surrounded by suburban sprawl. The vegetation is dry sclerophyll forest dominated by rough-barked eucalypts with an understorey usually less than 2 m tall consisting of grasses and shrubs. *Xanthorrhoea johnsonii* (Xanthorrhoeaceae) may be quite dense in the shrub layer in areas where sandstone is the parent material. Soils are red-yellow podzolics with a silty loam A horizon, generally less than 10 cm (Catterall et al. 1987). Soil chemical and physical properties are given in Table 1. The area is classified as sub-tropical with a mean annual temperature of 27°C and an average annual precipitation of 1350 mm, with the data for the study period presented in Fig. 1.

The two experimental sites have been subjected to fuel reduction burns over a period of 20 years. Site 1 was burnt in 1991, 1993 and 2008 and Site 2 was burnt in 1991 and 2001. The experiments were designed as a randomised complete block. At Site 1, treatments were two methods (¹⁵N enrichment and ¹⁵N natural abundance) and two species (*A. disparimma* and *A. leiocalyx*) with 5 replications per treatment. At Site 2, treatments were species (*A. disparimma* and *A. leiocalyx*) and plant sizes (<2.5 m and 2.5 to 4.5 m height) categorised as small and medium, with 5 replications per treatment. At Site 2, only the ¹⁵N natural abundance was examined and the ¹⁵N enrichment was not practiced due to difficulties associated with making barriers for large trees. Sampling was undertaken at both Sites in April 2009, August 2009 and March 2010, defined as Seasons 1 to 3.

2.2 ¹⁵N enrichment technique

A. leiocalyx and *A. disparimma* seedlings and with *Eucalyptus planchoniana* (Myrtaceae) as reference plant, all plants with height under 1 m, were randomly selected at Site 1. A micro-plot was established in the soil around each seedling. Each micro-plot was established using plastic barriers to a depth of 0.30 m and enclosing an area 50 cm × 50 cm. In March 2009, two weeks after installing the barriers, the soil within each micro-plot was labelled by 50 ml fertiliser solution containing ammonium sulphate (10.0 atom% ¹⁵N) at 10 kg N ha⁻¹. The ¹⁵N fertiliser solution was applied to an area 20 cm × 20 cm in an area around basal diameter that

had been equally divided into 25 squares. Each square received 2 ml of the fertiliser solution injected to depth of 2 cm in the soil using a 3-ml syringe with a terumo spinal needle 18G $\times$ 3½ " (1.20 $\times$ 90 mm). The ^{15}N natural abundance micro-plots received 50 ml water using the same method. To avoid N volatilisation, the ^{15}N fertiliser solution was applied on a cool and cloudy day.

The percentage of N_2 fixed from atmosphere (%Ndfa) was calculated using the following equation (Fried and Middelboe 1977):

$$\% \text{Ndfa} = 100 \times [1 - (\text{atom}\% ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{excess sample}} / \text{atom}\% ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{excess ref}})] \quad (1)$$

Where:

$\text{atom}\% ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{excess sample}}$ = the weighted average ^{15}N enrichment of *Acacia* spp.

$\text{atom}\% ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{excess ref}}$ = the weighted average ^{15}N enrichment of reference plants

2.3 ^{15}N natural abundance technique

The experiment examined *A. leiocalyx* and *A. disparimma* of different sizes. Nine different species of non- N_2 -fixing reference plants of a medium size at Site 2 and small size at Site 1 were used. The reference plants were categorised into two groups; consisting of eight tree species *Eucalyptus planchoniana*, *E. psammitica*, *E. carnea*, *E. tindaliae*, *E. resinifera*, *Corymbia henryi*, *Angophora woodsiana* (all Myrtaceae), *Xanthorrhoea johnsonii* and one herbaceous species, *Themeda triandra* (Poaceae). Each species of reference plant chosen at each plot had two replications. *Angophora woodsiana* was selected as reference plant for all BNF estimation using the ^{15}N natural abundance because of its availability at both sites. Furthermore, using one reference plant for all estimations could minimise the potential error.

The value of $\delta ^{15}\text{N}$ was determined using the following equation:

$$\delta ^{15}\text{N} = 1000 \times [(R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{std}}) / R_{\text{std}}] \quad (2)$$

Where

R is the isotopic ratio $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ of sample and standard (std) which refers to the atmospheric N_2 .

The percentage of N derived from atmospheric N_2 (%Ndfa) was calculated using the following equation (Shearer and Kohl 1986):

$$\% \text{Ndfa} = [(\delta ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}} - \delta ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{acacia}}) / (\delta ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}} - B_{\text{value}})] \times 100 \quad (3)$$

Where:

$\delta ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}}$ = the $\delta ^{15}\text{N}$ value of the reference plants

$\delta ^{15}\text{N}_{\text{acacia}}$ = the $\delta ^{15}\text{N}$ value of the *Acacia* spp.

B_{value} = the relative isotopic abundance of *Acacia* spp. growing under a N-free nutrient condition.

The B values reported from a large array of sources vary from -2.9‰ to 1.0‰ for woody plants (Shearer and Kohl 1986; Boddey et al. 2000). In the present study, different B values varying from -1.3‰ to 1.0‰ were examined to determine an applicable B value for *Acacia* spp. under the experimental condition.

2.4 Plant sampling and analysis

Three fully expanded leaves of each selected *Acacia* species from different sizes and also from each of the reference plants were collected. The leaves were kept in separate paper bags and transferred to the laboratory. These samples were then oven dried at 50 °C to a constant weight and ground to a fine powder by a RocklabsTM ring grinder (Guinto et al. 2000). The resulting homogenised powder was weighed into 8 mm × 5 mm tin capsules for analysis using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (GV Isoprime, Manchester, UK). A minimum of 10% replication was used to verify the accuracy of the results. These samples were assessed for total N (TN), N isotope composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) and C isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) as described by Prasolova et al. (2000) and Xu et al. (2000; 2003).

2.5 Gas exchange measurements

Gas exchange was determined for each plant using a portable photosynthesis system (Model LI-6400, Li-Cor). Data were collected for each selected plant with three replicates per plant using a constant CO_2 concentration 380 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ and a blue-red light-emitting diodes (Model 6400-02B) adjusted at photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) 1400 $\mu\text{mol s}^{-1}$. Parameters derived from survey data were net photosynthesis (A_n), transpiration (E), stomatal conductance (g_s) and intercellular CO_2 concentration (C_i). The photosynthetic response to light (A/Q response curves) was also determined at various PAR varying from 1700 to 0 in 12 steps as 1700, 1400, 1100, 800, 500, 300, 150, 100, 80, 50, 20 and 0 and maximum photosynthesis (A_{max}) derived from this curve. Instantaneous water use efficiency (iWUE) at leaf level was determined as A_n/E (Farquhar and Richards 1984). All measurements were taken on sunny days between 09:00 and 12:00.

2.6 Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to detect the differences in N_2 fixation with respect to seasonal variation, age classes, species and also physiological parameters. The

Tukey HSD test at $P<0.05$ was used to determine a comparison among treatment means. A paired T-test was carried out to compare means of two techniques of N_2 fixation. Linear regression was carried out to indicate the relationships among N_2 fixation and photosynthesis or WUE. Statistix software (Version 8) was used for all the statistical analyses.

3 Results

3.1 ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance methods

The BNF estimation using the two methods indicated a significant difference at each sampling season ($P<0.05$). A paired-samples T-test demonstrated that mean %Ndfa determined using the ^{15}N enrichment technique was significantly ($P<0.05$, $n=58$) higher than that derived from the ^{15}N natural abundance technique. This difference existed irrespective of acacia species or sampling season, and was about 31% higher on average using the ^{15}N enrichment technique, compared with that of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique.

At Site 1, BNF of *A. leiocalyx* and *A. disparimma* based on ^{15}N enrichment technique indicated a significant difference at three sampling times ($P<0.05$, Fig. 2a). BNF of *A. leiocalyx* was significantly higher than those of *A. disparimma*. The estimated BNF based on ^{15}N enrichment technique varied from 94.8% to 96.5% for *A. leiocalyx* and was from 83.3% to 91.8% for *A. disparimma*. At Site 1, no significant difference was detected in BNF estimation using the ^{15}N natural abundance method for *A. leiocalyx* and *A. disparimma* with the only exception at Season 3 when BNF of *A. leiocalyx* was significantly higher than that of *A. disparimma* (see Fig. 2b). The estimated BNF based on ^{15}N natural abundance technique was from 59.6% to 82.5% for *A. leiocalyx* and ranged from 35.8% to 72.0% for *A. disparimma*. At Site 2, the BNF did not vary significantly in response to plant size. The BNF of *A. leiocalyx* was significantly higher than that of *A. disparimma* at Seasons 2 and 3 ($P<0.05$). The determination of BNF based on ^{15}N natural abundance technique was from 75.6% to 86.2% for *A. leiocalyx* and varied from 80.6% to 54.5% for *A. disparimma* (see Fig. 2c).

3.2 $\delta^{15}N$ values of acacias and reference plants

The $\delta^{15}N$ values of *A. leiocalyx*, irrespective of sampling season, were less negative than those of *A. disparimma*, -0.73‰ vs. -1.06‰ respectively. However, this difference was only significant at the last sampling ($P<0.05$). At Site 1, the $\delta^{15}N$ differences were smaller than 2.0‰ (Table 2) on a few occasions. At Site 2, $\delta^{15}N$ differences between acacia species and reference plants was >2.0 ‰ for all selected reference plants and there was a significant

difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between acacias and all selected reference plants, regardless of sampling seasons ($P<0.05$).

3.3 *B* values

Using a *B* value of -1.3‰ resulted in an estimation of %Ndfa that was greater than 100%. At Site 2, assuming $B=-1.3\text{‰}$ resulted in 68% of all cases having the %Ndfa greater than 100% ($n=33$ out of 48) while this percentage dropped to 6.25% when *B* was assumed to be -0.3‰ ($n=3$ out of 48). None of the cases were greater than 100% when *B* values were either 0.0‰ or 1.0‰. Therefore, potential *B* value for these two acacias could be from -0.3‰ to 1‰. In our study, we chose *B* value of 0.0‰ to report the results of BNF determination based on the ^{15}N natural abundance method.

3.4 Physiological traits of both species

A. leiocalyx had significantly higher net photosynthesis (A_n) and maximum photosynthesis (A_{max}) than *A. disparimma* ($P<0.05$, Fig. 3a and Table 3), Transpiration (*E*) of *A. leiocalyx* was greater when compared with that of *A. disparimma* but it was significant only at Season 1 ($P<0.05$, Fig. 3b). Instantaneous water use efficiency (iWUE) did not vary significantly in response to plant species with the exception observed at Season 3 when iWUE of *A. leiocalyx* was significantly greater than *A. disparimma* ($P<0.05$, Fig. 3c). No significant difference of C isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) between two plant species (main effect) was observed (Table 3). Total N (TN) of *A. leiocalyx* was slightly higher than that of *A. disparimma*. However, the difference in foliar TN between two species was only significant at Season 3 ($P<0.05$, 2.50% vs. 2.05% respectively, Table 3).

3.5 Relationships among physiological traits

A linear regression was carried out between net photosynthesis A_n and iWUE, *E* or C_i . iWUE, *E* or C_i explained 20%, 35% and 17% of the variation in A_n ($n=18$, $P<0.05$). There was a positive correlation between TN and A_n and between TN and %Ndfa ($R^2=0.17$ and $R^2=0.31$ respectively, $n=16$, $P<0.05$, Fig. 4). A linear regression was performed between %Ndfa and physiological traits including: A_n , *E* and iWUE for each species separately and there was a poor or no significant relationship among those variables.

4 Discussion

4.1 ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance methods

Our results demonstrated that both ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance were valid methods to provide quantitative estimation for N_2 fixation of understory trees, *A. leiocalyx*

and *A. disparimma*. The difference in the BNF estimation between the two methods, with the ^{15}N enrichment method giving consistently higher estimates of BNF was similar to the findings of Bouillet et al. (2008). Fertilisation may have stimulated soil microbial activity and the ^{15}N may have been mineralised or immobilised. Uptake of the newly mineralised N could have caused the higher estimation of BNF in the ^{15}N enrichment (Høgh-Jensen and Schjoerring 1994). Small within-treatment variation in the ^{15}N enrichment method may demonstrate an even distribution of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ available in the soil of reference plants.

The determination of %Ndfa based on the natural abundance method for *A. leiocalyx* and *A. disparimma* was in agreement with values for other acacia species reported in Australia a 43% for *A. melanoxylon*, 48% for *A. mucronata* (Hamilton et al. 1993) and 59% for *A. dealbata* (May and Attiwill 2003) based on the ^{15}N natural abundance method. There was limited information regarding %Ndfa of *A. leiocalyx* and *A. disparimma* in Australia.

Despite the fact that %Ndfa determination of two methods were within an acceptable range, the relationship between the two methods was poor. This was consistent with other studies (Androsoff et al. 1995; Stevenson et al. 1995). Galiana et al. (2002) reported a range of N_2 fixation for *A. mangium* from 20% to 90% based on ^{15}N natural abundance method. The range in our study was much narrower for both species and this variability may have been due to the within-treatment variation caused by spatial variation of mutualistic organisms (Boddey et al. 2000) or non-homogenised N and water availability in the soil (Stevenson et al. 1995).

Our experiment indicated that the ^{15}N enrichment technique provided accurate and reliable estimations and demonstrated a smaller range of values compared to the ^{15}N natural abundance method. However, it was associated with technical barriers and costs when applied to trees and tends to give higher estimates of BNF. The ^{15}N natural abundance method was simple and inexpensive and our results clearly indicated that this method can be successfully used to determine BNF in Australian native forests despite the within-treatment variation which was probably inevitable under natural conditions.

Negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, observed in this study, were unusual for Australian native forests which normally demonstrated a level of enrichment as a result of isotopic discrimination (Blumfield and Xu 2003; Blumfield et al. 2006; Burton et al. 2007). Two forests in Netherland exposed to elevated N input for 40 years had negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ soil values (Koopmans et al. 1997) and negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values have also been found in forest soil near a highway in Switzerland (Guerrieri et al. 2009). In the present study, negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were probably the result of atmospheric N deposition as this forest was an urban forest that

was bisected by a busy motorway. Fuel combustion in vehicles was one of the major sources for NO_x (Xiao and Liu 2002; Xiao et al. 2010) and the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in NO_x emitted from vehicles has a range from -13‰ to -2‰ (Heaton 1990). Long-term deposition of atmospheric NO_x, which was depleted in ^{15}N (Choi et al. 2005; Kwak et al. 2011) would lead to the negative soil ^{15}N values observed in our experiment (Choi et al. 2005; Savard et al. 2009). The more negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal in soil led to a good differentiation between the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal derived from the atmosphere in the acacias and the soil derived signal in the reference plants. This could also explain the narrower range of BNF estimation in our study than reported elsewhere when using the ^{15}N natural abundance method.

Our results also suggested that taxonomic proximity between legume and reference plant may not be necessary as *T. triandra*, a grass, was able to provide an appropriate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ -signal. At Site 2 where mature plants were examined, in addition to *T. triandra*, all other reference plants also gave an appropriate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ -signal ($\geq 2\text{‰}$). This was within the range recommended by Sanford et al. (1994) but lower than the 5‰ suggested by Hogberg (1997). Taxonomic proximity between legume and reference plant was considered as an important factor to obtain accurate results for BNF estimation (Boddey et al. 2000; Gehring and Vlek 2004). However, the successful use of shrubs and herbaceous plants as reference has been also observed in limited studies such as Pareek et al. (1990) and Peoples et al. (1996). Danso et al. (1992) argued that trees and grass take up nutrients from the same soil zone and consequently, the source of N uptake of both trees and grass could be similar. Therefore, woody reference plant and grass give the same $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ -signal even though both have different root structures. Different mycorrhizae species associated with plant may be resulted in providing different $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signals in plants. If woody plants and grasses have the same mycorrhizae species, they may be providing similar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signals (Evans 2001). Further work was required to clarify this observation, using different species of grasses and shrubs and examining soil N availability in time and space.

Our study indicated that potential *B* values ranging from -0.3‰ to 1.0‰ could be used to provide an acceptable %Ndfa estimation for *Acacia* species based on ^{15}N natural abundance method in sub-tropical native forests. To our knowledge, a range of *B* values from 0.0‰ to -1.3‰ have successfully been already used to estimate N₂ fixation for *Acacia* spp. (Hamilton et al. 1993; Galiana et al. 2002; May and Attiwill 2003). because the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ abundance of atmospheric N was assumed to be 0.0‰ (Okito et al. 2004). This assumed that the amount of fractionation occurring was negligible when atmospheric N₂ was fixed. Hamilton et al. (1993) successfully applied a *B* value of 0.0‰ to quantify BNF in *A.*

mucronata and *A. melanoxyton* and accepted a minor error when *B* value of a species had not been determined. Guinto et al. (2000) reported a *B* value of -0.04‰ for *A. leiocalyx* which would support our decision to choose *B* value of 0.0‰ for BNF determination. BNF activities of legumes may be increased in less fertile soil (Galiana et al. 2002) to compensate for soil N limitation. In the present study, the high BNF activity indicated at Site 2 suggests a low N availability despite the fact that this area had not been burned for 8 years. Soil N availability slowly increased following fire through litter-fall decomposition and %Nd_fa in legumes decreased as plants start taking up N from soil (van Kessel et al. 1994). However, our study indicated a continuing reliance on BNF, which may suggest that this ecosystem requires a longer period to recycle N and to recover from fire. Increasing fire frequency, which has been predicted as a consequence of global climate change, may result in decreasing soil fertility and increasing ecosystem vulnerability.

4.2 Plant physiological status and N₂ fixation

Plant physiological status may be used as another indicator of N₂ fixation because *A. leiocalyx* consistently indicated greater *A_n*, *E*, iWUE and TN as well as BNF than those of *A. disparimma* throughout the sampling period. Other studies have determined that there was a positive linear relationship between foliar *A_n* and BNF activities (Kitaoka and Koike 2004; Kaschuk et al. 2009). Higher BNF activity in *A. leiocalyx* may have provided more N for photosynthesis. N is a vital component of Rubisco, a pivotal enzyme to fix CO₂ in the photosynthetic system and enhanced foliar N concentration with increases photosynthetic capacity in plants (Evans 1989). In our study, there was a poor correlation between %Nd_fa and physiological traits such as *A_n* and WUE. A high-within treatment variation may have masked the relationships between plant BNF activities and photosynthesis or WUE.

A. leiocalyx showed greater WUE, reflected through higher δ¹³C values and higher iWUE, than *A. disparimma*. Higher WUE may suggest that *A. leiocalyx* would be able to alter the strategy of water use with drought stress as argued by Otieno et al. (2005) and Raddad & Lunkkanen (2006), using this factor as a tool of adaptation to climate change. Increased N could enhance *A_n* and WUE. Therefore, higher BNF in *A. leiocalyx* may have played an important role to increase *A_n* and WUE and resulted in higher growth rates (data not presented) and consequently higher C sinks from atmosphere to the earth. Enhanced temperature and prolonged drought may decrease photosynthesis due to increased stomatal closure (Otieno et al. 2005) and plants that have the ability to alter their nutrient and water use strategies may be better able to deal with the adverse impacts of climate change.

5 Conclusions

This study demonstrated that both ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance techniques may be used to estimate N_2 fixation of acacia tree species. The ^{15}N natural abundance technique was preferred to be used for future studies as it was simple and inexpensive and the use of this method facilitated in the present study due to identifying a wider range of reference plants and narrowing down of B values for acacias in native forest. The atmospheric N deposition could be a main reason for successful use of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique leading to provide a good difference of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal between acacias and reference plants. This could also explain a narrower range of BNF estimation in our study when using the ^{15}N natural abundance method. The present study also demonstrated that foliar physiological traits such as A_n , $A_{\max}$, iWUE and E of plants may be used to indicate plant ability to fix N_2 . *A. leiocalyx* with its greater growth and establishment after fire and higher %Nd_{fa} in comparison to *A. disparimma*, may also be able to accelerate N input to the impoverished soils of the Australian urban forests and improve soil fertility. The immediate impacts of environmental extremes such as prolonged drought and frequent fires on the understorey legumes would be expected less severe on *A. leiocalyx* than *A. disparimma*. Therefore, species in which the adaptive capacity is within narrow eco-physiological limits such as *A. disparimma*, would be more likely to become extinct with a consequent reduction in ecosystem biodiversity in response to global climate change and the anticipated more frequent fires.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge the financial support and assistance of Powerlink QLD. The authors are particularly grateful to Ms. Elizabeth Gordon and Marijke Heenan for their technical supports and Mr. Bob Coutts for identifying plant species at the experimental sites.

References

- Adams MA, Simon J, Pfautsch S (2010) Woody legumes: a (re)view from the South. Tree Physiol 30:1072–1082
- Androssoff GL, Vankessel C, Pennock DJ (1995) Landscape-scale estimates of dinitrogen fixation by *Pisum sativum* by ^{15}N natural abundance and enriched isotope dilution. Biol Fert Soils 20:33-40

- Aranjuelo I, Irigoyen JJ, Sánchez-Díaz M (2007) Effect of elevated temperature and water availability on CO₂ exchange and nitrogen fixation of nodulated alfalfa plants. *Env Exp Bot* 59:99-108
- Blumfield TJ, Xu ZH (2003) Impact of harvest residues on soil mineral nitrogen dynamics following clearfall harvesting of a hoop pine plantation in subtropical Australia. *For Ecol Manage* 179:55-67
- Blumfield TJ, Xu ZH, Prasolova NV, Mathers NJ (2006) Effect of overlying windrowed harvest residues on soil carbon and nitrogen in hoop pine plantations of subtropical Australia. *J Soils Sediments* 6:243-248
- Boddey RM, Peoples MB, Palmer B, Dart PJ (2000) Use of the ¹⁵N natural abundance technique to quantify biological nitrogen fixation by woody perennials. *Nutri Cyc Agroecosys* 57:235-270
- Bouillet JP, Laclau JP, Goncalves JLM, Moreira MZ, Trivelin PCO, Jourdan C, Silva EV, Piccolo MC, Tsai SM, Galiana A (2008) Mixed-species plantations of *Acacia mangium* and *Eucalyptus grandis* in Brazil 2: Nitrogen accumulation in the stands and biological N₂ fixation. *For Ecol Manage* 255:3918-3930
- Burton J, Chen CR, Xu ZH, Ghadiri H (2007) Gross nitrogen transformations in adjacent native and plantation forest's of subtropical Australia. *Soil Biol Biochem* 39:426-433
- Catterall CP, Wallace CP, Griffith University. Institute of Applied Environmental Research. (1987) *An Island in Suburbia : the Natural and Social History of Toohey Forest*. Institute of Applied Environmental Research, Griffith University, Nathan, Qld.
- Choi W-J, Lee S-M, Chang SX, Ro H-M (2005) Variations of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N in *Pinus densiflora* tree-rings and their relationship to environmental changes in eastern Korea. *Water Air Soil Poll* 164:173–187
- Crutzen PJ, Andreae MO (1990) Biomass burning in the tropics - impact on atmospheric chemistry and biogeochemical cycles. *Science* 250:1669-1678
- Danso SKA, Bowen GD, Sanginga N (1992) Biological nitrogen fixation in trees in agroecosystems. *Plant Soil* 141:177-196
- Evans RD (2001) Physiological mechanisms influencing plant nitrogen isotope composition. *Trends Plant Science* 6:121-126
- Evans JR (1989) Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C-3 plants. *Oecologia* 78:9-19
- Farquhar GD, Richards RA (1984) Isotopic composition of plant carbon correlates with water-use efficiency in wheat genotypes. *Aus J Plant Physiol* 11:539–552

- Forrester DI, Bauhus J, Cowie AL, Vanclay JK (2006) Mixed-species plantations of *Eucalyptus* with nitrogen-fixing trees: A review. *For Ecol and Manage* 233:211-230
- Fried M, Middelboe V (1977) Measurement of amount of nitrogen fixed by legume crop. *Plant Soil* 43:713–715
- Fujita K, Masuda T, Ogata S (1988) Dinitrogen fixation, ureide concentration in xylem exudate and translocation of photosynthates in soybean as influenced by ood removal and defoliation. *Soil Sci Plant Nutri* 34:265-275
- Galiana A, Balle P, Kanga AN, Domenach AM (2002) Nitrogen fixation estimated by the ^{15}N natural abundance method in *Acacia mangium* Willd. inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* sp. and grown in silvicultural conditions. *Soil Biol Biochem* 34:251-262
- Gehring C, Vlek PLG (2004) Limitations of the ^{15}N natural abundance method for estimating biological nitrogen fixation in Amazonian forest legumes. *Basic App Ecol* 5:567-580
- Guerrieri MR, Siegwolf RTW, Saurer M, Jäggi M, Cherubini P, Ripullone F, Borghetti M (2009) Impact of different nitrogen emission sources on tree physiology as assessed by a triple stable isotope approach. *Atmos Env* 43:410-418
- Guinto DF, Xu ZH, House APN, Saffigna PG (2000) Assessment of N_2 fixation by understorey acacias in recurrently burnt eucalypt forests of subtropical Australia using ^{15}N isotope dilution techniques. *Can J For Res* 30:112-121
- Hamilton SD, Hopmans P, Chalk PM, Smith CJ (1993) Field estimation of N_2 fixation by *Acacia* Spp. using ^{15}N isotope dilution and labeling with S_{35} . *For Ecol Manage* 56:297-313
- Hansen AP, Pate JS (1987) Evaluation of the ^{15}N natural abundance method and xylem sap analysis for assessing N_2 fixation of understorey legumes in jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata* Donn-Ex-Sm) forest in SW Australia. *J Exp Bot* 38:1446-1458
- Heaton THE (1990) $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratios of NO_x from vehicle engines and coal-fired power stations. *Tellus B* 42:304-307
- Hogberg P (1997) Tansley Review No 95 - ^{15}N natural abundance in soil-plant systems. *New Phytol* 137:179-203
- Høgh-Jensen H, Schjoerring JK (1994) Measurement of biological dinitrogen fixation in grassland: Comparison of the enriched ^{15}N dilution and the natural ^{15}N abundance methods at different nitrogen application rates and defoliation frequencies. *Plant Soil* 166:153-163
- Hopmans P, Stewart HTL, Flinn DW (1993) Impacts of harvesting on nutrients in a eucalypt ecosystem in Southeastern Australia. *For Ecol Manage* 59:29-51

- Houngnandan P, Yemadje R, Oikeh S, Djidohokpin C, Boeckx P, Van Cleemput O (2008) Improved estimation of biological nitrogen fixation of soybean cultivars (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) using ^{15}N natural abundance technique. *Biol Fert Soils* 45:175-183
- Imسانده J (1988) Enhanced nitrogen fixation increases net photosynthetic output and seed yield of hydroponically grown soybean. *J Exp Bot* 39:1313-1321
- Jung M, Reichstein M, Ciais P, Seneviratne SI, Sheffield J, Goulden ML, Bonan G, Cescatti A, Chen JQ, de Jeu R, Dolman AJ, Eugster W, Gerten D, Gianelle D, Gobron N, Heinke J, Kimball J, Law BE, Montagnani L, Mu QZ, Mueller B, Oleson K, Papale D, Richardson AD, Rouspard O, Running S, Tomelleri E, Viovy N, Weber U, Williams C, Wood E, Zaehle S, Zhang K (2010) Recent decline in the global land evapotranspiration trend due to limited moisture supply. *Nature* 467:951-954
- Kaschuk G, Kuyper TW, Leffelaar PA, Hungria M, Giller KE (2009) Are the rates of photosynthesis stimulated by the carbon sink strength of rhizobial and arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses? *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:1233-1244
- Kitaoka S, Koike T (2004) Invasion of broad-leaf tree species into a larch plantation: seasonal light environment, photosynthesis and nitrogen allocation. *Physiol Planta* 121:604-611
- Koopmans CJ, Dam DV, Tietema A, Verstraten JM (1997) Natural ^{15}N abundance in two nitrogen saturated forest ecosystems. *Oecologia* 111:470-480
- Kwak J-H, Lim S-S, Chang S, Lee K-H, Choi W-J (2011) Potential use of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, N concentration, and Ca/Al of *Pinus densiflora*; tree rings in estimating historical precipitation pH. *J Soils Sediments* 11:709-721
- Ladha JK, Peoples MB, Garrity DP, Capuno VT, Dart PJ (1993) Estimating dinitrogen fixation of hedgerow vegetation using the ^{15}N natural abundance method. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 57:732-737
- Lambers H, Chapin FS, Pons TL (2008) *Plant Physiological Ecology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA
- May BM, Attiwill PM (2003) Nitrogen fixation by *Acacia dealbata* and changes in soil properties 5 years after mechanical disturbance or slash burning following timber harvest. *For Ecol Manage* 181:339-355
- McKeon GM, Stone GS, Syktus JI, Carter JO, Flood NR, Ahrens DG, Bruget DN, Chilcott CR, Cobon DH, Cowley RA, Crimp SJ, Fraser GW, Howden SM, Johnston PW, Ryan JG, Stokes CJ, Day KA (2009) Climate change impacts on northern Australian rangeland livestock carrying capacity: a review of issues. *Rangeland J* 31:1-29

- Naab J, Chimphango S, Dakora F (2009) N₂ fixation in cowpea plants grown in farmers' fields in the Upper West Region of Ghana, measured using ¹⁵N natural abundance. *Symbiosis* 48:37-46
- Okito A, Alves B, Urquiaga S, Boddey RM (2004) Isotopic fractionation during N₂ fixation by four tropical legumes. *Soil Biol Biochem* 36:1179-1190
- Otieno DO, Schmidt MWT, Kinyamario JI, Tenhunen J (2005) Responses of *Acacia tortilis* and *Acacia xanthophloea* to seasonal changes in soil water availability in the savanna region of Kenya. *J Arid Env* 62:377-400
- Pareek RP, Ladha JK, Watanabe I (1990) Estimating N₂ fixation by *Sesbania rostrata* and *S. cannabina* (Syn *S. aculeata*) in lowland rice soil by the ¹⁵N dilution method. *Biol Fert Soils* 10:77-88
- Parrotta JA, Baker DD, Fried M (1994) Application of ¹⁵N enrichment methodologies to estimate nitrogen fixation in *Casuarina equisetifolia*. *Can J For Res* 24:201-207
- Peoples MB, Faizah AW, Rerkasem B, Herridge DF (eds) (1989) Methods for evaluating nitrogen fixation by nodulated legumes in the field. ACIAR monograph series ; 11. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra
- Peoples MB, Palmer B, Lilley DM, Duc LM, Herridge DF (1996) Application of ¹⁵N and xylem ureide methods for assessing N₂ fixation of three shrub legumes periodically pruned for forage. *Plant Soil* 182:125-137
- Prasolova NV, Xu ZH, Farquhar GD, Saffigna PG, Dieters MJ (2000) Variation in canopy C-13 of 8-year-old hoop pine families (*Araucaria cunninghamii*) in relation to canopy nitrogen concentration and tree growth in subtropical Australia. *Tree Physiol* 20:1049-1055
- Raddad EAY, Luukkanen O (2006) Adaptive genetic variation in water use efficiency and gum yield in *Acacia senegal* provenances grown on clay soil in the Blue Nile region, Sudan. *For Ecol Manage* 226:219-229
- Reverchon F, Xu ZH, Blumfield TJ, Chen CR, Abdullah KM (2011) Impact of global climate change and prescribed burning on understorey legumes and associated belowground communities: implications for biogeochemical cycles in forest ecosystems. *J Soils Sediments* DOI 10.1007/s11368-011-0445-1
- Sanford P, Pate JS, Unkovich MJ (1994) A survey of proportional dependence of subterranean clover and other pasture legumes on N₂ fixation in South-West Australia utilizing ¹⁵N natural-abundance. *Aus J Agr Res* 45:165-181

- Savard M, B'egin C, Smirnoff A (2009) Tree-ring nitrogen isotopes reflect anthropogenic NOX emissions and climatic effects. *Env Sci Tech* 43:604–609
- Shearer G, Kohl DH (1986) N₂ fixation in field settings: estimations based on natural ¹⁵N abundance. *Aus J Plant Physiol* 13:699-756
- Somado EA, Kuehne RF (2006) Appraisal of the ¹⁵N isotope dilution and ¹⁵N natural abundance methods for quantifying nitrogen fixation by flood-tolerant green manure legumes. *Afri J Biotech* 5:1210-1214
- Stevenson FC, Knight JD, Vankessel C (1995) Dinitrogen fixation in pea - controls at the landscape-scale and microscale. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 59:1603-1611
- Thonicke K, Spessa A, Prentice IC, Harrison SP, Dong L, Carmona-Moreno C (2010) The influence of vegetation, fire spread and fire behaviour on biomass burning and trace gas emissions: results from a process-based model. *Biogeosciences* 7:1991-2011
- Unkovich MJ, Pate JS (2000) An appraisal of recent field measurements of symbiotic N₂ fixation by annual legumes. *Field Crops Res* 65:211-228
- Unkovich MJ, Pate JS, Lefroy EC, Arthur DJ (2000) Nitrogen isotope fractionation in the fodder tree legume tagasaste (*Chamaecytisus proliferus*) and assessment of N₂ fixation inputs in deep sandy soils of Western Australia. *Aus J Plant Physiol* 27:921-929
- van Kessel C, Farrel RE, Roskoski JP, Keane KM (1994) Recycling of the naturally-occurring ¹⁵N in an established stand of *Leucaena leucocephala*. *Soil Biol Biochem* 26:757–762
- Warembourg FR (1993) Nitrogen fixation in soil and plant systems. In: Knowles R, Blackburn TH (eds) *Nitrogen Isotope Techniques*. Academic Press, INC, London, pp 127-156
- Xiao H-Y, Tang C-G, Xiao H-W, Liu X-Y, Liu C-Q (2010) Stable sulphur and nitrogen isotopes of the moss *Haplocladium microphyllum* at urban, rural and forested sites. *Atmos Env* 44:4312-4317
- Xiao HY, Liu CQ (2002) Sources of nitrogen and sulfur in wet deposition at Guiyang, southwest China. *Atmos Env* 36:5121-5130
- Xu ZH, Chen CR, He JZ, Liu JX (2009) Trends and challenges in soil research 2009: linking global climate change to local long-term forest productivity. *J Soils Sediments* 9:83-88
- Xu ZH, Prasolova NV, Lundkvist K, Beadle C, Leaman T (2003) Genetic variation in branchlet carbon and nitrogen isotope composition and nutrient concentration of 11-

year-old hoop pine families in relation to tree growth in subtropical Australia. For Ecol Manage 186:359-371

Xu ZH, Saffigna PG, Farquhar GD, Simpson JA, Haines RJ, Walker S, Osborne DO, Guinto D (2000) Carbon isotope discrimination and oxygen isotope composition in clones of the F-1 hybrid between slash pine and Caribbean pine in relation to tree growth, water-use efficiency and foliar nutrient concentration. Tree Physiol 20:1209-1217

Table 1: Soil chemical properties and particle component at Sites 1 and 2 located at Toohey Forest collected from experimental plots at depth 0-10 cm in April 2009.

	Soil chemical properties ^a					Soil particle component		
	pH	TC (%)	TN (%)	C:N	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	Clay (%)	Silt (%)	Sand (%)
Site 1	5.07 (0.05)	2.71 (0.20)	0.10 (0.00)	13.5 (1.0)	-0.83 (0.42)	25.9 (0.66)	26.0 (0.42)	47.9 (0.46)
Site 2	4.92 (0.10)	3.32 (0.14)	0.12 (0.02)	12.4 (1.3)	-0.92 (0.30)	19.9 (1.20)	23.6 (0.40)	56.4 (0.84)

^a Soil chemical properties include: soil total C (TC), total N (TN), C:N ratio and N isotope composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$)

Numbers in the brackets denote standard errors.

Table 2: Nitrogen isotope composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ‰) of *Acacia* spp. and reference plants during three seasons.

Plant Species	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)					
	Site 1			Site 2		
	Season 1	Season 2	Season 3	Season 1	Season 2	Season 3
<i>A. leiocalyx</i>	-0.73(0.17)	-0.53(0.22)	-0.56(0.16)	-0.58(0.16)	-0.93(0.15)	-0.70(0.07)
<i>A. disparimma</i>	-0.73(0.14)	-1.06(0.19)	-1.26(0.15)	-0.82(0.13)	-1.53(0.11)	-1.28(0.10)
<i>E. planchoniana</i>	-1.87(1.39)*	-5.73(0.65)*	-3.78(0.54) *			
<i>E. psammitica</i>	-2.42(0.65)*	-5.22(0.99) *	-2.72(0.55) *			
<i>E. carnea</i>				-5.40(0.18)*	-6.48(0.07) *	-3.39(0.16) *
<i>E. tindaliae</i>	-0.65(0.05)	-4.39(0.00) *	-5.26(0.00) *	-3.50(0.00) *	-5.74(0.00) *	-3.45(0.00) *
<i>E. resinifera</i>	-0.30(2.9)	-4.40(0.00) *	-2.04(0.72) *	-4.25(0.75) *	-6.42(0.68) *	-4.31(0.00) *
<i>Corymbia henryi</i>				-4.93(0.43) *	-7.05(0.18) *	-3.94(0.24) *
<i>Angophora woodsiana</i>	-1.81(0.42)*	-4.35(0.75) *	-1.91(0.35) *	-4.06(0.53) *	-5.78(0.53) *	-2.95(0.46) *
<i>Xanthorrhoea johnsonii</i>	-1.53(0.32)	-3.24(0.22) *	-1.20(0.00)			
<i>Themeda triandra</i>	-3.45(0.26)*	-6.60(0.23) *	-3.53(0.76) *	-4.21(0.00) *	-5.60(1.02) *	-3.23(0.74) *

Mean standard errors are in parentheses

*P<0.05 level indicates a significant difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between *Acacia* spp. and reference plants

Table 3: Net photosynthesis (A_n), C isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and foliar total N (TN) of understorey *Acacia leiocalyx* and *A. disparimma* seedlings in a eucalypt-dominated urban forest of subtropical Australia.

Species†	A_n ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)			$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)			TN (%)		
	Season 1	Season 2	Season 3	Season 1	Season 2	Season 3	Season 1	Season 2	Season 3
<i>A. leiocalyx</i>	16.2 (0.86)*	15.0 (1.20)*	18.8 (1.25)*	-31.9 (0.29)ab	-32.7 (0.21)b	-31.4 (0.27)a	2.50 (0.11)	2.68 (0.16)	2.50 (0.16)*
<i>A. disparimma</i>	12.8 (0.53)	11.3 (0.46)	11.9 (0.67)	-32.0 (0.25)a	-32.8 (0.20)b	-31.9 (0.18)a	2.40 (0.06)	2.50 (0.07)	2.05 (0.07)

Means followed by the lower case letters within same row for each variable demonstrate the significant difference in seasons at the level $P < 0.05$.

Means followed by no lower case letters within same row for each variable demonstrate no significant difference in seasons at the level $P < 0.05$

†Interactions between seasons and species were not significant for all variables

* $P < 0.05$ level indicates a significant difference between two species at the sampling season

Fig. 1 Monthly rainfall (mm) (grey columns) and monthly mean daily maximum temperature (°C) (■) of the experimental site, Toohey Forest from January 2009 to March 2010.

Fig. 2 Percentage of N derived from atmosphere (%Ndfa) of understorey *Acacia leiocalyx* (white columns) and *A. disparimma* (grey columns) seedlings at Site 1 using ¹⁵N enrichment and ¹⁵N natural abundance methods (a and b) and at Site 2 using ¹⁵N natural abundance (c) in a eucalypt-dominated urban forest of subtropical Australia. * indicates significant differences between two species at the level $P < 0.05$. Bars are means $\pm$ standard errors.

Fig. 3 Maximum photosynthesis – $A_{\max}$ (a), transpiration – E (b) and instantaneous water use efficiency – iWUE (c) of understorey *Acacia leiocalyx* (white columns) and *A. disparimma* (grey columns) seedlings in a eucalypt dominated urban forest of subtropical Australia. The * indicates significant differences between two species at the level $P < 0.05$. Bars are means $\pm$ standard errors.

Fig. 4 Relationship between foliar total N (TN) and net photosynthesis (A_n) (a) and between TN and percentage of N derived from atmosphere (%Ndfa) (b) in a eucalypt-dominated urban forest of subtropical Australia.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4