Heterojunctions in g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) Nanofibres with Exposed (001) Plane and Enhanced Visible-Light Photoactivity

Lin Zhang,^a Dengwei Jing,^b Xilin She,^a Hongwei Liu,^c Dongjiang Yang,^{a,c,*} Yun Lu,^d Jian Li,^d Zhanfeng Zheng,^{e,*} and Liejin Guo^b

⁵ Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The formation of heterojunctions is an efficient strategy to extend the light response range of TiO₂-based catalysts to visible light region. In addition to the bandgap edge match between the narrow bandgap semiconductors and the TiO₂ substrate, a stable phase interface between the sensitiser and TiO₂ is crucial for the construction of heterojunctions, since it acts as a tunnel for the efficient transfer of photogenerated charges. Herein, the coincidence site density (1/Σ) of graphite-like carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) nanoflakes and two types of TiO₂ nanofibres [anatase and TiO₂(B)] was calculated by near coincidence site lattice (NCSL) theory. It was found that the coincidence site density of g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) nanofibre with exposed (001) plane is 3 times of that of the g-C₃N₄ and anatase nanofibre with exposed (100) plane. This indicated that the g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes are more favourite to form stable heterojunctions with TiO₂(B) nanofibres. As expected, stable phase interface was formed between the plane of (22-40) of g-C₃N₄ and the plane (110) of TiO₂(B) which had same d-spacing of 0.35 nm and same orientation. Under visible light irradiation, the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) system exhibited better photodegradation ability for sulforhodamine B (SRB) dye than g-C₃N₄/anatase system, although the photoactivity of the anatase nanofibres was much better than that of the TiO₂(B) nanofibres. Apparently, the phase interface between g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) was more favourable for the electron transfer, which means that the g-C₃N₄ flakes were easier to sensitise the TiO₂(B) nanofibres rather than the traditional anatase phase.

1 Introduction

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) has attracted much attention due to its superior chemical stability, large surface area, high activity, commercial availability, nontoxicity and so on.¹⁻³ It has been extensively used in photocatalysis,^{4, 5} solar cells,⁶ UV shield, paints and cosmetics.⁷ Among these fields, TiO₂ is considered to be one of the most promising semiconductor photocatalysts for controlling the environmental pollutants, especially for organic pollutants in air or wastewater.^{8, 9} However, TiO₂ is only excited by UV light with wavelength less than 387.5 nm due to its wide bandgap. Unfortunately, UV light (under 400 nm) only accounts for 3%~5% in sunlight, which leads to low solar energy conversion efficiency.^{3, 10, 11} During the past decade, great effort has been devoted to modifying TiO₂, including hydrogenation modified TiO₂,^{12, 13} energy band modulation by doping with metal elements such as Fe, Cr, Co, Ni and Cu¹⁴⁻¹⁸ and non-metal elements such as N, C, P, B, F, and S.^{10, 19-24} It is believed that the bulk doping or matrix substitution can introduce interior electron states within the band gap and thus shift the response to the visible region. Recently, the grafting of optimal trace silica on anatase substrates can produce surface electronic states within the TiO₂ band gap, and thus can significantly enhance their photocatalytic activity for decomposing organic contaminants.²⁵ Furthermore, the construction of heterojunctions by combining TiO₂ with other semiconductors with narrow bandgap is another efficient pathway to extend the visible light response of TiO₂-

based catalysts. Similar to the dye sensitised TiO₂,²⁶⁻²⁸ the heterojunctions are responsible for an efficient photogenerated charge transfer from narrow band gap semiconductors to the TiO₂ substrate, which is crucial for increasing the activity of semiconductor-based photocatalysts.²⁹⁻³¹ Besides the bandgap match between the sensitiser and the TiO₂, the phase interface between the sensitiser and the TiO₂ is significant since the interface is the channel to transfer the photogenerated carriers to the surface of the TiO₂ substrate from the sensitiser.³²⁻³⁴

Recently, polymeric graphitic carbon nitride materials (g-C₃N₄) have emerged as a new class of photocatalyst owing to its visible-light driven bandgap (2.69 eV) and high stability. More importantly, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of the g-C₃N₄ is located at -1.12 eV and is more negative than the conduction band (CB) of the common wide bandgap semiconductor photocatalysts such as TiO₂, ZnO, and BiPO₄, which is favourable to form heterojunctions with these wide bandgap semiconductors and thus to extend their visible light response. For instance, core/shell structured g-C₃N₄/BiPO₄ photocatalyst displayed superior photodegradation activity for MB dye under UV or visible light irradiation than pure C₃N₄ or BiPO₄ catalyst, since match of lattice and bandgap edge potential between the C₃N₄ and BiPO₄ results in the formation of heterojunctions. In addition, g-C₃N₄ was mixed with TiO₂ to fabricate hybrid photocatalysts via hydrothermal or thermal treatment. These hybrid photocatalysts have displayed high

photoactivity for phenol degradation and water splitting. However, only anatase was usually utilised to assemble with g-C₃N₄ since it is the most photoactive phase among the four common TiO₂ polymorphs [four polymorphs in nature: anatase(tetragonal, space group I₄/amd), rutile(tetragonal, space group P₄₂/mm), brookite (orthorhombic, space group Pbca), and TiO₂(B) (monoclinic, space group C2/m)]³³, and to date no studies of heterojunctions between g-C₃N₄ and other TiO₂ phases such as rutile, brookite, and TiO₂(B) have been reported. Particularly, TiO₂(B), a metastable monoclinic modification of TiO₂, is less active than anatase under UV light irradiation due to the existence of numerous defects, although it possesses narrower bandgap than anatase phase³³.

In this study, in order to search a better TiO₂ candidate to load g-C₃N₄ sensitizer, the near coincidence site lattice (NCSL) theory was employed to calculate the coincidence site density of g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes and TiO₂(B) nanofibres with exposed (001) plane and anatase nanofibres with exposed (100) plane, which could be used for the guidance for the fabrication of new nanocomposite catalyst. It was found the coincidence site density of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) system is much higher than that of the system composed of g-C₃N₄ and anatase nanofibres with exposed (100) plane, which means the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) system is more favourite to form stable heterojunctions. And as expected, the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) system exhibited better photodegradation ability for sulforhodamine B (SRB) dye than g-C₃N₄/anatase system under visible light irradiation, although the photoactivity of pure anatase is much better than that of the pure TiO₂(B) phase.

2 Experiment section

2.1 Materials synthesis

Synthesis of TiO₂(B) nanofibres. TiO₂(B) nanofibres were prepared using a hydrothermal method combined with a subsequent calcination process.³⁵⁻³⁷ In the typical hydrothermal process, 5 g of anatase TiO₂ powder (~325 mesh from Aladdin) was mixed with 70 ml of 10 M NaOH solution. The suspension were sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 0.5 h and then transferred into an autoclave with a PTFE container inside. The autoclave was maintained at hydrothermal temperature of 180 °C for 48 h. The precipitate (sodium titanate nanofibres) was recovered, washed with distilled water (to remove excess NaOH), exchanged with H⁺ (using a 0.1 M HCl solution) to produce H₂Ti₃O₇ nanofibres, and washed again with distilled water until pH~7 was reached. The H₂Ti₃O₇ nanofibre product was dried at 80 °C for 12 h. The oven-dried samples were calcined in muffle furnace at 450 °C for 4h in an air atmosphere. Thus, TiO₂(B) nanofibres were finally obtained. For comparison, anatase nanofibres [TiO₂(A)] were prepared by calcining H₂Ti₃O₇ nanofibres at 700 °C for 4 h.

Synthesis of g-C₃N₄. The g-C₃N₄ was prepared by heating melamine (Aladdin) in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4 h. After naturally cooled to room temperature, g-C₃N₄ with a faint yellow colour was obtained.

Fabrication of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂ hybrid photocatalyst. A typical synthesis of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂ hybrid photocatalyst was as follows: Firstly, an appropriate amount of g-C₃N₄ was added into methanol (Aladdin) in a beaker and then the beaker was placed in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min to completely disperse the g-C₃N₄.

After the ultrasonic process, the g-C₃N₄ particles were exfoliated to nanoflake structures. The TiO₂(B) nanofibres were added into the above solution and stirred in a fume hood for 24 h. After volatilisation of the methanol, an opaque powder was obtained after drying at 100 °C. After that, the powders were collected and calcined at 300 °C for 2h in an air atmosphere to make a firm connection between g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) nanofibres. According to this method, different mass ratios of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) photocatalysts at 0.5%, 1%, 3%, and 5% were synthesised and labelled as g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-0.5, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-3, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-5, respectively. For comparison, the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(A) nanofibre catalysts were fabricated by using the same method.

Photoelectrode preparation. Commercialized ITO was used in the present study, The ITO glass was of 15 mm × 10 mm in size. The ITO glass was first ultrasonically cleaned with acetone of analytical grade for 5 min, rinsed with deionized water, and then dried with a clean, dry airflow. One longitudinal edge of the conductive side was then carefully covered with insulating tape and the exposed effective area of the ITO glass was 10 mm × 10 mm. 20 mg of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) powder was mixed with 0.1 mL of deionized water and 30 μL diacetone in an agate mortar, and the mixture was carefully ground for 10 min to form a homogeneous suspension. Then, 0.025 ml of the as-prepared suspension was evenly distributed onto the exposed area of the conductive side of the ITO glass. The suspension was then evenly spread over the exposed effective area of the ITO glass. The insulating tape on the edge of the ITO glass was removed after the suspension had dried in the air. Finally, the ITO glass deposited with the as-prepared suspension was heated to 300 °C for 2h under vacuum condition. A copper wire was connected to the conductive side of the ITO glass using conductive silver tape. Uncoated parts of the conductive side of the ITO glass were isolated with parafilm after the conductive silver tape had dried.

2.2 Characterisations

Microscopic morphology of the samples was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI, Quanta 200) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI, Tecnai G20). Chemical compositions were characterised by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS, attached to the SEM). Crystalline structures were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, DX2700, China) operating with Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) at a scan rate (2 θ) of 2°/min with the accelerating voltage of 40 kV and the applied current of 30 mA, ranging from 5° to 80°. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were recorded on a spectrophotometer (PE, Lambda35). Photoluminescence spectra (PL) of the samples were obtained using a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varian Company, Australia) at room temperature.

2.3 Photoelectrochemical measurement

A three-electrode system was employed to measure the variations of the photoinduced current density with time (i-t curve) of the prepared photoelectrodes using the CHI Electrochemical Workstation (CHI 760C, Shanghai Chenhua, China). The photoelectrodes (1 cm × 1 cm), Ag/AgCl, and platinum electrode acted as the working, reference and counter electrodes, respectively. The electrolyte was 0.5 M NaSO₄. A 300 W Xe

illuminator (Peking Changtuo, PLS- 3XE300) with a cutoff filter to remove light of wavelengths below 420 nm was used as the visible light source. The electrodes were irradiated from the back side (ITO substrate/semiconductor interface). The *i-t* curves were measured at a 0 V bias potential.

2.4 Evaluation of photocatalytic activity

The photocatalytic activity of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) were evaluated by photodegradation of sulforhodamine B (SRB) in a reactor with a volume of 100 ml under visible light irradiation. The irradiation source is a 108 w lamp (Philips) with a wavelength of > 400nm. The photocatalytic activities of the samples judged by measuring the loss of SRB in aqueous solution. The initial volume and concentration of SRB was 35 ml and 25 μmol L⁻¹, respectively. Before the light was turned on, the solution was stirred in dark for 30 minutes to ensure adsorption equilibrium between the catalyst and the dye. During the photocatalytic reaction, about 5 millilitres of samples were taken at one hour intervals and separated through centrifugation (12000 rpm, 5min). The concentration of SRB was analysed by recording variations of the absorption band maximum in the UV-Vis spectra of SRB using a UV-3200PC spectrophotometer (Kamada, China).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Crystallographic orientation relationships between TiO₂(B) and g-C₃N₄

Orientation relationship between TiO₂(B) and g-C₃N₄ was investigated by using near coincidence site lattice (NCSL) theory. NCSL is a super lattice at the interface between two adjacent phases and is the structure of the interface. The NCSL is two-dimensional periodic lattice with a certain symmetry and a certain coincidence site density (1/Σ) which is proportional to the system energy. Σ is the multiplicity of the unit cell. The crystal structure parameters of TiO₂(B), anatase and g-C₃N₄ are shown in Table S1, where anatase phase was provided for comparison. According to TEM experimental investigation (Fig. S1), the exposed plane of TiO₂(B) nanofibre is (001) and that of anatase is (100). The calculation of the NCSL lattice between TiO₂ nanofibre and g-C₃N₄ was conducted based on these crystal structure parameters (Scheme S1) and listed in Table S2.

Obviously, the coincidence site multiplicity (Σ) of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) system is 7, which is much lower than that of g-C₃N₄/anatase system (23). This means the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) system is more favourite and stable. As shown in Scheme S1C, it could be predicted that a rotation angle of θ=17.4° must be achieved between TiO₂(B) and g-C₃N₄ phases if the g-C₃N₄ phase is able to superimpose over the super lattice of TiO₂(B). Fortunately, the departure angle of plane (110) and (020) is exactly 17.4°. This is the induced angle between [010] of TiO₂(B) nanofibre and [2-1-10] of g-C₃N₄ phase, which suggests that a pair of planes parallel and sharing same planar spacing should exist between TiO₂(B) and g-C₃N₄ phases. As illustrated in Scheme 1A, g-C₃N₄ shows perfect two-dimensional 6-fold symmetry with hollow tunnels and the lattice parameter of a=0.246 nm. TiO₂(B) crystal has a C-centred monoclinic structure with space group C2/m and the lattice parameter of a= 1.196 nm, b=0.374 nm (Scheme 1B). As shown in Scheme 1C, viewed at [001] direction of TiO₂(B), plane (110) is edge on and has a

lattice spacing of 0.35 nm which is equal to that of plane (22-40) of g-C₃N₄. Apparently, a perfect planar matching exists between plane (110) of TiO₂(B) and plane (22-40) of g-C₃N₄, which means stable heterojunctions are easily formed by annealing g-C₃N₄ phase on the surface of TiO₂(B) nanofibres with exposed (001) plane.

Scheme 1. Crystal model and oriented attachment of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) system. (A) Atom configuration of g-C₃N₄ viewed along Z direction (i.e. [0001]). (B) Crystal structure of TiO₂(B) viewed along [001] direction. (C) Preferred orientation relationship between g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B).

3.2 SEM, TEM, and XRD of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) nanofibres

The SEM images of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) hybrids are displayed in Fig. 1. For comparison, the images of pure g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) nanofibre are also provided. As shown in Fig. 1A, the g-C₃N₄ sample is composed of lamellar microscaled particles with irregular shapes before ultrasonic treatment. The pure TiO₂(B) nanofibres are about 3-5 μm long and 200-300 nm wide (Fig. 1B). When the g-C₃N₄ was treated by ultrasound, the lamellar g-C₃N₄ particles could be disassembled into nanoflakes (or nanosheets).³⁸ These nanoflakes could be annealed onto the surface of the TiO₂(B) nanofibres after calcination at 300 °C. The SEM image of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 which contains 1 % g-C₃N₄ is presented in Fig. 1C. Apparently, compared with the pure TiO₂(B) nanofibres, the surface of the TiO₂(B) nanofibres in the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 hybrid became rough due to the introduction of g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes. When the g-C₃N₄ content increases to 5% (Fig. 1D), the roughness of the TiO₂(B) nanofibres in the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-5 hybrid was much larger than those of the nanofibres in g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 hybrid and pure TiO₂(B) nanofibres. The introduction of g-C₃N₄ was also verified by the EDS spectra (insets in Fig. 1). Besides the signals of titanium and oxygen elements which are from the TiO₂(B) nanofibres, the signals of carbon and nitrogen elements could be probed from the spectra of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 and g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-5, indicating that the g-C₃N₄ has been successfully coated on the surface of TiO₂(B) nanofibres through the simple ultrasonic dispersion and calcination process.

Fig. 1 The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of (A) g-C₃N₄ without ultrasonic treatment, (B) pristine TiO₂(B) nanofibres, (C) g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1, and (D) g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-5. Insets: the EDS spectra of the samples. The signals of gold and aluminium are originated from the coating layer and aluminium foil used for SEM observation.

Fig. 2 (A)(B) TEM images of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 photocatalyst, (C) electron diffraction pattern (EDP) of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 photocatalyst, (D) HRTEM image of a g-C₃N₄ nanoflake deposited on the TiO₂(B) nanofibre, (E) fast fourier transformation (FFT) image of the joint area between g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) in image D, (F) inverse fast fourier transformation (IFFT) image.

Fig. 2 displays the typical TEM images of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 photocatalyst. As expected, the g-C₃N₄ particles converted into nanoflakes after the ultrasonic process, and these flakes were coated on the TiO₂(B) nanofibres during the subsequent annealing treatment at 300 °C. Fig. 2A is the TEM bright field image of TiO₂(B) nanofibres attached with small amount of g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes, and a higher magnification TEM bright field image in Fig. 2B shows a fragment of g-C₃N₄ on a single TiO₂(B) nanofibre growing along [010] direction. The electron diffraction pattern (EDP) of a single TiO₂(B) nanofibre was taken down [001] direction (Fig. 2C). The diffraction spots could be indexed as (110), (1-10), and (020). The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of the joint area between g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) nanofibre is depicted in Fig. 2D. Its fast Fourier transformation (FFT)

provided electron diffraction signals from g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) phases in the joint area (Fig. 2E). The corresponding fast Fourier transformation (IFFT) in Fig. 2F confirmed that plane of (22-40) of g-C₃N₄ was parallel to plane (110) of TiO₂(B). Given that both planes have the same *d*-spacing of 0.35 nm and the same orientation, the g-C₃N₄ flakes tended to extend across the adjacent interface and grow firmly with the TiO₂(B) nanofibres. This is in good agreement with the calculated results from NCSL theory.

Fig. 3 XRD patterns of pure TiO₂(B) nanofibres and g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) prepared with different mass ratio of g-C₃N₄ to TiO₂ ($M_{g-C_3N_4}:M_{TiO_2(B)} = 0.5\%, 1\%, 3\%, \text{ and } 5\%$, respectively).

The XRD patterns of the TiO₂(B) nanofibres annealed with different mass ratio of g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes were presented in Fig. 3. Compared with the g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes, the TiO₂(B) nanofibres possess higher crystallinity. So only the diffraction peaks of TiO₂(B) phase were observed from the patterns. However, the diffraction peaks of TiO₂(B) phase decrease slightly with the introduction of g-C₃N₄. And as shown in Fig. 3B, the diffraction peak of the plane (110) of TiO₂(B) shifted slightly to the smaller value of the diffraction angle with the attachment of the g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes.

3.3 DRS, photoelectrochemical test and PL spectra

The optical absorption properties of the hybrid g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) nanofibres were investigated by UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS). Fig. 4A shows the DRS spectra of the hybrid g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) photocatalysts. As can be seen clearly, g-C₃N₄ was able to absorb light with wavelength up to 450 nm. The absorption wavelength of TiO₂(B) nanofibres was under 400 nm which means pure TiO₂(B) could only have a response to UV light. After coupled with g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes, the hybrid g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) nanofibres display more efficient light absorption from 400 nm to 800 nm in comparison with the pure TiO₂(B) nanofibre (Fig. 4B), although the trace amount of g-C₃N₄ could

not result in the absorption edge shift. This means that the g-C₃N₄ nanoflakes could act as a visible light sensitiser to drive a reaction on the surface of the TiO₂(B) nanofibres. Additionally, the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) nanofibres inherited the obvious light absorption in the UV light region from the pristine TiO₂(B) nanofibres, which could make up the weak absorption of UV light by g-C₃N₄. This is crucial for a visible light photocatalyst to efficiently absorb and utilise the UV light (3% ~ 5%) in sunlight. The photoelectrochemical test (Fig. 5) shows that after g-C₃N₄ is attached to the surface of TiO₂, there is a clear increase of the photogenerated current density. These further confirms that there is a strong interaction between the two phases of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) hybrid material. The room temperature photoluminescence (PL) spectra of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) nanofibres and pure g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) nanofibres are compared in Fig. S5. The g-C₃N₄/TiO₂ hybrid nanofibres and g-C₃N₄ exhibit much weaker fluorescence than g-C₃N₄.

Fig. 4 (A) UV-Vis diffuse reflection spectra of TiO₂(B), g-C₃N₄, and g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) samples. (B) The enlarged absorption between 350 and 800 nm of the spectra in panel A.

Fig. 5 Photogenerated current densities of the g-C₃N₄, TiO₂(B), and 3% g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B). All the curves were obtained at 0V bias potential under visible light on and off.

3.4 Photocatalytic properties of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) nanofibres

The photocatalytic activity of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) samples was evaluated by degradation of sulforhodamine B (Aldrich). Fig. 6A shows the SRB photodegradation by the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) samples with different g-C₃N₄ content under visible light irradiation. Apparently, it can be observed that all g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) photocatalysts exhibited higher photoactivity than pure g-C₃N₄ and TiO₂(B) under visible light irradiation, particularly the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 photocatalyst. For instance, after 5 h visible light irradiation, the removal efficiency of SRB was 43.4, 56.3, 22.5,

and 19.5% for g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-0.5, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-3, and g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-5, respectively. The photodegradation efficiency was much higher than that of the pure g-C₃N₄ (16.7 %) and TiO₂(B) nanofibres (14.8 %). To further depict the photocatalytic reaction, the kinetics of the degradation reaction was fitted to a pseudo-first-order rate law using the equation $\ln(C_0/C) = kt$, where k is the apparent rate constant with determined irradiation time, C_0 is the initial concentration of SRB and C is the instantaneous concentration.³⁹ The value of the rate constant k is equal to the corresponding slope of the fitting line, as can be seen in Fig. 6B. The k value for g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 was 0.1252 h⁻¹, which was almost 5.09, 4.96, 1.58, 3.3, and 3.4 times as high as that of the pristine TiO₂(B) nanofibres, pure g-C₃N₄ particles, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(anatase)-0.5, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(anatase)-3, and g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(anatase)-5, respectively.

Fig. 6 (A) Photocatalytic degradation of SRB ($C_0 = 25 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) under visible light irradiation. (B) Average reaction rate constants k for photodegradation of SRB over g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) samples with different mass ratios. (C), (D) The SRB degradation curves and average reaction rate constants k for g-C₃N₄/anatase photocatalysts which were prepared using the same method.

For comparison, the photoactivity for the degradation of SRB by g-C₃N₄/anatase nanofibres was investigated. As shown in Fig. 6C, the pure anatase nanofibres [TiO₂(A)] could decompose 18.7 % of the SRB dye after 5 h visible light irradiation, which is higher than that of the TiO₂(B) nanofibres. However, the removal efficiencies of SRB of the hybrid g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(A) catalysts were much lower than those of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) catalysts. For example, the most active g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(A)-1 could decompose 35.5 % of the SRB dye, much lower than that of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1 (56.3%). Similar results were obtained from the apparent rate constant values of the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(A) catalysts (Fig. 5D). Obviously, the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) nanofibres possessed superior photocatalytic activity to that of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(A) ones under visible light irradiation.

The photoactivity of the TiO₂(B) nanofibres modified with the optimal quantity of g-C₃N₄ under UV light irradiation was also investigated by the degradation of SRB dye. As shown in Fig. S3, the g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B) nanofibres displayed higher photoactivity for the degradation of SRB dye under the UV light irradiation than the pristine TiO₂(B) nanofibres and g-C₃N₄. The k values are 1.76, 1.83, 1.73, and 2.03 h⁻¹ for g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-0.5, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-1, g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-3, and g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(B)-5,

respectively, higher than that of pristine $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres (1.50) and pure $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ (0.402). Apparently, the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ catalysts still maintained a high photocatalytic activity under UV irradiation.

3.5 Proposed charge transfer in $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres

According to the previous study, the bandgap of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ is 2.69 eV, and the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) potentials of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ are -1.12 and 1.57 eV, respectively.⁴⁰ Our recent study revealed that the conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB) edge potentials of $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ were at -0.33 and 2.72 eV, respectively.³² As shown in Fig. 7A, the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ phase could produce photogenerated electron-hole pairs, when the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres were exposed to visible light. The electrons generated by visible light are able to transfer to the $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres through the interface between $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ and $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres. Given that the photogenerated holes could not transfer to the $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ phase due to the more positive VB edge of the $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres, the overall outcome of the charge transfer was that the electrons transferred to $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres and the holes was left in the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanoflakes. The separated electrons on the CB of $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres could be donated to an electron acceptor such as dissolved oxygen leading to formation of $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$,^{41, 42} the holes left on the VB of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ could react with H_2O directly to yield $\text{OH}^\bullet$ to oxidise pollutants as well. This phenomenon could efficiently reduce the recombination of the photogenerated electrons and holes, and thus highly improve the photoactivity of the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres in visible light range. However, it is hard to form stable heterojunctions between anatase nanofibres and $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ because of the high coincidence site density of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{A})$ system. So the photogenerated electrons cannot efficiently transfer to the anatase nanofibres through the heterojunction interface, although the HOMO of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ is more negative than the CB edge potential of anatase phase. This is the reason why the photoactivity of the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres is much higher than that of the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{A})$ nanofibres.

Fig. 7 Proposed charge transfer between $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ and $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres through the heterojunction interface under visible light irradiation (A) and UV light irradiation (B).

If the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres are irradiated by UV light, the valence band electrons in both $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ and $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ can be excited. As depicted in Fig. 7B, besides the electron transfer from $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ to $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ phase, the photoinduced holes on the surface of $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres could transfer easily to the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanoflakes through the heterojunctions, since the VB edge potential of $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ (2.72 eV) is more positive than the LUMO of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ (1.57 eV). The photogenerated electrons and holes

would aggregate on the surface of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ flakes and $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres, respectively. These separated electrons and holes could form reactive oxygen species with O_2 or H_2O , which are responsible for the degradation of dye pollutants. Therefore, compared with pure $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ and $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres, the photoactivity of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres was also improved under UV light irradiation. Unfortunately, the UV light photoactivity of anatase nanofibres decreased with the introduction of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ (Fig. S2). Firstly, the lack of heterojunctions between $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ and anatase nanofibres could not lead to the efficient separation of the photogenerated charges. On the other hand, the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanoflakes might block the exposure of the anatase nanofibres to the UV light, which will greatly reduce the UV light absorption by the anatase nanofibres.

6 Conclusions

In summary, the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ hybrid photocatalysts were fabricated successfully with the growth of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanoflakes onto the surface of $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres with exposed (001) plane. According to the TEM investigation, the stable interfacial structure could be formed easily since the plane of (22-40) of $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ and the plane (110) of $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ had same d -spacing of 0.35 nm and same orientation. This is in good agreement with the results calculated by near coincidence site lattice (NCSL) theory. The stable interfacial structure ensured the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanoflakes were attached firmly onto the surface of $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres. More importantly, the visible light generated electrons from the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanoflakes could efficiently transfer to the surface of the $\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ nanofibres via the interfacial structure. So compared with the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ hybrid photocatalysts where interfacial structure was hard to construct, the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{TiO}_2(\text{B})$ system exhibited better ability for SRB degradation under visible light irradiation. This study proved the significance to form stable interfacial structure in heterojunctions, which is a guide in the search for visible light driven photocatalysts with heterojunctions.

Acknowledgements

We thank the financial support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant no. 21207073), ARC Discovery Project (Grant No. 130104759), and State Key Lab of Multiphase Flow in Power Engineering.

Notes and reference

- ^a College of Chemistry, Chemical and Environmental Engineering; Laboratory of Fiber Materials and Modern Textile, the Growing Base for State Key Laboratory, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, China. Tel: 86-532-8595-3361; E-mail: d.yang@qdu.edu.cn; d.yang@griffith.edu.au
- ^b International Research Center for Renewable Energy, State Key Laboratory of Multiphase Flow in Power Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049.
- ^c Queensland Micro- and Nanotechnology Centre (QMNC), Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane, QLD 4111, Australia.
- ^d Material Science and Engineering College, Key Laboratory of Bio-based Material Science and Technology, Ministry of Education, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin 150040, PR China.
- ^e Institute of Coal Chemistry, CAS, Taiyuan, 030001, PR China. Email: zfzheng@sxcc.ac.cn

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: TEM

image of TiO₂(B) and anatase nanofibres, calculation of the orientation relations between TiO₂(B) and g-C₃N₄ by using near coincidence site lattice (NCSL) theory, photoactivity of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂(A) nanofibres under UV light irradiation.

- 5 1. M. R. Hoffmann, S. T. Martin, W. Choi and D. W. Bahnemann, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 69.
2. T. L. Thompson and J. T. Yates Jr, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 4428.
3. A. L. Linsebigler, G. Lu and J. T. Yates Jr, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 735.
- 10 4. M. Anpo and M. Takeuchi, *Int. J. Photoenergy*, 2001, **3**, 89.
5. A. D. Paola, S. Ikeda, G. Marci, B. Ohtani and L. Palmisano, *Int. J. Photoenergy*, 2001, **3**, 171.
6. C. C. Hu, T. C. Hsu and L. H. Kao, *Int. J. Photoenergy*, 2012, **2012**, 391958.
- 15 7. X. B. Chen and S. S. Mao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2891.
8. T. C. An, J. X. Chen, G. Y. Li, X. J. Ding, G. Y. Sheng, J. M. Fu, B. X. Mai and K. E. O'Shea, *Catal. Today*, 2008, **139**, 69.
9. S. S. Zhao, S. Chen, H. T. Yu and X. Quan, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2012, **99**, 50.
- 20 10. T. Ohno, M. Akiyoshi, T. Umebayashi, K. Asai, T. Mitsui and M. Matsumura, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.*, 2004, **265**, 115.
11. Y. V. Kolen'ko, A. V. Garshev, B. R. Churagulov, S. Boujday, P. Portes and C. Colbeau-Justin, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, 2005, **172**, 19.
- 25 12. A. Naldoni, M. Allieta, S. Santangelo, M. Marelli, F. Fabbri, S. Cappelli, C. L. Bianchi, R. Psaro and V. Dal Santo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 7600.
13. X. Chen, L. Liu, Y. Y. Peter and S. S. Mao, *Science*, 2011, **331**, 746.
- 30 14. M. Anpo, Y. Ichihashi, M. Takeuchi and H. Yamashita, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 1998, **24**, 143.
15. M. Anpo and M. Takeuchi, *J. Catal.*, 2003, **216**, 505.
16. M. Takeuchi, H. Yamashita, M. Matsuoka, M. Anpo, T. Hirao, N. Itoh and N. Iwamoto, *Catal. Lett.*, 2000, **67**, 135.
- 35 17. H. Yamashita, M. Harada, J. Misaka, M. Takeuchi, B. Neppolian and M. Anpo, *Catal. Today*, 2003, **84**, 191.
18. M. Ni, M. K. Leung, D. Y. Leung and K. Sumathy, *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.*, 2007, **11**, 401.
19. R. Asahi, T. Morikawa, T. Ohwaki, K. Aoki and Y. Taga, *Science*, 2001, **293**, 269.
- 40 20. S. U. Khan, M. Al-Shahry and W. B. Ingler, *Science*, 2002, **297**, 2243.
21. K. Kobayakawa, Y. Murakami and Y. Sato, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, 2005, **170**, 177.
- 45 22. M. Okada, Y. Yamada, P. Jin, M. Tazawa and K. Yoshimura, *Thin Solid Films*, 2003, **442**, 217.
23. G. R. Torres, T. Lindgren, J. Lu, C. G. Granqvist and S. E. Lindqvist, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 5995.
24. J. Zhang, Y. Wu, M. Xing, S. A. K. Leghari and S. Sajjad, *Energ. Environ. Sci.*, 2010, **3**, 715.
- 50 25. D. J. Yang, C. C. Chen, Z. F. Zheng, H. W. Liu, E. R. Waclawik, Z. M. Yan, Y. N. Huang, H. J. Zhang, J. C. Zhao and H. Y. Zhu, *Energ. Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 2279.
26. R. Zhu, C. Y. Jiang, B. Liu and S. Ramakrishna, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 994.
- 55 27. T. X. Wu, G. M. Liu, J. C. Zhao, H. S. Hidaka and N. Serpone, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1998, **102**, 5845.
28. D. Chatterjee and A. Mahata, *Catal. Commun.*, 2001, **2**, 1.
29. R. A. Doong, C. H. Chen, R. Maithreepala and S. M. Chang, *Water Res.*, 2001, **35**, 2873.
- 60 30. M. G. Kang, H. E. Han and K. J. Kim, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, 1999, **125**, 119.
31. V. Keller and F. Garin, *Catal. Commun.*, 2003, **4**, 377.
32. D. J. Yang, J. Zhao, H. W. Liu, Z. F. Zheng, M. O. Adebajo, H. X. Wang, X. T. Liu, H. J. Zhang, J. C. Zhao, J. Bell and H. Y. Zhu, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013.
- 65 33. D. J. Yang, H. W. Liu, Z. F. Zheng, Y. Yuan, J. C. Zhao, E. R. Waclawik, X. B. Ke and H. Y. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 17885.
- 70 34. J. Zhang, S. Yan, S. L. Zhao, Q. Xu and C. Li, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2013.
35. H. Y. Zhu, X. P. Gao, Y. Lan, D. Y. Song, Y. X. Xi and J. C. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 8380.
36. H. Y. Zhu, Y. Lan, X. Gao, S. P. Ringer, Z. Zheng, D. Y. Song and J. C. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 6730.
- 75 37. Y. Lan, X. P. Gao, H. Y. Zhu, Z. F. Zheng, T. Y. Yan, F. Wu, S. P. Ringer and D. Y. Song, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 1310.
38. C. Pan, J. Xu, Y. Wang, D. Li and Y. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 1518.
39. W. Chen, Z. L. Fan, B. Zhang, G. J. Ma, K. Takanabe, X. X. Zhang and Z. P. Lai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 14896.
- 80 40. X. C. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2008, **8**, 76.
41. K. Ikeda, H. Sakai, R. Baba, K. Hashimoto and A. Fujishima, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1997, **101**, 2617.
- 85 42. W. Kubo and T. Tatsuma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 16034.