Can atorvastatin with metformin change the natural history of prostate cancer as characterized by molecular, metabolomic, imaging and pathological variables? A randomized controlled trial protocol.
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Abstract

Background

Atorvastatin and metformin are known energy restricting mimetic agents that act synergistically to produce molecular and metabolic changes in advanced prostate cancer (PCa). This trial seeks to determine whether these drugs favourably alter selected parameters in men with clinically-localized, aggressive PCa.

Methods/design

This prospective phase II randomized, controlled window trial is recruiting men with clinically significant PCa, confirmed by biopsy following multiparametric MRI and intending to undergo radical prostatectomy. Ethical approval was granted by the Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital Human and The University of Queensland Medical Research Ethics Committees.

Participants are being randomized into four groups: metformin with placebo; atorvastatin with placebo; metformin with atorvastatin; or placebo alone. Capsules are consumed for 8 weeks, a duration selected as the most appropriate period in which histological and biochemical changes may be observed while allowing prompt treatment with curative intent of clinically significant PCa. At recruitment and prior to RP, participants provide blood, urine and seminal fluid. A subset of participants will undergo 7Tesla magnetic resonance spectroscopy to compare metabolites in-vivo with those in seminal fluid and biopsied tissue.

The primary end point is biochemical progression, defined using biomarkers (serum prostate specific antigen; PCA3 and citrate in seminal fluid and prostatic tissue). Standard pathological assessment will be undertaken alongside quality of life and psychosocial outcome assessments.
Discussion

This study is designed to assess the potential synergistic action of metformin and atorvastatin on PCa tumour biology. The results may determine simple methods of tumour modulation to reduce disease progression.

Keywords

Prostate cancer; atorvastatin; metformin; clinical trial; biomarkers; metabolomics
1. INTRODUCTION

Aggressive prostate cancer (PCa)\(^1\) cells increase glucose uptake and glycolysis under normoxic conditions (the Warburg effect \([1]\)) producing glycolytic intermediates that also feed biosynthesis and PCa proliferation. \([2, 3]\). Metformin reduces glucose oxidation to increase glutamine metabolism and cell death while inhibiting metastatic behaviour. Epidemiological evidence suggests metformin use is associated with reduced risks of many cancers, including PCa \([4, 5]\) with reduced hyperinsulinaemia by metformin in advanced PCa potentially improving androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) response \([6]\). When statins are combined with metformin, further reduction in PCa progression and improved clinical outcomes have been reported, indicating a potential additive or synergistic effect to this medication combination \([7-9]\). Statins reduce cholesterol and mevalonic acid biosynthesis, with \textit{in vitro} evidence that statins slow testosterone synthesis by inhibiting pre-cursor molecule transport, improving ADT response \([10]\). Hypercholesterolaemia is associated with high risk PCa \([11]\) and androgen-independent PCa metastasis \([12]\) with statin use associated with lower prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels, percentage positive biopsies and fewer cases of advanced and fatal disease \([8]\). Lipophilic statins such as atorvastatin also inhibit

\(^1\) Abbreviations: ADT – androgen deprivation therapy; bEvo – biochemical progression; DSS - 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid-d6; DFTMP – difluorotrimethylsilanylphosphonic acid; ERMA – energy restriction mimetic agents; FID – free induction decay; GPC – glycerophosphocholine; ISUP – International Society of Urological Pathology; mpMRI – multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging; MRS – magnetic resonance spectroscopy; NMR – nuclear magnetic resonance; OPLS – orthogonal projections to latent structures; PBS – phosphate buffered saline; PCa – prostate cancer; PCA3 – prostate cancer antigen 3; PLS – partial least squares; PSA – prostate specific antigen; RBWH – Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital; RP – radical prostatectomy; SF – seminal fluid, SF-36 – Short Form Health Survey 36
PCa cell migration to bone marrow stroma [13], however benefit in reducing biochemical recurrence, remains uncertain [14].

Medication safety profiles of metformin and atorvastatin are favourable, with significant side effects rarely observed. Concern for metformin regarding lactic acidosis is reserved for patients with significant comorbidities (chronic renal failure, congestive cardiac failure) [15]. Large cohorts consuming statins report rhabdomyolysis in up to 11 per 100,000 person-years [16]. The use of metformin as a neoadjuvant agent for 4-12 weeks by Joshua and colleagues was well tolerated and demonstrated a 10% reduction in PSA, 6.5% reduction in IGF-1 and 5% reduction in BMI [17]. Such ideal drug tolerability and favourable clinical effects supports their adjunctive use in localized prostate cancer without need for a phase I controlled trial in this context.

Metformin and atorvastatin may influence malignant metabolic transformation in the prostate, known to favour ATP production and fatty acid synthesis, by shifting citrate, detectable in seminal fluid (SF) [18-20]. Markers, such as prostate cancer antigen 3 (PCA3), improve PCa detection and disease monitoring but may vary with epigenetic and exogenous stimuli [21, 22].

Initially promising findings by Joshua and colleagues demonstrated significant changes in molecular markers following neoadjuvant metformin therapy prior to RP [17]. These medications are also being explored in Metformin Active Surveillance Trial (MAST) Study (NCT01864096) in delaying pathologic disease progression. Thus, exploring the role of energy restriction mimetic agents (ERMAs) represents an exciting development in managing men with PCa. However, before atorvastatin and metformin can be entertained for use in
patients with early PCa, their potential demonstrable beneficial effects with respect to tumour parameters need to be evaluated objectively.

The primary aim of this study is to determine whether these drugs by themselves and together, favourably alter selected parameters in a group of clinically-localized, aggressive PCas.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study design

This is a prospective randomized, double-blinded controlled phase II window trial designed to determine the efficacy on biochemical progression of atorvastatin and metformin, in isolation and together, in a population of men with early, clinically significant PCa. In addition, the effect of these drugs on PCa biology will be assessed in a population not previously studied in this respect whilst these men await definitive treatment by radical prostatectomy (RP), in accordance with a phase II window trial design [23]. Men with an elevated PSA who have a multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) examination that demonstrates a PI-RADS 4 or 5 lesion and who, at consultation, express an intention to proceed to RP should biopsy confirm the suspicion of high-risk PCa, will be approached to enter the study. Our current practice includes in depth counselling prior to biopsy in order to ascertain the benefits to the patient in investigating for PCa. This includes outlining the biopsy and treatment process, with treatment options of surgery, radiotherapy, active surveillance or watchful waiting all discussed. Following written informed consent and randomisation by the manufacturing pharmacy (QPharm) to ensure clinician and participant blinding, four study groups are being examined, as outlined in Figure 1.

The protocol is designed and reported according to the SPIRIT guidelines [24]. Participants will provide blood, urine and SF after 48hrs abstinence of sexual activity. Blood and SF samples will be used to determine biomarkers of interest as defined by the primary and secondary endpoints. Further exploratory analyses will be conducted as outlined in order to determine biochemical effects of these medications in this patient cohort. Prior to giving specimens, a subset of participants, selected by a sub-randomisation process, will opportunistically undergo a further mpMRI with MRS using a 7 Tesla machine at the University of Queensland Centre for Advanced
Imaging. Here, we will assess the metabolic profile of participants' prostates \textit{in-vivo}, for comparison with those seen in seminal fluid \textit{in vitro}, and ascertain if superior imaging is provided by this machine. Participants will then undergo transperineal prostate biopsy targeting lesions of interest (cognitive biopsies) detected by pre-trial mpMRI, in addition to systematic whole gland biopsies using a template as per the local department protocol. Biopsy samples from index lesions and from non-index lesion areas will be taken for research purposes and stored for subsequent molecular and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis.

Participants will undergo 8 weeks of capsule consumption, as this duration was determined to be most appropriate in which histological and biochemical changes may be observed while allowing prompt treatment with curative intent of clinically significant PCa. Non-invasively obtained participant samples will be collected again and mpMRI with magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) will be repeated (for those previously randomized to have these investigations). The reason for allocating only a limited number of participants for mpMRI and MRS with the 7 Tesla machine is cost. The biomarker kinetic changes following biopsy are poorly described, however we expect these will be minimally affected by biopsy artefacts with 8 weeks of treatment and healing. Latifoltojar and colleagues examined changes in mpMRI parameters following biopsy and described a return to baseline apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) parameters 1 month post biopsy [25]. The effects of biopsy on MRS parameters are currently unknown and will be examined in this study.
Figure 1: Flow chart of study design, incorporating timing of specimen collection, imaging and capsule distribution.

Ethical approval has been obtained from the Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital (RBWH) Human Research Ethics Committee (Approval no. HREC/14/QRBW/153 together with HREC/09/QRBW/320, HREC/09/QRBW/305 and 1995/088B) and The University of Queensland Medical Research Ethics Committee (Approval no. 2014000944 together with 2006000262) using the National Ethical Application Form. Specialist clinicians are overseeing all aspects of management through our established team. This trial has been registered in the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (Registration number: ACTRN12615000571572).

Consent to participate in the trial is being obtained from treating urologists or the Trial Coordinator (RM). This process also includes provision for storage and future use of clinical data and biological specimens. Database access is password-protected and limited to the Trial Coordinator (RM) who will then provide information as necessary to the other investigators. The
database will be stored on a secure internal (UQ) server. At the conclusion of the trial, data
access will be limited to the Trial Coordinator (RM) and relevant Principal Investigators (MJR,
RAG).

Adverse events are being monitored by the Trial Coordinator (RM), who contacts participants by
telephone on two occasions during the trial to ensure satisfaction and determine the presence of
any medication side-effects, or other difficulties. In addition, participants are instructed to
contact the trial coordinator should they have any concerns or difficulties at other times during
the trial. Further reports to the participants treating urologists and general practitioners, as well as
relevant hospitals will be included and promptly assessed in detail.

Untoward events are being determined by participant experiences of new symptoms during the
study period, as well as routine monitoring using pathology tests, and the results of any other
investigations prompted by other health professionals (e.g. general practitioners, emergency
department clinicians) during the study period. The Clavien system is being used to document
any adverse effects reported, while study questionnaires are also being monitored for participant
satisfaction. Adverse outcomes and trial conduct are regularly audited and discussed with the
relevant ethics representatives.

The funding source had no involvement in study design; in the collection, analysis and
interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for
publication.

2.2 Study population and Recruitment

Participants are being recruited from the RBWH Urology Outpatient clinic and specialist private
practices in Brisbane, Queensland. Clinicians identify men as having clinically significant PCa
on the basis of prostate imaging with mpMRI and who intend to proceed to RP. Following informed consent, those fulfilling all inclusion criteria and no exclusion criteria are entered into the randomization process. Recruitment is promoted by treating urologists discussing the study with eligible participants.

**Inclusion criteria**

- suspected of having high-risk PCa on the basis of mpMRI (PIRADS 4/5 lesions), with clinically-localized PCa and who intend to proceed to RP following confirmation of their disease as high-risk following biopsies;
- able to provide informed consent (written and verbal) in English;
- considered by their urologist that involvement in the research programme/ involvement in the study is considered to be their best interests, for appropriate selection of patients who are likely to benefit from treatment;
- prepared and able to provide a specimen of SF for monitoring before biopsy and at the completion of treatment, just before RP;
- willing to provide urine and serum samples prior to biopsy and again before RP;
- able to lie flat and willing to undergo mpMRI/MRS scanning on two occasions during the trial period with no previous or current history of claustrophobia;
- normal fasting blood glucose, kidney and liver function tests;

**Exclusion criteria**

- previous history of head injury, dementia, psychiatric illness or concurrent cancer;
- regular administration of any lipid-lowering medication or blood-glucose lowering drugs;
- prior experience of any adverse effects with lipid or glucose-lowering drugs;
• currently taking drugs known or thought to have an interaction with metformin and atorvastatin;
• previous ingestion of a 5-alpha reductase inhibitor drug;
• known co-morbidities that would contraindicate commencement of metformin or atorvastatin, such as chronic kidney disease, congestive heart failure, liver disease.

2.3 Randomisation and allocation concealment

Following enrolment, participants are randomized using a centralized database by an external research pharmaceutical organisation (QPharm Pty Ltd), which is experienced in participation and formulation of drugs for its own and other clinical trials. The randomization is stored and concealed by QPharm, resulting in blinding of clinicians and participants to study medications. Unblinding will be permissible in the event of an adverse event resulting in cessation of medication use, with liaison between the investigators, QPharm and the participant. All medications are identical in appearance to maintain blinding during medication ingestion.

2.4 Intervention

Participants are randomized into one of the four study groups:

1) metformin 500 mg twice a day with placebo once a day;
2) atorvastatin 20 mg once a day with placebo twice a day;
3) metformin 500 mg twice a day with atorvastatin 20 mg once a day;
4) placebo three times a day.

All capsules have been formulated by QPharm Pty Ltd to appear identical in order to comply with blinding for participants and investigators.

2.5 Outcome measures
Primary endpoint

The primary end point is serum PSA “biochemical evolution” (bEvo), defined as an increase in serum PSA prior to surgery and following 8 weeks of treatment from baseline measurement of 20% (based on values of reported studies [17, 26, 27]). These studies were used instead of those from larger observational studies [28, 29] as the observational studies had a low (25 – 30%) proportion of clinically significant PCa. The term “biochemical progression” is intended for this specific definition and different from “biochemical recurrence” commonly used in the post-treatment scenario.

Secondary endpoints

Secondary endpoints that are being assessed opportunistically are biochemical progression for seminal fluid-based biomarkers PCA3:PSA and citrate, defined for each biomarkers as follows:

a) PCA3:PSA measured in seminal fluid: Increase from baseline measurement by 20% (based on improved all-cause and cancer-specific survival estimates[30]).

b) Citrate measured in seminal fluid and prostatic biopsies at the time of transperineal biopsies and the prostate ex-vivo after RP as well as MRS: Increase from baseline measurement of 30% (based on serum citrate association with aggressive prostate cancer [19]).

These biochemical markers are being preferentially assessed ahead of tissue histological markers on the basis that biochemical effects precede histological change, known as the ‘field effect’ in cancer biology [31], and are thus more likely to be detected in vitro using seminal fluid and in vivo using MRSI.
Clinical Data Collection

Clinical data will be obtained by participating urologists and the Trial Coordinator (RM), who contacts participants by telephone on at least two occasions during the trial to ensure participant satisfaction and determine the presence of any side-effects or other difficulties. The Trial Coordinator is experienced in the data collection tools being used. Data will be collected onto a CAISIS database, used in our randomized, controlled study of open and robotic prostatectomy [32, 33] and with which we are familiar.

Assessment and Follow-up

Participants are being assessed for inclusion upon enrolment for prostate biopsy. Those whose biopsies do not include any Gleason 4 or 5 tumour do not receive drug and do not take any further part in the study. Participants continuing receive medication, as per randomization protocol, and are placed on the waiting list for surgery which is planned for 8 weeks after their biopsies.

Serum investigations used clinically include total PSA (Abbott Architect® assay) and free-total PSA estimations as well as serum testosterone and metabolic screening (serum biochemical and lipoprotein profiles). Blood tests will all be performed according to standard assays by Sullivan & Nicolaides Pathology in addition to glycosylated PSA quantified as previously described [34].

The intended duration from diagnosis and treatment is 8 weeks, with assessments performed prior to biopsies and again just before RP. mpMRI and MRS will be assessed by IB, NK and ML. Biopsy and RP slides will be reviewed by specialized uropathologists (JP-K, JDP, MLTHS) with reference to the 2014 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) classification [35] for continuity and expert interpretation. Standard parameters for biopsies (total number of
cores, number and percentage of positive cores, Gleason/ISUP score) and RP specimens (gland size, margin status, extracapsular status, pathological stage, Gleason score) are being reported. Surgical follow-up is being determined by the treating urologist with follow-up assessments performed at these appointments until 24 months post-operatively.

Technical details regarding biological sampling and storage as well as molecular and metabolomic investigations are outlined in the Supplementary Material.

2.7 Sample size and statistical analysis

Sample sizes were based on a randomized selection design with the aim of achieving a 80% probability that the best schedule produced the highest observed response rate [36-38]. We took the expected baseline freedom from biochemical progression rate for the placebo schedule to be 80% based on results reported for the serum PSA biomarker [27]. We estimate that we need to study 20 participants per schedule, to have a 80% probability of selecting the schedule that has a true freedom from biochemical progression rate that is at least 15% higher assuming this is the minimal practically significant difference. This calculation can be verified through the online calculator from the Center for Clinical Research and Biostatistics of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (https://www2.ccrb.cuhk.edu.hk/stat/phase2/Randomized.htm). We were unable to suitably estimate the proportion of men who would be enrolled with a negative biopsy result and so are continuing to recruit until the sample size is achieved.

Differences in categorical variables between groups will be tested using chi squared analysis while continuous variables will be compared using two-sample t tests. Univariate analysis will be performed using the binary bEvo variable as the outcome and intervention schedule category as the predictor using a GLM with a Poisson family, log link and robust error variances in order to
generate the relative risk. Multivariable analysis will also be performed using a similar GLM to determine the independence of the schedule of treatment from other relevant explanatory variables. The latter will be included in the multivariable model if they are found to be associated with outcome (P<0.2) on univariate analysis. Link specification will be tested using the squared linear predictor while the goodness of fit of the model will be evaluated by the ability of the linear predictor from the model to classify participants into progressors and non-progressors (i.e., its predictive performance) will be evaluated using the C statistic, a term equivalent to the area under a receiver operating characteristic curve for the dichotomous outcome (bEvo). All analysis will be done using Stata (StataCorp, College Station, TX) and P<0.05 will be the threshold for significance.

3. DISCUSSION

Since commencing the study in March 2015, 9 participants have been recruited and 2 have completed the treatment and assessment protocols. One man with a mpMRI PI-RADS 4 lesion did not have PCa detected with his biopsies. As a consequence his participation in the trial was terminated. Compared with recruitment for altruistic SF donation by patients without likelihood of personal benefit, recruitment for this study, which includes SF donation as an essential requisite, has been much easier but considerable greater difficulty is being experienced identifying men who have not been consuming a statin regularly.
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