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The Transmembrane Semaphorin Sema4D/CD100, an Inhibitor of Axonal Growth, Is Expressed on Oligodendrocytes and Upregulated after CNS Lesion
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Sema4D is a family of secreted and membrane-bound proteins, known to regulate axonal pathfinding. Sema4D, also called CD100, was first isolated in the immune system where it is involved in B and T cell activation. We found that in the mouse, Sema4D is expressed in cells throughout the CNS white matter, with a peak during the myelination period. Double-labeling experiments with different markers of oligodendrocyte lineage such as olig1, olig2, platelet-derived growth factor receptor α, and proteolipid protein showed that Sema4D was expressed selectively by oligodendrocytes and myelin. The presence of Sema4D in myelin was confirmed using Western blot. Sema4D expression in myelinating oligodendrocytes was further observed using neuron–oligodendrocyte cocultures. Moreover, using stripe assay, we found that Sema4D is strongly inhibitory for postnatal sensory and cerebellar granule cell axons. This prompted us to examine whether Sema4D expression is modified after CNS injury. At 8 d after spinal cord lesions, Sema4D expression was strongly upregulated in oligodendrocytes at the periphery of the lesion. Sema4D-positive cells were not colabeled with the astrocyte marker GFAP, with the microglial and macrophagic marker isolectin B4, or with NG2, a marker of oligodendrocyte precursors. This upregulation was transient because from 1 month after the lesion, Sema4D expression was back to its normal level. These results indicate that Sema4D is a novel inhibitory factor for axonal regeneration expressed in myelin.
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Introduction

In the adult CNS of mammals, lesioned axons are unable to regenerate, to a large extent because of the presence of inhibitory factors in the cellular environment of the lesioned axons (Schwab and Bartholdi, 1996). Some degree of regeneration can occur if adult axons are experimentally provided with a more permissive substrate such as peripheral nerves or embryonic tissue (Bray et al., 1987; Horner and Gage, 2000). One major breakthrough has been the demonstration that CNS myelin, which enwraps adult axons, is the major source of inhibitors for regeneration (Schwab and Bartholdi, 1996); however, for almost a decade, only two major myelin inhibitors had been identified. The first one, recognized by the IN-1 antibody (Caroni and Schwab, 1988a), was cloned as the Nogo-A protein (Chen et al., 2000; GrandPré et al., 2000; Prinjha et al., 2000). A 66 amino acid extracellular portion of Nogo-A inhibits axon outgrowth in vitro, and this requires binding to NgR, a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored receptor (Fournier et al., 2001; GrandPré et al., 2002). The myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG) (McKerracher et al., 1994; Mukhopadhyay et al., 1994) is the second myelin inhibitor of axonal outgrowth identified in the CNS. Very recently a third myelin inhibitor, the GPI-linked oligodendrocyte-myelin glycoprotein (Omgp), has been characterized (Wang et al., 2002a). Surprisingly, MAG and Omgp have also been found to bind to NgR (Domeniconi et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002a), with p75 as a co-receptor (Wang et al., 2002b), suggesting that all three myelin inhibitors share common signaling pathways (see Discussion).

It is likely, however, that additional inhibitory factors exist, and some evidence toward their existence comes from studies on developing axons. In most vertebrate species, a large number of molecules that are able to inhibit the elongation of growing axons have been identified. Most of these repulsive molecules are diffusible and belong to three families of proteins: the netrins, the
semaphorins, and the slits (Raper, 2000; Yu and Bargmann, 2001; Wong et al., 2002). Although their function has been studied primarily in the developing nervous system, their expression is maintained in the adult CNS. These observations suggest that they might play a role in the inhibition of axonal regeneration. To date most studies have focused on the secreted semaphorins, which are expressed in fibroblasts of the glial scar in several models of CNS lesions (Pasterkamp and Verhaagen, 2001). This prompted us to investigate the possible role in the inhibition of axonal regeneration of class 4 transmembrane semaphorins, which constitute the largest semaphorin subclass (Raper, 2000). Sema4D, also called CD100, was the first transmembrane semaphorin identified in vertebrates (Hall et al., 1996), and it has recently been shown to induce the collapse of the growth cones of CNS axons (Swiercz et al., 2002). In this study, we show that Sema4D is expressed selectively by oligodendrocytes and myelin in the CNS and that its expression is upregulated in oligodendrocytes after adult CNS lesion.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

All procedures were performed in accordance to the guidelines approved by French Ministry of Agriculture, following European standards. For myelinating cultures, pregnant OFl albino mice were used (Janvier, Le Genest Saint Isle, France). For expression study, C57BL/6 mice (Janvier) were analyzed at different postnatal (P) ages (P0, P10, P30, and adult). The day of birth was counted as P0. Transgenic plp-shble-lacZ mice (Spadaccini et al., 1999) were also used. Mice were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (350 mg/kg). For in situ hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes, mice were perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 (PFA). Brains were cryoprotected in 10% sucrose, frozen in isopentane at −55°C, and stored at −80°C until sectioning. For in situ hybridization with [35S]-labeled riboprobes, mice were anesthetized and decapitated, and brains were frozen immediately in isopentane and stored at −80°C. Serial coronal sections (16 or 20 μm) were cut with a cryostat and stored at −80°C before hybridization. For immunohistochemistry, mice were perfused transcardially with 4% PFA. Brains were postfixed for 2 hr and cryoprotected either in 30% sucrose for sectioning with a freezing microtome or in 10% sucrose and frozen in isopentane for sectioning with a cryostat. Floating sections were stored until use at −20°C, in a solution of 10% H2O, 30% ethylene glycol, 30% glycerol, and 30% phosphate buffer 0.24 M, pH 7.4.

**In situ hybridization**

**Riboprobes synthesis.** A 1.9 kb EcoRI–NotI fragment from a full-length mouse Sema4D cDNA (cloned in pEBos) (Kumanogoh et al., 2000) was subcloned in pBlueScriptKS+. Antisense riboprobe for mSema4D was generated by linearization of this plasmid with EcoRI and subsequent incubation with T7 RNA polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The oligl, olig2, and platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PDGFRα) probes were generated as described previously (Pringle and Richardson, 1993; Lu et al., 2000). The mouse CD72 and plexin B1 cDNAs were kind gifts from Dr. Jane Barnes (Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA) (Yang et al., 1995) and Dr. Luca Tamagnone (Istituto per la Ricerca e Cura del Cancro, Torino, Italy), respectively. The in vitro transcription was performed using the Promega kit (Promega, Madison, WI), and probes were labeled with digoxigenin-UTP (Roche Diagnostics), fluorescein-UTP, or [35S]-UTP (> 1000 Ci/mmol; Amersham Biosciences Europe GmbH, Freiburg, Germany).

**In situ hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes.** Tissue sections from postnatal mice were hybridized with digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes. Tissue sections were postfixed for 10 min in 4% PFA, washed in PBS, pH 7.4, treated with proteinase K (10 μg/ml; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 3–5 min, postfixed for 5 min in 4% PFA, washed in PBS, acetylated, and washed in PBS 1% Triton X-100. Slides were incubated for 2 hr at room temperature in hybridization buffer (50% formamide, 5× SSC, 1× Denhardt’s, 250 μg/ml yeast tRNA, and 500 μg/ml herring sperm, pH 7.4), and then tissue sections were hybridized overnight at 72°C with riboprobes (0.5 ng/μl). After hybridization, sections were rinsed for 2 hr in 2× SSC at 72°C and blocked in 0.1 M Tris, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl (B1) containing 10% normal goat serum (NGS) for 1 hr at room temperature. After blocking, slides were incubated overnight at room temperature with anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated with the alkaline phosphatase (1:5000; Roche Diagnostics) in B1 containing 1% NGS. After additional washes, the alkaline phosphatase activity was detected using nitroblue tetrazolium chloride (NBT) (337.5 μg/ml) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP) (175 μg/ml) (Roche Diagnostics). Sections were mounted in Mowiol (Calbiochem/Merck, Carlstadt, Germany).

**Double in situ hybridization.** For double in situ hybridization (ISH), the two different probes were labeled with either digoxigenin- or fluorescein-UTP. The first probe was detected with alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody and developed with NBT and BCIP, which yields a purple precipitate. After the NBT/BCIP reaction, the AP-conjugated anti-digoxigenin was inactivated by incubating the sections (15 min) in glycine, pH 2.2. The sections were subsequently incubated with AP-conjugated anti-fluorescein antibody and detected with 2-(4-iodophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenoxy)-5-phenyl tetrazolium chloride (Roche Diagnostics) and BCIP, which yields an orange precipitate.

**In situ hybridization with [35S]-labeled riboprobes.** Tissue sections of P10, P30, and adult brains were hybridized with [35S]-labeled riboprobes. Sections were postfixed for 15 min in 4% PFA, washed in PBS, acetylated, with Proteinase K, dehydrated in graded ethanol, and air dried. Sections were covered with hybridization buffer containing 5.108 cpm/μl of riboprobes (50% formamide, 0.3 M NaCl, 20 μM Tris·HCl, pH 7.4, 5 μM EDTA, 1× Denhardt’s, 0.1% dextran sulfate, 10 μM DTT, 10 μM NaH2PO4, pH 8, and 250 μg/ml yeast tRNA, pH 7.4). Slides were hybridized overnight at 48°C in a humid chamber. After hybridization, sections were rinsed for 30 min in 5× SSC at 48°C and for 20 min in 2.5× SSC at 60°C. Sections were then treated for 30 min with 20 μg/ml RNase A at 37°C, washed in 2× SSC and in 0.1× SSC for 15 min each, dehydrated, and air dried. Autoradiograms were obtained by apposition of the sections to Hyperfilms (βmax, Amersham) for 3 d. For histological analyses, the slides were dipped in photographic emulsion (NTB2, Kodak Integra Biosciences, Cergy le Haut, France) and exposed for −12 d. Then, sections were developed at 14°C in D19 (Kodak, Rochester, NY), fixed in AL4 (Kodak), and subsequently rinsed, dehydrated, and mounted with Cytoseal 60 (Stephens Scientific, Riverdale, NJ). Slides were analyzed in bright- and dark-fields with a Zeiss Axioshot microscope (Zeiss, Germany).

**Immunohistochemistry**

For Sema4D immunostaining, 40 μm coronal sections were cut on a freezing microtome. Free-floating sections were rinsed in 0.075% Triton X-100 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in PBS (PBS-T), blocked for 1 hr at room temperature (RT) in PBS containing 0.2% gelatin (Prolabo, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) and 0.25% Triton X-100 (PBS-G-T), and then incubated overnight at RT with a rat monoclonal antibody against Sema4D (BMA-12) (Kumanogoh et al., 2000). This antibody is a hybridoma supernatant, used at 1:10 dilution in PBS-G-T. Sections were washed in PBS and then incubated for 2 hr at RT with anti-rat IgG (Cyamine 3 (Cy3) conjugated) developed in goat, 1:200; Chemicon International, Temecula, CA). Double immunostaining against Sema4D and β-galactosidase was performed on cryostat brain sections (20 μm thick) from P10 to P13 plp-shble-lacZ mice. Detection of Sema4D was performed as described above. Detection of β-galactosidase was performed with a rabbit anti-β-galactosidase antibody (1:1000; Cappel, ICN Pharmaceuticals, Costa Mesa, CA) followed by an FITC-conjugated sheep anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:200; Eurobio, Les Ulis, France). For proteolipid protein (PLP) immunostaining after in situ hybridization for Sema4D, we first performed the standard in situ hybridization, but proteinase K digestion (10 μg/ml) was shortened to 2 min. After in situ hybridization with a Sema4D riboprobe, sections were rinsed in PBS-T, blocked for 1 hr at RT in PBS-G-T and incubated overnight at RT with a rat monoclonal antibody against PLP (clone AA3) (Yamamura et al.,...
1991). This antibody is a hybridoma supernatant, used at 1:10 in dilution PBS-G-T. Sections were then incubated in a biotinylated rabbit anti-rat antibody (1:200; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and an HRP-conjugated streptavidin (1:400; Amersham). The sections were developed with a diaminobenzidine reaction.

Sema4D expression construct

The soluble recombinant fragment of Sema4D (sSema4D) construct was designed to encode an extracellular region of sema4D (residues 1–657 of the mature protein) followed by a lysine residue and a C-terminal histidine tag for purification. A fragment of the Sema4D gene was produced by PCR amplification, using as a template a cDNA clone containing 2061 bp of the Sema4D gene kindly provided by Neil Barclay (William Dunn School of Pathology). The forward primer (5′-CTCTATCTAGAAGCCCCT) included 23 bases of the upstream region of the Sema4D start codon and an XhoI restriction endonuclease site. The reverse primer (5′-GGATCTCTGATCAATGTATGATGATGATGATGATGTCCTGCTGTGGATGCGACAC) was designed to add a lysine residue and six histidines before a stop codon as well as incorporating BclI and EcoRI restriction endonuclease sites for cloning. The amplified product was subcloned into the glutamine synthase-encoding expression vector pEE14 using XhoI and BclI restriction sites to produce pCL11. The fidelity of the Sema4D gene sequence was confirmed by dideoxy sequencing.

Expression and purification

The pCL11 construct was transfected into Lec3.2.8.1 Chinese hamster ovary cells using Pfx-8 lipids (Invitrogen). Clones resistant to 15 mg/l of culture medium were selected, and several of these expressed sSema4D at levels of 3–4 mg/l. For large scale production of sSema4D, the clones were grown in roller bottles to confluence before switching to media containing 2% fetal calf serum (FCS) and supplemented with 2 mM Na butyrate. Recombinant secreted sSema4D was purified from conditioned media to homogeneity using metal-ion affinity chromatography (Ni-NTA Agarose; Qiagen) followed by gel filtration chromatography (Superdex S200 HR10/30; Amersham Biosciences). The elution profile of soluble concentrated sSema4D from the Superdex 200 column gave a major peak at a position that was constant with the molecule being a dimer. The protein was judged to be >95% pure on the basis of visual inspection of a Coomassie-stained SDS-polyacrylamide gel after electrophoresis.

Myelinating cultures

Cultures were performed as described (Demerens et al., 1996; Charles et al., 2000) either on poly-l-lysine-coated 14 mm glass coverslips (OSI, Maurepas, France) or directly on poly-l-lysine-coated 24-well plastic plates. Briefly, forebrains were removed from 15-d-old mouse fetuses and dissociated mechanically and by enzymatic digest with 0.025% trypsin (Biological Industries, Kibbutz Beit Haemek, Israel) for 15 min at 37°C. After washing, the pellet was gently dispersed into a nylon mesh (63 mm) and then resuspended in DMEM (Seromed, Les Ulis, France) containing 10% FCS (Eurobio, Les Ulis, France). A total of 5 × 10^4 cells per well were plated and seeded in DMEM containing 10% FCS to facilitate attachment for 30 min, and then 500 μl of culture medium was added to each well. Standard culture medium consisted of B-S medium (Bottenstein et al., 1979) supplemented with 0.5% FCS and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Biological Industries).

Cultures were fixed with 4% PFA at room temperature for 10 min, rinsed, and then saturated in DMEM containing 50% sheep serum for 20 min. Anti-Sema4D antibody (1:10) was diluted in PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and, after washing, incubated for 30 min at RT in anti-rat Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:1000; Molecular Probes). For double staining, the first step was incubation with the anti-Sema4D antibody followed by incubation in the secondary antibody. Cultures were fixed with 4% PFA at room temperature and then incubated with anti-mycillin basic protein (MBP) (1:200; Clinsciences), anti-mycin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) (1:10) (Brehm et al., 1999), or monoclonal antibody (mAb) A2B5 (mouse IgM; American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) antibody, followed by the corresponding secondary antibody.

Isolation of myelin and Western blot

Myelin was prepared from freshly dissected 3-week-old OF1 mouse brain according to the method of Norton and Poduslo (1973) with minor modifications. Briefly, 10 brains were homogenized in 170 ml of 0.85 M sucrose in 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4, containing 2 mM EDTA and 1 mM PMSF. The homogenate was centrifuged overnight in a SW 28 Beckman rotor at 22,500 rpm. The crude myelin at the 0.25/0.85 M interface was collected and osmotically shocked with 10 vol of distilled water. The myelin was washed with Tris EDTA buffer and collected by centrifugation; the pellet was kept at −80°C until SDS-PAGE analysis. Purified myelin was run on 8 or 10% acrylamide gels. Approximately 50 μg of purified myelin was loaded in each well. Proteins were transferred on Hybond+ membrane (Amersham) and incubated with anti-MAG monoclonal antibody (1:1000; a kind gift from Dr. M. Filbin, City University of New York), anti-CD100 (1:500; Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, KY), or anti-Nogo-A (a kind gift from Dr. M. Schwab, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland), followed by anti-mouse HRP or anti-rabbit HRP (1:5000; Dako).

Stripe assay

Stripe assay was performed as described in Nguyen-Ba-Charvet et al. (2001). A mix of purified Sema4D ectodomain (500 μg/ml) and fibronectin or laminin (10 μg/ml; Sigma) in PBS was injected into the matrix channels and incubated for 2 hr at 37°C, and then a blocking solution of fluorescein-conjugated BSA (2% in PBS; Molecular Probes) was injected into the channels and incubated for 2 hr at 37°C. Next, the channels were rinsed four times by injecting PBS, after which the coverslip was removed and rinsed in water. The coverslip was then coated with laminin at 1.5 μg/ml for granule cells and 5 μg/ml for dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and incubated for 2 hr at 37°C. Coverslips were rinsed in water and placed in the appropriate culture medium. The cerebellum of P5 mice was dissected, and granule cells were purified using a Percoll gradient following standard procedures (Gao and Hatten, 1994). Cells (1.5 × 10^7) were aggregated overnight in a Labtek tissue chamber at 37°C in granule cell medium (Gao and Hatten, 1994). Approximately 10 spheres were plated on each stripe and cultured for 24–36 hr in granule cell medium. DRG from P6 mice were dissected and incubated in collagenase (1.6 mg/ml; Sigma), DNase I (16 μg/ml; Worthington) in nutrient mixture F12 (Invitrogen) for 1 hr at 37°C. DRGs were split into two fragments, plated on the stripe (approximately five DRGs per stripe), and cultured for 36 hr as described previously (Nguyen-Ba-Charvet et al., 2001). Explants were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.33 M sucrose and labeled with anti-β-tubulin.

Spinal cord lesions

Female Swiss mice (weighing 20 gm at the time of lesion; Janvier) were used. Mice were anesthetized with ketamin (146 mg/kg) and xylazin (7.4 mg/kg). After the lesion, the animals were returned to their cages and given ad libitum access to food and water. The procedure used to perform spinal cord lesions has been adapted from one described previously in rats (Dusart and Schwab, 1994). Briefly, a longitudinal cut was made along the midline to lower thoracic level. The dorsal one-half to two-thirds of the cord was bilaterally transected by cutting transversely with iridectomy scissors, and skin was then sutured. At each time point (8 d and 1 month after the lesion), three animals were anesthetized and perfused transcardially with 4% PFA for immunohistochemistry. For controls, three nonoperated animals were processed in the same way. Spinal cords were removed, postfixed for 2 hr, and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose. The spinal cords were cut in the sagittal plane (24-μm-thick free-floating sections) on a freezing microtome. The sections were incubated overnight in the antibody against Sema4D (BMA12, 1:10) and in a polyclonal antibody against GFAP (1:3000; Dako) or a rabbit anti-NG2 polyclonal antibody (1:500; Chemicon) or in FITC-conjugated isoelectin B4 (Griffonia simplicifolia; 1:50; Sigma). The sections were then incubated for 2 hr in an anti-rat IgG (Cy3 conjugated, developed in goat, 1:200; Chemicon International) and in an anti-rabbit IgG (FITC conjugated; 1:200).
Results

Sema4D mRNA is expressed in myelinating oligodendrocytes

As described previously (Furuyama et al., 1996), in mouse embryos Sema4D mRNA was expressed at a high level in many neurons throughout the CNS (data not shown). At birth, however, Sema4D mRNA expression was rapidly downregulated and could be detected only in a small number of cells scattered in the forebrain, cerebellum, and brainstem (Fig. 1A). From P0 to P10, the number of cells expressing Sema4D mRNA increased progressively, and they were found in all of the CNS; however, labeled cells were concentrated in the white matter at the level of all major fiber tracts, from the olfactory bulb and the corpus callosum in the forebrain to the spinal cord (Fig. 1B, C). This expression pattern suggests that Sema4D mRNA is expressed by oligodendrocytes, which are the myelin-forming cells in the CNS and are known to start proliferating around birth (Spasky et al., 2001). Several genes expressed at different stages of oligodendrocyte development have been identified previously (for review, see Spasky et al., 2001). To confirm that Sema4D was expressed in developing oligodendrocytes, we performed double in situ hybridization for Sema4D and other genes such as PDGFRα (Pringle and Richardson, 1993) and the basic-helix-loop-helix transcription factors Olig1 and Olig2 (Lu et al., 2000). We performed this analysis on forebrain and cerebellum sections from P10–P13 animals, which display a high level of Sema4D expression. We found that 95% of the Sema4D-expressing cells also expressed Olig2 or Olig1 mRNAs (Fig. 1E, F) and that the number of Sema4D-expressing cells represented ~20% of the population of Olig2-expressing cells. In contrast no more than 5% of the Sema4D oligodendrocytes coexpressed PDGFRα (Fig. 1D), which is mainly expressed at early stages of oligodendrocyte development (Spasky et al., 2001). Moreover, in embryos we could not detect Sema4D mRNA in regions where oligodendrocyte progenitor cells are generated, such as the ventral spinal cord on either side of the central canal or the anterior entopeduncular region in the basal forebrain (Spasky et al., 1998; Olivier et al., 2001; data not shown). These observations suggest that Sema4D is expressed in a subpopulation of oligodendrocytes at the time when they start colonizing the prospective white matter tracts to form myelin.

To further confirm this result we combined in situ hybridization for Sema4D with immunocytochemistry for the PLP. Although the transcript for plp/dm-20 has been shown to be expressed very early during embryonic development (Timsit et al., 1992, 1995) in oligodendrocyte progenitors (Spasky et al., 1998), the corresponding PLP protein has been shown to be detectable with the AA3 mAb only in myelinating oligodendrocytes (Perez Villegas et al., 1999). In agreement with the results of the double in situ hybridization, we found that 95% of the Sema4D-expressing oligodendrocytes coexpressed PLP (Fig. 2A). In addition, we did not detect Sema4D mRNA in GFAP-immunopositive astrocytes (data not shown). Sema4D mRNA was still detected in oligodendrocytes in the white matter of the adult CNS, but their number is lower than in 1-month-old animals (data not shown).

Oligodendrocytes express the Sema4D protein in vivo and in vitro

We next tried to determine whether oligodendrocytes also expressed the Sema4D protein using a previously characterized anti-Sema4D monoclonal antibody (Kumanogoh et al., 2000). From birth until P10, Sema4D-immunoreactive cells were detected throughout the CNS in a pattern identical to Sema4D.
mRNA (Fig. 2). At P10 the morphology of Sema4D-expressing cells was very similar to PLP-expressing cells, suggesting that they were oligodendrocytes (Fig. 2B). Sema4D expression progressively increased to peak at P30 (Fig. 2C). At this age, the Sema4D antibody intensely labeled dispersed cells in the white matter (Fig. 2C,D) but also all myelinated tracts (white matter) in a pattern similar to the MBP (data not shown). This suggests that Sema4D is localized not only on the cell body of oligodendrocytes but also on myelin (see Fig. 4E). We further confirmed that Sema4D-immunoreactive cells were oligodendrocytes using plp-shb-lacZ transgenic mice (Spasky et al., 1998). In this line, the PLP promoter drives expression of β-galactosidase in all oligodendrocytes (we could not directly combine PLP and Sema4D immunostaining because both antibodies are generated in rat). We found that at P10–P13, virtually all Sema4D-expressing cells (~97%) also expressed β-galactosidase, with Sema4D-expressing cells representing ~30% of the β-galactosidase cells (Fig. 2E,F). Overall these results demonstrate that in the postnatal mouse brain Sema4D is expressed in only a subpopulation of oligodendrocytes. Last, Sema4D was not detected in Schwann cells (data not shown).

The expression of Sema4D by oligodendrocytes was further studied and assessed using myelinating neurons—oligodendrocyte cocultures derived from E15 mouse forebrain. During the first week, a weak staining was detected in some A2B5-positive cells (Fig. 3A, B). Because A2B5 mAb is known to label neurons, it is likely that these Sema4D+/A2B5+ cells correspond to neurons as described previously (Furuyama et al., 1996). Later, the number of Sema4D-expressing cells increased, and at 15 d in vitro (DIV) all MBP-positive mature oligodendrocytes were expressing Sema4D (Fig. 3C,D). This staining was maintained in MOG-positive cells, either nonmyelinating or myelinating. At 20–25 DIV, when oligodendrocytes begin to deposit myelin around axons (Lubetzki et al., 1993), Sema4D expression was still very high in myelin-forming oligodendrocytes. Oligodendrocyte processes and myelinated internodes were labeled, but Sema4D was not detected on the cell bodies. At that stage, Sema4D immunolabeling was identical to the one observed using MOG antibodies (Fig. 3E,F). At no time were GFAP- or β-tubulin-positive cells seen as Sema4D positive (data not shown). This confirmed that expression of Sema4D protein is restricted to oligodendrocytes.

The presence of Sema4D in myelin was finally confirmed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 4E). Using an anti-Sema4D-specific antibody, a band at ~150 kDa was clearly observed in myelin extracts from P21 mouse brain (Fig. 4E) as described previously in the immune system (Kumanogoh et al., 2000). Nogo-A and MAG, two other myelin inhibitors of axonal regeneration, were
Sema4D expression on oligodendrocytes is transiently upregulated after spinal cord lesion

Sema4D has recently been shown to be a strong collapsing factor for the growth cones of several populations of CNS axons (Swiercz et al., 2002). In addition, it induces retraction of PC12 cell neurites (Perrot et al., 2002) and inhibits the spreading of 3T3 cells (data not shown). These results, together with our present data showing that in the postnatal CNS Sema4D is expressed by oligodendrocytes, suggested that Sema4D could be one of the myelin proteins involved in the inhibition of axonal regeneration. This prompted us to determine whether Sema4D expression is modified after CNS injury.

Sema4D immunoreactivity was studied at various times, and 30 d, after thoracic spinal cord hemisection. As described above, in the intact spinal cord, Sema4D was expressed in few oligodendrocytes in the white matter tracts (Fig. 4 A, B). One week after the lesion, two wide bands of intensely Sema4D-immunoreactive oligodendrocytes were observed in the white matter areas immediately surrounding the lesion that appears as a dark area (Fig. 4C). Each band extended on both sides of the cut (proximal and distal) (Fig. 4E). Sema4D-positive cells were aligned along fiber tracts and presented the same morphology as Sema4D oligodendrocytes in the intact spinal cord (Fig. 4D). Sema4D-positive cells were constantly observed at the periphery of the lesion (Fig. 4C, D); however, the density of Sema4D-positive cells was much higher than in intact spinal cord (Fig. 4, compare B, D). To confirm that these cells were differentiated oligodendrocytes, we performed double staining of spinal cord lesions with Sema4D and several markers of glial and microglial cells. At the periphery of the lesion, we observed strong GFAP-immunoreactive astrocytes (Fig. 5A). Double immunohistochemistry for anti-GFAP—anti-Sema4D showed that the region of Sema4D upregulation was overlapping with the one of high GFAP expression (Fig. 5A–C); however, no double-labeled cells (GFAP positive and Sema4D positive) could be observed, confirming that Sema4D is not expressed by astrocytes. Macrophages and activated microglial cells at the lesion can be revealed using the isoelectin B4 (Streit and Kreutzberg, 1987). Likewise, the chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan NG2 is a good marker of oligodendrocyte precursors cells (Jones et al., 2002). The double staining for anti-NG2–anti-Sema4D and for isoelectin B4–anti-Sema4D showed that the regions in which Sema4D upregulation was observed only partially overlapped with the regions containing NG2 and isoelectin B4-positive cells (Fig. 5D–F, G–I). Indeed, these latter extended to the center of the lesion and were not restricted to the periphery (Fig. 5D, G). NG2– or isoelectin B4-positive cells were smaller than the ones overexpressing Sema4D. Furthermore, no double-labeled cells (isolectin B4 positive and Sema4D or NG2 positive and Sema4D positive) could be observed (Fig. 5F, I). Thus, these results indicate that Sema4D-positive cells were not macrophages, activated microglial cells, or oligodendrocyte precursors. It is therefore most likely that they are oligodendrocytes. This upregulation of Sema4D expression in oligodendrocytes was transient because 1 month after the lesion Sema4D expression was back to its normal level (data not shown).

Sema4D is inhibitory for postnatal CNS axons

So far, Sema4D has only been shown to induce the collapse of embryonic axons (Swiercz et al., 2002). To further support a possible involvement of Sema4D in the inhibition of axonal regeneration, we tried to determine whether Sema4D is inhibitory for more mature axons, in particular from DRG and cerebellar granule cells. We used affinity-purified Sema4D extracellular domain (see Materials and Methods). When the protein was added directly to the culture medium of P5–P6 DRG or granule cell dissociated neurons or explants, the number of collapsed growth cones after 1 hr was not significantly different from control (data not shown); however, because Sema4D is a transmembrane protein enriched in myelin (Fig. 4E), it is more likely to act on growth cones as an immobilized substrate than in solution. Thus, we decided to examine whether Sema4D is able to repel mature DRG and granule cell axons in the so-called “stripe” assay (Walter et al., 1987) in which the axons grow parallel to alternating stripes of two different proteins or protein combinations, making it possible to test the axons’ preference for one over the other. When confronted with alternating lanes of laminin only, DRG and granule cell axons grew randomly, regardless of the lanes (Fig. 6A, C) (n = 72 and 6, respectively). In contrast, when given a choice between laminin and Sema4D, both DRG axons (19 of 23 explants; three experiments) and granule cell axons (99 of 108 explants; three experiments) avoided the Sema4D-containing lanes (Fig. 6B, D). This demonstrates that Sema4D is inhibitory for mature axons.
Expression of Sema4D receptors in the CNS

Sema4D has two known receptors: plexin B1 (Tamagnone et al., 1999) and CD72, a transmembrane protein belonging to the C-type lectin family whose expression in the CNS is unknown (Kumanogoh and Kikutani, 2001). To start characterizing the receptor mediating Sema4D function in the CNS, we studied the C-type lectin family whose expression in the CNS is unknown. Sema4D has two known receptors: plexin B1 (Tamagnone et al., 1999) and CD72 (Moreau-Fauvarque et al., 2003). CD72 expression was very broad and detectable in virtually all neurons (Fig. 7 E, F) and in cerebellar granule cells (Fig. 7G). No signal was observed with a sense probe (data not shown). These data suggest that CD72 but not plexin B1 could mediate Sema4D inhibitory activity on postnatal axons.

Discussion

Sema4D is a semaphorin expressed on oligodendrocytes

The semaphorins are one of the largest families of axon guidance molecules with more than 20 members identified in vertebrates (Raper, 2000). Multiple studies using semaphorin-specific probes have shown that in mammals they are widely expressed in the nervous system and also in many organs outside the CNS. Surprisingly, in the intact CNS, semaphorin expression has been detected only in neuronal cells (Skaliora et al., 1998; Raper, 2000), although Schwann cells which form myelin in the PNS express the secreted semaphorin Sema3B (Püscher et al., 1996). Thus, the expression pattern of the class 4 semaphorin, Sema4D/CD100, is unique because it is selectively expressed in the postnatal mouse brain by oligodendrocytes with a peak during the period of myelin formation. In the embryonic mouse brain, however, Sema4D is widely expressed throughout the CNS in many postmitotic neurons but not detectable in regions in which oligodendrocyte progenitors are generated (Furuyama et al., 1996; present study; A. Chédotal and C. Moreau-Fauvarque, unpublished data). This suggests that Sema4D expression is very tightly regulated during development.

Sema4D was originally identified in the immune system using monoclonal antibodies (Herold et al., 1995). Sema4D is a 150 kDa homodimeric transmembrane protein expressed on the majority of hematopoietic cells, including B and T lymphocytes and monocytes (Delaire et al., 1998). Sema4D is involved in T cell activation (Herold et al., 1995) and also induces B lymphocytes to aggregate and improves their viability in vitro. In addition, Sema4D enhances antibody production in vivo, as well as B cell responses in vitro (Kumanogoh et al., 2000, 2001). Sema4D can therefore function as a ligand in the immune system (Kumanogoh et al., 2001); however, Sema4D cytoplasmic domain can associate with the transmembrane tyrosine phosphatase CD45 and unidentified intracellular proteins with Ser–Thr kinase activity (Delaire et al., 1998). The cytoplasmic domain of Sema4D also contains consensus sites for tyrosine and serine phosphorylation (Delaire et al., 1998). This suggests that Sema4D could also function as a receptor. Although Sema4D is the first semaphorin detected on oligodendrocytes, these cells have been shown to express neuropilin-1, a ligand-binding subunit of the receptor complex for secreted class 3 semaphorins (Pušcher et al., 1996). Semaphorin-specific probes have shown that in mammals they are widely expressed in the nervous system and also in many organs outside the CNS. Interestingly, Sema3A can induce the retraction of oligodendrocyte processes (Ricard et al., 2001). Moreover, some secreted semaphorins can orient in vitro the migration of oligodendrocyte precursors (Sugimoto et al., 2001; Spassky et al., 2002). Several semaphorins, including Sema4D, have been detected in oligodendrocyte cultures by RT-PCR (Cohen et al., 2003). Our results strengthen the idea that semaphorins and their receptors play important roles in oligodendrocyte biology. Sema4D expression peaks during the my-
Myelin inhibitors of axonal regeneration seem to be particularly involved during the early phase that follows the injury, before the glial scar forms (Caroni and Schwab, 1988b; Schwab and Bartholdi, 1996), as clearly demonstrated by the improved regeneration in myelin-immunized mice (Huang et al., 1999). Although secreted semaphorins are not expressed by oligodendrocytes, Sema4D fulfills all of the required criteria for a myelin inhibitor. First, we show in this study that in the developing brain of rodents, this semaphorin is expressed by oligodendrocytes when they start to form myelin but is not detectable on Schwann cells or immature oligodendrocytes that are not inhibitory for CNS axons (Schwab and Caroni, 1988). Second, Sema4D is repellent for mature DRG and granule cell axons in the stripe assay, and conditioned medium containing soluble Sema4D can induce the collapse of embryonic CNS growth cones in vitro (Swiercz et al., 2002) and the retraction of PC12 cell neurites (Perrot et al., 2002) and inhibit the spreading of 3T3 cells (our unpublished data). Third, Sema4D expression is strongly and transiently up-regulated in oligodendrocytes that surround the lesion site, which has never been shown for other myelin inhibitors such as Nogo (Huber et al., 2002; X. Wang et al., 2002). A few molecules expressed on oligodendrocytes have been shown previously to be upregulated after CNS lesions. This is the case for MBP (Bartholdi and Schwab, 1998), PLP (Frei et al., 2000), or versican (Asher et al., 2002), and only the latter is inhibitory for axonal growth. This transient upregulation of Sema4D expression could have just reflected the process of remyelination that occurs after the lesion. This is unlikely, however, because Sema4D upregulation is observed only during the first week that follows the injury, whereas oligodendrocyte proliferation lasts for at least 3–4 weeks (McTigue et al., 2001).

Our results could help developing therapeutic strategies to stimulate axonal regeneration. The three myelin inhibitors Omgp, Nogo, and MAG share the same receptor, NgR, the coreceptor p75, and probably signaling pathways (Domeniconi et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002a,b). In the case of MAG, cAMP concentration is sufficient to convert its repulsive activity into an attraction (Song and Poo, 2001; Cai et al., 2002). cAMP can also decrease the inhibitory activity of myelin extracts in vitro (Cai et al., 1999) and even stimulate the axonal regeneration in vivo when injected into sensory ganglia (Neumann et al., 2002; Qiu et al., 2002). The presence of Sema4D on myelin suggests that it might also be important to stimulate the cGMP pathway because it seems to be involved in switching semaphorin response from attraction to repulsion (Song and Poo, 2001).

In conclusion, our results suggest that Sema4D plays important roles in the postnatal CNS and could participate in the inhibition of axonal regeneration; however, the exact mechanism of Sema4D inhibition is unclear and will require further studies. To ensure a better understanding of Sema4D function in the postnatal CNS, it will also be important to characterize the receptor mediating its inhibitory activity. Sema 4D has two known receptors, Plexin B1 is a high-affinity receptor for Sema4D (Tamagnone et al., 1999) that is widely expressed in fetal and adult tissue (Mastrini et al., 1996; Swiercz et al., 2002). The second receptor, CD72, with a lower affinity for Sema4D, is a transmembrane protein belonging to the C-type lectin family (Kumanogoh and Kikutani, 2001). We found that in the CNS plexin B1 is present at high levels in proliferating cells in the ventricular and subven-
results also reinforce the hypothesis for a role of axon guidance molecules in the inhibition of axonal regeneration (Ellezam et al., 2001; Manitt et al., 2001).
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Figure 7. Expression of Sema4D receptors in the CNS. Coronal sections were hybridized with digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes (A–C) or with 35S-labeled riboprobes (D–G) for plexin B1 (A–D) and CD72 (E–G). A, At E13, plexin B1 mRNA is detected in the ventricular zone (arrowheads) from the rhombencephalon (Rho) to the telencephalon (tel). B, Coronal section at the level of the spinal cord of an E15 embryo. Plexin B1 transcripts are found in the ventricular zone lining the central canal (arrowheads). C, At P0, plexin B1 is still expressed in the telencephalon and in the ventricular zone (arrowhead) but also in cells leaving the subventricular zone (arrow). D, In adult mouse, plexin B1 is detected exclusively in the subventricular zone (svz) and rostral migratory stream (arrowheads) to the olfactory bulb (OB). Purkinje cells (arrows) in the cerebellum also express plexin B1 mRNA. E, F, Coronal sections of adult mouse brain hybridized with CD72 riboprobe. CD72 mRNA is strongly and homogeneously expressed in all neurons, with the highest levels in the hippocampus (hi) and neocortex (Cx). F, High magnification of CD72 expression in the neocortex. G, Sagittal section through the cerebellum of a P16 mouse hybridized with CD72 riboprobe. CD72 is highly expressed in the granule cell layer (GCL) and in the external granule cell layer (arrowheads). Scale bars: A, 300 µm; B, 1000 µm; C, 500 µm; D, 1600 µm; E, 1000 µm; F, 300 µm; G, 200 µm.

tricular zones from early embryonic stages to adult but is not expressed by postnatal neurons, except cerebellar Purkinje cells. Thus, plexin B1 is unlikely to mediate Sema4D inhibitory activity. In contrast, CD72 mRNA was not detected in embryonic CNS but is strongly expressed in most, if not all, adult neurons. This suggests that CD72 could mediate Sema4D function in the CNS as in the immune system; however, additional receptors could also exist. Sema4A has recently been shown to act through Tim-2, a member of the T cell immunoglobulin domain and mucin domain proteins (Kumanogoh et al., 2002a). Last, it will be important to determine whether axonal regeneration is improved in Sema4D mutants; however, this is unlikely considering the absence of major improvement of axonal regeneration in the CNS of MAG knock-outs (Bartsch et al., 1995) or Nogo knock-outs [see references in Woolf (2003)]. Overall, these
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