One-step solid phase synthesis of highly efficient and robust cobalt pentlandite electrocatalyst for oxygen evolution reaction†
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Cobalt pentlandite (CoS$_8$) has recently emerged as an alternative non-noble metal based electrocatalyst for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER). CoS$_8$ is known for its intrinsic structural and electronic properties favorable for electrocatalytic applications, but the synthesis of stoichiometrically optimal CoS$_8$ electrocatalysts remains challenging. Herein, a facile one-step solid phase calcination approach is presented in which CoS$_8$ nanoparticles (NPs) were concurrently synthesised on carbon nanosheets (CNS). The reaction mechanism for this synthesis was systematically investigated using TG/DSC-MS analysis. Relative to other cobalt chalcogenide electrocatalysts, the as-prepared thermally stable nanocomposite (CoS$_8$/CNS) has better electrocatalytic performance for OER in alkaline electrolyte, exhibiting a smaller overpotential of 294 mV at current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ with a Tafel slope of 50.7 mV dec$^{-1}$. Furthermore, a minimum overpotential of 267 mV with Tafel slope of 48.2 mV dec$^{-1}$ could be achieved using highly conducting multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) as a conducting filler in the nanocomposites.

Introduction

The oxygen evolution reaction (OER) is an important half reaction in electrochemical water splitting in sustainable and efficient energy conversion and storage technologies, such as water electrolyzers, solar water-splitting devices and rechargeable metal-air batteries.1-5 However, the OER (4OH$^{-}$ → 2H$_2$O + 4e$^{-}$ + O$_2$) occurs through a thermodynamically unfavourable four-electron transfer process, which is kinetically sluggish6 and involves a high overpotential to match the current density (~10 mA cm$^{-2}$) required for solar fuel synthesis.7 Currently, noble metal based oxides (e.g. RuO$_2$ and IrO$_2$) are the benchmark OER catalysts6,8 but their elemental scarcity and prohibitive cost limit the widespread utilisation of such catalysts. Therefore, the development of efficient, earth-abundant and low cost OER catalysts is of paramount significance for future energy conversion and storage applications.8,9

To date, a wide variety of OER electrocatalysts have been developed, such as transition-metal hydroxides,8 oxides,10-13 phosphides,14 chalcogenides15-17 and metal-free electrocatalysts18-21. Of these, cobalt sulfides (CoS$_2$,22 CoS$_3$,23 CoS$_4$,24 CoS$_5$,25 CoS$_7$,24 and CoS$_8$,26,27) are of particular interest due to their unique electronic structure,28,29 rich structural diversities, low cost and high electrocatalytic activities for OER.30-32 Recent reports showed that the cobalt pentlandite (CoS$_8$) appears to be particularly promising for OER due to the large molecular cluster structure.30,33,34

In addition, theoretical studies also suggest the superior stability of CoS$_8$ over other cobalt sulfides owing to its unique crystal structure.35 In the primitive unit cell of CoS$_8$, there is one Co atom at the octahedral site (Co(O)), and the remaining eight Co atoms are at the tetrahedral sites (Co(T)). The formation of Co(O) and S further stabilises the CoS$_8$ crystals. CoS$_8$ possesses the optimal number of electrons per atom, which has a significant impact on its high heat of formation (∆Hf). In comparison to the other transition metal sulfides with the same stoichiometry, CoS$_8$ has the largest ∆Hf value, further validating its superior stability. Moreover, the density of states (DOS) of CoS$_8$ indicates pseudometallic characteristics and therefore, good electronic conductivity. Additionally, its Fermi energy level is located in the middle of the pseudogap, suggesting fully occupied and almost empty bonding and anti-bonding states, respectively. This molecular electronic configuration facilitates the required level of chemical interaction between the O atoms in the water molecule and the empty anti-bonding states in metal cations during the initial stage of the OER process. These theoretical modelling results suggest the structural-enhanced mechanism of CoS$_8$ electrocatalyst for OER that reflect experimental observations.

To realise the benefits mentioned above, precise control of the atomic stoichiometry in CoS$_8$ synthesis is critically
important. However, the complex bonding modes between cobalt and sulfur make the desired stoichiometric Co$_2$S$_3$ synthesis relatively challenging and often results in intricate crystal structure. To date, a number of synthetic methods including hydrothermal, solution-phase, and wet-chemical have been demonstrated. Pyrolysis has been a popular and fairly successful method of preparing such materials, but has several drawbacks, such as the use of toxic and hazardous gases (H$_2$, H$_2$S). In addition, some of the pyrolysis techniques involve two-step calcination and the pyrolysis reaction mechanisms were not well understood.

Another huge challenge in the synthesis of Co$_2$S$_3$ is the aggregation of Co$_2$S$_3$ nanoparticles (NPs) which not only decreases the effective active surface area but also introduces grain boundaries and defects detrimental to electronic conductivity. Thus, nanostructuring of Co$_2$S$_3$ onto a conductive carbonaceous support (graphene, carbon nanotubes (CNT) and carbon nanosheets (CNS)) is a good approach for improved electronic conductivity and electrocatalytic active surface area. However, the uniform distribution and synergistic bonding between the NPs and predefined carbon nanostructures lead to a simple physical interface connection.

As such, a novel one-step concurrent calcination approach warranting intimate connectivity of Co$_2$S$_3$ NPs with the carbon nanostructures (CNS) is of fundamental interest to accelerate the development of Co$_2$S$_3$ electrocatalysts for OERs, with an in-depth understanding of the pyrolysis mechanism.

Herein, a one-step concurrent growth of Co$_2$S$_3$ NPs and carbon nanosheet (Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS) composites from the pyrolytic transformation of cobalt-oleate (Co(OA)$_2$) to Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS nanocomposites in the presence of Na$_2$SO$_4$ salt is reported. At moderately high temperature (700 °C), the Co(OA)$_2$ precursor can undergo concurrent sulfidation and carbonisation. The amorphous carbon reduces SO$_4^{2-}$ ions to produce S$^2-$ in the form of H$_2$S for the sulfidation of the Co precursor to yield Co$_2$S$_3$ NPs and the resulting Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS nanocomposite. The nanocomposite exhibited superior electrocatalytic activity for OER in alkaline electrolyte and excellent stability compared to all other cobalt chalcogenides and most noble metal based electrocatalysts reported to date.

**Experimental section**

**Preparation of Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS nanocomposites**

In a typical synthesis process, 1.0 mmol of Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (Sigma-Aldrich, ACS reagent, ≥98%) was dissolved in 20 mL of Milli-Q water (18 Ω), then 2 mmol sodium oleate (NaOA) (TCI Co.) was added to the mixture, followed by 30 mL n-hexane (Sigma-Aldrich, HPLC grade, ≥95%) and 20 mL ethanol (Chem-Supply). The resulting suspension was refluxed at 70 °C for 1 h with continuous stirring leading to the formation of cobalt oleate (Co(OA)$_2$). Thereafter, the suspension was cooled to room temperature and poured into a separatory funnel. The bottom aqueous layer containing unreacted metal species and oleate (OA) was drained off and the purple top organic layer containing Co(OA)$_2$ was collected. 15.0 g of anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$ (AR grade, Univar) was added to the above suspension and dried at 80 °C in an oven. This solid mixture was ground, and during this process, Co(OA)$_2$, was uniformly coated on the surface of Na$_2$SO$_4$ particles. This ground powder was calcinated at 500 to 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ under continuous Ar flow (20 sccm) and held for 3 h in a tubular furnace. After cooling under Ar, the product was washed with copious amounts of water and recovered via centrifugation at 12000 rpm at least five times. After that, absolute ethanol was used for the final wash and the black powder was dried at 60 °C for 24 h in air and denoted as Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS. Pure Co$_2$S$_3$ was also synthesised according to the literature reported elsewhere and calcined at 700 °C under Ar atmosphere.

**Preparation of Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS/CNT nanocomposites**

Commercial (Shenzhen NTP Company, China) multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) with average range of diameter and length of 40-60 nm and 5-15 µm, respectively, were partially oxidised by a modified Hummers method and dispersed in Milli-Q water to form a 5 mg mL$^{-1}$ dispersion. Subsequently, 1.0 mmol of Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O was dissolved in a given volume of CNT dispersion, followed by the same procedure described above to prepare the final nanocomposites. Five nanocomposites containing different amounts of CNTs were prepared and denoted as Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS/CNT-1 (16.0 wt% CNT content), Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS/CNT-2 (25.3% CNT), Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS/CNT-3 (34.8% CNT), Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS/CNT-4 (42.0% CNT) and Co$_2$S$_3$/CNS/CNT-5 (47.3% CNT).

**Materials characterisation**

The identification of bulk crystal phase and other relevant crystal structural information of the materials studied in this work were characterised by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer, equipped with a graphite monochromator) and Raman spectroscopic (Renishaw 100 system Raman spectrometer using 632.8 nm He-Ne laser) techniques. The surface morphology and nanostructural characterisations were conducted using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) (JSM-7100F) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM, Philips F20) linked with an EDS (Oxford) mapping device. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method was utilised to calculate the specific surface area (SBET) using nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms, while pore size distribution was calculated using the Barrett, Joyner and Halenda (BJH) method in Quantachrome Autosorb-1 equipment. The chemical compositions of the samples were analysed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Kratos Axis ULTRA with a 165 mm hemispherical electron energy analyser). The thermal processes of the precursors were characterised by heating the precursor from 100 to 900 °C with a ramp rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ in an inert (Ar) atmosphere with TG/DSC (TG/DSC, Netzsch STA 449F3) and online gas MS spectrometer (MS, OmniStar GSD 320). The transmission
mode Fourier transform infrared spectroscopic (FTIR) analysis of the samples was carried out using a Perkin Elmer spectrometer 1000 FTIR spectrometer with KBr as reference matrix. An inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Agilent 710) was used to estimate the total Co content in the nanocomposite, where the limit of detection (LOD) for Co was 0.3 μg L⁻¹.

**Electrochemical measurements**

To evaluate the OER catalytic performance, a homogeneous ink was first prepared by adding 4.0 mg of catalyst in 1 mL of a solvent mixture of Nafion (5%), absolute ethanol and Milli-Q water with a volume ratio of 1:1:8, followed by sonication in an ultrasonic bath for at least 1 h. 12.0 μL (loading of 0.24 mg cm⁻²) of the catalyst ink was drop casted on a clean rotating disk electrode (RDE) and dried in air at room temperature. The OER activities were measured in a standard three-electrode system, where the catalyst loaded RDE, an Hg/HgO (1.0 M NaOH) electrode and platinum mesh were the working, reference and counter electrode, respectively. The electrochemical responses were recorded using a potentiostat (CHI 760D, CH Instruments, USA) and the current density was normalised to the geometric area of the RDE (0.196 cm²). The polarisation curves and Tafel plots were obtained at scan rates of 5 and 1 mV s⁻¹, respectively. All potentials in this work are reported with respect to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale using the equation \( E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Hg/HgO}} + 0.059 \times \text{pH} + 0.098 \), where \( \text{pH} = 14 \) in 1.0 M KOH electrolyte. The polarisation curves were corrected with 95% iR-compensation. For rotating ring disk electrode (RRDE) measurements, a RRDE with a glassy carbon disk (5 mm in diameter) and a Pt ring (Pine Instrument Co. Ltd. USA) were used. To ensure the anodic current originates from OER rather than other side reactions, the ring (Pt) potential was set at 0.4 V (vs. RHE) to reduce the O₂ produced from the catalyst loaded on the disk electrode in N₂-saturated 1 M KOH solution. To monitor HO₂⁻ formation, the ring potential was held at 1.5 V (vs. RHE) for oxidising HO₂⁻ intermediates in N₂-saturated 1.0 M KOH electrolyte. The disk potentials for both measurements were set at 1.52 V (vs. RHE) with a rotating speed of 1600 rpm. AC impedance measurements were carried out under the same experimental configuration at overpotential of 270 mV at frequencies ranging from 10⁵ to 10⁻¹ Hz with the applied potential amplitude of 5 mV. Chronopotentiometric (CP) and chronoamperometric (CA) stability were tested at current densities of 10 and 50 mA cm⁻² and applied potential of 1.53 V (vs. RHE), respectively, for 10 h.

The TOF values were calculated from eqn (1):

\[
\text{TOF} = \frac{J \times A}{4 \times F \times n}
\]

where \( J \) (A cm⁻²) is the measured current density at \( \eta = 300 \) mV; \( A \) (0.196 cm²) is the area of RDE; \( F \) (96485.3 C mol⁻¹) is Faraday’s constant; and \( n \) is the number of moles of the active material. TOF values were calculated assuming all metals were active during OER catalysis.

The mass activity (A g⁻¹) values were calculated from the catalyst loading \( m \) (0.24 mg cm⁻²) and the measured current density \( J \) (mA cm⁻²) at \( \eta = 300 \) mV, utilising the following eqn (2):

\[
\text{Mass Activity} = \frac{J}{m}
\]

\( \text{Results and discussion} \)

The solid phase synthesis of Co₉S₈/CNS nanocomposites through the one-step concurrent growth process was carried out via the calcination of cobalt-oleate (Co(OA)₉) (see Experimental section for details) over Na₂SO₄ salt under inert (Ar) atmosphere (Fig. 1).

![Schematic illustration of the one-step concurrent growth approach showing that the emission of H₂O, CO, CO₂, and H₂S gases during the calcination can promote the synthesis of Co₉S₈/CNS nanocomposite.](image)

Fig. 2a shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the Co₉S₈/CNS nanocomposite prepared at 700 °C, where the diffraction peaks can be indexed with the face centred cubic (fcc) Co₉S₈ crystal structure (JCPDS 86-2273). In contrast, the XRD patterns (Fig. S1, ESI†) of the samples prepared below 700 °C were mainly attributed to CoO and Co₉O₈. This suggests that an adequate level of thermal energy is necessary to facilitate the formation of pure Co₉S₈ crystals. No diffraction peaks were observed for CNS or any other forms of cobalt sulfide. This implies that the pure Co₉S₈ NPs were successfully synthesised and that the CNSSs were not stacked in the graphitic form. The Raman spectrum (Fig. 2b) of the Co₉S₈/CNS sample further confirms the formation of Co₉S₈ NPs on CNSs with the characteristic Raman bands at 233.5, 341.7, 470.2, 511.1, 606.1 and 675.8 cm⁻¹ for Co₉S₈ along with the D and G bands at 1341.2 and 1582.9 cm⁻¹ for the distorted graphitic carbon. The SEM images (Fig. 2c, Fig. S2 (ESI†)) of the Co₉S₈/CNS nanocomposite reveal the three-dimensional (3D) carbon nanostructures loaded with Co₉S₈ NPs with negligible aggregations. The ultrathin mesoporous CNSs with the thickness of ~10 nm were interconnected and the lateral size of each CNS was around several micrometres (Fig. S3, ESI†). As is evident from Fig. 2d, the hexagonal shaped Co₉S₈ NPs with an average particle size of ~60 nm were decorated on the CNS network. Higher calcination temperatures (>700 °C) resulted in the formation of large aggregates (Fig. S4, ESI†), which are unfavourable for high catalytic performance in OERs. This result highlights that an optimum calcination temperature is
critical for preparing uniformly structured Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS nanocomposites. In addition, the BET analysis suggests that the specific surface area of Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS nanocomposite is 80.27 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Fig. S5a, ESI†). Whereas, the pore size distribution curve in Fig. S5b (ESI†) confirms the mesoporous structure of the nanocomposite and is consistent with the pores indicated in Fig. 2d. The presence of abundant mesopores in the 3D CNS scaffold is beneficial for mass transport of reactants and products within the electrocatalysts and is advantageous for electrocatalytic OER applications.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to further elucidate the crystal structure of Co$_8$S$_6$ NPs. As shown in Fig. 2e, the Co$_8$S$_6$ NPs can be clearly distinguished on the decorated CNSs. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (bottom left in Fig. 2e) confirms the existence of the (111), (311), (222), (440) and (511) diffraction planes in the Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS nanocomposite, consistent with the XRD result (Fig. 2a). In addition, the high resolution TEM (HRTEM) image (top left in Fig. 2e) shows a lattice spacing of 5.65 Å, corresponding to the (111) plane of the cubic fcc phase of Co$_8$S$_6$ crystals.

Fig. 2f and 2g display the bright and dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopic (STEM) images of the Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS nanocomposite in which the NPs can be identified as black (Fig. 2f) and bright (Fig. 2g) spots, respectively. Furthermore, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping was carried out to map the elemental distribution throughout the nanocomposite. As is evident from Fig. 2h, the NPs are composed of Co and S as evident from the overlapping elemental distribution of Co and S. The EDS mapping also indicates that the CNSs were not doped by sulfur. Additionally, low magnification EDS mapping (Fig. S6, ESI†) shows the uniform distribution of Co$_8$S$_6$ NPs throughout the entire nanocomposite.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey spectrum (Fig. 3a) reveals the presence of Co, S, O and C elements in the nanocomposite. Fig. 3b shows the high resolution deconvoluted Co 2p spectrum, which displays two sets of doublets and shakeup satellites (abbreviated as “Sat.”). The first doublet (at 779.5 and 795.4 eV) and the second (at 781.0 and 796.7 eV) can be assigned to Co 2p$_{1/2}$ and Co 2p$_{3/2}$, respectively, consistent with the literature on the formation of Co$_8$S$_6$.26, 30, 37 For the S 2p spectrum (Fig. 3c), two characteristic peaks centred at 161.6 and 162.8 eV correspond to S 2p$_{1/2}$ and S 2p$_{3/2}$ states attributed to the S$^{2-}$ species bonded with Co atoms.26, 33 In addition to S$^{2-}$ states, the peak located at 168.5 eV associated with S$^{6-}$ states might have resulted from the oxidised sulfur species on the Co$_8$S$_6$ NPs’ surface.54 The C 1s spectrum (Fig. 3d) reveals that in addition to the main CNS carbon, C=C/C=C (at 284.6 eV), oxygen containing carbon species such as C-O (at 285.9 eV), C=O (at 286.7 eV) and O=C=O (at 288.7 eV) were present in the nanocomposite. These functional groups may be responsible for the uniformly distributed growth of Co$_8$S$_6$ NPs. The FTIR spectrum (Fig. S7, ESI†) of the Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS sample shows two peaks at the frequencies of 1100 and 620 cm$^{-1}$ related to the S-O bond and the lattice vibration of metal cations, respectively.55, 56 The combination of XPS and FTIR analysis suggests that Co$_8$S$_6$ NPs were bonded on the CNSs through interfacial S-O groups.57

**Fig. 2** (a) XRD pattern, (b) Raman spectrum, (c) low magnification SEM, (d) higher magnification SEM, (e) TEM, (f) bright-field, (g) dark-field TEM images and (h) Co, S and C distribution mapping of Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS nanocomposite. Inset in (d) shows hexagonal shaped Co$_8$S$_6$ NP. The Co$_8$S$_6$ NPs, CNSs and mesopores are indicated by yellow, red and purple arrow or lines, respectively. Insets in (e) show high resolution HRTEM (top left) and SAED pattern (bottom left).

**Fig. 3** (a) XPS survey spectrum, and high resolution (b) Co 2p, (c) S 2p and (d) C 1s spectra of the Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS nanocomposite.

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were used to determine the bulk composition of the Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS nanocomposite. The ICP-OES result confirmed the mass ratio of Co$_8$S$_6$ (total cobalt) in Co$_8$S$_6$/CNS nanocomposite was 48.4%
by weight. On the other hand, TGA not only enables us to estimate the weight percentage of Co9S8 but also to assess the thermal stability of the nanocomposite in air. The resultant TGA curve (Fig. 4a) suggested an initial weight loss of (~11.6%) within the temperature range of 50 to 400 °C which corresponds with the evaporation of adsorbed H2O and decomposition of labile oxygen functional groups in the CNSs, as detected by the XPS measurement. A further weight loss of ~14.9% is due to the oxidation of Co9S8 nanocrystals to form CoO (I). However, the TGA curve was almost stable at temperatures >800 °C due to the thermally oxidised CoO (II) from Co9S8, as confirmed by the XRD pattern (Fig. 4b). Based on the solid residue remaining as Co3O4, the amount of Co9S8 was calculated to be 48.5% in the Co9S8/CNS nanocomposite, which is in good agreement with the ICP-OES result (48.4%).

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Fig. 4** (a) TGA curve for Co9S8/CNS sample in air from 50 to 900 °C, (b) XRD pattern of the sample after burning the Co9S8/CNS nanocomposite at 900 °C in air, (c) T/G/S/D and (d) MS curves of the precursor (Co(OA)2+N2SO4) under Ar protection from 100 to 900 °C.

To understand the reaction mechanism for the concurrent growth of Co9S8/CNS nanocomposites, synchronous TG/DSC-MS data were collected and presented in Fig. 4c and 4d. According to our previous work, the concurrently grown product is largely reliant on the corresponding decomposition characteristics of each component in the solid reaction precursor. Therefore, a certain amount of thermal energy is essential to trigger each decomposition product during the calcination. In Fig. 4c, the initial major breakdown started at 283 °C and continued up to 511 °C, as indicated by the endothermic DSC peak and simultaneous MS peak emissions (Fig. 4d). This implies the decomposition of Co(OA)2 to form CoO (Fig. S1, ESI†) and amorphous carbon (eqn 3). A broad endothermic DSC peak from 550 to 765 °C with a sharp weight loss of 0.45% is related to the reduction of Na2SO4 (eqn 4) by carbon to produce Na2S. Thereafter, the hydrolysis of Na2S (eqn 5) led to the formation of H2S, which can transform the CoO to Co9S8 nanocrystals (eqn 6). The major gaseous products were emitted between 648 to 765 °C, which suggests the concurrent carbonisation and sulphidation of the reaction precursor (Co(OA)2+N2SO4). Also, the pyrolysis temperature of ~700 °C can be treated as the optimum condition to prepare uniformly structured Co9S8/CNS nanocomposite. Furthermore, a fraction of amorphous carbon produced during the initial heating process and the salt (Na2SO4) were utilised to arrange the final Co9S8/CNS nanocomposite. This means that the solid salt (Na2SO4) not only helps to grow the nanostructures on its surface but also supplies the adequate amount of sulfur species required for the one-step sulfidation process.

\[
\text{Co(OA)}_2 \rightarrow \text{CoO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{C} + \text{CO} + \text{CO}_2 \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{C} + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{S} + \text{CO} + \text{CO}_2 \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{Na}_2\text{S} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{NaOH} \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{CoO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{C} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow \text{Co}_9\text{S}_8\text{/CNS} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (6)
\]

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Fig. 5** (a) Polarisation curves, (b) Tafel plots, (c) Nyquist plots of Co9S8/CNS, Co9S8 and RuO2 electrocatalysts; (d) CP and CA plots of the Co9S8/CNS catalyst in 1.0 M KOH electrolyte.

In this work, the electrocatalytic OER performances of Co9S8/CNS and other control samples, including Co9S8 (Fig. S8, ESI†), and benchmark RuO2 were evaluated in 1.0 M KOH electrolyte using a standard three-electrode system as described in the experimental section. Fig. 5a shows the polarisation curves of Co9S8/CNS, Co9S8 and commercial RuO2 electrocatalysts obtained at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. An obvious rise of the anodic current at an onset potential of ~1.45 V (vs. RHE) was observed for the Co9S8/CNS catalyst. This was ~20 mV earlier than that of pure Co9S8 and RuO2, indicating the superior intrinsic catalytic activity of the Co9S8/CNS catalyst towards OER. In addition, the overpotential required to reach the current density of 10 mA cm⁻² was 294 mV for the Co9S8/CNS catalyst, 15 mV lower than that of RuO2 (309 mV) and significantly lower than that of Co9S8 (340 mV), which demonstrates the importance of CNSs in improving the OER performance of the catalyst. Furthermore, the Co9S8/CNS nanocomposite exhibits the lowest OER overpotential at 10
mA cm\(^{-2}\) in both 0.1 M and 1.0 M KOH electrolyte compared with any other cobalt chalcogenide-based electrocatalysts reported to date (see Table S1, S2, ESI\(^{†}\)).

To assess the influence of overpotential on the steady-state current density, Tafel plots were constructed (Fig. 5b). The resulting Tafel slope of the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS catalyst was 50.7 mV dec\(^{-1}\), which was much lower than that of Co\(_{8}\)S\(_8\) (85.6 mV dec\(^{-1}\)) and slightly lower than RuO\(_2\) (52.5 mV dec\(^{-1}\)), demonstrating its superior OER kinetics. To understand the faster OER kinetics of the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS sample, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) technique was also employed to examine the charge transfer process in the catalysts (Fig. 5c). The charge transfer resistance (R\(_{ct}\)) of Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS (46.8 Ω) was much smaller than that of Co\(_{8}\)S\(_8\) (79.1 Ω) and slightly lower than that of RuO\(_2\) (49.5 Ω), consistent with the Tafel measurements (Fig. 5b). The lowest R\(_{ct}\) value of the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS catalyst demonstrates the importance of intimate connectivity between Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\) NPs and CNSs within the nanocomposite, facilitating faster charge transfer during OER catalysis. In addition, the extent of electrocatalytic active sites was compared with the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (C\(_dl\)).\(^{60,61}\) The resulting C\(_dl\) value for the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS catalyst was 37.7 mF cm\(^{-2}\), whereas the C\(_dl\) values of Co\(_{8}\)S\(_8\) and RuO\(_2\) were 6.5 and 9.9 mF cm\(^{-2}\), respectively (Fig. S9, ESI\(^{†}\)). This significant difference in C\(_dl\) values between the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\) and Co\(_{8}\)S\(_8\)/CNS samples was a result of the largely aggregated micro-sized (~1 μm) Co\(_{8}\)S\(_8\) NPs (Fig. S7b, ESI\(^{†}\)) prepared in absence of any carbon support. The Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\) NPs’ size, on the other hand, was easily controlled on the scale of several tens of nanometres (Fig. 2d), further confirming the utility of the one-step concurrent growth method. Consequently, the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS catalyst can offer larger amounts of electrocatalytic active sites compared to Co\(_{8}\)S\(_8\), thereby supporting the superior OER catalytic performance.

In addition to its high electrocatalytic activity, the catalyst’s stability is critical for energy conversion applications. The Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS catalyst’s chronopotentiometry (CP) and chronoamperometry (CA) test results in 1.0 M KOH electrolyte are presented in Fig. 5d. The potential remained unchanged (~1.53 V) at the current density of 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) in the CP test, while the current density stayed close to ~10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at 1.53 V for the 10 h testing period. This shows the impressive electrocatalytic and cyclic (Fig. S10, ESI\(^{†}\)) stability of the catalyst in alkaline media which matches the theoretical predictions.\(^{35}\) The turnover frequency (TOF) and mass activity of Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS were 0.1063 s\(^{-1}\) and 52.1 A g\(^{-1}\), respectively, which are much higher than those of Co\(_{8}\)S\(_8\) and RuO\(_2\) (see Table 1). It should be noted that the calculated TOF values represent the lower limit of the catalyst since not all active sites are electrochemically accessible during catalysis. More importantly, the TOF for the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS catalyst was much higher than that of the previously reported metal sulfide catalysts.\(^{35}\)

To ensure that the observed current originated from the OER process, a rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) was employed with a ring (Pt) potential of 0.40 V (vs. RHE) to reduce the generated O\(_2\) from the glassy carbon electrode (GCE) disk. The simultaneous OER at the GCE disk and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) at the Pt ring may detect the molecular O\(_2\).\(^{61,62}\) As evident from Fig. S11a (ESI\(^{†}\)), a constant disk current of 619 μA (black line) resulting from molecular O\(_2\) generation on the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS catalyst surface at the disk electrode was observed. The generated molecular O\(_2\) sweeps across the surrounding Pt ring electrode and are readily reduced. As a consequence, a ring current of ~121 μA (collection efficiency of 0.2) was recorded (blue line), confirming that the observed OER current catalysed by the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS nanocomposite primarily originates from the desirable OER process with a Faradaic efficiency of 95-97% (Inset in Fig. S11a, ESI\(^{†}\)). We also checked for the possible formation of hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) intermediates by setting an applied potential of 1.5 V (vs. RHE) and oxidising these intermediates. As shown in Fig. S11b (ESI\(^{†}\)), a lower level of the ring current (~3.4 μA) was detected (blue line) compared to the large disk current (black line), which confirmed a negligible amount of hydrogen peroxide produced during the OER catalysis. This provides a reasonable validation that the OER catalysed by Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS proceeded via a desired four-electron pathway.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Onset potential (V)</th>
<th>\text{g@10 mA cm}^{-2} (mV(^{†}))</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(R_{ct}) (Ω)</th>
<th>Mass activity (A g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>TOF (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co(_{8})S(_8)</td>
<td>~1.47</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>0.0808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_{8})S(_8)/CNS</td>
<td>~1.45</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_{9})S(_8)/CNS/CNT1</td>
<td>~1.45</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>0.4169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_{9})S(_8)/CNS/CNT2</td>
<td>~1.45</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>0.6352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_{9})S(_8)/CNS/CNT3</td>
<td>~1.45</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>0.3199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_{9})S(_8)/CNS/CNT4</td>
<td>~1.46</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>0.3080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_{9})S(_8)/CNS/CNT5</td>
<td>~1.46</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.2707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuO(_2)</td>
<td>~1.47</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS catalyst showed better performance than previously reported cobalt chalcogenide catalysts (see Table S1, S2, ESI\(^{†}\)) in terms of electrocatalytic activity, kinetics, and stability. However, the overpotential required to achieve the current density of 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) has a significant delay of ~74 mV (Fig. 5a) with respect to its onset overpotential. We speculate that the electronic conductivity of CNSs which support the active material (Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)) is not high enough to make the polarisation curve steeper. Based on this assumption, highly conductive multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT, denoted as “CNT”) were added as conductive filler in the Co\(_{9}\)S\(_8\)/CNS nanocomposite. Different amounts of partially oxidised (see Experimental section for details) CNTs were added in the precursor before carrying out the one-step
calcination to ensure good dispersion of the CNTs throughout the nanocomposites.

These Co$_9$S$_8$/CNS/CNT nanocomposites (Fig. S12, S13, ESI†) were applied as OER electrocatalysts in 1.0 M KOH electrolyte and their electrocatalytic OER activities (Fig. 6a) were highly dependent on the final composition of the catalysts (see Table S3, ESI†). As shown in Fig. 6a, the overpotential at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ decreased with the increase of CNT content from 16.0 to 25.3%. Further increase in CNT content resulted in inferior OER activity with higher overpotential. A minimum overpotential of 267 mV was achieved with the optimum 25.3% CNT in the nanocomposite. The Co$_9$S$_8$/CNS/CNT-2 (25.3% CNT) electrode displayed the lowest Tafel slope of 48.2 mV dec$^{-1}$ (Fig. 6b) among all the nanocomposites studied in this work. The low Tafel slope of the Co$_9$S$_8$/CNS/CNT-2 electrode reflects its superior OER kinetics, which is consistent with its lowest $R_C$ value (41.7 Ω) (Fig. 6c). The poor performances associated with the samples containing a larger amount of CNTs (>25.3%) might be related to the reduced amount of active material (Co$_9$S$_8$). The OER performance of both Co$_9$S$_8$/CNS and Co$_9$S$_8$/CNS/CNT-2 catalysts were also tested in 0.1 M KOH electrolyte (Fig. 6d). By comparing the corresponding electrochemical OER parameters (see Table S1, Table S2, ESI†), it can be concluded that the synthesised catalysts perform better than any other cobalt-based chalcogenides reported to date.

**Conclusions**

In summary, a novel concurrent growth approach has been demonstrated for the solid phase synthesis of a Co$_9$S$_8$/CNS nanocomposite and its application as an efficient electrocatalyst for oxygen evolution reaction (OER). This inexpensive, facile, one-step, and easily controllable synthetic method can enhance physico-chemical connectivity between the nanoparticulate active materials (Co$_9$S$_8$) and the carbon nanosheets (CNSs). More importantly, based on the TG/DSC-MS analysis, a plausible pyrolysis reaction mechanism for the preparation of Co$_9$S$_8$/CNS nanocomposite is proposed. Compared to pure Co$_9$S$_8$, the Co$_9$S$_8$/CNS catalyst exhibits better electrocatalytic activity, impressive durability, lower overpotential at a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ and a smaller Tafel slope. Moreover, the performance of the as-prepared electrocatalyst is better than the benchmark RuO$_2$ and any other cobalt-based chalcogenides reported to date. We, therefore, believe that the synthetic method developed in this work can be further extended to synthesise a wide variety of metal-sulphide and carbon nanocomposites for energy conversion and storage applications.
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