Abstract—Numerical modeling and analysis are effective techniques to assist in the design of high-frequency switching power supplies. Striving for high power densities and higher efficiencies has pushed the operating frequencies up, resulting in new circuit configurations and different component architecture. This paper presents a numerical method that is used to analyze magnetic systems for switching power supplies, in particular the switching resonant dc-dc converters. The method uses the harmonic balance technique combined with finite element methods and is referred to as the harmonic balance-finite element method (HBFEM). The HBFEM is used to solve various harmonic, eddy-current, hysteresis, and nonlinear problems applied to magnetic circuits used in switching power supplies. To evaluate the numerical results, comparisons are made with experimental results.

I. INTRODUCTION

Numerical modeling techniques are becoming popular for electromagnetic field analysis of high-frequency, high-density switching power supplies. Other numerical techniques for the solution of structural stress and thermal analysis are also finding favor with the design engineer. This paper discusses mainly the numerical modeling and analysis of electromagnetic fields in switching power supplies. The advantages of such power supplies are high efficiency, small size, and lower weight [1]. These advantages do not come free of charge because electromagnetic interference (EMI), leakage inductance, skin and proximity effects, winding self-capacitance, and interwinding capacitance can present serious problems. Furthermore, the nonlinear nature and hysteresis of the core material can cause waveform distortion [2], [3]. These waveform distortions cause harmonics that will increase power losses in both the winding and magnetic core and cause a loss of efficiency as well as the possibility of parasitic resonance in the system unless properly designed.

Here we use harmonic balance analysis combined with the finite element method to solve problems arising from nonlinear, harmonic, eddy-current, and power loss problems of transformers used in switching power supplies.

II. THE CONCEPT OF HARMONIC BALANCE ANALYSIS APPLIED TO MAGNETIC CIRCUITS

Harmonic balance techniques have been used to solve nonlinear circuits problems since the 1960’s. This method was firmly established in the 1970’s and widely used in solving nonlinear circuits problems and analyzing harmonic problems in the 1980’s [4]. It was introduced to quasi-static electromagnetic field analysis at the end of the 1980’s [5].

The harmonic balance-finite element method (HBFEM) differs from traditional finite-element time-domain methods, transient analysis, and other time harmonic methods. The harmonic balance uses a linear combination of sinusoids to generate a solution and represents waveforms using the coefficients of the sinusoids. It is combined with the finite element method to solve time-periodic steady-state nonlinear electromagnetic field problems. The HBFEM directly solves the steady-state response of the electromagnetic field in the frequency domain and so is often considerably more efficient than traditional time-domain methods when fields exhibit widely separated time constants and mildly nonlinear behavior.

The electromagnetic field with harmonics satisfies Maxwell’s equations. The magnetic core of a converter that has nonlinear characteristics and hysteresis and is excited by a current source of current density \( J_s \), is considered. From Maxwell’s equations, the potential equation of the magnetic field can be written

\[
\Delta \times J \times A + \sigma (\partial A/\partial t + \Delta \phi) - J_s = 0 \tag{1}
\]

where \( \nu (=1/\mu) \) and \( \sigma \) are magnetic reluctivity and conductivity. The vector potential \( A \), scalar potential \( \phi \), and current density \( J_s \) can be, respectively, expressed using trigonometric functions as

\[
A^i = A_0^i + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ A_{ks}^i \sin(k \omega t) + A_{ke}^i \cos(k \omega t) \} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\phi^i = \phi_0^i + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \phi_{ks}^i \sin(k \omega t) + \phi_{ke}^i \cos(k \omega t) \} \tag{3}
\]

\[
J_s = J_0^i + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ J_{ks}^i \sin(k \omega t) + J_{ke}^i \cos(k \omega t) \} \tag{4}
\]

where \( ks \) and \( kc \) indicate the \( k \)th sin and cos coefficients, respectively, and \( i \) is the node number of the element in the analyzed spatial domain.

If the nonlinear material properties are isotropic, and variable with magnetic fields, the response of nonlinear materials can also be expressed by assuming a trigonometric function.
The magnetic reluctivity can be expressed as

\[
\nu(B(t)) = H\{B(t)\}/B(t)
\]

\[
= \nu_0 + \sum_{k=2n-2}^{\infty} \{\nu_{ks} \sin(k\omega t) + \nu_{kc} \cos(k\omega t)\}
\]

where the Fourier coefficients can be calculated from

\[
\nu_0 = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \nu(t)dt
\]

\[
\nu_{ns} = \frac{2}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \nu(t) \cdot \sin(n\omega t)dt
\]

\[
\nu_{nc} = \frac{2}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \nu(t) \cdot \cos(n\omega t)dt.
\]

If the hysteresis characteristic of the magnetic core is considered, the approximate expression can be used to describe magnetization and residual hysteresis [6], that is

\[
H\{B(t)\} = H_0(B) + H_e \frac{dB}{dt}
\]

\[
\approx (\alpha B + \beta B^{2n-1}) + \left(\frac{1}{f} \frac{dB}{dt}\right)
\]

where the first term indicates the saturation characteristic of the magnetic core and the second term expresses the hysteresis characteristic. \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\), which are coefficients of saturation, can be obtained from the \(B-H\) curve of the magnetic core, and \(f\) is the excitation frequency.

Because the trigonometric function has an orthogonal characteristic, the harmonic potential \(A_k\) on each node of a boundary also satisfies Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, which are the frequency domain representations, and are, respectively, expressed as

\[
A_k = \{A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots\}^T
\]

\[
\frac{\partial A_k}{\partial n} = \left[\frac{\partial A_0}{\partial n}, \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial n}, \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial n}, \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial n}, \ldots\right]^T
\]

Equations (10) and (11) indicate that the magnetic potential \(A\) at a boundary is also joined by all harmonic components.

III. HBFEM WITH CURRENT SOURCE TO MAGNETIC FIELD

In switching power supplies, some dc/dc converter topologies like zero voltage switching resonant converters and push-pull current source converters can be considered as current-source to magnetic field systems, as shown in Fig. 1. The HBFEM numerical modeling and computation results compared with experimental results are discussed in this section.

A. Numerical Model of Current Source to Magnetic Field

Assuming \(\Delta \varphi = 0\) in the two dimensional case and using Galerkin’s method [7] to discretize the governing equation, (1) for the two dimensional problems can be written as

\[
G = \int_S \left\{ \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x} \nu_x \frac{\partial A_0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial y} \nu_y \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial y} \right\} dx dy
\]

\[
- \int_S \left\{ J_e - \sigma \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right\} N_e dx dy = 0.
\]

Considering the orthogonal characteristic of trigonometric functions, substituting magnetic vector \(A\), interpolation functions \(N_0\), magnetic reluctivity \(\nu\), and current density \(J_e\) into (12), and using harmonic balance techniques, the following harmonic balance matrix equation considering only odd harmonics for a single element can be obtained

\[
G^e = \frac{1}{4\Delta \varphi} \times \left[ \begin{array}{c} (b_1b_1 + c_1c_1)D \quad (b_1b_2 + c_1c_2)D \quad (b_1b_3 + c_1c_3)D \\ (b_2b_1 + c_2c_1)D \quad (b_2b_2 + c_2c_2)D \quad (b_2b_3 + c_2c_3)D \\ (b_3b_1 + c_3c_1)D \quad (b_3b_2 + c_3c_2)D \quad (b_3b_3 + c_3c_3)D \end{array} \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ \begin{array}{c} A_1^1 \\ A_1^2 \\ A_1^3 \end{array} \right] + \frac{\omega \Delta \varphi}{12} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2N \quad N \quad N \\ N \quad 2N \quad N \\ N \quad N \quad 2N \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} A_1^1 \\ A_1^2 \\ A_1^3 \end{array} \right]
\]

\[
- \left[ \begin{array}{c} K_1^1 \\ K_1^2 \\ K_1^3 \end{array} \right] = [S^e][A^e] + [N^e][A^e] - \{K^e\}
\]

where the vector potential \(A^e\) is called the frequency-domain representation, \(\Delta\) is the area of the triangular elements, and \(\omega\) indicates the fundamental frequency. The \(b\) and \(c\) are obtained from \(x\) and \(y\) coordinates \((b_{i,0} = x_{i,0}y_{j,0} - x_{i,0}y_{j,1}, c_{i,0} = y_{i,0}y_{j,0} - y_{i,0}y_{j,1})\). The \(D\) and \(N\) matrix blocks are

\[
D = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots \\
1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots \\
1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
1 & 42 & 43 & 44 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
N = \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 1 & 1 & \ldots \\
1 & 2 & 2 & \ldots \\
1 & 2 & 2 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
1 & 2 & 2 & \ldots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
K = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots \\
1 & 2 & 2 & \ldots \\
1 & 2 & 2 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
1 & 2 & 2 & \ldots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The magnetic components of power supplies are usually excited by time-periodic quasi-static signals, and therefore the magnetic field can be considered as a quasi-static field. If the excitation is a sinusoidal wave, due to the magnetic saturation, harmonics occur in the magnetic field and current. These harmonics will increase power losses and EMI. This example shows the numerical analysis of nonlinear magnetic fields for power supplies.

In zero-voltage switched (ZVS) resonant converters and switching mode push-pull converters inserting an inductor at the input side, the excitation can be considered as a current-source to the magnetic field. The configuration of the magnetic system is shown in Fig. 2, where the hysteresis characteristic is considered as shown in Fig. 2(b). If the magnetic core is excited by a sinusoidal wave, a harmonic flux will occur in the magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 3, which is calculated by HBFEM [8], [9].

To validate the numerical results, the analysis model with an air gap and two slots at the central leg, as shown in Fig. 4, has been used. This structure significantly affects the magnetic field.
Fig. 4. Magnetic system with current-source excitation. (a) Magnetic configuration: gap length = 0.19, depth = 15, number of turns = 225. (b) $B-H$ curve.

Fig. 5. The experimental results compared with numerical computation results in the case of current source excitation, where $\cdots$ indicates an experimental result and $---$ indicates a numerical result. (a) Magnetic density $B_1$. (b) Magnetic density $B_2$. (c) Magnetic density $B_3$. (d) Excitation current density $J$.

IV. HBFEM WITH VOLTAGE SOURCE TO MAGNETIC SYSTEM

In most cases, pulse width modulation and zero-current switched resonant converters can be considered as a voltage-source to the magnetic system, which is always coupled to the external circuits, as shown in Fig. 7. The current in the input circuits will be unknown, but saturation of the current waveform occurs because of the nonlinear characteristic of the magnetic core. This section discusses the numerical model and computed results compared with experimental results.

A. Numerical Model of Voltage Source to Magnetic System

According to Faraday's and Kirchhoff's laws, the relationship between the voltage and the magnetic field for a single element can be found as

$$\{V_k\} = [C_k] \begin{bmatrix} \{A_1^k\} \\ \{A_2^k\} \\ \{A_3^k\} \end{bmatrix}$$

(18)
where matrix \( [C_k] \) is the coefficients related to the voltage

\[
[C_k] = \frac{\omega d_0 \Delta \tau}{3S_{ck}} [N \ N \ N].
\]  

(19)

\( S_{ck} \) and \( d_0 \) are the area of windings and the depth in the \( z \)-direction, respectively. Then the input voltage can be defined

\[
\{V_{\text{in}}\} = \{V_k\} + S_{ck} [Z_k] \{J_k\}
\]  

(20)

where matrix \([Z_k]\) is the circuit impedance including the resistance of windings corresponding to the harmonics, that is

\[
[Z_k] = \begin{bmatrix}
Z_{0k} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & Z_{1k} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ddots
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  

(21)

The \([Z_{nk}\)] in (21) can be expressed as

\[
[Z_{nk}] = [Z_{Rnk}] + [Z_{Cnk}] + [Z_{Lnk}]
\]  

(22)

for the series circuit connection. The input voltage \(\{V_{\text{in}}\}\) including all the harmonic components that have a known value are expressed as

\[
\{V_{\text{in}}\} = \{V_{0k}, V_{1,k}, V_{1ck}, V_{2ck}, \cdots \}^T.
\]  

(23)

The system matrix equation related to current can be rewritten as

\[
[S] [A] + [M] [A] - [G_k] [J_k] = 0
\]  

(24)

where \([G_k]\) is obtained from a single element, that is

\[
[G_k] = \frac{\Delta \tau}{3}.
\]  

(25)

Combining (20) and (24), the global system matrix equations for multiple input and output are obtained. The harmonic balance FEM matrix equation for voltage source excitation, therefore can be expressed as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
[H] & -[G_1] & -[G_2] & \cdots & -[G_k] & \cdots \\
[C_1] & S_{c1}[Z_1] & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
[C_2] & 0 & S_{c2}[Z_2] & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & \cdots \\
[C_k] & 0 & 0 & 0 & S_{ck}[Z_k] & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Fig. 8. Configuration of numerical model for the voltage excitation. (a) Magnetic configuration: gap length = 0.11, depth = 15, number of turns = 120. (b) Magnetizing characteristics.

Fig. 9. Numerical and experimental results for different input voltages. (a) Square wave excitation. (b) Triangular wave excitation.

\[
\{ A \} \times \{ I_{in1} \} = \{ 0 \}
\]

where \{A\} and \{J_k\} are unknown and can be calculated by solving the system matrix equation. \([H]\) is the matrix obtained from \([S] + [M]\).

B. Example of Voltage-Source Excitation to Nonlinear Magnetic Field

When the transformers of power supplies are excited by a voltage source, such as pulse-width modulation (PWM) converters and zero-current switched (ZCS) resonant converters, the numerical analysis of the magnetic field should be carried out by taking account of the voltage source and the external circuits. If the excitation waveform is a square wave or triangular wave, it can be considered as a linear combination of harmonics. Fig. 8 shows the numerical model of voltage excitation with a nonlinear magnetic field. Fig. 9 demonstrates the numerical analysis results with square and triangular excitations. The distribution of magnetic flux for triangular wave excitation is shown in Fig. 10. The harmonic components of current and magnetic flux can help to more accurately analyze and design the magnetic core and winding structures and determine the power loss and leakage flux in the magnetic system.

V. CALCULATION OF EDDY CURRENT IN THE WINDINGS

The eddy current in the transformer and inductor windings has to be kept to the minimum possible because it produces power losses, thereby increasing the thermal requirements and decreasing efficiency. To prevent this kind of undesirable effect in transformers, a good knowledge of the eddy-current distri-
butions and related phenomena is required. The eddy-current distributions can be calculated by using HBFE, which is more accurate than conventional methods. The numerical model and computed results compared with experimental results are discussed in this section.

A. Numerical Model of Eddy-Current Problems

The system equations for the eddy-current problem take account of scalar potential $\varphi$ and the dc component is obtained from (1); that is

$$[S]\{A\} + ([M] + [R])\{A\} - \{K\} = 0$$

(27)

where $[S]$ and $[M]$ have the same form as in (14), but $D$ and $N$ have different details when the dc component is considered. That is

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & d_{13} & d_{14} & \cdots \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & d_{23} & d_{24} & \cdots \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} & d_{34} & \cdots \\ d_{41} & d_{42} & d_{43} & d_{44} & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2\nu_{1s} & \nu_{1s} & \nu_{1c} & \nu_{2s} & \cdots \\ 2\nu_{1s} & 2\nu_{1s} - \nu_{2c} & \nu_{2s} & \nu_{2c} & \cdots \\ 2\nu_{1c} & 2\nu_{1c} & \nu_{1c} - \nu_{2c} & \nu_{1s} + \nu_{3c} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

(28)

and

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

(29)

and the eddy-current matrix $\{R\}$ for a single element can be calculated as

$$[R_e] = \frac{(\Delta)^2 2\pi \nu \sigma}{12S}$$

(30)

where $S$ is the cross section of the coil and $\Delta$ is the area of the element.

B. Numerical Analysis of Eddy Current in the Two-Dimensional Axi-symmetrical Model

A typical structure of transformers used for resonant switch converters is shown in Fig. 11. In resonant switch converters, the transformer is normally excited by quasi-sinusoidal waveform, which includes ac fundamental, harmonics, and dc components. When magnetic core becomes saturated, the waveforms will be distorted and harmonics will be generated in the magnetic field and circuits. The hysteresis loss is also increased due to the effect of high frequency harmonics. The eddy currents in transformer windings are significantly increased by leakage flux of ac components, especially around the air gap of a magnetic core. Fig. 12 shows the numerical results for the distributions of magnetic flux calculated by HBFE. From these numerical results, one can find that, around the air gap, the dc component of magnetic flux can easily cross the windings without inducing any current in the windings, while the ac component of magnetic flux is pushed out of the windings by induced current called eddy current in the windings. This eddy current will produce power loss and heating in the windings, which decreases the efficiency. The eddy currents induced in the windings are shown in Fig. 13. The largest current density occurs at the edge of the air gap in the magnetic core. Fig. 14 demonstrates a comparison of numerical and experimental magnetic flux densities.

The power losses produced by eddy currents in both the coil and the magnetic core can be easily calculated by using the numerical results of HBFE. The formula for the total eddy-current power loss in the areas of the coil and the magnetic
core can be expressed as

$$P_{\text{total}} = P_{\text{coil}} + P_{\text{core}}$$

(31)

where $P_{\text{coil}}$ is the total eddy-current power loss in the coil, given by

$$P_{\text{coil}} = \sum_e \left( P_{e,\text{coil}}^e + P_{2e,\text{coil}}^e + \cdots + P_{ne,\text{coil}}^e \right)$$

(32)

and $P_{\text{core}}$ is a summation of the eddy-current power loss in the magnetic core, that is

$$P_{\text{core}} = \sum_e \left( P_{1e,\text{core}}^e + P_{2e,\text{core}}^e + \cdots + P_{ne,\text{core}}^e \right)$$

(33)

where $e$ indicates the $e$th harmonic. Eddy-current power loss for each harmonic component can be calculated using the
following generalized equation

\[ P_n = \sigma \Delta^e \left\{ \sqrt{(J_{en})^2 + (J_{enc})^2} \right\} \]  

(34)

where \( J_{en} \) can be obtained by

\[ J_{ena} = \frac{n_0 \sigma \Delta^e}{4S} \sum_{i=1,2,3} A_{nc}^i \]  

(35)

and

\[ J_{enc} = \frac{n_0 \sigma \Delta^e}{4S} \sum_{i=1,2,3} A_{ns}^i \]  

(36)

where \( A \) is the vector potential on each node and \( S \) is the cross sectional for each material.

VI. CONCLUSION

A numerical method called HBFEM for the analysis of the magnetic fields in switching power supplies has been proposed. This method can be effectively used to solve the harmonic problems in the magnetic field. To design and analyze switching power supplies, numerical techniques are required to determine the leakage inductance, eddy currents, and power loss. All these losses involve electromagnetic field analysis. Therefore, the numerical technique plays an important role in the analysis of switching power supplies. This paper presented a harmonic balance technique combined with the finite element method for solving the quasi-static nonlinear magnetic field problems. The magnetic field coupled with the circuits has been discussed, and a numerical model described by a system matrix equation is obtained. Several examples show the application of HBFEM to solve harmonic and eddy-current problems. The comparison between numerical and experimental results is in good agreement.
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