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Enteral feeding for very low birth weight infants: reducing the risk of necrotising enterocolitis

M Chauhan,1 G Henderson,2 W McGuire1

ABSTRACT

The principal modifiable risk factors for necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in very low birth weight infants relate to enteral feeding practices. Evidence exists that feeding with formula milk increases the risk of NEC. Currently, only limited data are available on the effect of the timing of feed introduction and advancement on the risk of developing NEC. Large, multicentre randomised controlled trials of these strategies are needed. Other promising interventions that merit further evaluation include the use of prebiotics and probiotics, and the avoidance of exposure to H2-receptor antagonists.

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) affects about 5% of very low birth weight (VLBW) infants. Short gestational age at birth is the major risk factor. Although treatment with antenatal corticosteroids reduces the risk of developing NEC by 50%, the incidence of NEC in VLBW infants has not changed markedly in the past 20 years, presumably because of increases in early neonatal survival rates for extremely preterm infants. The mortality rate in infants with confirmed NEC is greater than 20%. Long-term morbidity can include neurological disability, the consequence of undernutrition and associated infection during a very vulnerable period of growth and development.

Given these levels of morbidity and mortality, better preventative strategies are needed. Most VLBW infants who develop NEC have received enteral milk feeds and it has long been postulated that differences in enteral feeding regimens contribute to variation in the incidence of NEC between units (fig 1). Here, we summarise the current evidence for the effect of various enteral feeding practices on the risk of NEC and discuss the options for further research to clarify the uncertainties in this core aspect of care of the VLBW infant.

BREAST MILK VERSUS FORMULA MILK FEEDING

Observational studies have reported higher incidences of NEC in infants who receive only formula milk than in infants fully or partially fed with maternal breast milk. No randomised controlled trials of feeding VLBW infants with formula milk versus maternal breast milk have been carried out but meta-analyses of randomised trials of feeding with formula versus donor breast milk demonstrate a significantly higher risk of NEC in formula-fed infants (fig 2). Surveillance and ascertainment biases may have contributed to the higher rate of detection of NEC in formula-fed infants as none of the trials blinded caregivers and assessors to the intervention. Furthermore, it is unclear whether any benefit of donor breast milk exists when given as a supplement to maternal breast milk rather than as a sole diet.

These findings endorse the practice of supporting mothers to express breast milk for their VLBW infant using evidence-based interventions. Whether donor breast milk is the optimal alternative when maternal milk is not available also requires consideration of feasibility, costs, acceptability and the effect on other important clinical outcomes, principally nutrient intake, growth and development.

Nutrient fortification of breast milk

Expressed breast milk for feeding VLBW infants is commonly fortified with added nutrients. Although increasing the osmolality of the breast milk with fortifiers can delay gastric emptying and intestinal peristalsis, trials of nutrient fortification of breast milk have not found any evidence of an increased incidence of feed intolerance or NEC.

TIMING OF INTRODUCTION AND RATE OF ADVANCEMENT OF ENTERAL FEEDS

Multicentre benchmarking studies have found that the incidence of NEC is higher in neonatal centres where enteral feeding is introduced earlier, and feeding volumes are advanced more quickly. Data from observational studies have also suggested that the timing of the introduction and rate of advancement of enteral feeding may be modifiable risk factors for the development of NEC in VLBW infants. However, the randomised trials undertaken to date have been insufficiently powered to determine which specific feeding strategies affect the risk of NEC (table 1).

Trophic feeding

Trophic feeding (volumes up to about 24 ml/kg/day) compared with enteral fasting reduces the time taken to establish full feeding and the length of hospital stay without increasing the risk of NEC (table 1). Furthermore, evidence exists that mothers who express breast milk for early trophic feeding are more likely to continue to provide breast milk as the continuing principal form of nutrition for their infants.

Only one trial has compared trophic feeding with progressive advancement of enteral feeds. Although the risk of NEC was lower in infants who received prolonged trophic feeds, this finding should be interpreted and applied cautiously. The trial was stopped early after an interim analysis and therefore the finding of an effect on the incidence of NEC may be spurious. In common with most feeding trials undertaken to date,
Feeding the VLBW infant.

WHAT IS FEED INTOLERANCE (AND DOES IT PREDICT NEC)?

Feeding regimens for VLBW infants are often interrupted by the development of signs of “feed intolerance”, principally the detection of “gastric residuals”, the gastric content aspirated before a planned gastric tube feed, and abdominal distension. Few studies have examined the clinical importance of these findings. The available data suggest that gastric residual volumes of up to 2 ml in infants weighing less than 750 g, or up to 5 ml in heavier VLBW infants, are well tolerated and not associated with failure to establish full enteral feeding. Little evidence exists that the volume or colour (green, milky, clear) of gastric residuals is predictive of the risk of NEC for infants whose feed volumes are being advanced (table 2). Furthermore, less than one-third of the study participants received breast milk, and enteral feeds were not introduced until on average 10 days after birth, a practice that is no longer typical in most neonatal centres.

Given the paucity of high-level evidence, the currently recommended strategies for early enteral feeding of VLBW infants tend to be conservative. It is generally considered safe to begin trophic feeding within about 5 days after birth, with human milk if possible, thereafter increasing the volume of feeds as tolerated in steps of about 10–20 ml/kg/day. However, this conservative approach may have important disadvantages for VLBW infants. Although NEC is a devastating condition in the 5% of VLBW infants affected, preventative strategies, including conservative feeding regimens, need to be applied to all at risk infants. The adverse consequences of delayed or slow advancement of enteral feeding may include prolonged use of parenteral nutrition with its attendant infectious and metabolic risks, delayed establishment of oral feeding, and prolonged duration of intensive care and hospital stay (fig 3). It has been argued that this “fear of NEC” should not be considered in isolation of other potential clinical outcomes in determining feeding policies and practice.

INTRAUTERINE GROWTH RESTRICTION

Infants who are growth-restricted in utero are considered to have a higher risk of developing NEC, especially if there has been antenatal detection of absent or reversed end-diastolic flow velocities (AREDFV) in Doppler studies of the fetal aorta or umbilical artery. However, the observational studies that have examined the association of AREDFV with NEC have reported inconsistent findings. This may be related to differences in study design, especially with regard to accounting for potential confounding variables, principally gestational age and birth weight. Studies that matched infants for gestational age and birth weight have not found any significant associations of AREDFV with NEC. Further developments of Doppler velocimetry may allow more precise definition of compromised fetuses at risk of adverse neonatal outcomes, including NEC, by mapping flow in the mesenteric, hepatic and middle cerebral arteries. Early postnatal evaluation of superior mesenteric arterial blood flow may also be developed as a tool to identify infants at increased risk of developing NEC.

Paradoxically, most trials of enteral feeding regimens have specifically excluded infants with evidence of intrauterine growth restriction from participating because of the perceived high risk of developing NEC. The impact of early versus delayed enteral feeding in infants with AREDFV is currently being investigated in a large multicentre randomised controlled trial (http://www.npeu.ox.ac.uk/adept/, accessed 7 December 2007).

GASTROINTESTINAL IMMUNITY

Enteral immunoglobulin

One of the potential mechanisms by which feeding with human breast milk reduces the risk of NEC is the delivery of immunoprotective factors such as secretory immunoglobulin A, lysozyme, epidermal growth factors and lactoferrin to the immature upper small intestine. For infants for whom human breast milk is not available, it is feasible that enteral supplementation with immunoglobulin (Ig) may have a protective effect. Although the Cochrane review of the trials of enteral immunoglobulin supplementation did not find any evidence of effect, most trials included used IgG rather than IgA. Further trials of enteral IgA prophylaxis are needed.

### Table: Meta-analysis of trials comparing feeding with formula versus donor breast milk—effect on risk of necrotising enterocolitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (year)</th>
<th>Formula n/N</th>
<th>DBM n/N</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross  (1983)</td>
<td>3/26</td>
<td>1/41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas (1984b)</td>
<td>5/173</td>
<td>2/170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scharler (2005)</td>
<td>10/88</td>
<td>5/78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyson (1983)</td>
<td>1/44</td>
<td>0/37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pooled RR:</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.46 (95% CI 1.19–5.08)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Heterogeneity:** $\chi^2 = 0.99$ (p = 0.31); $I^2 = 0.0%$

**Figure 2** Meta-analysis of trials comparing feeding with formula versus donor breast milk—effect on risk of necrotising enterocolitis (adapted from Quigley et al). DBM, donor breast milk.
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Nosocomial infection and all-cause mortality. Questions of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria, reduces the incidence of NEC, infants with non-pathogenic “probiotic” bacteria, principally Recent trials have found that supplementing the diet of preterm Probiotics and prebiotics the incidence of NEC in VLBW infants. There has been evidence from controlled trials indicates that prophylactic enteral antibiotics (aminoglycosides or vancomycin) reduce the risk of NEC (and nosocomial infection) in VLBW infants. Given the lack of evidence that gastro-oesophageal reflux is a cause of apnoea in preterm infants, it is recommended that use of H2-blockers should be restricted until robust evidence that benefits outweigh harmful effects is obtained.

Gastric acidity

Innate gastrointestinal immunity provided by gastric acid may be important in preventing the cascade of infectious and inflammatory events leading to NEC. A small randomised controlled trial found that acidification of milk with hydrochloric acid (median pH 3) reduced the incidence of NEC in VLBW infants. Conversely, evidence exists that the use of H2-blockers to suppress gastric acidity is associated with a higher risk of NEC (and nosocomial infection) in VLBW infants. Given the lack of evidence that gastro-oesophageal reflux is a cause of apnoea in preterm infants, it is recommended that use of H2-blockers should be restricted until robust evidence that benefits outweigh harmful effects is obtained.

Enteral antibiotics

Evidence from controlled trials indicates that prophylactic enteral antibiotics (aminoglycosides or vancomycin) reduce the incidence of NEC in VLBW infants. There has been reluctance to adopt this practice because of concerns that such widespread antibiotic use would drive the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

Probiotics and prebiotics

Recent trials have found that supplementing the diet of preterm infants with non-pathogenic “probiotic” bacteria, principally lactobacilli and bifidobacteria, reduces the incidence of NEC, nosocomial infection and all-cause mortality. Questions of safety, including the risk of invasive infection with probiotic bacteria, remain and the optimal strains and dose regimens are not yet defined. Given the very encouraging results of trials to date, further studies that examine these issues are a research priority.

Adding “prebiotic” oligosaccharides to formula milk can also encourage the establishment of a balanced enteral microbial flora. These substances, naturally present in breast milk, are not degraded by gastric acid and support the growth of probiotic species in the bowel. Supplementing formula milk with a mixture of galacto- and fructo-oligosaccharides stimulates the growth in the intestine of bifidobacteria similar to those found in preterm infants fed human milk. To date, there have been no randomised controlled trials of the effect of prebiotics on feed tolerance and the risk of NEC in VLBW infants.

Immunonutrition: arginine and glutamine

Plasma levels of the amino acids arginine and glutamine are significantly lower during the early neonatal period in preterm infants who develop NEC than in similar-gestation infants who do not develop NEC. Arginine is involved in the generation of nitric oxide, a key mediator of intestinal vasomotor tone. It has been suggested that enteral arginine supplementation may enhance endothelial nitric oxide generation and thereby improve intestinal perfusion. The only trial to have examined this intervention found a reduced incidence of NEC in infants who received arginine. A large multicentre trial is needed to confirm this finding.

Glutamine is the preferred respiratory fuel for rapidly proliferating cells including enterocytes and lymphocytes. It is abundant in human milk but present only in much lower levels in formula milk. Glutamine supplementation might therefore enhance mucosal integrity and intestinal barrier function in VLBW infants. However, evidence from good quality randomised trials suggests that the routine use of glutamine supplementation does not affect important clinical outcomes, including the risk of developing NEC. Instead, current research efforts are focused on the potential effect of glutamine supplementation on accelerating recovery in infants with established severe gastrointestinal disease.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Number of trials</th>
<th>Total number of participants</th>
<th>Typical relative risk (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delayed introduction (&gt;4 days) vs earlier feeding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.53 (0.11 to 2.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow advancement (&lt;24 ml/kg/day) vs faster rates</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>0.97 (0.50 to 1.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trophic feeding (&lt;24 ml/kg/day) vs enteral fasting</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1.16 (0.75 to 1.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous feeding vs bolus feeding</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>0.96 (0.49 to 1.90)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of bias</th>
<th>Details of bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver bias</td>
<td>Knowledge of the feeding regimen may affect caregivers’ responses to subjective signs of feed intolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveillance bias</td>
<td>At the same true risk of developing NEC, more investigations (including abdominal radiographs) may be undertaken in one feeding group than in the other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluator bias</td>
<td>Clinical and radiographic diagnosis of NEC may be affected by the evaluator’s knowledge of the feeding regimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate effect</td>
<td>Infants who have received more milk feeds (substrate) may be more likely to develop intramural gas than infants with equally severe bowel disease who have received fewer feeds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEC, necrotising enterocolitis.
OTHER INDICATIONS FOR ENTERAL FASTING
Because the pathogenesis of NEC may involve compromised gastrointestinal perfusion exacerbated by enteral feeding, it is speculated that enteral fasting may help reduce the risk of NEC when additional factors that compromise gut perfusion are present.

Umbilical arterial catheter
Evidence exists that enteral feeding while an umbilical arterial catheter (UAC) is in place does not affect superior mesenteric blood flow, the risk of feed intolerance or the incidence of NEC.40–43 Data from randomised trials are insufficient to exclude an important effect on the UAC tip position (“high” (descending aorta above the level of the diaphragm) vs “low” (above the aortic bifurcation and below the renal arteries)) on the risk of NEC. However, high UAC tip placement results in a lower incidence of aortic thrombosis, fewer ischaemic complications and a longer duration of catheter use.43

Patent ductus arteriosus and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents
The presence of a patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) has been inconsistently reported as an independent risk factor for development of NEC. Currently, no evidence exists that a practice of withholding enteral feeds in infants with a PDA affects clinical outcomes.44 Similarly, meta-analyses of trials of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents for PDA closure have not demonstrated any significant effects on the incidence of NEC.45 46

Red blood cell transfusion
Although several case reports have described infants developing NEC during red blood cell transfusion, a large randomised controlled trial of high versus low thresholds for red blood cell transfusion in extremely low birth weight infants did not find an effect on the incidence of NEC.47 The currently available evidence is insufficient to conclude that adopting a policy of enteral fasting during blood transfusion cause more benefit than harm.48

CONCLUSION
The major modifiable risk factors for the development of NEC in VLBW infants relate to enteral feeding practices. Feeding preterm infants with human breast milk rather than formula milk can reduce the risk of NEC by about threefold. However, substantial clinical uncertainty remains about the effect of strategies such as delaying the introduction of enteral feeds, or slowly advancing feed volumes on the risk of NEC. Other interventions that merit further evaluation include the use of probiotics and prebiotics, the avoidance of H2-receptor blockers, and the use of arginine supplementation. Large multicentre trials within collaborative networks will be needed to examine these questions. Such trials should not focus on NEC as the sole outcome of interest given the subjectivity of this diagnosis and the existence of other distinct acute gastrointestinal conditions in VLBW infants such as spontaneous intestinal perforation and primary ischaemic necrosis of the bowel. Furthermore, since conservative feeding strategies may result in other “competing outcomes”, principally nosocomial infection secondary to prolonged use of parenteral nutrition, it is essential that trials are powered and structured to assess the effect on long-term survival and neurodisability rates.
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**Images in neonatal medicine**

**Congenital hyperinsulinism: [18F]DOPA PET/CT scan of a focal lesion in the head of the pancreas**

Congenital hyperinsulinism (CHI) is a cause of severe hypoglycaemia in the neonatal period.1 The histological differentiation of CHI into focal and diffuse disease has radically changed the surgical management of patients with the disease.2 Correct localisation and limited excision of the focal lesion results in complete cure of the patient. Recent advances in fluorine-18 l-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine ([18F]DOPA) positron emission tomography (PET) scanning are beginning to provide greater accuracy in preoperative differentiation of focal and diffuse disease and correct localisation of focal lesions.3–5 The principle of this test is that, pancreatic islets take up l-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (l-DOPA), and convert it to dopamine by DOPA decarboxylase, present in the islet cells. However, the role of dopamine in pancreatic β-cells remains unclear. A 3-day-old neonate presented with severe hyperinsulinemic hypoglycaemia. He failed to respond to all forms of treatment and required further investigations to differentiate diffuse from focal disease. He underwent an integrated [18F]DOPA PET/CT scan. Figure 1 shows more than twofold uptake of [18F]DOPA in the head of the pancreas compared with the body and tail of the pancreas, proving focal disease.4 A computed tomography (CT) scan combined with the PET scan colocalised the focal lesion in the head of the pancreas at the junction of the portal vein and superior vena cava (size 6.1 mm). At the time of surgery the focal lesion was found exactly where the PET/CT localisation suggested and was excised with complete resolution of the hyperinsulinism. [18F]DOPA PET/CT scanning is now the preferred method for differentiating diffuse and focal CHI.
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