The Emergence of the Millennial: Intergenerational Differences in Contemporary Workplace Numeracy

Robyn Zevenbergen
Centre for Learning Research
Griffith University

Young people have grown up in very different times from other generations. Their worlds have been highly technologised with concomitant changes in how to process information. Literacy educators have recognized the impact of technology on both processing and construction of texts. Drawing on 19 case studies of workplaces, where workshadowing was undertaken using stimulated recall methods, this paper explores the ways in which young adults undertake numeracy practices in contemporary workplaces and notes that they possess novel ways of working, often seen as antithetical by senior members of the community. Rather than portray young people as innumerate, this paper suggests that they are differently numerate.

Social commentators in Australia (Mackay, 1997) and the United States (Howe & Strauss, 2000) have commented on generational differences in post-world-war-two generations. Baby boomers and Generation X have received considerable attention but a new generation is now recognized as being different from that of Generation X. This new generation, known by names as such Generation Y, Generation Why or Millennials, are different from their predecessors due to their different social environments. As with other generations, significant events have influenced how people have grown up and the impact on their thinking, their values, their consumption patterns and their expectations. However, Millennials have grown in times where technology has been a part of their lives. Programmable household items, such as televisions and microwaves, are a natural part of many young people's lives. The information age, brought about through computer technology has meant that their world is saturated with information. The web and internet are part of the everyday experiences. While literacy educators (Gee, 2000; Lankshear, Synder, & Green, 2001; Luke, 2000) have theorized the impact of technology on literacy learning, there has been minimal theorizing of the impact of technology on numeracy (or mathematical) learning or thinking.

Drawing on data from 19 case studies on young people across a range of workplaces, and supplemented with survey data, this paper explores the ways in which young people undertake numeracy practices in their work. It is shown that young people approach their work in ways that are different from more senior members in the community (such as employers, teachers, job placement officers and general community members). Whereas young people are often portrayed as innumerate because they do not undertake mathematical tasks in ways expected by senior members of the community, this paper illustrates that young people approach numeracy tasks using novel approaches, different from those of previous generations.

Baby Boomers, Generation X and Millennials

While figures vary as to the years of different generations, authors generally agree that there are three distinct generations since the end of World War Two. Each generation has grown up in very different social, cultural and political contexts. Such contexts provide shape the cultural identity of a particular generation. Baby boomers were those people born between 1945 and 1965. Having grown up in a time of post-war growth, prosperity, and full-time employment, this generation differed from previous

generations in that they were the original consumer generation. Prepared to work hard for the cash resources to buy from themselves and their off-spring, Baby Boomers were instrumental in the consumerism of contemporary life. Simailarly, the social, economic and political contexts for the next generation, Generation X, created different opportuntites for development and identity construction for that generation (Refer Table One).

Of particular interest to this paper is the Millennial. This generation was born from the early 1980s through to contemporary times. Unlike previous generations, this is the first generation to grow up surrounded by digital media, from computers and the Internet to mobile phones and palm pilots (Billings and Kowalski, 2004) thus making them somewhat different from other generations. Similarly significant events shape the experiences of generations. For Millennials, they have experienced the fall of the Berlin Wall; the deaths of Princess Diana, the drug overdose of River Phoenix and suicide of Kurt Cobain; terrorist acts of Sept 11 and Bali; the war on Iraq; and mass school killings. These events have been broadcasted through 24-hour media, bringing the world instantaneously to them (Sujansky 2003), and it is these formative experiences that have moulded the generation's preferences and beliefs, however, those at either end of the generation can have different formative experiences (Paul, 2001). This is the generation that has grown up in the global village where internet and other communication technologies challenges views about nation and nationality, of border and time zones, and of economic stability and identity for this is the generation that has seen the implementation of a common currency in Europe. This is the generation that has witnessed the impact of global conflicts (such as the War in Iraq) impacting on local economies (massive increase in oil prices). This is also the generation that has grown up in the knowledge economy where employment trends have moved markedly from an industrial model to one of part-time, casualised work, an environment where economic rationalism governs the thinking of employers so that the employee is seen as a cost item rather than an asset. The impact of market-driven ideologies and practices has created a new set of relationships between employers and employees.

A summary of intergenerational differences can be observed in Table One where the context of the environments can be seen to create particular opportunities for the construction of particular habitus within social members. While the table is useful in demonstrating the differences in social conditions and outcomes, it is limited by its generic nature. It would hardly be possible to suppose that all Australians would have similar experiences and thus be circumscribe with particular attributes. Such a view is deterministic. However, what is possible to interpret from Table One is the general trends that are observable across time and brought about through particular social conditions. The Table has some credibility in terms of documenting trends rather than being seen as a fixed representation of generations.

	Baby Boomers	Gen X	Millennials
Era	1945-65 (Howe & Strauss) 1943-1960 (Zemke)	1965-85 1960-80	1985-present 1980-present
Names	Baby boomers	Generation X	Generation Y Generation Why Echo boomers Nexters
Core values	Optimism Team work Personal gratification	Thinking globally Balance Technoliteracy	Confidence Civic duty Achievement

	Health and wellness Personal growth Work	Fun Informality Reliance Pragmatism	Morality Diversity Street smart
Personality	Driven Soul searchers Love-hate relationship with authority	Risk takers Family-orientated Skeptical Focused on job not work hours	Optimistic Prefer Collective action Tenacious
Defining events	Prosperity Television Suburbia Assassinations Vietnam War, Cold War Women's Lib	Latch key kids Single Parents MTV AIDS Challenger Fall of Berlin Wall	Internet chat lines School violence War on Iraq Sept 11 Bali bombings Terrorism Kosovo
Toys	Pinball machines Barbie dolls	Space invaders Cabbage Patch Kids	Nintendo Pokemon
Food	Home made	Microwave	Delivered
Technology	Room fans Television 78s and LPs 8mm film Mainframes Slide rules	Air Conditioning Cable TV Cassettes and CDs VCRs Transistors Calculators	Climate control Interactive TV Streaming and MP3s DVDs Microchips Personal computers
Infrastructure	Test satellites B-52s Highways/Freeways	Moon launches ICBMs Telcom satellites	Space shuttles Stealth and smart bombs Internet

Table One: Generational differences and contexts
(adapted from Zemke; Howe & Strauss, McKay)

Within these contexts, the habitus and dispositions that generations develop can be influenced by, amongst other things, the prevailing conditions. If one considers the employment opportunities afforded to Baby Boomers and those of Generation X and Millennials, it is hardly surprising that different loyalties to the employer develop. Baby Boomers were more likely to enjoy stable and long-term employment whereas Millennials are far more transient in their work patterns, moving from company to company and job to job. One of the stark differences between Baby Boomers and Millennials is their attitude to work. It is more likely that Baby Boomers developed a disposition of "Live to work" in order to support their consumer demands, whereas Millennials are more likely to develop a disposition of "Work to live" in part feed by the unreliability of work and the view that employees are disposable objects that can be easily replaced due to the number of people seeking work.

Millennials and their Dispositions Toward Work

Drawing on Bourdieu's theory of practice, it becomes possible to theorize the development of intergenerational differences. The practices that prevail over particular decades can be seen to create different opportunities for the construction of the adult/work habitus. For young people, that is, Millennials, their histories have afforded and constrained opportunities that facilitate the construction of particular habitus. If one considers the current work environment where there is considerable opportunities for

young men to take up apprenticeships; where unskilled and semi-skilled labour is in decline due to advances in technology; where long-term employment is not the norm and where casual employment is growing at an alarming rate, the dispositions towards work for young people is circumscribed by these experiences. Whereas Baby Boomers were able to enjoy stable employment over sustained periods of time, this is not the case for Millennials. Where employees were once seen as an asset to be looked after, they are now seen as costs (in most companies other than the most innovative). Millennials also respond to their familial circumstances where their Baby Boomer parents have worked extended hours to provide extensive comforts to the family, but Millennials take such comforts for granted but are not prepared to work the hours of their parents nor buy into the stress of combining long hours with family life. Millennials are wanting the better aspects of consumerism but without the commitment to work.

Numeracy, Work and New Times

Two opposing discourses permeate theorising and researching numeracy practices. On one hand, common sense understandings and conservative politics suggest that young people are deficient in their numeracy skills and that schools need to support numeracy learning. In many cases, numeracy within this discourse is imbued with basic skills and knowledge, most predominantly that of arithmetic and mental calculations. The implementation of the National Numeracy Strategy (Department of Education Training and Youth Affairs, 2000) has seen schools adopt programs geared for the upskilling of basic skills. In contrast, the Australian Association of Mathematics Teachers (Australian Association of Mathematics Teachers, 1997) proposed a much broader definition of numeracy to encompass the application of mathematics to realistic situations in and beyond schools and a disposition towards using mathematics. In contrast to numeracy education, literacy educators have been proactive in advocating changing times brought about by the digital revolution has resulted in very different literacy demands. Arguing that there are multiple literacies for New Times created through the use of multimedia, literacy eductors have challenged many of the old orthodoxies of literacy, instead advocating a repositioning of literacy for and in New Times. This debate has not been as actively engaged by numeracy educators and hence, questions need to be asked about the impact of technology and New Times on numeracy and numeracy education.

Many of the studies conducted in workplaces have sought to identify or unmask the numeracy practices of workplaces (Kanes, 1996; Millroy, 1992; Zevenbergen, 1995). In veins similar to those of Gerdes (1988) seminal work in unfreezing the mathematics in indigenous activities, ethnographic and anthropological studies of workplaces have sought to identify numeracy practices that align with the dominant traditions of mathematics. These situated studies have sought to identify the mathematics embedded within particular practices but have not called into question the existing orthodoxies. The view that all cultures undertake mathematics (e.g. Bishop, 1988) remained unchallenged and the hegemony of western mathematics remained preserved. In more teacher-directed approaches, teachers have shadowed workers in order to identify numeracy practices within the workplace so that links between what is taught in school and the practices of the workplaces can be made (Hogan & Morony, 2000). In so doing, these approaches legitimate particular epistemologies. Much like the ethnographic traditions, the seeking of embedded school mathematics in workplace practices, legitimated the existing knowledge structures of school curriculum. These epistemologies reinforce the existing status quo by identifying particular ways of seeing practice. Skovsmose (1994) has theorised this way of interpreting the world as being a formatting power. From his perspective, mathematics provides a lens for seeing and

interpreting particular activities so that, in these cases, the observer interprets activity through a mathematical lens. Such approaches fail to recognise that numeracy practices as undertaken by the participants have been interpreted by the observers within a particular format – that is, mathematical. Dowling (1998) has argued that such interpretation subjugate the activity in favour of a particular view while denying the activity as undertaken by the participant.

The limitations of this approach have been acknowledged as privileging a particular interpretation over others. When studying contemporary numeracy practices in workplace settings, the view of the participants needs to gain a stronger voice in research. To this end, stimulated recall was used to identify the numeracy practices as undertaken by young employees.

Stimulated Recall

Stimulated recall is a tool for collecting data. It is often used with video data, but in this study still photographs were used with the intention that the photographs provided a stimulus for the participants to talk about their ways of working and thinking as they undertook chores. The method involves taking photographs or video of people as they go about their tasks (Lyle, 2003). Often this can be teachers or preservice teachers where they are asked to talk about their thoughts at particular moments in the teaching process (McBride & Bonnettee, 1995; Prawat & Anderson, 1994). The images serve as a catalyst for discussion and reflection on action. Similarly, stimulated recall has been used with students to talk about their thinking as they were working on mathematical tasks (Artzt & Armour-Thomas, 1997; Prawat & Anderson, 1994). Depending on the method used by the researchers, the tool can be used for discussing particular episodes selected by the researcher or for free conversation as the video or photographs are shown. In this study, we opted to use the second method as we did not want to pre-empt any practice or event. We were particularly cognisant that we did not want to 'format' any event with a mathematical lens. We saw it as essential that participants were able to talk about the events within their own frames of reference, recognising that there were aware of the focus of the study. As we will demonstrate in subsequent sections of the paper, this proved to be a useful strategy since there were photographs where it was thought that they were undertaking mathematical thinking but did not with the converse also being true. Suspending our judgement on their actions and thinking proved to be challenging since the formatting power of mathematics is very strong (Skovsmose, 1994).

Method

This study is a 3 year study funded by the Australian Research Council through its Linkage Grant system[1]. In the first phase a survey was implemented in 2003 (n=1000 approx) and results showed significant differences between senior and younger participants in the study (Zevenbergen, 2004). The second phase involved workshadowing 19 young people in their work. These have included a bricklayer, boat builders, hairdresser, motor mechanic, retail assistant, baker, builder, signwriter, painter, printer, room service, laundry attendant, laboratory technician (concrete testing), receptionist, short order cook and a chef. In each case, the young person was shadowed for a minimum of three days. Depending on the work, this may have been consecutive

[1] This study is funded by the Australian Research Council. The Industry Partners are Centrelink, Gold Coast City Council, Gold Coast Institute of TAFE, Queensland Studies Authority and SCISCO. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not of the other parties.

days or spread out over a period of time. This was to ensure that a variety of work was included in the study. For example, in bricklaying the employee would work on a particular site for a few weeks doing the same type of work so it was seen as important to capture as much variety in their work. In this case, the observer went to different sites to observe different types of bricklaying. In all cases, the young employee was interviewed prior to shadowing to gain a sense of their schooling, the work they undertake and their confidence in their work. The shadowing was undertaken in a non-intrusive manner so that the employee could undertake their usual work. In some sites, the observations were undertaken in a very naturalistic manner. In the case of builders, they insisted that the researcher climb scaffolding and house frames to ensure that she gained an accurate sense of the work. In other cases, the researcher had to remain in a safe position external to the work due to workplace health and safety regulations. In all cases, accurate representations of the variety of tasks undertaken were paramount. Photographs were taken of them working across the different tasks. Following the shadowing, a longer interview was undertaken where the participants looked at all the photographs and asked to talk about what they were doing and/or thinking in the particular representations. Further to the articulation of their work, employees were also asked about the preparation of school for their work, the support they had in the workplace for learning, advice they would have for mathematics teachers in particular and schools in general; and concluded with asking if there were any aspects of their work that had not been captured in the photographs. The interviews were audio taped and transcribed.

Studying Contemporary Workplace Numeracy

The data was that of the participant rather than the interpretation of the researcher. One of the issues confronting the ethnographer is to ensure that the world is represented from the perspective of the participants. This applies to the study of workplace numeracies where it was seen as central to the project to identify the numeracy practices of contemporary workplaces as undertaken by the participants. Within such a context, the method of stimulated recall enabled the documentation of Millennials' numeracy practices.

Matt: That's working out one of the gradings, so get the whole weight of the sample and work it back by dividing the total weight by 100 and dividing each separate weight on each sieve by that total weight and then just minusing from 100 down to get the percentage.

Figure One: Laboratory Technician

In Figure One, an example of the data collection process is illustrated. Within the workshadowing a large number of photographs were taken of most worksites. The participant then explained their thinking processes and ways of working when shown

each photograph. Clarifying questions were posed if needed but the central aim to preserve the practice from the participants' viewpoints was important to the documentation process.

New Numeracies

From the series of case studies, repeated themes emerged across a range of occupations. These themes included estimation; problem solving; technology; intuition; situated methods; holistic thinking and measurement (length). Presenting them as a list denies the integration of the themes so we would alert readers that the list is not discrete entities but often linked with other categories. In each case, we provide an example of the observations. In all sites estimation and problem solving were an integral part of the activities of the young employees. Technology in various forms featured in most sites. Such technology included the modern cash register where items are entered (bread types) and a space for the number of items; computers with specialised programs (e.g. laboratory technician) through to more generic programs such as spreadsheets (e.g. receptionist); and calculators were used in most sites. Within the building industries (marine and housing), measurement of length featured strongly although the methods included both formal and informal. In many sites ranging from chefs through to boat builders, informal methods were used and aesthetics featured as a measure of quality control – the food is tasted to check that the ingredients are OK or a horn or door is positioned so that it looks good.

Estimation and Problem Solving

In the marine industry the employees had to mix a two-part bog where one additive was a hardener (much like the commercial produce 'Araldite'). In theory the quantities are supposed to be mixed in nominated proportions so that the chemicals can react appropriately. The boat builders had to estimate the original quantity to be made and then estimate the amount of hardener to be added. Unlike the accurate calculation to be undertaken, the apprentices used estimation for the quantity to be added but also considered other variables – how big the mixture was so that it would not "go off" before the entire task had been completed or the temperature of the day as hot days would make the bog set quicker than on cold days. In using the stimulated recall method, the following interview data was collected in relation to Figure One.

Fig 1: Boat builder estimating

Boatbuilder: That was bog for the dash.
Researcher: How do you determine what the mixture is, how much you would put in?
Boatbuilder: Depends on the time really, like if you want a hot brew, the darker the better. But if you got to work with it, if you need time , you just put a little bit in. You're supposed to measure up with scales and all that, but we don't have any scales.
Researcher: So you just guess?

Boatbuilder: Well, yeah, say we've got a pile like that [gestures large pile] and we want it to go off really slow, we just put a little line about that much [gestures a small line] hardener in it.

As such, the view of the mixture was a holistic task as opposed to a quantification task. Similarly, elements of problem solving where various elements or variables impact on decision making were used in making the mixtures.

Technology

In many of the worksites, technology was used to undertake calculations. In the case of the shop assistant, the cash registers are programmed so that the assistant enters the quantity of the product sold, the type of product where all products are listed on the register, and the amount of money tendered. These registers reduce the demands on young employees since all calculations are removed from the task but provide the manager with an accurate count of products sold over a day and the amount of money taken. These are accurate figures for planning for the stocking of the shop as well as for financial management of the business. This is not to say that the employee does not undertake any calculations. For example, in this study, the retail assistant explained her thinking as:

Retail Assistant: [In this job it is] just adding, just totalling up things, when they've got vouchers, we have to take off the $2.60, and what you're left with, and stuff like that, probably adding and subtracting. Everything's done through that register, but if you know someone's going to muck around, and you already know how much something's going to be, then you tell them without putting it in. That way before I even put it in the register, I can take their money, and go thanks very much, and when they walk away, that's when I'll put it in.

Within this comment the assistant notes that "everything is done through the till" but when it is peak time, she would do the task mentally to expedite the process and then enter the amounts later. In this sense, she saw the task as customer service and was able to work flexibly within the constraints imposed. There is also evidence of problem solving and seeing the task of sales as a holistic enterprise. However, in other instances, there was a much stronger deferral to technology. Sales assistants (drawn from Phase One where more sales assistants were included in the survey) offered different accounts of their work practices. In this instance, the shop assistant worked in a large retail store where she would scan numerous items:

Shop Assistant: When it is peak time, you get people through all the time and some of them are really nasty. You have to be nice to everyone. One day I had this grumpy old man come through and I rang up his stuff wrong. Well he ripped right into me. Told me I was dumb and I needed to go back to school and that the company should give my job to an intelligent person. I got upset, but when I looked at his docket, I could see that I rang up one item twice and that's where the mistake was. I called my supervisor over to alter the till and he was going mad. I started to cry and my supervisor asked him to come over to the inquiry desk to fix it up. It is so hard when it is busy and it is easy to make a mistake but I could see what I did wrong, he just wouldn't listen.

In this case, the assistant described her task holistically – she did not do the calculation of the account but was able to recognise an error (enter an article twice). In this case, the young person's orientation to the task was to problem solve rather than calculate. The deferral of the calculations to the register is evident.

In the cases of the receptionist and the laboratory technician specialised computer programs had been set up for them so that they could simply enter the data and results were produced. In the case of the receptionist, this was a simple spreadsheet which allowed her to enter the amounts of petty cash spent to create a balance sheet. In the case of the laboratory technician, the programs were more complex and orientated towards different aspects of the quality control process. In the following extract, the impact of technology on the ways of working and thinking become part of how the young person undertakes his work. In this case, the young man had been working out a particular aspect of the quality control process.

Lab Tech: That looks like doing the moisture, working out the moisture. What is it, minus the wet weight by the dry weight to get the moisture content, then divide that by moisture weight to get the percentage.
R: All these formulas are written on the report?
Lab Tech: Yeah, yeah they are, wow. I didn't know that!



Fig 2: Laboratory technician entering data

As the last comment suggests, the technician knew the process to be undertaken but this was done by technology rather than himself. In this case, the process of technologising the calculation had been internalised to the point where he had no longer recognised the impact of the technology on his work.

Intuition

Across all sites to a greater or lesser degree depending on the workplace, employees would comment that they had a sense of something "being right" or not, or the need for something to "look right". Arguably good estimation skills – whether overtly or covertly operationalised – may help in young employees intuiting situations. Being able to see the placement of a horn on a boat as being mid way and hence 'looking right" required the employee to be able to estimate the midpoint.

Situated Methods

The methods for calculating in various fields were often different from that of school methods. For example, in the case of the baker, the day's list of goods to be baked is left for the team to commence in the early hours of the morning. In order to bake the nominated quantity of a particular bread type, the baker based the mixture on the amount of flour to be used. This will vary from day-to-day depending on the quantity required. To work out how much flour is needed, the baker uses a "yield factor" which is a chart that indicates a factor by which the flour is multiplied in order to establish a particular quantity of a given bread type. For example, the yield factor for white bread in comparison to a fruit loaf would be different so that if x number of loaves of white bread were needed, the baker could multiply the quantity by the yield factor to find out

Fruit Loaf
- 100% flour
- 2% salt
- 10% gluten
- 5% yeast
- 78% water
- 50% apricots
- 40% sultanas
- 30% currants

Fig 3: Bread Recipe

how much flour is needed for that bake. The recipe for that bread is then stated in percentages so that the recipe is very flexible in order to cater for the different quantities of flour on any given day (see Figure One). This recipe is very different in form from that of schools where percentages are usually expressed at parts of one hundred so that the total amount would add up to 100%. In this case, the recipe is expressed as a relationship with the original starting quantity of flour. In order to work through this method, the young baker used a calculator to work out the quantities needed for a day's bake. The observations, interviews and photographs showed the baker undertaking the calculations of quantities with a calculator. In the case of the more readily recognise percentages, such as 10% and 50%, he did this without the use of the calculator, but other percentages were undertaken with the calculator.

The results of this study suggest that younger people often approach their work in unique ways that are often different from those taught and learned in school mathematics. They are more likely to approach tasks holistically; to use estimation; to problem solve; to use technological tools to support their work and thinking; to use intuitive methods; and to see tasks aesthetically. The field work raises issues about the dispositions that young employees have towards their work and how they undertake the tasks in the field.

The project has identified that young people in work undertake numeracy practices that may be quite different from those expected (and undertaken) by older generations. These can be summarized as follows:

- Technologised numeracy – where the impact of technology (in multiple forms) has not only changed the workplace, but changed how young people undertake their work. In most cases, it was found that young people defer cognitive labour to technology seeing technology as far quicker and more reliable than mental calculations.
- Estimation – was embedded in most workplaces where young people undertook estimation (in number, calculations, measurement, space) in their work
- Problem solving – was a central part to most young people's work. Their disposition to seeing their work in a holistic way meant that problem solving was central to how they saw, interpreted and enacted their workplace numeracies.

However, to be successful in their work, Millennials needed to have good number sense, spatial sense and measurement sense in order to be able to estimate or check for reasonableness in the outcomes that their technologies produced.

Summary

For many educators and employers, these dispositions to workplace numeracy may be seen the antithesis of "good mathematics" since many of the values that are foundational to perceiving and structuring mathematics are challenged. In order to make sense of these findings, we would propose a metaphor that has helped out thinking. Consider the process of building a fence. In parents of the Baby Boomers, this task was undertaken through manual labour – spaces for post holes were carefully measured and positioned; holes were dug with spades, spacers for railings were hewn with chisels, railings were fixed with nails and hammers, and palings were similarly affixed with manual processes. The baby boomers were born into a time when machines took over a considerable amount of the manual labour – post hole diggers dug holes so the need for accuracy was not so critical since if a hole were incorrectly placed it was easy enough to dig another one; posts were placed with a crude mix of cement and water, railings and

palings were fixed with nailing guns. The process represented a significant shift in manual labour. Parents of baby boomers saw their offspring as lazy since they would hire equipment to do their manual tasks. A question now needs to be posed as to how young people today see their work. We would contend that the same shift in manual labour to machines may be the equivalent shift seen in this project. Children of the baby boomers (and younger) defer the cognitive labour of calculations and other mathematical thinking to technology. The need to accurately calculate seems to be deferred to technological tools – computers, calculators and other industry specific equipment. The skills of estimation are central to their work as is having an intuitive feel for a situation. Old skills of accuracy, meticulous mental calculations and measurement have been displaced by skills of estimation, problem solving and use of technology.

These results have implications for how young people are prepared for workplaces in New Times. The practices of school mathematics may need to shift to new numeracies – where holistic thinking, problem solving, estimation, technology and intuitive thinking need to take a higher profile in curriculum. The outcomes of this study suggest that technology has impacted on how young people undertake their work. This is not to say that basic number sense is no longer required since the data suggest that number sense is central to good decision making. Thus, students need to have a greater awareness of how numbers work in the world of work in order to be successful participants in the workplace but whereas past practices valued mental calculation, the practices observed in this study suggest that estimation and number sense help inform participants of a reasonableness of answer but that other tools were able to undertake the mental labour associated with tedious calculations (such as those in supermarkets) where many items are added.

References

Artzt, A., F., & Armour-Thomas, E. (1997). Mathematical problem solving in small groups: Exploring the interplay of students' metacognitive behaviours, perceptions and ability levels. *Journal of Mathematical Behaviour, 16*(1), 63-74.

Australian Association of Mathematics Teachers. (1997). *Numeracy = Everyone's business, Report of the Numeracy Education Strategy Development Conference*. Adelaide: AAMT.

Department of Education Training and Youth Affairs. (2000). *Numeracy, A priority of all: Challenges for Australian Schools*. Canberra: Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs.

Dowling, P. (1998). *The sociology of mathematics education: Mathematical myths/pedagogical texts* (Vol. 7). London: The Falmer Press.

Gee, J. P. (2000). Teenagers in new times: A new literacy studies perspective. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy, 43*(5), 412-420.

Gerdes, P. (1988). On culture, geometric thinking and mathematics education. *Educational Studies in Mathematics., 19*(2), 137- 162.

Hogan, J., & Morony, W. (2000). Classroom teachers doing research in the workplace. In J. Ridgeway (Ed.), *Edcuation for mathematics in the workplace* (pp. 101-114). Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Howe, N., & Strauss, W. (2000). *Millennials rising: The next great generation*. New York: Vintage Books.

Kanes, C. (1996). Investigating the use of language and mathematics in the workplace setting. In P. Clarkson (Ed.), *Technology in mathematics education* (pp. 314-321). Melbourne: MERGA.

Lankshear, C., Synder, I., & Green, B. (2001). *Teachers and technoliteracy: Managing literacy, technology and learning in schools*. St Leonards: Allen & Unwin.

Luke, C. (2000). Cyber-Schooling and technological change: Multiliteracies in New Times. In B. Cope & M. Kalantzis (Eds.), *Multiliteracies: Literacy learning and the design of social futures* (pp. 244-266). South Yarra: Macmillan.

Lyle, J. (2003). Stimulated recall: A report of its use in naturalistic research. *British Educational Research Journal, 29*(6), 861-878.

Mackay, H. (1997). *Generations: Baby boomers, their parents and their children*. Sydney: Macmillan.

McBride, R., & Bonnettee, R. (1995). Teacher and at-risk students' cognition during open-ended activities: Structuring the learning environment for critical thinking. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 11*(4), 373-388.

Millroy, W. L. (1992). An ethnographic study of the mathematical ideas of a group of carpenters. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, Monograph no 5*.

Prawat, R. S., & Anderson, A. L. H. (1994). The affective experiences of children during mathematics. *Journal of Matheamtical Behaviour, 13*(3), 201-222.

Skovsmose, O. (1994). *Towards a philosophy of critical mathematics education* (Vol. 15). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Zevenbergen, R. (1995). The situated numeracy of pool builders. *Critical Forum, 4*(2), 34-46.

Zevenbergen, R. (2004). Technologising numeracy: Intergenerational differences in working mathematically in New Times. *Educational Studies in Mathematics, 56*(1), 97-117.

Note: My appreciation to Kelly Zevenbergen who has been the research assistant on this project.