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Early surgery was better than conservative care for short-term disability and pain in sciatica

STUDY DESIGN

Design: randomised controlled trial.
Allocation: (concealed)* †
Blinding: unblinded †

STUDY QUESTION

Setting: 9 hospitals in the Netherlands.
Patients: 283 patients 18–65 years of age (mean age 43 y, 66% men) who had 6–12 weeks of sciatica diagnosed by a neurologist, disc herniation with nerve root compression confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging, and pain distribution and neurological disturbances correlated to the same nerve root. Exclusion criteria included cauda-equina syndrome, severe paresis, same complaints within 12 months, and history of spinal surgery, spinal stenosis, deformity, or severe comorbidity.

Intervention: early surgery (n = 141), which included removal of disc herniation using a unilateral transfalval approach and removal of loose degenerated disc material, or conservative care (n = 142) provided by family physicians, with consideration of surgery for increasing leg pain and neurological deficit (within 6 mo) or persistent sciatica (after 6 mo).

Outcomes: included functional disability (23-point Roland disability questionnaire for sciatica, higher score = worse functional status), and leg and low back pain (100 mm visual analogue scale [VAS], higher scores = worse pain).

Follow-up period: 2 years.
Patient follow-up: 92% (intention-to-treat analysis).

MAIN RESULTS

44% of the conservative care group had surgery within 2 years. Early surgery improved disability (mean difference [MD] in Roland score 3.1, CI 1.7 to 4.5), leg pain (MD in VAS 18, CI 12 to 25), and back pain (MD in VAS 11, CI 6 to 17) at 8 weeks and leg pain (p = 0.05) over 2 years (figure); groups did not differ for disability (p = 0.25) or back pain (p = 0.41) over 2 years.

CONCLUSION

In the short term, early surgery reduced disability, leg pain, and back pain more than conservative care in sciatica.

*Information provided by author.
†See glossary.
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COMMENTARY

The trial by Peul et al helps resolve some of the uncertainty about the role of discectomy in the treatment of sciatica. It has many messages for clinicians dealing with patients with sciatica due to disc herniation. Although long-term outcomes were similar for both groups, by 12 weeks those treated with early surgery had recovered faster than those treated conservatively (data not reported). This applied not only to leg pain and disability, but also to back pain. Over the ensuing 3–6 months, the early surgery group lost their initial advantage, but delayed surgery was performed in 44% of the conservative care group by the end of the trial. Unfortunately, 20% of patients reported unsatisfactory outcomes at 2 years, and 6% of those who had surgery required repeat surgery within 2 years.

Conservative care was given by family practitioners, who provided analgesia, encouraged mobilisation, and referred patients to physiotherapy or back to hospital as needed. There was no mention of epidural injections, an option that may offer relief for about half of patients during the early stages of sciatica. This treatment may be less expensive and more acceptable to those who do not want surgery or cannot have surgery for other reasons.

The authors rightly advocate more patient involvement in decision making about surgery because the results suggest that both treatment options are legitimate. Patients with a preference for conservative care can be assured that their long-term outcomes will not be inferior but that surgery may be indicated if their condition worsens. The same applies for those who do not have ready access to early surgery.
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