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Testing for Multipartite Quantum Nonlocality Using Functional Bell Inequalities

Q. Y. He,1 E. G. Cavalcanti,2 M. D. Reid,1 and P. D. Drummond1

1Centre for Quantum-Atom Optics, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia
2Centre for Quantum Dynamics, Griffith University, Brisbane QL D 4111, Australia

We show that arbitrary functions of continuous variables, e.g. position and momentum, can be used to generate tests that distinguish quantum theory from local hidden variable theories. By optimising these functions, we obtain more robust violations of local causality than obtained previously. We analytically calculate the optimal function and include the effect of nonideal detectors and noise, revealing that optimized functional inequalities are resistant to standard forms of decoherence. These inequalities could allow a loophole-free Bell test with efficient homodyne detection.

Bell famously showed that the predictions of quantum mechanics (QM) are not always compatible with local hidden variable theories (LHV) [1]. Surprisingly, this fundamental result, which underpins the field of quantum information, has not been rigorously tested [2]. There are no experiments yet that can eliminate all LHV, either due to low detection efficiencies [2, 4] or lack of causal separation. Rigorous tests are also needed to fully implement some quantum information protocols, like that of Elert [5] which employs a Bell inequality (BI) as a test of security in a cryptographic scheme. All of these early tests and protocols employed quantum measurements with discrete outcomes of spin or particle number.

In this Letter, we develop functional moment inequalities to test for quantum nonlocality. We then use variational calculus to optimize the choice of measured function. As a result, we obtain Bell nonlocality for larger losses and for greater degrees of decoherence than possible previously. The outcome can be feasibly tested in the laboratory, since the detectors required are efficient quadrature detectors. More generally, functional nonlocality measures could lead to new applications in quantum information. The important advantage is a much greater robustness to noise and loss.

As well as potentially overcoming the loophole problem mentioned above, formalisms to test LHV for continuous variables provide an opportunity for testing QM in new environments, and give a better understanding of the origin of the nonlocal features of QM. This is particularly true given that entanglement [6] alone does not guarantee failure of LHV for mixed states [3].

With this objective, there is the fundamental question of how to quantify the strength of nonlocality, in the absence of a single test for nonlocality that is necessary and sufficient for any quantum state. Mermin [6] used as a measure the deviation of the QM prediction from the LHV bound, based on a particular BI. A second strategy discussed recently by Cabello et al. [4] is to quantify the strength of nonlocality by the robustness of the violation with respect to a decoherence parameter. In this approach one determines the critical efficiency η or the critical degree of purity p required for a violation. Here, we evaluate all three measures to show strong correlations between them.

Recently, Cavalcanti et al. (CFRD) showed [9] that Bell inequalities can be derived for the case of observables with continuous and unbounded outcomes, like position and momentum. This approach is significant in establishing that quantum nonlocality does not rely on the discreteness of the measurement outcomes. Continuous variable (CV) inequalities also provide an avenue to understanding how manifestations of quantum nonlocality can be manipulated by choice of observable.

The original CFRD inequality [9] is (\(|\langle \prod_{x=1}^{N} (x_k + i\Delta_k) \rangle| \leq (\prod_{x=1}^{N} (x_k^2 + \Delta_k^2))\)), where \(x_k, \Delta_k\) are outcomes of two arbitrary measurements, represented in QM by observables \(X, \Delta\), at site k [10]. Where \(X\) and \(\Delta\) are quadrature measurements with continuous property and momentum commutation relations, CFRD showed that the symmetric state \(|0\rangle^\otimes N/2 |1\rangle^\otimes N/2 + |1\rangle^\otimes N/2 |0\rangle^\otimes N/2 \rangle/\sqrt{2}\) violates the inequality for \(N \geq 10\). In this case, the states \(|0\rangle, |1\rangle\) are eigenstates of \(a^\dagger a\) where \(a = X + i\Delta\), so the prediction could in principle be tested with photonic Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states produced in the laboratory [11]. Note that in the above state there are \(N\) field modes but only \(N/2\) photons. It can be prepared from a \(N/2\)-photon GHZ state \(|H\rangle^\otimes N/2 + |V\rangle^\otimes N/2 \rangle/\sqrt{2}\), where \(|H\rangle, |V\rangle\) represent horizontally or vertically polarized single-photon states, by passing each photon through a polarizing beam splitter. These violations are robust with less. The critical efficiency \(\eta_{crit}\) required for violation tends to \(\eta_{crit} \to 0.81\), as \(N \to \infty\). Quadrature measurements with local oscillators are highly efficient, with reported efficiencies of 99%. However, generation losses from mode-matching can degrade the experimental efficiency, so 81% is still a challenging practical benchmark.

Instead, we introduce a functional moment Bell inequality by considering arbitrary functions of the outcomes at each site. This new approach to nonlocality utilizes a general functional optimization of continuous variable observables. We find the optimal function that maximizes a violation of the inequality for a given effi-
iciency $\eta$ and state purity $p$. We show that the optimal function has the form $x/(1 + \varepsilon_N x^2)$, where $\varepsilon_N$ is a parameter related to $N$ and $\eta$. This gives an inequality which is violated by the GHZ states of $\ket{\lambda}^n$ for $N \geq 5$. The violation increases exponentially with $N$, while $\eta_{\text{crit}}$ decreases asymptotically to 0.69 for a pure state (with $p = 1$), thus dramatically reducing both the number of modes required, and the required efficiency.

When the functions correspond to a single binning of a cv observable to give binary outcomes [12], our inequalities reduce to those of Mermin [3]. We extend the analysis of Mermin and Acin et al. [13], and calculate results for homodyne detection for more feasible types of state. We find that $(\eta p^2)_{\text{crit}} = 2^{1 - 2N}/N\pi$, which gives a critical efficiency for a pure state at large $N$ of $\eta = 0.79$.

**Functional Moment Inequalities.** We present a proof of the functional moment inequality taking explicit account of functions of measurements that can be made at each of $N$ spatially separated sites. We denote the measurement made on the system at the $k$-th site by $X_k^0$, and the outcome of the measurement by $x_k^0$, where $\theta$ represents a choice of measurement parameter. Bell’s assumption that LHV can describe the outcomes implies that the measurable moments $(x_1^0 x_2^0 \ldots x_N^0)$ can be expressed in terms of a set of hidden variables $\lambda$ as

$$
\langle x_1^0 x_2^0 \ldots x_N^0 \rangle = \int \lambda d\lambda P(\lambda) \langle x_1^0 \rangle \langle x_2^0 \rangle \ldots \langle x_N^0 \rangle \lambda, \tag{1}
$$

where $\langle x_1^0 \rangle$ is the average of $x_1^0$ given a LHV state $\lambda$. Next we construct, for each site $k$, real functions of two observables $f_k(x_k^0)$, $g_k(x_k^0)$, and define the complex function: $F_k = f_k(x_k^0) + ig_k(x_k^0)$. The complex moment $\langle F_1 F_2 \ldots F_N \rangle$ can be expressed in terms of real-valued expressions of the type $\langle f_1(x_1^0) g_2(x_2^0) \ldots f_N(x_N^0) \rangle$, etc. Of course, $f_k(x_k^0)$ is an observable obtained from $x_k^0$ by local post-measurement processing. Eq. (1) must therefore also be valid for $\langle f(x_1^0) f(x_2^0) \ldots f(x_N^0) \rangle = \int \lambda d\lambda P(\lambda) \langle f(x_1^0) \rangle \langle f(x_2^0) \rangle \ldots \langle f(x_N^0) \rangle \lambda$. For an LHV, the expectation value of products of the $F_k$ must satisfy:

$$
\langle F_1 \ldots F_N \rangle = \int \lambda d\lambda P(\lambda) \langle F_1 \rangle \ldots \langle F_N \rangle \lambda, \tag{2}
$$

where $\langle F_k \rangle \equiv \langle f_k(x_k^0) \rangle + i \langle g_k(x_k^0) \rangle$. From (2), the following inequality must therefore hold:

$$
|\langle F_1 F_2 \ldots F_N \rangle|^2 \leq \int d\lambda P(\lambda) |\langle F_1 \rangle|^2 \ldots |\langle F_N \rangle|^2. \tag{3}
$$

Now for any particular value of $\lambda$, the statistics predicted for $f_k(x_k)$ must have a non-negative variance, i.e., $\langle f_k(x_k)^2 \rangle \leq \langle f_k(x_k)^2 \rangle \lambda$. Writing (3) explicitly in terms of the $f_k$’s and using this variance inequality we arrive at the CFDR inequality with functional moments:

$$
\left( \prod_{k=1}^{N} [f_k(x_k^0) + ig_k(x_k^0)] \right)^2 \leq \prod_{k=1}^{N} [f_k(x_k^0)^2 + g_k(x_k^0)^2]. \tag{4}
$$

We will measure the violation of this inequality by the ratio of the left- (LHS) and right-hand sides (RHS). Defining the Bell observable $B = \text{LHS}/\text{RHS}$, failure of LHV is demonstrated when $B > 1$. In order to get stronger violation of local causality, we optimize the function of observables by considering

$$
\frac{\delta B}{\delta f_k(g_k)} = 0. \tag{5}
$$

Here, we consider the class of entangled states

$$
|\psi\rangle = (|0\rangle^{\otimes N-r} |1\rangle^{\otimes(N-r)} + |1\rangle^{\otimes N} |0\rangle^{\otimes(N-r)})/\sqrt{2}. \tag{6}
$$

Thus $r = N$ corresponds to extreme photon-number-correlated states, a superposition of a state with 0 photons at all sites and a state with 1 photon at each site. Next, we consider how to optimize the function $f_k$ and $g_k$ to generate a robustly violated inequality, including losses and noise.

We use variational calculus to find the optimal function using the condition of Eq. (5). For simplicity, we assume the functions $f_k$ and $g_k$ are odd. The LHS can be maximized by choosing orthogonal angles, while the RHS is invariant with angles. We find that

$$
B_N = \frac{2^{N-1}(\frac{1}{2})^N \left( \prod_{k=1}^{N} I_k^+ + \prod_{k=1}^{N} I_k^0 \right)^2}{\prod_{k=1}^{N} I_k \prod_{k=r+1}^{N} I_k^+ + \prod_{k=1}^{N} I_k^0 \prod_{k=r+1}^{N} I_k^0}, \tag{7}
$$

where $I_k^+ = 2 \int e^{-2x^2} x f_k^+ dx$, $I_k^0 = 4 \int e^{-2x^2} [(f_k^+)^2 + (f_k^-)^2] dx$, and $I_k^0 = \int e^{-2x^2} [(f_k^+)^2 + (f_k^-)^2] dx$ are different integrals for $x$ which contribute to the expectation values in both sides of inequality (1). Here $f_k^\pm = f_k \pm g_k$, and the factor $e^{-2x^2}$ was obtained from the joint probability of observables. Requiring $\delta B_N/\delta f_k = 0$, we find the optimal condition: $f_k(x) = \pm g_k(x)$. The components of complex functions $f_k$, $g_k$ are the same at each site, and have the form

$$
f_k(x) = g_k(x) = \frac{x}{1 + \varepsilon_N x^2}. \tag{8}
$$

For the even $N$ case, it is optimal to choose $r = N/2$. Then $\varepsilon_N$ is independent of $N$, but has to be calculated numerically since it satisfies a nonlinear integral equation: $\varepsilon_N = 4f_0/I$.

For $N$ an odd number, the greatest violations occur for $r = (N - 1)/2$. The optimal function has the same form as in (8) except that the parameter $\varepsilon_N$ changes to $\varepsilon'_N$, where:

$$
\varepsilon'_N \equiv \varepsilon_N \left[ \frac{N \varepsilon_N - \varepsilon'_N}{N \varepsilon'_N + \varepsilon_N} \right], \tag{9}
$$

and $\varepsilon_N = \varepsilon_N \pm 4$. However, the numerical value of $\varepsilon_N$ and $\varepsilon'_N$ now depend on $N$, as the integral equation (9)
Brassard et al. for Mermin-Klyshko inequality

Given a state of the form $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}|\lambda\rangle$, the probability of detecting $n$ photons in mode $j$ is $P_n^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2^n}\left(1 - \sum_{\lambda} \alpha_{\lambda}^2\right)^n$. The Mermin-Klyshko inequality is satisfied if $P_n^{(j)} > 1/2^n$ for all $n$. For a three-mode system, the inequality can be written as:

$$P_1^{(1)} + P_1^{(2)} + P_1^{(3)} - P_2^{(1)} - P_2^{(2)} - P_2^{(3)} > 0$$

Here, $a_j$ is the annihilation operator for mode $j$, and $\alpha_j$ is the respective amplitude. The inequality holds for all three modes if at least one mode violates the CHSH bound.

For odd values of $N$, the CHSH bound is violated, indicating non-classical correlations. For $N=2$, the bound is satisfied, but for $N>2$, violations occur, indicating a quantum system. The Bell inequality is sensitive to the number of particles, making it a powerful tool in quantum mechanics.

The CHSH inequality is given by:

$$S_N = \sum_{j} \sum_{k} a_j^{(i)} a_k^{(j)} a_k^{(k)} a_j^{(j)}$$

where $a_j^{(i)}$ is the annihilation operator for mode $j$ in mode $i$. The maximum violation of the CHSH inequality by a Bell state is $S_N = 2N + 2$, which is achieved for $N=3$.
Figure 2: (a) The critical minimum detection efficiency $\eta_{crit}$ for pure state, and (b) the critical purity $p_{crit}$ for ideal detectors required for violation of functional moment, CFRD and MK inequalities with optimal choice of parameters.

This approach is applied to enable a prediction of the effect of loss and noise on the functional inequalities, and the results are plotted in Fig. 2. These results can be compared with the MK binning approach. With the choice of optimal angles, we find the values of the MK Bell observable with binned cv outcomes is $B_N(p, \eta) = \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{N/2}$, which gives the effect of detection inefficiencies and noise for the optimal choice of angles. That implies a critical minimum efficiency and purity $(\eta p^2)_{crit} = 2^{(1-2N)/N} \pi$ in order to violate the inequality. For lower $N$, the strategy of binning and using the MK inequality shows an advantage by allowing a violation for $N = 3, 4, 5, \ldots$ but even if $p = 1$, high efficiencies $\eta > 0.99, 0.93, 0.90$ are required. While high detection efficiencies are feasible for homodyne detection, these efficiencies and purity values are still quite challenging once generation losses are also taken into account. In view of this, the high requirement for $\eta_{crit}$ for the case $N = 3$ may be prohibitive.

These results show that the functional inequality has much greater robustness against noise and inefficiency than the MK inequality. For $N > 7$, the functional cv inequality used with an optimal function allows violation of LHV at much lower efficiencies and larger maximum noise. The asymptotic decoherence product is $(\eta p^2)_{\infty} \sim 0.6918$ in the large N limit. For a moderate efficiency $\eta_{crit} \sim 80\%$ one requires $N = 10$ if the optimized function, while the binned MK case requires $N \sim 40$.

In conclusion, we have developed a new direction for the analysis of cv nonlocality. For the input state treated here, the optimal measured function always has the same functional form apart from changing the parameter $\epsilon$, but more generally, the functional form may depend on the experimental decoherence. Future research may include further optimization of the functions for different entangled states and application of this method to tests of other forms of nonlocality—i.e., entanglement [13] and EPR steering [16].
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[10] Bearing in mind these measurements can be noncompatible, the LHS is simply an abbreviation for the expansion in terms of observable moments, e.g. $\{\langle x_1 x_2 \rangle - \langle p_1 p_2 \rangle \}^2 + \{\langle x_1 p_2 \rangle + \langle x_2 p_1 \rangle \}^2$ when $N = 2$.