Differences in resistance of three subtropical vegetation types to experimental trampling.
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Abstract

Experimental trampling trials using a standardized methodology were undertaken in ten replicate blocks in three vegetation types in an urban reserve in the subtropics of Australia. In each block different intensities of trampling (controls, 10, 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400 and 500 passes) were applied, and vegetation parameters were measured pre trampling, immediately after trampling and two weeks later. A fern understorey had low resistance to trampling intensity, with reductions in relative vegetation height and cover with as few as 10 passes. A tussock grass understorey showed moderate resistance with reduction in height at 25 passes and cover at 50 passes. A disturbed grassland dominated by lawn grasses had the highest resistance, with reductions in vegetation height at 100 passes, but cover was affected by as few as 10 passes. The resistance indices (number of passes required to reduce vegetation cover by 50%) of three vegetation types were 210, 360 and 860 passes respectively. When these values were compared with those for 52 other vegetation types considerable variation was found within life forms, climatic zones and vegetation types indicating that the response of a specific community may not always be predictable.
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1. Introduction

The creation of paths through vegetation is an inherent part of exploration by humans in natural areas (Liddle, 1997). Hence, “trampling” is an important component of human-induced impacts in natural areas (Liddle, 1997; Newsome et al. 2002; Cole, 2004). Although there have been some studies on the impacts of trampling on invertebrates and other animals (Chappell et al. 1971; Duffey, 1975; Liddle, 1997), the majority of trampling studies examined impacts on vegetation and soil (Liddle, 1997; Littlemore and Barker, 2001; Newsome et al. 2002; Cole, 2004; Pickering and Hill 2007). As a result generalisations about the resistance (ability to withstand disturbance before damage occurs), resilience (ability to recover from damage once it occurs) and tolerance (combination of resistance and reliance) of different types of vegetation to trampling have been made (Cole and Bayfield, 1993; Cole, 1995a,b, 2004; Liddle, 1997; Yorks et al. 1997; Tolvanen et al. 2001; Newsome et al. 2002; Monz, 2002). Resistance of vegetation types is affected by the characteristics of the vegetation and soils (Liddle, 1997; Marion and Cole, 1996; Cole, 1995a,b, 2004). Generally the order of the resistance of life forms is graminoids > trees > forbs > shrubs (Yorks et al. 1997). Grasses and other graminoids are considered more resistant to trampling because they have flexible, horizontal branching stems, narrow leaves, below-ground reproductive structures, low-to-ground growing points and protective sheaths at leaf bases (Sun and Liddle, 1993; Liddle, 1997; Yorks et al. 1997; Tolvanen et al. 2001). In comparison, shrubs, ferns and forbs that have broad leaves, vertical or woody stems, leaves only on the ‘above ground’ parts and
reproductive structures high on the plant, are likely to be more sensitive to trampling impacts (Liddle, 1997; Yorks et al. 1997; Tolvanen et al. 2001).

Many studies examining trampling impacts have been conducted in North America and Europe on mountain and temperate vegetation types (Cole, 2004, Table 1). For example, of the 65 vegetation types in which the impacts of trampling have been tested using modifications of a standardized experimental protocol developed by Cole and Bayfield (1993), 44 were in mountains and 11 were in temperate regions. Only two tropical vegetation types in the Wet Tropics of Australia have been tested (Talbot et al. 2003), and there are no studies using this standardized experimental protocol on subtropical vegetation. In three studies in subtropical *Eucalyptus* forest in Australia that used different methodologies, the resistance of vegetation to trampling was highly variable, and the resistance indices (the number of passes required to reduce vegetation cover by 50%) ranged from 12 to 1421 passes (Liddle, 1997).

The objectives of this study are to (1) test if generalisations about the resistance of different life-forms to trampling that have principally been based on results from colder climates apply to vegetation in warmer climates such as the subtropics of Australia, and (2) see if the resistance of understorey vegetation was as variable among Australian subtropical vegetation types when trampling methodology was standardized. Manipulative experimental trampling trials were conducted in three vegetation types in an Urban Reserve in the subtropics of Queensland, Australia that were likely to vary in resistance based on the characteristics of the dominant ground cover. A disturbed grassland dominated by a common lawn species, Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*) was predicted to show high resistance, a tussock grass understorey dominated by (*Imperata cylindrica, Cynodon dactylon* and *Poa* sp.) was predicted to have moderate resistance, and a Bracken Fern (*Pteridium esculentum*)
understorey in a *Eucalyptus* forest was predicted to have low resistance due to the
tall woody life form of the fern.

2. Methods

2.1 Description of study area

Experimental trampling trials were conducted on three vegetation types in a popular
urban reserve (Coombabah Lakes Conservation Area, 1,290 hectares) in the northern
end of the Gold Coast, (population ~550,000) (Fig. 1). Like much of the rest of the
coast of south-eastern Australia, the Gold Coast has experienced large scale
vegetation clearing, initially for forestry and agriculture, but more recently for urban
development. The Coombabah Lakes Conservation Area is the largest remaining area
of relatively undisturbed coastal vegetation in the region and it, and associated
estuarine system is part of RAMSAR wetlands reflecting its significance as a
migratory water bird habitat. The flat to gently sloping reserve consists of forests,
woodlands, mangroves, cleared forest with disturbed grassland and freshwater/tidal
wetlands. In areas subject to occasional inundation there are paperbark swamps
dominated by *Melaleuca quinquenervia*. On the drier replicates there are woodlands
of *Eucalyptus pilularis* often with a Bracken Fern understorey (*Pteridium
esculentum*). In cleared areas, there is disturbed grassland mostly of the lawn grass
Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*). This species is considered highly resistant to
trampling (Frenkel 1972). The entire area is close to housing and, although no
specific figures of usage are available, it has relatively consistent moderate use by
small groups of people undertaking various walks in the area, with most people
staying on designated tracks (authors. obs.). The grassland area is also frequented by
eastern grey kangaroos (*Macropus giganteus*) for grazing.
2.2 Experimental design

To increase the power of the analyses, a larger number of replicates and intensities of trampling were undertaken than in the original protocol (Cole and Bayfield 1993). Ten replicate (blocks) were randomly selected from thirty potential suitable blocks in relatively undisturbed areas in each community, without evidence of pre-established tracks, paths or roads (Supplementary material: Location of sites). In each replicate a series of parallel lanes 4m long and 50cm wide, separated by a narrow 40cm access strip, were marked out. Vegetation structure in each replicate was relatively homogenous. Treatments levels (two control lanes, 10, 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400 and 500 passes) were randomly assigned to lanes, with one treatment per lane. In the Disturbed grassland an additional 700 pass treatment lane was included in each replicate block given the expected higher resistance of this community.

Because a larger number of trampling intensities (lanes) were used than in the standard protocol, an additional control lane was included. A single pass was defined as a walk along the full length of the 4m treatment lane by one person (Cole, 1995a). Trampling was undertaken in the winter (dry season) of 2006, when climatic conditions are particularly suitable for walking (cooler and dry). Trampling was undertaken only once with all trampling within a replicate completed on the same day. Vegetation parameters were measured immediately before trampling in control lanes and then in all lanes two weeks post trampling.

A point intercept method was used to measure the maximum height of vegetation using a ruler at 20 points along 2 parallel transects running the full length of each lane. Any detached plant material was considered litter and given a height measurement of zero. Relative height for each lane was then calculated as:

\[
\text{Relative Height} = \frac{\text{mean height of vegetation at 2 weeks in a trampling lane}}{\text{initial mean height of vegetation in the control lane}} \times \text{cf}
\]
Where: $cf$ (control factor) = initial mean height in control lane

2 weeks mean height in control lane

Percentage overlapping cover was measured using a point-quadrat method along two fixed lines (similar to that in Gallet and Roze 2001). At each of 76 points spread at 10 cm intervals along two transects in each lane, a long thin rod (~4mm) was lowered, and all life-forms (grass, other graminoids, shrubs and herbs) bare ground (no live or dead material touching rod) and litter (detached plant material), touching the rod were recorded. Where more than one species within the same life form touched the rod, separate hits were recorded for each species at that point. Relative cover for each category was then calculated using the following formula:

Relative cover = \frac{\text{contributing cover of category post trampling in a lane} \times cf}{\text{initial cover of category in the control lane}}

Where: $cf$ (control factor) = initial cover in control lane

cover in control lane post trampling

Species richness was measured by recording all species in each lane 2 weeks post trampling.

2.3 Data analysis

The effect of trampling on relative height of all vegetation, relative vegetation cover, absolute vegetation cover of dominant life-form in each community and species richness was analysed using a Randomized Complete Block (RCB) design in the SPSS for windows 13.0 program. Treatment was included as a fixed variable whilst replicate-blocks were analysed as a random variable.

As it was expected that the control lanes would differ to the other treatment lanes an \textit{a priori} test using contrasts was chosen. Thus, if low levels of trampling were not-
significantly different to the controls, a contrast test would determine which levels of use may have caused vegetation damage.

A Resistance Index (the number of passes required to cause a 50% reduction in height or cover, Liddle, 1997) was calculated for each vegetation type either from text or from graphs of relative vegetation parameters and compared with published data (Supplementary Material 1).

3. Results

A total of 73 species were recorded of which 22 were introduced (e.g. not native to Australia, Supplementary Material 1). Although there was some overlap in species composition among the vegetation types (*Cynodon dactylon* and *Lobelia purpurascens*), they differed in cover of life forms, vegetation height, species richness per lane and overall composition (Supplementary Material 1, Table 2). All the vegetation parameters were significantly affected by trampling with the analysis conducted on relative height, relative cover and species richness revealing differences among treatments (Table 3).

3.1 Vegetation height

In the Fern understorey there was an immediate decline in height due to trampling (Table 3, Fig. 2a). The average height pre-trampling was 76 cm compared to 39 cm two weeks post trampling. In the Tussock grass understorey vegetation height declining from 25 cm to 12 cm post trampling (Fig. 2b). In the disturbed grassland vegetation height declined from 7 cm to 6 cm (Fig. 2c).

The lowest level of trampling to cause a significant reduction in relative height immediately post trampling, varied among the vegetation types (Fig. 2). In the Fern understorey, 10 passes caused a significant decline in relative vegetation height while
in the Tussock grass understorey it required 25 passes before there was a significant impact. The Disturbed grassland showed the greatest resistance with 100 passes required before there was a significant reduction in relative height. The number of passes required to cause a 50% reduction in height followed a similar trend, requiring the lowest trampling intensity in the Fern understorey (~90 passes), followed by the Tussock grass understorey (~100 passes) while it required ~400 passes in the Disturbed grassland (Fig. 2).

3.2 Vegetation cover

Trampling reduced vegetation cover in all three vegetation types (Table 3, Fig. 3). The greatest decline was in the Fern understorey 63% of vegetation cover remaining post trampling compared to 72% in the Tussock grass understorey and 89% in the Disturbed grassland. In the Fern and Tussock grass understoreys, it took more passes to reduce vegetation cover than height (Table 3). There was a significant decline in vegetation cover at >10 passes in the Fern understorey (Fig. 3a), and 50 passes in the Tussock grass understorey (Fig. 3b), while in the Disturbed grassland it only took 10 passes (Fig. 3c). For the Fern understorey, a 50% reduction in cover occurred after 210 passes, compared to 360 passes in the Tussock grass understorey and ~860 passes in the Disturbed grassland (Fig. 3).

When the cover of the dominant life form in each community was examined (Fig. 4), there were also differences among vegetation types (Table 3). In the Fern understorey, the dominant Bracken Fern (*Pteridium esculentum*) declined in cover from 100 passes onwards, and had a resistance index of 260 passes (Tables 3 and 4, Fig. 4a). In the Tussock grass understorey, the dominant life form ‘grass’ (mostly Blady Grass - *Imperata cylindrica*, Couch - *Cynodon dactylon* and *Poa* sp.) declined in cover after 150 passes and had a resistance index of 360 (Tables 3 and 4, Fig. 4b).
In the Disturbed grassland, cover only declined after 400 passes for the grasses (Slender Pigeon Grass - *Setaria gracilis* and Couch - *Cynodon dactylon*, Tables 3 and 4, Fig. 4c) with a resistance index of ~1300 passes. In contrast to the values for each of the dominant life-forms, the minor life-forms were sensitive to trampling and had much lower resistance index values (Table 4). Therefore, although some life forms may have only had a relatively small contribution to overall cover, they can have a large effect on the response of the community to trampling.

### 3.3 Species richness

Trampling resulted in significant declines in species richness in the three vegetation types two weeks following trampling (Table 3, Fig. 5). In the Fern understorey, there was a steady decline in species richness with increasing trampling intensity, with a significant decline apparent at only 10 passes (Fig. 5a). This is likely to be due to damage to small-leaved, low-growing herbs in this community which may be easily crushed even at low trampling intensity. Such species included the soft-leaved White Helmet-orchid (*Corybas barbarae*) and Wombat berry (*Eustrephus latifolius*) which had frequencies of 26% and 54% respectively (Supplementary Material 1).

The Tussock grass understorey appears to be slightly more resistant, with significant declines in species richness from 25 passes onward (Fig. 5b). This community also contained many small herbs susceptible to crushing and searing due to trampling (Supplementary Material 1). However, it is possible that the grasses and graminoids which dominated in the overall species composition may have cushioned and protected the smaller herbs (Liddle, 1995). As a result, the resistance of the community as a whole may have been increased.

It was expected that the Disturbed grassland which was mainly dominated by tough low growing grasses principally the resistant, introduced *Setaria gracilis* and
the native Bermuda grass (Supplementary Material 1), would be the most resistant community and hence have the smallest decline in species richness. The results support this hypothesis with consistent declines in species richness from 150 passes onwards (Fig. 5c). An exception was found in the 50 pass lane, which was also significant. After 500 passes, the ~30% reduction in species richness in the Disturbed grassland was less than the ~50% and ~40% reductions in the Fern understorey and Tussock grass understorey respectively.

4. Discussion

4.1 Effect of trampling on vegetation

The resistances of three subtropical vegetation types in Australia to standardized trampling protocols varied as predicted based on results from studies in other continents and/or climatic zones. As expected, the upright growth form of fern was sensitive to trampling, with Bracken fern having vertical, woody and brittle stems and fibrous roots: characteristics typical of a low resistance species (Liddle, 1997; Yorks et al. 1997; Littlemore and Barker, 2003). In the subtropics in Australia only a few passes resulted in damage to vegetation height, vegetation cover and the cover of ferns. Although recovery, and hence tolerance was not assessed in this study, based on other studies (Liddle, 1997; Yorks et al. 1997; Littlemore and Barker, 2003), it is likely that this fern understorey would not only have low resistance but also slow to recover from trampling, and hence have low tolerance to this type of use.

The Tussock grass understorey was quite tall (25 cm) and dominated by tussock grasses and hence even a few passes reduced vegetation height. Although flattened, these grasses may not have been crushed and broken by trampling, and hence more passes were required to reduce vegetation cover than height. It is likely that this community may recover relatively fast from low levels of trampling, but higher use
resulted in permanent damage to stems which might take longer to recover (Yorks et al. 1997). Overall it would appear likely to have moderate tolerance to trampling. The shorter (8 cm) Disturbed grassland required more passes to reduce vegetation with the shorter, matt forming grasses and graminoids tending to have high resistance, due to features such as flexible, horizontal stems, narrow leaves and high leaf strength (Sun and Liddle, 1993; Yorks et al. 1997). One of the dominant grasses in the Disturbed grassland, Couch (Cynodon dactylon, 81%), was found to have high resistance in a Brisbane glasshouse experiment due to its high flexibility at the base of the stem (Sun and Liddle, 1993). This species is also likely to have fast recovery from damage, as it is used as a hardy lawn species and is commonly found in recreational areas that are regularly mown (Sun and Liddle, 1993). For example it was one of the most frequently recorded species in regular trampled sites in Costa Rica (Soccer fields), with no change in its cover even in areas of high use (Frenkel 1972).

4.2 Resistance index

To facilitate comparability among studies, vegetation types, a resistance index can be calculated, with the most commonly used index the number of passes required to cause a 50% reduction in vegetation cover (or biomass, Liddle 1997). The three vegetation types here differed in resistance with the Fern understorey the least resistant, the Tussock grass understorey with moderate resistance, while the Disturbed grassland was among the top six most resistant vegetation types (Table 4 and Supplementary Material 2).

When resistance indices for different climatic zones, life-form and vegetation types are compared, some unexpected patterns emerge. For example, although the general pattern of resistance based on the dominant life-form is graminoids >trees
>forbs >shrubs (Yorks et al. 1997), among the results for 55 vegetation types summarised here, the pattern followed graminoid>shrub>fern>forb (Table 5). Correspondingly, although alpine, artic and subalpine vegetation types are considered to be particularly susceptible to trampling impacts (Liddle, 1997; Cole, 2004), they were more resistant than montane vegetation types, with the order of resistance following a subtropical >alpine-temperate-subalpine-arctic >montane pattern (Table 5). For vegetation types the pattern was closer to predictions with the order of resistance following sand-dune grasslands >grasslands >sand dune heaths >forest understorey >heaths-herbfields.

There was considerable variation in resistance within each dominant life-form, climatic zone and vegetation type indicating that these general grouping should not always be relied upon to predict resistance in a particular vegetation type. At least some of this variation may be due to the relative mixes of sensitive and more resistant species/life-forms within a vegetation type. In this study, for example, herbs had a large influence on the overall resistance index of the three vegetation types even though they did were not dominant any of them.

4.3 Species richness

Changes in species richness among the three vegetation types showed a similar pattern to the resistance index, with the Fern understorey and the Tussock grass understorey least resistant and the Disturbed grassland substantially more resistant. Although fewer studies have compared the number of passes required to affect species richness than vegetation cover, some comparisons can be made. In remnant woodland on the Israel coastal plains, the number of passes that caused significant losses in species richness was 300 passes (Kutiel et al. 2000). Even more resistant, were two alpine vegetation types in the Australian Alps, where it required more than
500 passes to reduce species richness (Growcock, 2005). These values are much higher than for the current study. These differences may be due to the greater power in this study due to the larger number of replicates, or that other vegetation types do require more trampling before species richness is affected.

Prior to any trampling, there was substantial overlapping cover in the Fern understorey. This was significantly reduced at the lowest trampling levels. Under normal circumstances, beneath the fern, ground cover species would need to survive with reduced sunlight and relatively moist growing conditions. It is possible that the combination of exposure and trampling effects may have caused death of individuals, thus reducing species richness at low trampling intensity. In comparison, the mat-forming grasses in the Disturbed grassland may have actually protected low-growing typically sensitive herbs. The fern fronds tended to break, yet remain attached, thus failing to protect ground-cover herbs. Conversely, the flexible stems of the grasses, allowed cushioning of adjacent plants, potentially reducing direct impacts such as bruising and sheering of vegetative parts.

This study only looked at resistance and not resilience of these vegetation types. It is probable that the reliance of the three communities is similar to their resistance (Fern understorey<Tussock grass understorey <Disturbed grassland) based on the species/life-forms dominant in each type. It is also likely that these three subtropical communities may recover faster than communities in cold climates, reflecting general growth rate of plants in the different ecosystems. Certainly trampling in arctic/alpine vegetation is often characterised by low resistance and very slow recovery, with some impacts only becoming apparent months or even a year or more after use (Forbes et al. 2005)

4.4 Implications for management
The Coomabah Lakes Conservation Area is one of the few remnant areas of mostly undisturbed vegetation in the middle of the rapidly growing Gold Coast, the sixth largest city in Australia. Within this protected area, which is an internationally significant wetland, three vegetation types varied in their resistance, and probably their resilience, to trampling. For the Gold Coast City Council which is responsible for managing the area, it is clearly that they need to limit trampling in the fern understorey, and to a lesser extent in the tussock grassland, but not in the disturbed grassland.

Conclusions

This study highlights that although it is possible to make useful generalisations concerning the resistance of given vegetation types to trampling, there is also considerable variation in the impact of trampling on plants even within the same life form, vegetation type and climatic zone. Therefore, for areas of high conservation value, specific trampling trials may be necessary to determine which levels of use result in unacceptable damage.
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