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Abstract

Tumor angiogenesis is essential for malignant growth and metastasis. Bone marrow (BM)-derived endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) contribute to angiogenesis-mediated tumor growth. EPC ablation can reduce tumor growth, however, the lack of a marker that can track EPCs from the BM to tumor neovasculature has impeded progress in understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying EPC biology. Here we report the use of transgenic mouse and lentiviral models to monitor the BM-derived compartment of the tumor stroma, exploiting the selectivity of the transcription factor Id1 for EPCs to track them in BM, blood, tumor stroma, and as mature endothelial cells. Acute ablation of BM-derived EPCs using Id1-directed delivery of a suicide gene reduced circulating EPCs and yielded significant defects in angiogenesis-mediated tumor growth. Additionally, use of the Id1 proximal promoter to express miR-30 based short hairpin RNA inhibited expression of critical intrinsic EPC factors, confirming that signaling through VEGFR2 is required for EPC mediated tumor biology. By exploiting the selectivity of Id1 gene expression in EPCs, our results establish a strategy to track and target EPCs in vivo, clarifying the significant role that EPCs play in BM-mediated tumor angiogenesis.
**Introduction**

The tumor microenvironment is comprised of many bone marrow (BM)-derived cells, which are critical to angiogenesis mediated tumor growth and spread (1). These include myeloid cells such as Tie-2$^+$ and GR-1$^+$ monocytes (2-5), tumor associated macrophages (6, 7), inflammatory cells (8, 9), PDGFR$\beta^+$ pericytes (10) and endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) (11-13). Of particular interest are the BM-derived EPCs, as they have been demonstrated to play a significant role in the growth of early tumors and metastatic lesions by mediating the angiogenic switch (14-17). EPCs have also been proposed to provide an alternative source of tumor endothelium, which contribute to neovessel formation by directly incorporating into nascent vasculature as differentiated endothelial cells (11-13). Therefore, EPCs represent important new targets for novel antiangiogenic therapies without the side-effects associated with current therapies, which also target host vasculature (14-17).

EPCs are detected as a unique cell population in the peripheral blood (PB), expressing a variety of cell surface markers, which identify them as vascular and BM-derived. Key EPC markers include Vascular Endothelial (VE)-Cadherin, Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR) 2, CD31$^{\text{low}}$, c-kit and Prominin 1/AC133 (16-19). However, the expression of these markers differs depending on whether the EPCs are in the BM, PB or tumor. This lack of a single marker to be able to unambiguously track EPCs has lead to several recent cancer studies failing to identify EPCs in specific mouse tumor models (18-21). This has also raised concerns as to whether the same population is being truly monitored *in vivo*, and has imposed tremendous limitations upon the assessment of the biological function of tumor associated EPCs, as well as, their potential as targets for antiangiogenic cancer therapy.
Previous studies have demonstrated that like tumor-activated endothelium, BM-EPCs also express the Inhibitor of DNA Binding 1 (Id1). Id1 is a member of the helix-loop-helix family of transcription factors and a marker of self renewal (22). We have previously shown that global inhibition of Id1 in the BM results in significant specific EPC-linked tumor vascular defects (17, 23). These findings nominate Id1 as a potential marker of EPCs.

The aims of this study were to determine if Id1 could be used to track EPCs in vivo, specifically target EPCs and modify EPC mediated tumor growth. Using a knock-in Id1 reporter mouse (24) and lentiviral (LV) transduction of BM transplanted (BMT) into wild type (WT) recipients, we have demonstrated that Id1 gene activity can be used to track and modify BM-EPCs in the BM, blood, BM compartment of the tumor-stroma; as well as luminally incorporated BM-EPCs. Furthermore, selective ablation of EPCs in vivo, using the Id1 proximal promoter (pr/p) to drive the expression of the suicide gene herpes simplex virus (HSV)-Thymidine Kinase (tk), resulted in specific EPC-linked vascular detects, and impaired tumor growth. Directed delivery of short hairpin RNA inhibition (shRNAi) by the LV-Id1pr/p was also used to inhibit key EPC linked factors, such as VEGFR2; resulting in marked EPC and angiogenesis linked tumor growth defects. This work underscores the functional importance of EPCs to tumor biology, and directly links EPC intrinsic Id1 to EPC biology and EPC mediated tumor vascular growth. It also demonstrates that EPCs represent a unique lineage that can be tracked from BM to tumor using Id1.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mice.** WT C57BL/6, C57BL/6 Id1+/GFP transgenic reporter mice (24) and GFP transgenic C57BL/6-Tg (ACTb-EGFP) 10sb/J expressing GFP under a hybrid chicken β-actin promoter and cytomegalovirus intermediate early enhancer (25), were purchased from the Jackson Laboratories
Id1 can be used to mark EPCs in vivo. (Bar Harbor, Maine). All procedures involving mice were conducted in accordance with protocols reviewed and approved by institutional animal care and ethics committees.

**Cell lines and growth conditions.** Murine Lewis Lung Carcinoma cells (LLCs)/D122 (Provided by Lea Eisenbach, Wiesmann Institute of Science, Israel), murine lymphoma cells B6RV2 (14), and murine endothelial cells (mHEVc) (Provided by J. Cook-Mills, University of Cincinnati) (26) were maintained in RPMI with 15% FBS. Murine myoblast progenitors C2C12 cells (ATCC) and human kidney 293T cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS.

**Screening shRNAs.** ShRNAs targeting Id1 were cloned into a U6 or Id1 promoter-containing vector as described (27). shRNA, targeting firefly luciferase served as a non-specific control. SYBR Green I detection (28) was used for RT-PCR analysis using the ABI7700 real time PCR detection system (Applied Biosystems). Primers for RT-PCR analysis: VEGFR2 (*forward*: 5’-ATCGTGACATCACCAGAACA-3’ and *reverse* 5’-CGGCATAGCTGTACATGTAAC T-3’); Id1 (*forward*: 5’-GTACTTGGTCTGTCGGAGCAA-3’ and *reverse*: 5’-CATGTCGTAGAG CAGGACGT-3’). Internal controls: β-actin (*forward* 5’-TGTTTGAGACCTTCAACACC-3’ and *reverse*: 5’-TAGGAGCCAGACGAGCATA-3’) or GAPDH (*forward*: 5’-TCAACGACCCCTTCATTGAC-3’ and *reverse*: 5’-ATGCAGGGATGATGTTCTGG-3’).

**Generation of LV constructs.** To generate pWPT-Ω a gateway destination cassette ccdB (Invitrogen) was inserted into the *Sal*I site in the LV pWPT (D. Torono, University of Geneva, Switzerland), and shRNAs were transferred from shuttle vectors by Gateway recombination. To generate Id1pr/p-GFP LV a 1.32kb fragment containing the murine proximal Id1 promoter was amplified from C57BL/6 mouse genomic DNA and inserted into the pWPT-LV, replacing the EF1α-short promoter. To create Id1pr/p-RFP, GFP was replaced (*MluI/Xhol*) with monomeric RFP.
(mCherry™) (29). To generate pWPT-Id1pr/pGFPITK, the HSV-tk gene was amplified from pSHTK (Provided by Ventura, Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil), and inserted downstream of GFP/RFP, and an internal ribosome entry site (IRES; provided by Patrick Paddison, CSHL). MicroRNA-30 (miR-30) based shRNA were amplified from shuttle vectors and cloned downstream of GFP.

**LV production, BM transduction and transplantation.** LV pseudotyped with the vesicular stomatitis G protein (VSVG) were generated by Calcium Phosphate transfection into 293T cells with three packaging constructs, pMDLg/pRRE, REV and pVSVG as described (30). Viral titer was determined by p24 ELISA (Perkin Elmer) or Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of LV-infected 293T cells in the presence of serum. LV transductions of lineage depleted BM cells (MOI of ~50) were performed in serum-free StemSpan SFEM media (Stem Cell Technologies), in the presence of IL3 (20ng/ml); IL6 (100ng/ml) and SCF (100ng/ml) for 12h. For BMT, 5x10⁵ lineage depleted BM cells were injected into the tail veins of lethally irradiated (1100rads) C57BL/6 mice. Following BMT, RT-PCR analysis was used to determine transduction efficiency of LV infected BM cells. DNA was isolated from the BM, and subjected to RT-PCR using GFP specific primers: forward: 5’-GCTCTGCCCTCTCATTGTACA-3’ and reverse 5’-GTGAACAGCTCCTCGCCCTT-3’. A standard curve was generated from titrated target DNA and vector copy number determined.

**Analysis of tumors, blood and bone marrow by immunohistochemistry and microscopy.** C57BL/6 mice were inoculated intradermally with 5x10⁶ LLC or B6RV2 cells and tumor size was monitored, and analyzed by microscopy (16). For circulating endothelial progenitors (CEP) analysis tail blood was collected in anti-coagulant buffer (PBS, 5mM EDTA) and for BM-EPC analysis BM was flushed from the bones. PB mononuclear cells (PBMNCs) and BM mononuclear
cells (BMMNCs) were isolated by gradient centrifugation using Histopaque 1077 (Sigma) and then either cytospun onto Superfrost slides or stained for FACS analysis. Primary antibodies, CD31/PECAM-1 (clone MEC 13.3), VE-Cadherin/CD144 (clone 11D4.1), CD11b (clone M1/70), VEGFR2/Fk1 (clone avas12α1), GR-1 (clone RB6-8C5), pan CD45 (clone 30-F11), B220 (clone RA3-6B2), CD3 (clone 500A2), c-kit/CD117 (clone 2B8), TIE2 (clone 33), TER-119 (clone TER-119) were obtained from BD Pharmingen, and Prominin 1 (clone 13A4) from eBiosciences, and Ki-67 (clone SP6) from NeoMarkers. Unless otherwise stated EPCs/CEPs were selected as a VE-Cadherin$^+$, VEGFR2$^+$, c-kit$^+$, CD45$^-$, CD11b$^-$ subpopulation isolated by FACS from the mononuclear population in the BM/blood. Myeloid populations were selected as CD11b$^+$ (myeloid cells), and either: GR-1 (neutrophils), Tie-2 (Tie-2$^+$ monocytes), B220 (B-cells) or CD3 (T-Cells); isolated by FACS from the mononuclear population in the blood or BM. All populations are negative for TER119 (Erythroid marker).

Microscopy was performed using 30μm sections, stained with Alexa-fluor conjugated primary antibodies with DAPI staining for cell nucleus (Invitrogen). In some cases, Alexa-fluor conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibodies were used for staining with anti-Id1 monoclonal antibody (22). RFP/GFP$^+$ cells were detected by their own signal. Fluorescent images were obtained using a Zeiss fluorescent microscope, (Software Axiovision LE 4) resolutions of 0.275-0.35μm as described (16).

**FACS analysis.** Single cell suspensions were pre-blocked with Fc block (CD16/CD32, BD Pharmingen) and incubated with primary antibodies IgG2ak and IgG2aβ isotype control, and various antibodies described previously. Labeled cells were measured by LSRII flow cytometer (Beckton Dickenson), compensation by FACS Diva software (BD Immunocytometry Systems). Animals were also injected with Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated Isolectin GS-IB4 (50μg for 10min,
Molecular Probes) before sacrifice. Multivariate FACS was performed using isotype antibodies, fluorescence-minus-one samples, and unstained samples for determining appropriate gates, voltages, and compensation (31).

Statistics & data analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism™ Software (version 3.0). Statistical analysis of tumor growth one-way ANOVA (α=0.05) was used to compare different treatments. For comparison of groups at end point Student’s t-Test analysis (α=0.05) was used. Unless otherwise stated data is presented as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM).

Results

Id1 is up-regulated in BM and tumor associated EPCs. Gene expression profiling showed that Id1 was one of several genes significantly up-regulated at least 4-fold in BM stem cells obtained from tumor challenged mice (Supplementary Fig. S1A). As Id1 knockout mice exhibit impaired EPC linked angiogenic and tumor growth defects (14, 19), we next determined to localize Id1 to specific BM-derived cell populations in the tumor-stroma. To do this we transplanted BM from β-actin (ACTb)-EGFP mice (25) into irradiated age-matched, syngeneic, WT recipients, and examined pre-angiogenic, as well as vascularized, tumors following intradermal inoculation of LLC cells. Notably, Id1 protein was confined to BM-derived GFP+ VE-Cadherin+ EPCs (16), and not to other BM-derived GFP+ myeloid cells (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

The Id1 gene activity marks EPCs in the BM, blood and tumor stroma. To determine whether a genetic strategy using the Id1 gene activity might be used to track EPCs and investigate EPC function in vivo, the proximal promoter (pr/p) of Id1 (1.32kb), containing known Id1 regulatory sequences (32), and driving fluorescent reporter genes (GFP and RFP/mCherry™), were cloned into the pWPT, self-inactivating LV vector (29) (Fig. 1A, B, Upper). The
functionality of the Id1pr/p constructs was confirmed following stable transduction. In this experiment dose-dependent enhancement of reporter activity was observed, following administration of serum (not shown), or growth factors, to cells lines stably transduced with the LV-Id1pr/p constructs (Supplementary Fig. S2A).

To evaluate the selectivity of Id1pr/p for EPCs in vivo, BM cells not yet expressing key lineage markers (lineage depleted BM, see Methods), obtained from WT mice were transduced with Id1pr/p-GFP ex vivo, and transplanted into irradiated WT recipients. To determine the relative abundance of EPCs with respect to other BM-derived cells, lineage depleted BM cells derived from ACTb-EGFP+ mice were also transduced with LV-Id1pr/p-RFP. Following BM engraftment, RT-PCR analysis showed an average of 2-4 LV integrations per cell (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Id1pr/p-GFP/WT and Id1pr/p-RFP/ACTb-EGFP+ BMT animals were then LLC tumor challenged. Analysis of early tumors (day 6-8) showed recruitment of Id1pr/p-GFP/RFP+ VE-Cadherin+ cells to the tumor periphery (Fig. 1A, B, Lower). In addition to VE-Cadherin, these cells also expressed other EPC markers (16), including VEGFR2 and Prominin 1 (Supplementary Fig. S3A, B). Furthermore, none of these Id1pr/p+ cells expressed the myeloid marker CD11b, thus supporting these cells are EPCs (Supplementary Fig. S3C). In the blood, reporter analysis showed that the majority of VEGFR2+ c-kit+ CD11b− CEPs (80%, \(P<0.001\)) were Id1pr/p+; while BM hematopoietic cells of the myeloid lineage expressed negligible levels of Id1pr/p activity (0.09%, \(P<0.001\)) (Supplementary Fig. S4A, B; Supplementary Table S1). Additionally, analysis of the BM showed that Id1pr/p activity was restricted to VE-Cadherin+ CD11b− cells (66.83%, \(P<0.001\), thus supporting the Id1pr/p is marking EPCs (Supplementary Fig. S4C; Supplementary Table S1). Finally, the Id1pr/p+ cells also expressed endogenous Id1 protein in the blood, BM and tumor-stroma (Fig. 1C).
To confirm that we had captured the important regulatory regions in the LV constructs used and to determine whether the observed effects where due to positional effects or chromosomal positioning of the LV vector, we took advantage of the recently available Id1\(^{+/GFP}\) knock-in fluorescent reporter mice (24). In this experiment, BM from these mice was transplanted into irradiated WT mice and tissues examined in the context of LLC tumor challenge. In confirmation of the LV work, GFP activity was exclusively restricted to VE-Cadherin\(^{+}\) CD31\(^{\text{low}}\) CD11b\(^{-}\) BM-derived cells (EPCs) in the tumor-stroma of early tumors (Fig. 1D & 2A Upper).

The Id1 gene activity marks mature EPCs incorporated as part of functional tumor vasculature. Analysis of later tumors (day 8-12) from LV and Id1\(^{+/GFP}\) BMT mice revealed luminally incorporated GFP\(^{+}\) CD31\(^{+}\) CD11b\(^{-}\) mature EPCs in a subset of tumor neovessels (Fig. 2A Lower, B, Supplementary Fig. S5A, B). This demonstrates that the Id1pr/p also marks mature EPCs incorporated as endothelial cells (16). We next quantified these luminally incorporated BM-derived Id1\(^{+}\) endothelial cells by FACS following administration of Isolectin GS-IB4 (16). Of the total functional vasculature, as determined by Lectin\(^{+}\) CD31\(^{+}\) CD11b\(^{-}\) cells, 9.4± 2.6% of the vessel incorporated luminal ECs (GFP\(^{+}\) Lectin\(^{+}\) CD31\(^{+}\) CD11b\(^{-}\)) at day 8 were BM-derived and expressed the Id1pr/p (Fig. 2C, D).

Ablation of Id1\(^{+}\) cells results in angiogenesis inhibition and impaired tumor growth. To determine the biological function of EPCs in tumor angiogenesis and to accomplish selective EPC ablation, the Id1pr/p-LV was used to express the suicide gene, HSV-\(tk\) (33) (Fig. 3A Upper, Supplementary Fig. S6A-C). This was done by transducing lineage depleted BM cells with the LV-Id1pr/p-GFPITK and transplanting these cells into irradiated WT recipients. The administration of Ganciclovir (GCV) showed a significant delay in tumor growth in Id1pr/p-GFPITK mice (~70%, by day 20) compared with controls (Fig. 3A Lower).
FACS analysis showed that the GCV treatment resulted in a ~3-fold reduction in CEPs in PB of Id1pr/p-GFPITK mice (Fig. 3B). As there was no significant change in other BM-derived hematopoietic cells, or progenitors it can be concluded this reduction was CEP specific (Fig. 3B). We next sought to determine whether this reduction in CEPs was due to tk-mediated BM-EPC ablation. Analysis of BM showed a >2-fold reduction in EPCs, with no significant reduction in CD11b⁺ hematopoietic cells in Id1pr/p-GFPITK (+GCV) mice (Fig. 3C). In concordance with this observation, actively proliferating EPCs were observed in tumor challenged BM as judged by Ki-67 staining (34) (Supplementary Fig. S6D). The Id1pr/p-GFPITK (+GCV) tumors also showed a significant reduction in vessel density and growth as compared with untreated Id1pr/p-GFPITK controls (Fig. 3D, P<0.0001). These results demonstrate that Id1pr/p mediated delivery of tk, while resulting in the selective elimination of EPCs, appeared to also be associated with limited bystander effects; a complicating factor in many GCV/tk based approaches (35).

Id1 directed silencing of EPC intrinsic factors results in inhibition of angiogenesis mediated tumor growth. To determine whether EPC intrinsic factors such as Id1 and VEGFR2 were required for EPC-mediated tumor angiogenesis, and whether Id1 ablation specifically affected EPC biology in the context of tumor challenge, we used short hairpin (Ω) RNA inhibition, delivered by the LV-Id1pr/p construct to directly inhibit BM-EPCs. Firstly, to assess lentiviral mediated shRNA delivery in vivo, vectors with the constitutive U6 promoter driving RNAi were used (Supplementary Fig. S7A, B Upper). As expected from the EPC-linked tumor angiogenesis defects observed in Id1 knockout mice (14), BM-wide suppression of Id1 resulted in impaired B6RV2 lymphoma (Supplementary Fig. S7B, Lower Right) and LLC (Supplementary Fig. S7B, Lower Left) tumor growth. This finding was associated with reduced tumor vascularization and Id1mRNA suppression (Supplementary Fig. S7C, D).
To facilitate expression by the polII Id1 promoter, miR-30-based shRNAs (36) were then cloned into LV-Id1pr/p (Fig. 4A Upper). The effectiveness of the LV-Id1pr/p constructs to drive each shRNAi, following stable integration, was determined using fluorescence and quantitative RT-PCR (Supplementary Fig. S8A, B). Next, WT lineage depleted BM was transduced with Id1pr/p-GFPNSΩ, Id1pr/p-GFPId1Ω and Id1pr/p-GFPVEGFR2Ω LV constructs, respectively and transplanted into irradiated WT mice. Tumor growth in Id1pr/p-GFP Id1Ω and VEGFR2Ω BMT animals was impaired compared to non-specific control (Fig. 4A lower, B). Notably, Id1pr/p-shRNA-mediated suppression of both Id1 and VEGFR2 resulted in a 2-3 fold reduction of CEPs (Fig. 4C, Supplementary Fig. S9A), but no significant change in CD45+/c-kit+CD45+ hematopoietic cells (Fig. 4C, Supplementary Fig. S9B,C), GR1+ neutrophils (Supplementary Fig. S9D), Tie2+ monocytes, B220+ B-cells, CD3+ T-cells or CD11b+ c-kit+ myeloid progenitors (Supplementary Fig. S10A-D). Thus indicating the effect of this suppression is CEP specific.

Analysis of tumors also showed a significant reduction in vessel density in Id1pr/p-GFPId1Ω and Id1pr/p-GFPVEGFR2Ω BMT mice as compared to control mice (Fig. 4D). RT-PCR analysis of the BM confirmed that Id1 or VEGFR2 shRNA’s had indeed suppressed cognate target genes in vivo. A 60% reduction in Id1 mRNA was observed in Id1Ω BM, while a 75% reduction was observed in VEGFR2 mRNA in VEGFR2Ω BM compared to NSΩ BM. The levels of pan hematopoietic CD45 mRNA also remaining unchanged (Supplementary Fig. S8C). This experiment was not influenced by differential LV transduction efficiency or shRNA-mediated preferential enrichment of specific populations, as the BM of all Id1pr/p-GFPΩ transduced animals showed comparable integrations per cell (Supplementary Fig. S8D). Taken together, these results demonstrate that EPC intrinsic expression of Id1 and VEGFR2 is critical for effective EPC mobilization from the BM, and for normal tumor vascularization and growth.
Discussion

While the contribution of BM-derived EPCs to tumor neovessel formation has been reported in mice and humans (12, 16, 37, 38), the inability to deliver transgenes specifically to EPCs in vivo has precluded the analysis of their biological function, and assessment of their therapeutic potential. In this study we show for the first time using LV Id1 reporter constructs, and Id1+/GFP fluorescent reporter mice (24), that the Id1 gene is selective for EPCs, and can be used to track EPCs in the BM, blood, tumor-stroma, as well as incorporated in tumor vasculature. This identification of luminally incorporated mature EPCs in the tumor vasculature expressing the Id1 gene, also validates that Id1 marks cells that are true EPCs. Furthermore, this finding validates that EPCs do incorporate into tumor vasculature. To the best of our knowledge this study provides the first direct in vivo evidence of EPCs being marked by a single unique marker in each of these tissues.

To confirm the selectivity of Id1 for BM-EPCs, the LV-Id1pr/p construct was used to deliver the suicide transgene HSV-tk. Following administration of GCV, EPCs were specifically ablated resulting in a 60-70% reduction in CEPIPs, as well as angiogenesis inhibition and impaired tumor growth. This Id1 directed specific EPC ablation also did not significantly affect hematopoiesis or other hematopoietic cell populations. These findings are consistent with observations of Id1 knockout mice and their WT littermates, which showed no difference in hematopoietic progenitors (HPCs) (39). Furthermore, although, recent studies have shown that continuous serial transplantation of Id1 knockout BM results (ultimately) in impaired engraft potential (due to a reduction in long term repopulating hematopoietic stem cells/HSCs), Id1 was found to be dispensable for short term recovery of HSCs (24, 40). This is supported by the observation in this
study that acute suppression of Id1 in the adult BM compartment, while resulting in tumor angiogenic defects was not associated with HSC defects in our HSV-tk/GCV treated animals.

The LV-Id1pr/p construct was also designed to express shRNAi designed after an endogenous miRNA and determine the function of endogenous EPC-specific genes, Id1 and VEGFR2 in tumor angiogenesis. ShRNA-mediated suppression of Id1 resulted in EPC mobilization defects (a 70-80% reduction in CEPs), associated with severe angiogenesis inhibition and impaired tumor growth, with no significant change in cells of the hematopoietic lineage (B-cells, T-cells, CD11b+ myeloid cells and Tie2+ monocytes). This result is consistent with observations following the HSV-tk delivery and in the Id1 transgenic mice (14, 24). However, our result differs slightly from that of Jankovic et al. (40), who reported reduced number of circulating lymphocytes in the PB of resting Id1 knockout mice. Possibly, in our study, acute and short term suppression of Id1 in the BM compartment is devoid of the developmental compensations in the hematopoietic system associated with the Id1 knockout animal. In another study, Lyden et al. (14) showed that angiogenesis inhibition in the Id1 mutant was due to defects in mobilization of both VEGFR2+ EPCs and VEGFR1+ CD11b+ hematopoietic cells. However, the use of the combined Id1+/−/Id3null genotype in their study may have resulted in defects in VEGFR1+ cell mobilization, as a result of Id3 loss; as described (41). Furthermore, we have observed that Id1 silencing (either by shRNAi or in Id1 knockout mice) specifically affects VEGFR2+ EPCs, and not VEGFR1+ cells (20). In Gao et al. (17) we showed that suppression of Id1 in the whole BM by shRNAi leads to EPC and tumor angiogenic defects. In this study, restricted delivery of shRNAi to Id1+ expressing cells using the Id1 promoter provides further compelling and direct evidence for the role of Id1 in EPC mobilization in the context of tumor challenge.
Similarly, EPC-specific VEGFR2 knockdown also resulted in loss of EPC function, associated
with vessel loss and impaired tumor growth. Notably, administration of VEGFR2 blocking
antibody has been previously shown to have anti-angiogenic effects (19), however, anti-VEGFR2
antibody is not specific to EPCs and also recognizes VEGFR2 expressed on endothelial cells in
nascent blood vessels (42). Therefore, it has been difficult to discern whether the anti-angiogenic
phenotype observed in these studies are due to targeting EPCs, mature vessels or both. Given that
VEGFR2 suppression was strictly confined to the EPCs in the BM microenvironment, our results
provide the most direct evidence for the role of VEGFR2 in EPC-mediated tumor angiogenesis.

Even though, luminally incorporated BM-derived Id1pr/p
+ ECs represent a small fraction of
the total tumor vasculature, specific ablation demonstrated that EPCs play a critical role in
angiogenesis-mediated tumor growth. We have previously shown that tumor recruited EPCs
secrete proangiogenic factors (20), suggesting that in addition to providing structural support to
nascent vessels EPCs have paracrine function in vessel recruitment. This makes them uniquely
important targets for antiangiogenic cancer therapy. However, our results do not discount the
perivascular role other tumor recruited BM-derived hematopoietic cells (2, 7). Conceivably, each
component of the tumor-stroma plays a distinct role in tumor progression, and elimination of
specific cell populations may drastically impact tumor growth. Furthermore, variability in reported
contribution of EPCs across different mouse tumor models/strains [0% Purhonen et al. (21); 2-
20% Nolan et al. (16); to 90% Lyden et al. (14); summarized in review Gao et al. (43)], means
there is a need for a method to dynamically track and stage EPC involvement. Therefore, given
that the role of tumor associated BM-EPCs in cancer progression remains the subject of debate, the
selectivity of Id1 for EPCs, and its study through gene manipulation in vivo, provides a key tool for
further investigation. Furthermore, as the BM-contributes to the tumor microenvironment, LV-
delivery of tissue-specific promoters driving RNAi may be used to understand the role of BM-derived cells in tumor biology.
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**Figure Legends**
Figure 1. Id1 Marks EPCs in the PB, BM and Tumor-Stroma. A Upper, Schematic of Id1pr/p-GFP LV vector: Id1pr/p driving GFP expression. cPPT, central polypurine tract; WPRE, woodchuck hepatitis virus post transcription regulatory element; LTR, long terminal repeat; SIN, self inactivating. Lower, Microscopy showing recruitment of Id1pr/p-GFP+ cells to early nonvascularized LLC tumor periphery (arrows, day 8, n=15) in Id1pr/p-GFP BMT mice. Scale bar, 50µm. B Upper, Schematic of Id1pr/p-RFP LV vector: Id1pr/p driving monomeric RFP/mCherry™ expression. Lower, Microscopy showing recruitment of Id1pr/p-RFP+ cells to early nonvascularized LLC tumor periphery (arrows, day 8, n=15) in Id1pr/p-RFP/ACTb-EGFP BMT mice. Scale bar, 50µm. High resolution (63×) image showing the Id1pr/p-RFP+ can be used to mark and distinguish BM-derived ACTb-EGFP+ VE-Cadherin+ BM-EPCs (arrow) from other BM cells in within the tumor-stroma. Scale bar, 10µm. C Upper, High resolution (63×) image showing LV-Id1pr/p-GFP construct marks Id1 protein expressing VE-Cadherin+ BM-EPCs (arrow) in the tumor-stroma. Scale bar, 10µm. Lower, High resolution (63×) microscopy of cytopun BM and PB from LLC tumor challenged ACTb-EGFP/Id1pr/p-RFP BMT animals showing that Id1pr/p+ EPCs in the BM and PB express nuclear Id1 protein (white arrows). Scale bar, 20µm. D Upper, Microscopy showing vasculature and BM-derived Id1+/GFP+ VE-Cadherin+ CD31low BM-EPCs as part of the tumor-stroma (arrows) of LLC tumors (day 15) implanted into Id1+/GFP+ BMT mice (n=10). Scale bar, 50µm. Lower, High resolution (63×) of BM-derived Id1+/GFP+ VE-Cadherin+ CD31low BM-EPC (arrow) in the tumor-stroma of LLC tumors (day 15) implanted into Id1+/GFP+ BMT mice. Scale bar, 10µm.

Figure 2. Id1 Marks Luminally Incorporated, Mature EPCs in the Nascent Tumor Vasculature. A Upper, Microscopy showing vasculature and BM-derived Id1+/GFP+ CD31low CD11b- BM-EPCs as
part of the tumor-stroma (arrows) of LLC tumors (day 15) implanted into Id1+/GFP+ BMT mice (n=10). Scale bar, 50µm. Lower, Microscopy of a tumor vessel showing an incorporated BM-derived mature EPC (Id1+/GFP+ CD31+ CD11b-, arrow) in LLC tumors (day 15) implanted into Id1+/GFP+ BMT mice. Scale bar, 20µm. B, High resolution (63×) transverse immunofluorescent image of tumor vessel showing an incorporated BM-derived mature EPC (Id1+/GFP+ CD31+ CD11b, arrow) in LLC tumors (day 15) implanted into Id1+/GFP+ BMT mice. Scale bar, 20µm. C, Summary of FACS analysis showing contribution of Id1+ mature EPCs in functional vessels, by Isolectin staining in LLC tumor (day 6) in Id1pr/p-GFP BMT mice. D, Representative scatter plots showing derivation of GFP gates. SSCA, side scatter values.

**Figure 3.** Selective Elimination of Id1pr/p+ EPCs by Delivery of a Suicide Gene HSV-tk Impairs Tumor Growth. A Upper, Schematic of Id1pr/p LV vector, with Id1pr/p driving GFP and HSV-tk expression. Lower, LLC tumor growth (Mean±S.E.M) and morphology in Id1pr/p-GFPITK BMT mice (n=10) and Id1pr/p-GFP BMT mice (n=5), either treated with GCV (+GCV) or untreated (-GCV). Similar trends observed in repeat experiment. B, FACS analysis of PB from tumor challenged Id1pr/p-GFPITK (+GCV), Id1pr/p-GFPITK (-GCV), and GCV control Id1pr/p-GFP (+GCV) BMT mice, showing number of mobilized CEPs (c-kit+ VEGFR2+) and HPCs (c-kit+ CD45+). A total of 1×10⁶ cells were analyzed per animal. Data is represented as mean number of cells per 1×10⁵ PBMNCs±S.E.M (n=5 per group); analyzed by Students t-test. C Upper, Microscopic analysis of EPCs (VE-Cadherin+ GFP+) from the BM following GCV treatment in Id1pr/p-GFPITK BMT mice. Lower, FACS analysis of the BM from tumor challenged Id1pr/p-GFPITK (- or + GCV) BMT mice, showing the number of EPCs (c-kit+ VEGFR2+), myeloid cells (CD11b+). Data showing significant difference between GCV treated Id1pr/p-GFPITK and
untreated animals ($P=0.040$, by Students t-Test). Numbers are normalized per $1\times10^6$ BM mononuclear cells. D, CD31$^+$ immunostaining showing a lower vessel density in Id1pr/p-GFPITK +GCV LLC tumors in comparison to Id1pr/p-GFPITK –GCV and Id1pr/p LLC tumors ($n=15$), Scale bar, 100μm. Data represented as average number of vessels per field±S.E.M. and analyzed by Students t-test.

**Figure 4.** Id1pr/p shRNA-Mediated Suppression of VEGFR2 and Id1 in the EPCs Results in Angiogenesis Inhibition and Impaired Tumor Growth. A Upper, Schematic of Id1pr/p-GFPΩ LV vector with Id1 promoter driving GFP and miR30-based Ω expression. Lower, LLC tumor growth in Id1pr/p-GFPNSΩ, Id1pr/p-GFPId1Ω and Id1pr/p-GFPVEGFR2Ω BMT mice. Data represented as mean volume±S.E.M ($P=0.0117$ by Student’s t-Test, $n=5$ per group). B, LLC tumor morphology in Id1pr/p-GFPNSΩ, Id1pr/p-GFPId1Ω and Id1pr/p-GFPVEGFR2Ω BMT mice, Scale bar, 5mm. C, FACS analysis of PB showing number of CEPs (c-kit$^+$ VEGFR2$^+$), and HPCs (c-kit$^+$CD45$^+$) from LLC tumor challenged Id1pr/p-GFPΩ mice. Data is represented as mean number of cells per $1\times10^5$±S.E.M. ($n=5$ per group; $P$ values, by Student’s t-Test). This experiment was repeated, similar trends observed. D, CD31$^+$ immunostaining showing a higher vessel density in Id1pr/p-GFPNSΩ LLC tumors in comparison to Id1pr/p-GFPId1Ω and Id1pr/p-GFPVEGFR2Ω LLC tumors ($n=15$), Scale bar, 100μm. Data represented as average number of vessels per field±S.E.M. and analyzed by Students t-test.
**Supplementary Figure Legends**

**Supplementary Figure S1.** Id1 is Up-Regulated in BM Stem Cells and Expressed by BM-Derived EPCs. A, RT-PCR analysis of gene expression in BM-derived stem cells in tumor challenged mice; showing genes including *GATA-2*, *CXCR4*, *VEGFR2*, *Id1* and *VE-Cadherin* up-regulated at least 2-fold in lineage depleted BM cells following LLC tumor challenge (day 6), compared to non-tumor challenged lineage depleted BM. Data represented as mean %mRNA in tumor challenged BM compared with unchallenged lineage depleted BM control±S.E.M (n=5). B, Microscopy of an early tumor from ACTb-EGFP BMT mice (day 6, LLC), showing BM-derived ACTb-EGFP+ VE-Cadherin+ EPCs also express nuclear Id1 protein (arrow). Scale bar, 20μm.

**Supplementary Figure S2.** Cell Culture Analysis of Differential Regulation of Id1pr/p in the Context of Stable Integration. A Upper, BMP-2 mediated dose-dependent induction of GFP expression in stably Id1pr/p-GFP infected mHEVcs, under low serum (2.5% FBS). Scale bar, 50μM. Lower, FACS analysis of Id1pr/p-GFP infected endothelial cells (mHEVcs) and myocytes (C2Cl2), following BMP-2 addition (2.5% FBS). RFU, Relative Fluorescence Units. Experiments were performed in triplicate and data presented as percentage of the mean of RFU of treated cells compared with untreated controls±SE (n=3 per treatment). B, Determination of Vector Copy Number (VCN) in the BM of reconstituted animals. Shown cycle threshold at linearity (Ct) at different copy numbers of target, as well as mean VCN for Id1pr/p-GFP reconstituted animals.

**Supplementary Figure S3.** Characterization of EPC and Hematopoietic Markers in Id1pr/p+ BM-Derived EPCs by Immunofluorescent Microscopy. A, Cytospun BM from tumor challenged Id1pr/p-GFP BMT animals showing Id1pr/p+ BM-derived EPCs are VEGFR2+ (arrows). Scale bar, 20μm. B, Cytospun BM from tumor challenged Id1pr/p-RFP/ACTb-EGFP BMT animals showing Id1pr/p+ BM-derived EPCs are Prominin 1+ (arrows). Scale bar,
20μm. C, High resolution microscopy (63×) of tumor-stroma from Id1pr/p-GFP BMT animals showing Id1pr/p+ BM-derived EPCs express no CD11b (arrows). Scale bar, 10μm.

**Supplementary Figure S4.** FACS Analysis of EPCs Isolated from the PB and BM of Tumor Challenged WT and Id1pr/p-GFP Mice. A, FACS analysis of PBMNCs from WT and Id1pr/p-GFP tumor challenged mice, showing that Id1pr/p-GFP+ marks 0.3% of PBMNCs. B, 80% of the c-kit+ VEGFR2+ EPCs, 0.9% of myeloid CD11b+ cells, 0.07% of B220+ B-cells, 0.17% of CD3+ T-cells are GFP+, following tumor challenge. Data represented as mean number of cells as a percentage of parent population (n=5). SSCA denotes side scatter values. Data collected from 10^6 cells, shown 10^5 cells. C, FACS analysis of BMMNCs from WT and Id1pr/p-GFP tumor challenged mice, showing that Id1pr/p-GFP+ marks 1.23±0.08% of BMMNCs, 66.83±0.65% of the BM VE-Cadherin+ cells, and 0.16±0.01% of myeloid CD11b+ cells, following tumor challenge. Data represented as mean number of cells as a percentage of parent population (n=5). SSCA denotes side scatter values. Data collected from 10^6 cells, shown 10^5 cells.

**Supplementary Figure S5.** Id1 Marks Luminally Incorporated, Mature EPCs in the Nascent Tumor Vasculature. A, High resolution (63×) transverse immunofluorescent image of tumor vessel showing an incorporated BM-derived mature EPC (Id1+/GFP+ CD31+ CD11b-, arrow) in LLC tumors (day 15) implanted into Id1+/GFP+ BMT mice. B, High resolution (63×) transverse immunofluorescent MIP Z-Stack image of tumor vessel showing an incorporated BM-derived mature EPC (Id1+/GFP+ CD31+ CD11b-, arrow) in LLC tumors (day 15) implanted into Id1+/GFP+ BMT mice.

**Supplementary Figure S6.** *In Vitro and In Vivo* Immunofluorescent Analysis of Id1pr/p-GFPITK Suicide Gene. A, HSV-tk is expressed following stable infection of Id1pr/p-GFPITK into 293T cells (arrow), GFP is expressed under conditions of high (10%) FCS. Scale bar, 20μm. B Upper, GCV treatment shows dose-dependent killing of Id1pr/p-GFPITK cells by
microscopy, scale bar, 100µm and FACS (Lower). Data is represented as mean number of PI−
Id1pr/p-GFP+ cells as a percentage of total±S.E.M. (n=3/treatment). C, HSV-tk protein
detected in VE-Cadherin+ Id1pr/p-GFP+ EPCs (arrow) from Id1pr/p-GFPITK BMT mice.
Scale bar, 20µm. D Upper, Microscopy of cytospun BM cells from LLC tumor (day 8)
challenged WT mice, show that VE-Cadherin+ EPCs (arrows) are Ki-67+. Scale bar, 20µm.
Lower, Microscopy of tumor-stroma (LLC, day 8) from ACTb-EGFP+ BMT mice, show that
even though many cells are Ki67+ (arrow a) VE-Cadherin+ EPCs are Ki-67- (arrow b). Scale
bar, 20µm.

**Supplementary Figure S7.** Constitutive Suppression of Id1 in the Whole BM by shRNAi
Leads to Specific Angiogenesis Linked Tumor Growth Defects. A, Location of shRNAs
targeting the murine Id1 gene. Upper, Locations of probes on the target sequences are
assigned with respect to the translation start site (position 1). Lower, 293T cells transfected
with Id1-GFP, pDsRed2-1 (internal control), and shRNAs against Id1 (Id1Ω) and non-specific
shRNA (NSΩ) as indicated (24 hrs post transfection). Scale bar, 20µm. B Upper, Schematic
of pWPTU6 LV vector showing a pol III U6 promoter driving shRNA (Ω) expression and EF-
short promoter driving GFP expression. Lower Left, LLC tumor growth in pWPTU6-NSΩ
and pWPTU6-Id1Ω BMT mice. Lower Right, B6RV2 tumor growth in pWPTU6-NSΩ and
pWPTU6-Id1Ω BMT mice. Data is represented as mean volume±S.E.M (P<0.001 by
Student’s t-Test, n=5 per group). C, LLC tumor morphology and CD31+ immunostaining
showing a higher blood vessel density in pWPTU6-NSΩ compared with pWPTU6-Id1Ω BMT
mice. Scale bar, 100µm. D, RT-PCR analysis of CD45 and Id1 mRNA levels in the BM of
pWPTU6-Id1Ω mice. Data represented as mean level of mRNA as a percentage of mRNA in
pWPTU6-NSΩ control BM±S.E.M. (n=5 per group).

**Supplementary Figure S8.** Id1 Directed Delivery of shRNAi Leads to Effective Silencing in
the Context of Stable Integration. A Upper, Location of shRNAs targeting murine VEGFR2.
Lower, RT-PCR analysis of VEGFR2 shRNA transfected mHEVcs. Data represented as mean endogenous VEGFR2 mRNA levels as percentage of levels in NSΩ transfected mHEVcs ±S.E.M (n=5). B, 293T cells stably transfected with Id1pr/p-GFPId1Ω and Id1pr/p-GFPVEGFR2Ω effectively silenced transfected Id1-Cyan Fluorescence Protein (CFP) and VEGFR2-CFP fusion constructs respectively. pDsRed2-1 was used as a transfection normalization control. Scale bar, 100μM. C, RT-PCR analysis of Id1, VEGFR2, and CD45 mRNA levels in BM from Id1pr/p-GFPΩ mice. Data is represented as mean level of mRNA copies as a percentage of mRNA from Id1pr/p-GFPNSΩ BM controls (n=4 repeats per sample). D, RT-PCR analysis of BM genomic DNA, using LV-GFP specific primers, showing comparable LV-vector integration across all LV transduced BMT mice. Data represented as the mean VCN±S.E.M.

**Supplementary Figure S9.** FACS Analysis of EPCs, Hematopoietic Cells and Neutrophils Isolated from the PB of Tumor Challenged Id1pr/p-GFPΩ BMT Animals. A, FACS analysis of PB from LLC tumor challenged (day 8) Id1pr/p-GFPΩ mice showing a 2-3 fold reduction in mobilized CEPs (VEGFR2⁺ c-kit⁺) in Id1pr/p-Id1Ω animals, following Id1 directed silencing of Id1 and VEGFR2. B, FACS analysis of PB from LLC tumor challenged (day 8) Id1pr/p-GFPΩ mice showing no change in mobilized hematopoietic cells (CD45⁺), following Id1 directed silencing of Id1 and VEGFR2. C, FACS analysis of PB from LLC tumor challenged (day 8) Id1pr/p-GFPΩ mice showing no change in mobilized HPCs (c-kit⁺ CD45⁺), following Id1 directed silencing of Id1. D, FACS analysis of PB from LLC tumor challenged (day 8) Id1pr/p-GFPΩ mice showing no change in mobilized neutrophils (CD45⁺ GR-1⁺) cells, following Id1 directed silencing of Id1.

**Supplementary Figure S10.** FACS Analysis of Other BM-Derived Cell Populations Isolated from the PB of Tumor Challenged Id1pr/p-GFPΩ BMT Animals. A, FACS analysis of Tie2⁺ (CD11b⁺) monocytes isolated from PB of LLC tumor challenged (day 8) Id1pr/p-Id1Ω mice
showing no change, following Id1 directed silencing of Id1. B, Representative FACS analysis of B-cells (B220⁺) isolated from PB of LLC tumor challenged (day 8) Id1pr/p-Id1Ω mice showing no change, following Id1 directed silencing of Id1. C, FACS analysis of T-cells (CD3⁺) isolated from the PB of LLC tumor challenged (day 8) Id1pr/p-Id1Ω mice showing no change, following Id1 directed silencing of Id1. D, FACS analysis of myeloid progenitors (c-kit⁺ CD11b⁺) isolated from the PB of LLC tumor challenged (day 8) Id1pr/p-Id1Ω mice showing no change, following Id1 directed silencing of Id1.

**Supplementary Table S1.** Table Summarizing Results of Multivariate FACS Analysis of PB and BM from Tumor Challenged Id1pr/p-GFP⁺ Reconstituted Animals. Shown preferential marking of CEPs (VEGFR2⁺ c-kit⁺) and EPCs (VE-Cadherin⁺) by LV-Id1pr/p. Data is represented as mean number of GFP⁺ cells as a percentage of total PBMNCs or BMMNCs (n=10).
A) Schematic diagram showing the expression of GFP in different conditions.

B) Images showing tumor size comparison across different groups.

C) Graphs showing statistical analysis of CEPs and HPCs.

D) Immunofluorescence images and quantification of CD31/DAPI staining.
A

Total PBMCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id1pr/p</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-NSQ</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-Id1Ω</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tie2<em>CD11b</em> (0.03%)</td>
<td>Tie2<em>CD11b</em> (0.02%)</td>
<td>Tie2<em>CD11b</em> (0.07%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tie2-Alexa 647

B

Total PBMCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id1pr/p</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-NSQ</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-Id1Ω</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B200<em>CD11b</em> (27.42%)</td>
<td>B200<em>CD11b</em> (26.73%)</td>
<td>B200<em>CD11b</em> (27.81%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B200-Alexa 750

C

Total PBMCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id1pr/p</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-NSQ</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-Id1Ω</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD3<em>CD11b</em> (13.6%)</td>
<td>CD3<em>CD11b</em> (12.1%)</td>
<td>CD3<em>CD11b</em> (12.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD3-Alexa 647

D

Total PBMCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id1pr/p</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-NSQ</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-Id1Ω</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c-kit<em>CD11b</em> (0.017%)</td>
<td>c-kit<em>CD11b</em> (0.019%)</td>
<td>c-kit<em>CD11b</em> (0.012%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c-kit-QDot 705

P-values:
- A: 0.517
- B: 0.302
- C: 0.506
- D: 0.887
Supplementary Table S1. Quantitative Id1 Expression in Purified BM Cell Populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Cell Type</th>
<th>Markers*</th>
<th>Id1pr/p-GFP*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Total PBMNCs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.30%§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEPs</td>
<td>VEGFR2^+c-kit^+CD11b^+</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myeloid Cells</td>
<td>CD11b^+</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B-Cells</td>
<td>CD11b^+B220^+</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T-Cells</td>
<td>CD11b^+CD3^+</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Marrow</td>
<td>Total BM MNCs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EPCs</td>
<td>VE-Cadherin^+CD11b^+</td>
<td>67.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myeloid Cells</td>
<td>CD11b^+</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: CEPs, Circulating Endothelial Progenitor Cells; EPCs, Endothelial Progenitor Cells; PBMNC, Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells; BM MNCs, Bone Marrow Mononuclear Cells.

*All cells were sorted from Mononuclear Cells and Erythroid^+/Ter119^-.

§Values given as a percentage of Id1-GFP^+ cells compared with total population.