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Suicide and intentional self-harm are issues of major importance in public health, public interest and public policy. In Australia, suicide rates are widely used as a progress indicator in these areas. Accurate suicide statistics underpin appropriately targeted suicide prevention strategies and research, costing of suicide and combating associated stigma. Reliable statistical information on suicide is thus vital.

The first release, in 2009, of Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) cause-of-death data registered in 2007 recorded 1884 suicides, about 75% of which involved males. Suicide accounted for 19% of deaths among 15–34-year-old males, while men aged 85 years or older had the highest rates of suicide. Suicides significantly exceed the number of fatalities from motor vehicle accidents and homicides. Non-fatal suicidal behaviour (self-harm) is a leading cause of morbidity, especially for young women. There are no Australian estimates of the substantial human, social and economic costs of suicide and self-harm. In California in the United States, they approximate $4.2 billion per year. Each suicide affects at least six other people.

Suicide rates in Australia, especially in younger people, increased during the later 20th century, peaking in 1997. Reasons advanced for the subsequent decline include the rising economic prosperity in the 1990s, an increase in prescriptions for antidepressants that modify psychiatric risk factors, government-funded suicide prevention strategies, and underreporting.

Here we describe underreporting, especially from 2002 to 2007, intentional self-harm was found in 39%.

Systemic reasons for undercounting include: (i) absence of a central authority for producing mortality data; (ii) inconsistent coronal processes for determining intent, as a result of inadequate information inputs, suicide stigma, and high standards of proof; (iii) collection and coding methods that are problematic for data stakeholders; and (iv) lack of systemic resourcing, training and shared expertise.

Revision of data after coronial case closure, beginning with ABS deaths registered in 2007, is planned and will reduce undercounting.

Other reasons for undercounting, such as missing or ambiguous information (eg, single-vehicle road crashes, drowning), differential ascertainment (eg, between jurisdictions), or lack of recorded information on groups such as Indigenous people and gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender people require separate responses.

A systemic coordinated program should address current inaccuracies, and social stigma about suicide and self-harm must be tackled if widespread underreporting is to stop.

**ABSTRACT**

- Suicide and intentional self-harm are issues of major importance in public health and public policy, with rates widely used as progress indicators in these areas.
- Accurate statistics are vital for appropriately targeted prevention strategies and research, costing of suicide and to combat associated stigma.
- Underreporting of Australian suicide rates probably grew from 2002 to 2006; Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) suicide data were at least 11% or 16% undercounted (depending on case definitions) in 2004.
- In coronial cases with undetermined intent for 2005 to 2007, intentional self-harm was found in 39%.
- Systemic reasons for undercounting include: (i) absence of a central authority for producing mortality data; (ii) inconsistent coronal processes for determining intent, as a result of inadequate information inputs, suicide stigma, and high standards of proof; (iii) collection and coding methods that are problematic for data stakeholders; and (iv) lack of systemic resourcing, training and shared expertise.
- Revision of data after coronial case closure, beginning with ABS deaths registered in 2007, is planned and will reduce undercounting.
- Other reasons for undercounting, such as missing or ambiguous information (eg, single-vehicle road crashes, drowning), differential ascertainment (eg, between jurisdictions), or lack of recorded information on groups such as Indigenous people and gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender people require separate responses.
- A systemic coordinated program should address current inaccuracies, and social stigma about suicide and self-harm must be tackled if widespread underreporting is to stop.

**For editorial comment, see page 428. See also page 432**

**Determination and reporting of suicides in Australia**

Legal, statistical and research data on suicide are currently discrepant, yet a single set of official data is desirable. Locating where any suicide is coded in the pathway to Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) output data, is challenging (Box 1).

No single body or portfolio is responsible for producing mortality data, and several systemic factors contribute to suicide under-
The Queensland Suicide Register (QSR) noted that national Classification of Diseases, 10th revision [ICD-10] codes, asphyxia, W75–W80; and firearms, W32–W34. Data obtained from the National Injury Surveillance Unit, based on Australian Bureau of Statistics cause-of-death data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Deaths caused by intentional self-harm</th>
<th>Unintentional deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asphyxia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2720</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>2683</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2492</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2362</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2454</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2320</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2098</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2101</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1799</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Underlying cause coded according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision, with code ranges including: intentional self-harm, X60–X84; asphyxia, W75–W80; and firearms, W32–W34. Data obtained from the National Injury Surveillance Unit, based on Australian Bureau of Statistics cause-of-death data.

**Evidence of underreporting and corrective measures**

Suicide underreporting occurs internationally, and in some regions, this undermines usable information. Moreover, no suicide data are available for more than half of the world’s countries, most of which are developing countries in Asia, Africa and South America.

In Australia, ABS-coded suicide deaths have fallen by a third since 1997. However, “accidental” deaths by common suicide methods (hanging and shooting) have risen, particularly after 2002 (Box 2). In 2004, ABS coders classified 81 intentional self-harm deaths as “Other accidental threats to breathing” (International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision [ICD-10] codes, W75–W84). The Queensland Suicide Register (QSR) noted that 73 hanging suicides in Qld in 2006 had been coded as accidental.

Of 12 786 cases recorded on the NCIS as deaths by intentional self-harm between mid-2000 and the end of 2005, the ABS ascribed non-suicide ICD-10 cause-of-death codes to at least 8.9% of these. Suicides in 2004 were underreported by 11% or 16%, depending on case definitions. The level of underreporting was probably higher, because some coroner cases remained open at the time of study in early 2008.

It may be thought that coroners’ findings determine suicide coding. A random, cross-jurisdictional sample of 988 NCIS cases involving external causes of death from 2005 to 2007 found that, of 284 cases where coroners made no reference to intent (29%), the NCIS judged 111 (39%) as involving intentional self-harm. Methods strongly indicative of suicide — hanging, motor vehicle exhaust and plastic bag asphyxia — were used in 86 of these (77%) (Jessica Pearse, Manager, NCIS, personal communication). Thus, coroners’ findings regarding intent alone do not reliably indicate the prevalence of suicide.

The QSR contains about 10 000 suicide cases from 1990, each with police, pathology, toxicology and (from 1994) psychological autopsy reports. QSR data to 2001 closely paralleled ABS data (within 5%). However, the discrepancy has increased markedly since then, with 51 QSR suicide cases unreported by the ABS in 2002 (537 v 588, 8.7%), 84 in 2003 (466 v 550, 15.3%), 127 in 2004 (453 v 580, 21.9%), 112 in 2005 (459 v 571, 19.6%) and 187 cases in 2006 (340 v 527, 35.5%). Data for 2007 (not yet finalised) confirm similar discrepancies.

Box 3 shows recent falling suicide rates coinciding with rising rates of ill-defined deaths, with similar patterns for Qld and Australia. ABS practices during 2002 to 2006 regarding ill-defined deaths require investigation, although only some ill-defined deaths may be due to misclassified suicide deaths.

An analysis of deaths in 2004 found the higher corrected estimate was 2458 suicide deaths, compared with 2110 in ABS 2004 data. As noted, some coroner cases from 2004 that were still open early in 2008 may be suicide deaths. Similar analyses for other years are unavailable, but a less elaborate analysis suggests a similar extent of misclassification in 2003, and somewhat less in 2001 and 2002. For 2006, conservative estimates would add at least 200 cases of suicide from New South Wales to Qld’s 187 extra...
cases, as NSW apparently has similar problems with underreporting, and these two states contain about half of Australia's population. Adding other jurisdictions would add 650–700 suicide deaths to the 1799 reported by the ABS.

The misclassifications just noted principally depend on mismatching between some closures of coroner cases (with intentional self-harm confirmation) and the ABS’s annual deadline for processing cases. To solve this problem, the ABS will revise data beginning with all deaths registered in 2007, though delayed case-closure will prevent final counts for several years.

This undercounting undermines the validity of the apparently sharply declining suicide rates reported by the ABS for 2002–2006 (although declines may still have occurred from the peak year of 1997). Pending the release of final ABS data for 2007 and later years, and the findings of any further special studies of earlier years, rates of about 20 per 100 000 (male population) for males and 5 per 100 000 for females (female population) appear more plausible.

Suicide can also be undercounted because the available evidence leaves the cause of death uncertain. For example, some single-vehicle road crashes are suicide-related. Deaths in single-vehicle crashes rose from 38.4% of all vehicle-related deaths in the combined years 1999 and 2000 to 46.1% in the combined years 2006 and 2007, however, without positive evidence (eg, a suicide note), investigators cannot determine whether the cause was suicide or other factors. Similar uncertainty arises with some other deaths, such as drowning.

Ascertainment of suicide may also differ between groups. Differences between jurisdictions in closing coroner cases probably result in different levels of suicide misclassification. Use of less indicative methods by females compared with males (eg, overdose as opposed to hanging) may conceal female suicides. Small rural communities may report fewer suicides because of concerns about stigma and confidentiality. These possibilities require confirmation.

Different to undercounting, but contributing to ignorance about suicide risk factors and distribution, is a lack of information in death records on some characteristics of people dying by suicide. For example, evidence shows that Indigenous Australians aged 12–24 years have suicide rates four times greater than non-Indigenous Australians, but underidentification of Indigenous status at death hampers measurement and analysis. This varies by jurisdiction — Western Australia, SA, Northern Territory and Qld are more reliable — but undercounting of probable Indigenous suicide is at least 25% in NSW.

Investigation of deaths, the coroner’s role and determination of intent

Each Australian state and territory has a coronial jurisdiction. Coroners are usually magistrates who investigate all reportable deaths, which should include all suspected suicides (although how completely this occurs is unknown). Coroners must establish the time, place, manner and cause of death and the deceased’s identity.

Police, forensic pathologists and toxicologists investigate reportable deaths. For coroners and bodies that record death data to determine suicide, detailed, consistent information about circumstances and risk factors is required. To minimise local variations, several jurisdictions (Australian Capital Territory, Qld, Tasmania, NT and, recently [April 2009], NSW) have introduced (to varying degrees) a standard national police form that records evidence of suspected suicide and demographic data such as Aboriginality. As a result, data collection from suspected suicide cases in these jurisdictions has improved both in consistency over time and compared with other jurisdictions.

Coroners may rule on intent, but Coroners Acts do not explicitly mandate this. Without an inquest, the SA Coroners Act only permits a finding as to cause, not circumstances, of death. Apart from those few suicides (excepting custodial deaths) that are subject to inquests, the state and deputy state coroners consider they are forbidden from finding on intent. Clerks independently complete this field (Mark Johns, State Coroner, South Australia Coroner’s Office, personal communication). However, other Australian statutes oblige coroners to determine “how” the person died (Qld, Vic, Tas, WA), the “manner and cause of death” (NSW, ACT), and “any relevant circumstances concerning death” (NT). The coronial duty to investigate the (at least immediate) circumstances of death is imported into Australian legal judgments from common law, where coroners must seek and record as many facts as public interest requires. Though no one has an interest in challenging coronial omission of intent, it is arguable that the coroner should find on intent where possible.

Evidence for suicide may not suffice in drug overdoses, drownings, single-vehicle accidents and some other methods. Intentional self-harm may not equate to suicide if the person’s capacity to form suicidal intent is doubtful, for example, because of youth, mental illness or cognitive impairment. In Australia, the gravity and consequences of a suicide finding require a civil standard of proof, but with a high degree of certainty. Consequent unavoidable subjectivity makes for inconsistency in findings.

Terminology (eg, in explicitly referring to suicide) and formatting vary greatly across jurisdictions, and among coroners, who may refer to intent or “let the facts speak for themselves” (eg, in cases of hanging or jumping in front of a train).

Stigma associated with suicide (and suicide attempts) has profound psychological and behavioural effects on individuals and families — who may avoid seeking help, and may conceal or deny suicide — and also affects coronial practice. Considerations may include victims’ ages (especially children and young people), social standing, families’ or communities’ distress and emotional wellbeing, cultural and religious interests, financial impact (insurance claims), and legislation. For example, the Qld Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Act 2003 prohibits “suicide” being entered onto the register. Although anti-stigma campaigns flourish in mental health, destigmatising self-harm and suicide is controversial. Inappropriate media reportage of individual suicides may increase suicide rates, and concerns have also been expressed about public discussion normalising suicide. Concerns about effects such as these must be balanced against the costs of silence about a major social problem. Silence risks perpetuating underreporting and misinforming about suicide, and marginalising its victims.

Data collection and reporting bodies

The NCIS is the database of all deaths reported to Australian coroners since July 2000 (for Qld, since January 2001). Before the NCIS and until 2005, records with insufficient information prompted the ABS to have its staff visit coroners’ offices to assign a cause of death. The query process, involving about 25 000 deaths annually, continued until the ABS finished its annual compilation. Thereafter, the data file remained unaltered for incomplete coron-
The proportions of coronial cases remaining open when ABS coding is finalised, with causes of death not updated with NCIS, has increased in recent years. Moreover, the ABS progressively (from 2003), and then solely (in 2006) relied on the NCIS for coroner-certified deaths. In 2006, it abandoned manual checks. Combining this with timing-related misclassification has been crucial. “Ill-defined” deaths increased sharply in 2006 because the NCIS lacked data, especially from NSW. The NCIS and ABS may benefit from training coding personnel. Enhanced coronial processes may include practice aids, logical autopsies that use collective shared expertise), and training for coronial staff and more detailed, consistent coding methods. Newly adopted ABS coding practices require evaluation, and may inform revised approaches to coding. The revised approach, using the 2007 version of ICD-10 in a manner approved by the World Health Organization Mortality Reference Group, no longer assigns cases to “accidental” causes of death codes by default. Thus, the revised approach will improve completeness and reliability, although it will reduce timeliness and simplicity. The slowness of finalisation reflects the slow completion of some coronial cases.

**4 Parties attending or consulted about the inaugural meetings of the National Committee for Standardised Reporting of Suicide in April and September 2009**

- Suicide Prevention Australia
- Australian Institute for Suicide Research and Prevention
- The Australian Bureau of Statistics
- National Coroners Information System
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
- Australian Suicide Prevention Advisory Council
- Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
- Australasian Mortality Data Interest Group
- National Advisory Council on Mental Health
- LifeLine Australia
- Mindframe National Media Initiative and Hunter Institute of Mental Health
- Chief coroners and their offices, the Australasian Coroners Society and interested individual coroners
- Registry of Births Deaths and Marriages, Queensland
- Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine
- Western Australian Ministerial Council for Suicide Prevention
- Funeral directors
- Key university researchers
- Representatives of police mental health projects in Victoria and the Northern Territory
- Regional projects between local coroners and services (eg, the Suicide Safety Network and the Coroner’s Office on the Central Coast of New South Wales)

**5 Aims of the National Committee for Standardised Reporting of Suicide**

- Achieving crossjurisdictional and multiparty agreement on adequate, standard and operationalised criteria and reporting formats for suicide and related data
- Identifying gaps, priorities and practical solutions within and across different domains through wide consultation
- Making recommendations to address parts of the system as well as whole systemic change
- Establishing complementary working groups that develop, pilot and implement projects
- Identifying the costs and resource implications associated with change
- Undertaking a communication strategy

Future directions

A National Committee for Standardised Reporting of Suicide (NCSRS) first met in April 2009. The parties attending or consulted about this inaugural meeting are shown in Box 4, and the aims of the NCSRS are highlighted in Box 5.

The chief aim of the NCSRS is to achieve crossjurisdictional, multiparty agreement on adequate, standard and operationalised criteria and reporting formats for suicide and related data. The general domains of (i) information to coroners, (ii) coronial inquiries and reporting, and (iii) data stakeholders were identified as requiring attention. Input from coroners may improve by standardising data from primary sources (eg, police and psychological autopsies that use collective shared expertise), and training personnel. Enhanced coronial processes may include practice aids, graded determinations of suicide (eg, “possible”, “probable”, “beyond reasonable doubt”), and administrative leadership by chief coroners. The NCSRS will encourage coroners to find on intent where possible, recommending that problematic legislation be addressed. The NCIS and ABS may benefit from training coding staff and more detailed, consistent coding methods. Newly adopted ABS coding practices require evaluation, and may inform the 11th revision of the International Classification of Diseases.

Other initiatives will bridge these domains. Retrospective commissioned research must revise suicide numbers. Where possible, records should identify suicides by Indigenous people, and gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender people. Stigma must be tackled, if widespread underreporting is to cease. Joint work with coroners, forensic counselling services and those bereaved by suicide should...
identify, understand and respond to situations where suicide determinations are at variance with families’ wishes. Life insurance policies with 13-month exceptions for suicide are common, and require industry reform.

Resource implications, accountability, and consultation and information processes for the NCSRS require clarification. Good potential exists for overcoming the problems, given goodwill (already apparent in the convening of the NCSRS) and appropriate resource allocation.
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