Identification, Characterization and Immunogenicity of an O-Antigen Capsular Polysaccharide of \textit{Francisella tularensis}
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Abstract

Capsular polysaccharides are important factors in bacterial pathogenesis and have been the target of a number of successful vaccines. \textit{Francisella tularensis} has been considered to express a capsular antigen but none has been isolated or characterized. We have developed a monoclonal antibody, 11B7, which recognizes the capsular polysaccharide of \textit{F. tularensis} migrating on Western blot as a diffuse band between 100 kDa and 250 kDa. The capsule stains poorly on SDS-PAGE with silver stain but can be visualized using ProQ Emerald glycoprotein stain. The capsule appears to be highly conserved among strains of \textit{F. tularensis} as antibody 11B7 bound to the capsule of 14 of 14 \textit{F. tularensis} type A and B strains on Western blot. The capsular material can be isolated essentially free of LPS, is phenol and proteinase K resistant, ethanol precipitable and does not dissociate in sodium dodecyl sulfate. Immunelectron microscopy with colloidal gold demonstrates 11B7 circumferentially staining the surface of \textit{F. tularensis} which is typical of a polysaccharide capsule. Mass spectrometry, compositional analysis and NMR indicate that the capsule is composed of a polymer of the tetrasaccharide repeat, 4)-\textalpha-D-GalNAcAN-(1->4)-\textalpha-D-GalNAcAN-(1->3)-\textbeta-D-QuinAc-(1->2)-\textbeta-D-Quin4NFm-(1-. This indicates that the \textit{F. tularensis} capsule can be classified as an O-antigen capsular polysaccharide. Our studies indicate that \textit{F. tularensis} O-antigen glycosyltransferase mutants do not make a capsule. An \textit{F. tularensis} acetyltransferase and an O-antigen polymerase mutant had no evidence of an O-antigen but expressed a capsular antigen. Passive immunization of BALB/c mice with 75 $\mu$g of 11B7 protected against a 150 fold lethal challenge of \textit{F. tularensis} LVS. Active immunization of BALB/c mice with 10 $\mu$g of capsule showed a similar level of protection. These studies demonstrate that \textit{F. tularensis} produces an O-antigen capsule that may be the basis of a future vaccine.
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Introduction

\textit{Francisella tularensis} is a gram-negative, aerobic, facultative intracellular bacterium and is the etiological agent of tularemia. The organism was first described by McCoy and Corbin in 1911 in Tulare County California [1]. \textit{F. tularensis} is found throughout the Northern hemisphere. Infection with \textit{F. tularensis} can occur by inhalation, insect bite, subcutaneous inoculation through a break in the skin, ingestion of contaminated meat or water, or by animal bite [2]. \textit{F. tularensis} is one of the most infectious bacterial organisms known and as few as 10 organisms can cause disease in humans by inoculation or inhalation [3,4]. In the United States, the majority of endemic disease occurs in hunters, laboratory personnel and children in rural areas. The highest incidence of disease has occurred in the USA over the past decade in Missouri and Arkansas [5]. Children from age three to ten and adults over 50 have the greatest incidences of disease [5]. Several forms of the disease can occur that depend on the route of infection, dose of bacteria and virulence of the infecting organism, including: ulceroglandular, glandular, oculoglandular, oropharyngeal and pneumatic. Infection with \textit{F. tularensis} is marked with abrupt onset of symptoms, including fever, headache and body aches [2]. Left
untreated, infection is associated with high morbidity and mortality.

*Francisella tularensis* is classified as a Category A biological agent by the Strategic Planning Work Group of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as most likely to pose a potential national security risk [5,6]. *F. tularensis* has the potential to be an efficient agent of biological warfare because it is highly infectious [6]. In addition, the bacterium is stable over a variety of environmental conditions, is easily dispersed as an aerosol, large quantities of the bacterium can be easily manufactured, the general population is susceptible to infection and infectious lead to high morbidity and mortality [7]. It is estimated that intentional airborne release of *F. tularensis* into a metropolitan area of a major city would result in major morbidity, mortality and financial loss [7].

Previously, a live attenuated *F. tularensis* vaccine was available to at-risk personnel; however, it did not provide complete protection against all forms of the disease. Vaccinated human volunteers were protected during aerosol infection from the most dangerous typhoidal form of infection, but the incidence of the ulceroglandular form of the disease was not affected; instead, vaccination lessened the severity of the infection [8]. Development of a new vaccine is necessary because of limitations with the current vaccine. These include difficulty in standardizing the vaccine because it is administered via scarification and phenotypic instability of the vaccine strain. Little is known about the necessary protective antigens or what arm of the immune response should be targeted with the vaccine. Recent reports indicate that the vaccine strain may be able to reacquire some virulence characteristics on passage [9]. Therefore, an intensified search is underway to develop a defined subunit vaccine comprised of *Francisella* cell surface components such as protein antigens, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [10] and/or capsule, or a live vaccine with specific genetic modifications which preclude reversion to virulence.

Capsular antigens have been proven to be the basis for effective vaccines for Gram-negative and Gram-positive human pathogens [11,12]. *F. tularensis* has been considered to be encapsulated for over 40 years based on smooth to rough colony phenotype transition and evidence of the presence of conserved polysaccharide structures in the organism [13]. Currently, little is known about the chemical structure or antigenic nature of the capsular antigen of *F. tularensis*. A purified capsular preparation has never been described and most published reports dealing with capsule depended on identifying “rough” colonies which were considered unencapsulated [14,15].

Using a crude “capsular” extract from *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* SCHU S4 as prepared by the method of Hood as an immunogen [16], we developed a bank of monoclonal antibodies and evaluated each for binding to antigens with the characteristics of a typical polysaccharide capsule that help distinguish it from the LPS. The characteristics include being protease and phenol resistant, ethanol precipitable and having a high molecular weight (>100 kDa). Two of these monoclonal antibodies bound a high mass capsule-like structure on Western blot that matched these characteristics. Using one of these antibodies as a probe, we were able to purify this capsular material, free of other bacterial components including LPS. Physiochemical analysis of the antigen indicated that it contains a tetrasaccharide repeat, 2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-β-D-glucose (O-GalNAc), 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-β-D-glucose (O-QuiNFm), and 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-β-D-galacturonic acid (O-GalNAcAN) of similar, if not identical, structure and composition to the LPS O-antigen. Compositional analysis and mass spectrometry studies did not identify Kdo or lipid A as a component of the capsular antigen. Passive immunization with this monoclonal antibody and active immunization of BALB/c mice with the capsule was protective against a challenge of 150-fold the LD$_{50}$ of *F. tularensis* LVS. Immunization of these mice with the capsule resulted in generation of antibodies to the capsule preparation and not the O-antigen subunits of the LPS, demonstrating a unique epitopic structure to the capsule. These results indicate that the *F. tularensis* capsule produces an O-antigen capsule which may have the potential to be a protective immunogen against *F. tularensis* infection.

**Results**

**Development and characterization of the *F. tularensis* anti-capsular monoclonal antibody**

In order to determine if *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 produced a capsular antigen and to develop a probe for it, we elected to make monoclonal antibodies (MAb) to a high salt extract of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* SCHU S4 prepared according to the method of Hood [16]. This resulted in the identification of antibody 11B7 which recognized a structure with the characteristics of a carbohydrate capsular antigen, i.e., high mass, diffusely migrating, and resistant to proteolytic enzymes and phenol. As can be seen in Figure 1, Western blot analysis indicated that MAb 11B7 bound to a structure between 100 kDa and 250 kDa. Subsequent studies demonstrated that this structure had the characteristics of a polysaccharide capsule. Figure 1 also demonstrates the conserved nature of the putative capsule as five distinct strains of *F. tularensis* obtained as glutaraldehyde-fixed organisms and *F. tularensis* subsp.

![Figure 1. Figure 1 shows a Western blot of six *F. tularensis* strains using MAb 11B7. This antibody binds to capsule from lysates of five *F. tularensis* strains from the Oregon State Health lab (lanes 1-5) and *F. tularensis* subsp *holarctica* 1547 (lane 6).](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g001)
holactica 1547 reacted to this antibody. Our studies demonstrated that the capsular material was firmly associated with the intact bacteria as only minimal amounts of capsule could be detected in broth culture supernates cleared of organisms at 4, 8 and 24 hours (Figure S1). Subsequent studies indicated that a similar structure could be identified in 15 F. tularensis type A and type B strains from a wide geographic distribution in North America (Table 1). We performed immuno-electron microscopy using “whole mount” samples and cryo-immuno-electron microscopy on strains F. tularensis SCHU S4, 1547 and LVS using MAb 11B7. A representative stained images of each is shown in Figure 2A and 2C. This demonstrates the presence of circumferential labeling of the organism by this antibody confirming the surface location of the antigen. The secondary antibody controls for both studies show no labeling (Figure 2B and 2D).

**Table 1. Reactivity of F. tularensis strains and mutants with 11B7 and anti-LPS MAb FB11.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Capsule1 positive</th>
<th>LPS2 O-antigen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis SHU S4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVS-FDA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVS-VT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis 1547</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis 1547msbB</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis 0673-6674</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis 1623</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis T1 0902</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis WY96-3418</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis MA00-2987</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis NR-50(NIH B-38)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis OSPHL 2001-1011</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis OSPHL 2001-1-0513</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis OSPHL 2002-1-0990</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis OSPHL 2001-1-0074</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis OSPHL 2001-1-0143</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVScapB</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVScapC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVSwbC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVSwbK</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Modified*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVso708</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVSwbM</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVSwbK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVSwbA1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVSwbA2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVSwb1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis LVS FT0706</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. tularensis SCHU S4 0673-6674</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. novicida U112</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*High mass O-antigens absent compared to parent strain.
1. Reacted with MAb 11B7 to high mass structure on Western blot.
2. Reacted with MAb FB11 demonstrating O-antigen subunits.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.t001

Characterization of the capsule from Francisella tularensis

Using the NaCl extraction method described by Hood [16], followed by protease digestion, phenol extraction, Triton X-114 treatment and Sephacryl S500 chromatography, we have been able to isolate the capsule away from contaminating LPS. Figure 3A shows a ProQ Emerald glycostain of fractions from a Sephacryl S-500HR chromatography of a F. tularensis SCHU S4 crude capsule prep before treatment with Triton X114. The buffer used contained 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris, 2% SDS pH 7.4 and the chromatography was performed at 37°C. The column void volume was 11 ml (tube 11) and the bed volume was 30 ml. The capsule eluted between 12 and 16 ml and the LPS eluted at 21 ml. Figure 3B shows an immunodot assay of each fraction using MAb 11B7. The MAb 11B7 reactivity to capsule occurs in tubes 12 through 15. Using MAb 11B7 to track the capsule, we have been able to isolate highly purified capsule in milligram quantities from F. tularensis SCHU S4, F. tularensis LVS and F. tularensis subsp. holactica strain 1547. Figure 4 shows comparative staining of the capsule and LPS with silver stain, ProQ Emerald glycostain, MAb 11B7 and the anti-LPS MAb FB11. As can be seen, the silver stain does not readily show the capsule which is visible in the ProQ Emerald stain. Figure 4 Panel C shows that MAb 11B7 reacts most intensely with lane 2, indicating that this is where the majority of the capsule is found. Small amounts of low mass residual capsule are detected in the LPS preparation taken from the Triton X114 fraction (Figure 4, Panel C, lane 3). The anti-LPS MAb FB11 reacts with both the high molecular weight capsule, and also the O-antigen of the LPS (Figure 4, Panel D, lanes 2 and 3). The absence of a high molecular weight band reacting with this MAb in the LPS lane indicates that there is very little capsule material present in the LPS sample. The absence of the bands corresponding to the O-antigen repeating unit of the LPS in the capsule sample indicates that LPS is essentially absent from the final capsular preparation (Figure 4, Panel D, lane 2).

Physicochemical analysis of the F. tularensis capsule

**Compositional analyses of the capsule by MALDI-MS and GC-MS.** The mass spectrometry (MS) approach we used to provide critical composition and sequence information on the capsule was based on methods we employed earlier for LPS and endotoxin [17,18]. We examined the capsular material both directly and after limited HF treatment using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) on an LTQ linear ion trap instrument coupled to an intermediate vacuum MALDI ion source. In both cases, initial positive-ion MS data indicated that there was a 792 Dalton (Da) repeat unit. Figure 5 shows MALDI-MS analyses of unprocessed capsule in the positive ion mode. These data show that the predominant protonated species observed in the MS spectrum is one Da higher at m/z 793. In addition, monoisotopic masses observed at m/z 1585, 2577 and 3170, correspond to the addition of one, two or three of the 792 Da repeating units to the original structure, respectively. Data observed using MALDI-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) analyses, which allowed us to observe a larger mass range than the vMALDI, yielded similar results; a 792 Da repeating unit was observed, with data obtained for up to six repeating units (Figure S2, Table S1). Similar to what was observed for the unprocessed capsule sample, MALDI-MS analyses of the limited HF-treated capsule also showed the presence of a 792 Da repeating unit (Figure S3). In limited HF treatment the capsule underwent chemical hydrolysis to generate oligosaccharide fragments, so unlike the fragments generated in MALDI-MS in the unprocessed capsule which were derived from gas-phase
fragmentation, the HF-treated capsule produced peaks shifted up in mass by the addition of water (M = 792 + 18 = 810).

Multi-stage mass spectrometry (MSn) of the unprocessed capsule was utilized to determine the individual components of the repeating unit (Figure 5). MS/MS analyses of the monoisotopic mass [M+H]$^+$ = 793 generated two major fragments at m/z 620 and 404 and several minor fragments at m/z 606, 577, 433, 390, and 361. The mass at m/z 620 corresponds to the loss of 173 Da from the m/z 793 precursor ion. MS$^3$ fragmentation of the m/z 620 peak resulted in one major fragment at m/z 404 and two minor fragments at m/z 433 and 217. The fragment at m/z 404 corresponds to the loss of 216 Da from the m/z 620 precursor ion. The peak observed at m/z 433 corresponds to the loss of 187 Da from the m/z 620 precursor ion. MS$^4$ fragmentation of the precursor ion at m/z 404 showed fragments that corresponded to the loss of either 187 or 216 Da. These data demonstrated that the sequence of these constituents was either 187-173-216-216 or 216-216-173-187. This composition and sequence is consistent with the previously published O-antigen structure for this strain of F. tularensis [19].

Compositional analyses of the capsule monosaccharides by high-pH anion exchange chromatography demonstrated that the capsule sugars were not common monosaccharides, as the major peaks seen in the capsule sample did not correlate with a standard mix of twelve standards (Tables S2 and S3). These data also showed that one of the LPS building blocks, Kdo, was not detected in the capsule sample. Further compositional analyses using GC-MS were also performed. GC-MS analyses of alditol acetate (AA) sugars from the capsule showed the presence of QuiNAc and two additional peaks which likely correspond to anhydro degradation products of Qui4NFm or QuiNAc (6, Panel A). Assignments of the peaks were confirmed by both CI and EI analyses (Figure S6, Panels B-C). Analyses of AA sugars of the LPS gave the same three peaks as the capsule sample, and additional LPS sugars, N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) and N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) (Figure S7). GC-MS analyses of the capsule as a TMS derivative, confirmed the presence of QuiNAc and HexNacAN in the sample (Figure S8, Table S4). Supplemental Figure S8 shows the GC-MS analyses of TMS-derived LPS from the same strain of F. tularensis from which the capsule was extracted. These data demonstrated

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** Figure 2 shows the results of immunoelectron microscopic studies of surface labeling with MAb 11B7. Figure 2A is a whole mount immunoelectron microscopy study that demonstrates surface labeling of F. tularensis SCHU S4 with 12 nm colloidal gold particles and Figure 2B is a secondary only control for the whole mount study. Figure 2C shows a cryo-immunoelectron micrograph of F. tularensis LVS stained with MAb 11B7 and 12 nm colloidal gold. It shows circumferential staining of capsule material on the surface of the organism. Figure 2D shows the secondary antibody control section. Magnification is 15,000 x. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g002
that the LPS sample contained glucose, mannose, Kdo, GlcNAc, as well as components of the lipid A (C14:0, C16:0, 3- OH C16:0, and 3-OH C18:0) (Figure S9, Table S5). When these same samples were scanned for amino sugars, the presence of QuiNAc, GalNAc, and GlcNAc was also confirmed. Unlike the LPS sample, none of the LPS-specific components (Kdo or lipid A) were detected in the TMS-derived capsule sample. Partial linkage determination was performed by GC-MS analyses of partially methylated alditol acetates generated from capsule; these data demonstrated the presence of a terminal- and a 3-linked-QuiNAc in this structure (Figure S10).

The present studies strongly suggest that the capsule contains the same carbohydrate repeating unit as the O-antigen but that it is a distinct structure from the LPS. Compositional analyses of the LPS and capsule showed that while both the Kdo and lipid A components were clearly present in the LPS sample, they were never detected in the capsule sample. In addition, negative-ion MALDI-MS data of unprocessed LPS and unprocessed and HF-treated capsule showed that the monoisotopic mass corresponding to the previously characterized *F. tularensis* tetraacyl lipid A, [M-H] = 1504 [18], was readily detected in the LPS sample, whereas no masses corresponding to any species of *F. tularensis* lipid A were detected in any capsule samples (Figure 6). MS^n^ analyses of the LPS sample confirmed that the peak at m/z 1504 was lipid A (data not shown). These data coupled with our genetic, chemical, and antibody specificity studies indicate that the capsule is distinct from the *F. tularensis* LPS even though both share the same O-antigen subunit structure.

NMR studies. NMR spectra were analyzed to determine if assignments of the capsular extract could be made that are consistent with the carbohydrate composition of the polysaccharide as determined by mass spectrometry. All data are related back to a ^1^C-HMQC experiment. Spin systems were built up starting from the anomeric position and extended sequentially using COSY and short (20 ms) mixing time TOCSY data. A longer (60 ms) mixing time TOCSY data set was used to both extend and further verify sequential connectivities. Connections between spin systems were made using a combination of NOESY data correlating spins near

Figure 3. Figure 3 demonstrates chromatographic separation using Sephacryl SH500 that shows the separation of *Francisella* capsule from the LPS. Panel A is a ProQ Emerald green stained SDS-PAGE showing the results of column separation. The capsule can be seen in lanes 13 and 14 and the LPS in lanes 21 and 23. Lane S is an aliquot of the sample after proteinase K and phenol extraction and prior to Triton X-114 extraction. Panel B is an immunodot assay of each fraction using MAb 11B7. The numbers in the panels correspond to aliquots from each one ml fraction from the column. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g003

Figure 4. Figure 4 shows a silver stained gel (panel A), ProQ Emerald Green glycostained gel (panel B), a Western blot with the anti-capsule MAb 11B7 (panel C) and a Western blot with MAb anti-LPS MAb FB11 (panel D), Lane S is the molecular weight standard, lane 1 contains the crude capsule prep before Triton X114 treatment, lane 2 contains the capsule preparation after Triton X-114 treatment and lane 3 contains LPS separated into the Triton X114 fraction. This contains minimal amounts of residual capsule. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g004
one another in space as well as HMBC to make through bond connections to spins across the glycosidic linkage.

Analysis of mass spectrometric data indicated a 4 subunit repeat with masses arranged either 216-216-173-187 or 187-173-216-216, as the MS analysis data did not differentiate the reducing and non-reducing ends. There is little data consistent with the first model: no inter-ring HMBC crosspeaks, a single cross-glycosidic NOE of dubious merit, and only three other weak NOEs. However, there is

Figure 5. Figure 5 shows positive ion vMALDI-LIT mass spectra of unprocessed capsule. Gas-phase fragmentation of the intact capsule allowed fragments of the capsule to be observed by MS analyses. The MS² spectrum (A) of the capsule demonstrated that the predominant mass observed in the spectrum was at m/z 793. The presence of structures consisting of a 792 Da saccharide repeating unit with one (m/z 793), two (m/z 1585), three (m/z 2377), or four (m/z 3170) saccharide repeats were detected. MS³ analyses, using collisionally induced dissociation, of a single unit tetrasaccharide at 793 m/z (B) yielded a number of fragment ions. The predominant fragment ions were sequentially fragmented to yield MS⁴ data of m/z 620 (C) and MS⁵ data of m/z 404 (D). The MS⁴ data of m/z 404 demonstrated that it is composed of two carbohydrate monomers with nominal masses of 187 and 216 Da, and that these sugars are located adjacent to one another. Masses labeled with an * designate major masses +/- water.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g005

Capsule of F. tularensis
a fair amount of NMR data consistent with the second model, which has a proposed composition 4)-β-D-GalNAcAN-(1->4)-β-D-GalNAcAN-(1->3)-β-D-QuiNAc-(1->2)-β-D-Qui4NFm-(1->). This is the same structure determined by Vinogradov et al. for the F. tularensis O-antigen [20]. Assignments for the individual spin systems are shown in Table 3 and Figure 7 with the GalNAcAN subunits labeled A and B from the non-reducing to the reducing end of the molecule. Cross-glycosidic HMBC crosspeaks were observed between GalNAcAN(A) C1 and GalNAcAN(B) H4 as well as between GalNAcAN(B) C1 and QuiNAc H3, although no inter-ring HMBC crosspeaks were observed involving Qui4NFm. A number of NOEs that were also observed between subunits are summarized in Table 4.

One result from the NMR data that was unexpected is the presence of a number of stronger resonances in the HMQC spectrum. The total number of these stronger signals, as well as just those in the anomeric region of the spectrum, would seem to indicate the presence of 2 or 3 additional sugars or breakdown products of the identified sugars. The MS data previously described, however, is clean and only indicates the presence of a single tetrasaccharide repeat. A more intense resonance in an NMR spectrum can arise in one of two circumstances. The first is that there is a greater concentration of that spin relative to the rest of the spins in the spectrum. This possible explanation could point

Table 2. Proposed compositions of masses observed by MALDI-MS\(^1\) analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[M+H](^{+}) observed</th>
<th>[M+H](^{+}) calculated</th>
<th>GalNAcAN(^1)</th>
<th>QuiNAc(^1)</th>
<th>Qui4NFm(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>793.17</td>
<td>793.32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620.17</td>
<td>620.17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606.17</td>
<td>606.23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>577.17</td>
<td>577.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433.17</td>
<td>433.16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404.25</td>
<td>404.17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390.25</td>
<td>390.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361.17</td>
<td>361.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)GalNAcAN: 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-galacturonamide (M = 216.08 Da), QuiNAc: 2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-D-glucose (M = 187.09 Da), and Qui4NFm: 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-D-glucose (M = 173.07 Da). Masses listed are dehydro forms of each constituent.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.t002

Figure 6. Figure 6 shows a negative-ion vMALDI-MS analyses of LPS (A) and capsule without (B) and with (C) HF treatment. The F. tularensis tetraacyl lipid A is readily detected in the LPS sample, but is not seen in either of the capsule samples.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g006
to the presence of a contaminant, possibly a short oligosaccharide that co-purified with the capsular polysaccharide. The second way more apparently intense peaks can arise in a spectrum is if the spins giving rise to those resonances are more mobile (i.e. faster relaxing) than the rest. This explanation could point to a more possible additional structural branch off the main polysaccharide. Which possible explanation, if either, is correct remains unclear.

**Studies of capsule expression in F. tularensis biosynthesis pathway mutants.** Using mutants in *F. tularensis* LVS of glycosyltransferases and putative capsule biosynthesis genes (*cap*) obtained from Dr. Dara Frank of the Medical College of Wisconsin, we were able to confirm that the LPS O-antigen biosynthesis pathway plays a role in the biosynthesis of the *F. tularensis* capsule [21]. These studies have demonstrated that mutations that disrupt glycosyltransferase genes involved in O-antigen biosynthesis (wbd, wbdA, wbdM, wbl, and wbc) resulted in the loss of capsule expression (Figure 8). Mutations in *F. tularensis* LVS *capB* and *capC* had no effect on capsule expression. However, a mutation in *wbdK* resulted in loss of high mass O-antigen expression but did not affect capsule expression (data not shown). The Kdo-dependent acyltransferase mutant, *F. tularensis* *bpxL*, which expresses a portion of the LPS core region but no O-antigen, still produces capsule (Figure 9). *F. tularensis* LVS *FTT0706*, which has complete homology to the *O* antigen polymerase of *F. novicida*, does not express O-antigens yet still expresses the capsule (Table 1). In addition, a Tn5 mutation in the promoter region of *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 between open reading frames *FTT0673-0674* resulted in a mutant that produced a capsule but the mutant does not express a full length O-antigen. *FTT0673c* has been annotated as a gene of unknown function and *FTT0674* is annotated as a ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase. These studies demonstrate that O-antigens and capsule are linked at a number of points in the biosynthetic and assembly pathway but there appear to be several points in transport and assembly that are different.

**Passive immunization of BALB/c mice with 11B7 MAb against challenge with F. tularensis LVS.** We performed an experiment to determine whether mice would be protected from lethal *Francisella* infection by administration of purified monoclonal antibody 11B7. Two groups of five mice received 75 μg of purified MAb 11B7 and 24 hours later were challenged intraperitoneally with either 5×10^5 or 5×10^6 colony forming units (cfu) of *F. tularensis* LVS. A third group of mice received 75 μg of a control isotype matched MAb 2C3 that binds to a *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* membrane protein. All animals were followed for two weeks after challenge. As shown in Figure 10, administration of MAb 11B7 provided complete protection to BALB/c mice against >100 LD₅₀ doses of *F. tularensis* LVS for more than 14 days, while all of the mice receiving the control MAb 2C3 succumbed to the infection by day 5. In Figure 10, survival of all mice given MAb 11B7 was significantly different from the MAb 2C3 control mice (log-rank *p*=5.1×10⁻⁵), and survival of immunized mice at any one of the two doses of MAb 11B7 was also significantly different from the MAb 2C3 control group (log-rank *p*=1.6×10⁻⁴). The passively immunized mice remained active, did not develop ruffled fur or stop eating or drinking.

**Active immunization of BALB/c mice with capsule and challenge with F. tularensis.** To determine if the *F. tularensis* capsule could protect mice against a lethal challenge, BALB/c mice were immunized intraperitoneally twice 30 days apart with 50 μl containing 10 μg of capsule in PBS mixed with an equal amount of TiterMax Gold adjuvant. Control mice received 50 μl of PBS mixed with the same adjuvant on the same schedule. Eight days after the second injection, a group of five of the control mice was challenged with 250 cfu of *F. tularensis* LVS. A second group of four control mice received 750 cfu of *F. tularensis* LVS. One group of five mice immunized with capsule was challenged with 5×10⁵ cfu and a second group of five immunized mice also immunized with capsule received 5×10⁶ cfu of *F. tularensis* LVS. Figure 11 shows the results of these studies. These data demonstrated that in the PBS controls samples, 50% of the control mice receiving 250 cfu and 60% of the control mice receiving 750 cfu, died by day 5. All of the mice immunized with capsule survived a challenge of up to 5×10⁵ *F. tularensis* LVS with no evidence of infection and were euthanized at day 14. In Figure 11, in spite of the fact that the capsule immunized group of mice receiving a dose of LVS up to 666 times greater than the PBS control animals, survival of all mice immunized with capsule was significantly different from all the control mice (p=6.9×10⁻⁵). The actively immunized mice remained active, did not develop ruffled fur or stop eating or drinking.

Western blot analyses (Figure 12) and ELISA performed on sera from the immunized mice demonstrated that all had IgG and IgM reactivity to the capsule and no detectable reactivity to *F. tularensis* LPS. Simultaneous ELISA studies showed that antibody persisted after vaccination for at least 14 days.

**Discussion**

Capsular polysaccharides have been shown to be important virulence factors in a wide range of bacterial species. *F. tularensis* has been considered to be encapsulated but the precise nature of the capsular antigen has never been elucidated. Unreferenced comments in Zinsser Microbiology [22], state that there is a single immunotype of *F. tularensis*. Carlisle in 1962 used Ouchterlony plates to compare the immunogenicity of crude extracts from four strains of *F. tularensis* and a “polysaccharide” isolated from a fifth strain [13]. These studies showed immunological identity between the polysaccharide fraction and the four bacterial extracts, leading to the conclusion that there was a common carbohydrate structure in the five *F. tularensis* strains. Hood studied a relatively unrefined extract of *F. tularensis* and did preliminary investigation of sugars

---

**Table 3. NMR Chemical Shift Assignments.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sugar</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>H3</th>
<th>H4</th>
<th>H5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-D-GalNAcAN</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>4.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (non-reducing)</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-D-GalNAcAN</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>H4</td>
<td>H5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-D-QuNAc</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.9</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-D-Qu4NFm</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>H4</td>
<td>H5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-D-Qu4NFm</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104.4</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-D-GalNAc</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>H4</td>
<td>H5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-D-GalNAc</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>C5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105.3</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.t003

---
isolated from whole bacteria and a “capsular” preparation [16]. His studies found heptose, glucose, galactose, mannose, rhamnose and possibly deoxy sugars in the cell wall and mannose, rhamnose and possibly deoxy sugars in the “capsular” preparation. No further characterization was performed. Studies by Sorokin and Sandstrom have shown that acapsular (based on colony morphology and electron microscopy) \emph{F. tularensis} strains are sensitive to killing by bactericidal antibody [14,15]. Sorokin did not describe how the acapsular status of the strain was produced or verified. Sandstrom used acridine orange mutagenesis to create a mutant library which was screened for “rough” colonies on solid media; the “rough” colonies were designated as lacking capsule. Electron micrographs showed changes consistent with loss of a capsular structure [15] in the mutants that were selected. However, no studies of the composition or the nature of the mutations leading to the phenotype were described. Using tagged mutagenesis, Su and colleagues recently showed that mutations in an operon, they designated \emph{capBCA}, led to an avirulent phenotype in mice [23]. They considered these to be putative capsule genes because of their partial homology to the poly-\(\gamma\)-glutamate capsule biosynthesis locus of \emph{Bacillus anthracis}. Our studies would suggest that this locus plays no role in the synthesis of the \emph{F. tularensis} O-antigen capsule polysaccharide (Figure 8). Whether it encodes for a second capsule-like antigen or another virulence factor is unclear.

We have demonstrated a method for the purification of the \emph{F. tularensis} capsule polysaccharide and have demonstrated that \emph{F. tularensis} type A and type B strains produce an O-antigen capsule composed of repeating O-antigen subunits, 4)-\(\alpha\)-D-GalNAcAN-(1->4)-\(\alpha\)-D-GalNAcAN-(1->3)-\(\beta\)-D-QuiNAc-(1->2)-\(\beta\)-D-Qui4NFm-(1-. Monoclonal antibody FB11, which reacts with the O-antigen, also binds to the capsule. We believe our success in identifying the capsule was due to developing the MAb 11B7 which permitted us to use it as a means of tracking the polysaccharide during isolation since in addition to the O-antigen epitopic structure, this capsule also has an epitopic structure distinct from the LPS as defined by monoclonal antibody 11B7. This antibody was generated by immunizing mice with the capsule preparation which subsequently resulted in the evolution of antibody 11B7. This antibody appears to react only with the capsule suggesting that this is the immunodominant epitope on that structure. It should be noted that in our monoclonal antibody development, using material obtained from saline washed cells as the immunogen, we did not raise an antibody
to the O-antigens, suggesting that the LPS was probably in very low amounts or absent from the preparation.

Our studies have shown that the *F. tularensis* capsule is a repeating polymer of the O-antigen of the LPS. Goldman and co-workers first showed that *Escherichia coli* 0111 expressed a non-LPS surface polysaccharide composed of O-antigens [24,25]. Subsequently, a number of Gram-negative bacteria have been shown to express similar structures which consist of large repeating subunits not linked to the LPS core region or lipid A [26,27,28,29]. A review by Whitfield expands the description of the different capsule types and segregates them into specific Groups based on their characteristic structures [30]. Group 1 and 4 capsules of *Enterobacteriaceae* are composed of LPS O-antigens [30]. In strains in which the capsule structure is linked to a lipid A core, it is termed a KLPS to distinguish it from the LPS molecules on the same organism. Other strains may have O-antigen capsules that lack the lipid A core but have another yet unidentified structure which anchors them to the cell wall. These are known as K

![Figure 8](image)

Figure 8. Figure 8 is a Western blot developed with MAb 11B7 showing whole organism lysates from *F. tularensis* 1547 (lane 1), *F. tularensis* LVS wbtI, wbtA2, wbtA1, capB, capC, and wbtC in lanes 2–7 respectively. *F. tularensis* LVS is in lane 8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g008

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9. Figure 9 is a Western blot which demonstrates loss of O-antigens but persistence of capsule in *F. tularensis lpxL*. Panels A and B show *F. tularensis* LVS (lane 1), *F. tularensis* 1547lpxL (lane 2) and *F. tularensis* 1547lpxL complemented with lpxL (lane 3). Panel A was reacted with the *F. tularensis* anti-LPS MAb FB11 and panel B was reacted with the *F. tularensis* anti-capsule MAb 11B7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g009

![Figure 10](image)

Figure 10. Figure 10 shows the results of protection of BALB/c mice passively immunized with MAb 11B7 from lethal infection due to *F. tularensis* LVS. Five mice received 75 µg of MAb 11B7 and were challenged with 1 x 10^7 cfu (■), five mice received 75 µg of MAb 11B7 and were challenged with 1 x 10^6 cfu (▲) and five mice received 75 µg of MAb 2C3 a *Neisseria* H.8 MAb as a negative control (●) and were challenged with 10^4 cfu. As can be seen, MAb 11B7 was protective while all of the mice receiving the control antibody died by day 6 after challenge. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.g010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. NOEs Observed Between Sugar Moieties.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inter-ring NOEs observed (grouped by linkage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GalNAcAN(A) H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GalNAcAN(B) H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuINac H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuINac H2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuINac H4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuINFm H2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GalNAcAN(A) H1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GalNAcAN(A) H2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuINac H1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuINAcH6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuINFm H1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional possible NOEs*

*The NOEs listed in this section have characteristics that make them less than ideal, i.e. they fall as a shoulder of another peak, under an intra-ring NOE, are very weak, or lie near the residual water signal.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.t004
antigens. Our studies failed to detect lipid A or any of the LPS core sugars as a component of the capsule indicating that the *Francisella* capsule is probably a K antigen. *E. coli* group 1 capsules are acidic and typically contain uronic acid structures while group 4 capsules tend to be more diverse and can be distinguished from group 1 capsules by the presence of acetamido sugars in their repeat subunits [30]. The *F. tularensis* capsule fits this characteristic by having two 2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-o-D-glucose (o-QuiNAc), 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-D-glucose (o-Qui4NFm), and 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-o-D-galacturonamide (o-GalNAcAN) in each O-antigen tetrasaccharide subunit. The genetic organization of capsular genes from a number of bacterial species have been described [31]. The assembly and transport systems involved in production of these capsules are complex and include activators of sugar precursors, glycosyltransferases and then a complex of transport and assembly proteins to move these large macromolecules from the periplasm to the cell surface. Group 4 capsule initiation involves transfer of N-acetylg glucosamine-1-phosphate to undecaprenol phosphate by WecA followed by the addition of the individual components of the capsular O-antigen subunit to this lipid carrier. The polymerization systems, periplasmic ligase and transport proteins appear to be similar for the group 1 and 4 systems. However, the lengths of the O-antigen repeats in the respective capsules are different. In group 1 the capsule repeats tend to be limited to one or a few O-antigen subunits while the group 4 capsules tend to form long chain O-antigen repeats. The difference in K_{LD} values in groups 1 and 4 is considered to be due to the absence of wzz [the O-antigen chain length determinant] in isolates with group 1 capsules [32]. In an analysis of the *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 genome using the hidden Markov model, we have homologs of proteins involved in assembly of the capsule, WzbB, Wzx, Wzb and WecA, but no proteins in *F. tularensis* with homologies to capsular transport proteins in the enteric family, gammaproteobacteria.

Our observation that the *F. tularensis* lipid A acyltransferase mutant, lpxL, and *F. tularensis* LVS O-antigen polymerase mutant, FTL_0706, did not express repeating O-antigens is not surprising. Analysis of the Western blot using the O-antigen monoclonal antibodies indicated that the polymerase mutant produced an LPS consisting of a core region plus one O-antigen subunit. The fact that the capsule was still expressed in the O-antigen polymerase mutant indicates that the pathways for the polymerization processes are different and suggests that the O-antigen ligase and polymerase are distinct genes in *Francisella*. The lpxL mutant expresses only a portion of the LPS core region, but still produces a capsule. The reason for the loss of O-antigen expression in the lpxL mutant is less obvious than with the polymerase mutant. Studies in a similar acyltransferase mutant in *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B resulted in an organism which failed to transport LPS to the bacterial surface [33]. It is known that encapsulated Gram-negative bacteria can survive without LPS in the outer membrane [34]. In the *N. meningitidis* lpxL mutant, evidence of the LPS terminal structures could be identified accumulating in the cytoplasm of the bacterium while no LPS could be identified on the cell surface. This would suggest that in *F. tularensis* lpxL, the O-antigen defect may be related either to inability of the LPS to be transported out of the cell interior or to a failure of the polymerase to add additional O-antigen subunits to the nascent core-O-antigen structure. These results would indicate that the transport pathway and/or assembly pathways for the LPS and capsule are distinct.

Finally, the active and passive immunization studies indicate that the epitope defined by 11B7 is protective against a lethal challenge of *F. tularensis* LVS in mice. The post-immunization sera indicated that the only epitopic structure detectable was the capsule in spite of the fact that it is composed of O-antigen subunits. Our IgG and IgM studies in the immunized mice suggested that there was evidence of both isotypes of antibody being generated. At this time, it is premature to determine if both or one of the two isotypes provided the protection that was demonstrated.

These studies provide evidence for a capsular polysaccharide of *F. tularensis*. This polysaccharide is a polymer composed of the LPS O-antigen subunit, 2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-o-D-glucose (o-QuiNAc), 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-D-glucose (o-Qui4NFm), and 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-o-D-galacturonamide (o-GalNAcAN) in each O-antigen tetrasaccharide subunit. The genetic organization of capsular genes from other bacterial species have been described [31]. The assembly and transport systems involved in production of these capsules are complex and include activators of sugar precursors, glycosyltransferases and then a complex of transport and assembly proteins to move these large macromolecules from the periplasm to the cell surface. Group 4 capsule initiation involves transfer of N-acetylg glucosamine-1-phosphate to undecaprenol phosphate by WecA followed by the addition of the individual components of the capsular O-antigen subunit to this lipid carrier. The polymerization systems, periplasmic ligase and transport proteins appear to be similar for the group 1 and 4 systems. However, the lengths of the O-antigen repeats in the respective capsules are different. In group 1 the capsule repeats tend to be limited to one or a few O-antigen subunits while the group 4 capsules tend to form long chain O-antigen repeats. The difference in K_{LD} chain lengths in groups 1 and 4 is considered to be due to the absence of wzz [the O-antigen chain length determinant] in isolates with group 1 capsules [32]. In an analysis of the *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 genome using the hidden Markov model, we have homologs of proteins involved in assembly of the capsule, WzbB, Wzx, Wzb and WecA, but no proteins in *F. tularensis* with homologies to capsular transport proteins in the enteric family, gammaproteobacteria.

Our observation that the *F. tularensis* lipid A acyltransferase mutant, lpxL, and *F. tularensis* LVS O-antigen polymerase mutant, FTL_0706, did not express repeating O-antigens is not surprising. Analysis of the Western blot using the O-antigen monoclonal antibodies indicated that the polymerase mutant produced an LPS consisting of a core region plus one O-antigen subunit. The fact that the capsule was still expressed in the O-antigen polymerase mutant indicates that the pathways for the polymerization processes are different and suggests that the O-antigen ligase and polymerase are distinct genes in *Francisella*. The lpxL mutant expresses only a portion of the LPS core region, but still produces a capsule. The reason for the loss of O-antigen expression in the lpxL mutant is less obvious than with the polymerase mutant. Studies in a similar acyltransferase mutant in *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup B resulted in an organism which failed to transport LPS to the bacterial surface [33]. It is known that encapsulated Gram-negative bacteria can survive without LPS in the outer membrane [34]. In the *N. meningitidis* lpxL mutant, evidence of the LPS terminal structures could be identified accumulating in the cytoplasm of the bacterium while no LPS could be identified on the cell surface. This would suggest that in *F. tularensis* lpxL, the O-antigen defect may be related either to inability of the LPS to be transported out of the cell interior or to a failure of the polymerase to add additional O-antigen subunits to the nascent core-O-antigen structure. These results would indicate that the transport pathway and/or assembly pathways for the LPS and capsule are distinct.

Finally, the active and passive immunization studies indicate that the epitope defined by 11B7 is protective against a lethal challenge of *F. tularensis* LVS in mice. The post-immunization sera indicated that the only epitopic structure detectable was the capsule in spite of the fact that it is composed of O-antigen subunits. Our IgG and IgM studies in the immunized mice suggested that there was evidence of both isotypes of antibody being generated. At this time, it is premature to determine if both or one of the two isotypes provided the protection that was demonstrated.

These studies provide evidence for a capsular polysaccharide of *F. tularensis*. This polysaccharide is a polymer composed of the LPS O-antigen subunit, 2-acetamido-2,6-dideoxy-o-D-glucose (o-QuiNAc), 4,6-dideoxy-4-formamido-D-glucose (o-Qui4NFm), and 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-o-D-galacturonamide (o-GalNAcAN) in each O-antigen tetrasaccharide subunit. The genetic organization of capsular genes from other bacterial species have been described [31]. The assembly and transport systems involved in production of these capsules are complex and include activators of sugar precursors, glycosyltransferases and then a complex of transport and assembly proteins to move these large macromolecules from the periplasm to the cell surface. Group 4 capsule initiation involves transfer of N-acetylg glucosamine-1-phosphate to undecaprenol phosphate by WecA followed by the addition of the individual components of the capsular O-antigen subunit to this lipid carrier. The polymerization systems, periplasmic ligase and transport proteins appear to be similar for the group 1 and 4 systems. However, the lengths of the O-antigen repeats in the respective capsules are different. In group 1 the capsule repeats tend to be limited to one or a few O-antigen subunits while the group 4 capsules tend to form long chain O-antigen repeats. The difference in K_{LD} chain lengths in groups 1 and 4 is considered to be due to the absence of wzz [the O-antigen chain length determinant] in isolates with group 1 capsules [32]. In an analysis of the *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 genome using the hidden Markov model, we have homologs of proteins involved in assembly of the capsule, WzbB, Wzx, Wzb and WecA, but no proteins in *F. tularensis* with homologies to capsular transport proteins in the enteric family, gammaproteobacteria.
The plasmid carrying the transposon was purified and introduced into *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 by cryotransformation [36]. Colonies obtained after ~3 days growth at 30°C on MMH agar containing 25 μg/ml spectinomycin were inoculated into 5 ml MMH broth with 25 μg/ml spectinomycin and were grown at 30°C with agitation to an OD<sub>600</sub> of ~0.2. Selection of *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 transposition events was performed at 40°C with ~10<sup>8</sup> organisms plated. Since transposition frequency has previously been shown to be ~10<sup>-3</sup>, approximately 10<sup>5</sup> random chromosomal transposon mutants were created by this procedure. Individual *F. tularensis* Tn5 mutant colonies (~7,500 mutants) were picked and arrayed into 96-well cell culture plates in 100 μl MMH broth and were incubated at 37°C until turbid. Freezer stocks were made by adding 100 μl of 2X freezing medium (1.0 M sucrose, 20% glycerol).

Development of hybridomas producing antibodies to the capsule of *F. tularensis*

BALB/c mice were immunized intraperitoneally with 25 μl of a 1 mg/ml solution of a high salt extract of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* SCHU S4 prepared according to the method of Hood [16]. Animals were reimmunized at day 21 and day 28. Sera from the immunized mice was tested for antibodies to the immuning extract in ELISA. After confirmation of high antibody titers (>1:10,000), animals were sacrificed 4 days later and their spleens removed. The splenocytes were recovered and fused to SP2/0 murine myeloma cells using 40% polyethylene glycol (1540 MW). Selection was accomplished by plating the fused cells in the presence of hypoxanthine-aminopterin-thymidine DMEM and plated in limiting dilutions to achieve approximately 1 - 5 cells per well. When colonies appeared, supernatants were tested for presence of antibodies against the saline extract in ELISA. Supernates which proved to be positive in ELISA were tested in Western blot. After this testing, MAb 11B7 fit our criteria as a possible anti-capsular antibody. MAb 11B7 was determined to be an IgG1κ using Isostrips (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, Santa Cruz, CA). MAb 11B7 was recloned to assure purity and antibodies produced from these lines for use in this study.

Screening mutants for reduced capsule and identification of the transposon insertion site

In the BSL-3 facility, *F. tularensis* SCHU S4 Tn5 mutants were inoculated into duplicate 96 well dishes containing MMH broth and were grown until turbid. Cultures were killed by adding 100 μl of 4% paraformaldehyde to each well and incubating overnight. After ensuring sterility, the plates were removed from the BSL-3 facility and the liquid was removed by evaporation. The capsule produced by each organism was then quantitatively determined by ELISA using capsule-specific antibody.
Isolation of the Francisella capsule

Bacteria were grown as a lawn for approximately 48 h on chocolate agar medium plates at 37°C and were collected by scraping colonies from the surface and suspending these in a solution of 6 mM Trizma base (Research Products International, Mt. Prospect, IL), 10 mM EDTA (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ) and 2.0% SDS (w/v) (Research Products International), pH 6.8 containing 50 µg/ml proteinase K and incubated at 65°C for 1 h and then overnight at 37°C. Additional Tris-SDS solution was added to completely solubilize the bacterial pellet after the 65°C incubation. The sample was placed at 37°C in a dry incubator overnight to complete the digestion. To remove the SDS, one tenth the volume of 0.3 M sodium acetate (0.1 ml per 1 ml sample) was added to the samples which were then precipitated with 3 volumes cold 100% ethanol, flash cooled in a dry ice-ethanol bath and incubated overnight at −20°C. Samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000 × g at 4°C and pellets were suspended in deionized water and precipitated a total of three times. Samples were suspended in 15 ml of 10 mM Tris pH 7.4 containing 10 mM CaCl₂ and treated with 80 U micrococcal nuclease (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) overnight at 37°C. After incubation, an equal volume of 95% phenol was added to this mixture. Capsule samples and phenol were incubated at 65°C for 30 min, cooled on ice, and centrifuged at 2,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The aqueous layer was collected and the phenol layer was back extracted with an equal volume of deionized water pre-warmed to 65°C. The aqueous layers were combined. To remove any remaining traces of phenol, the aqueous phases were treated with 100 µg/ml ribonuclease and 2 µg/ml deoxyribonuclease I (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 1 h at 37°C and precipitated with 3 volumes cold 100% ethanol and flash cooled in a dry ice-ethanol bath and incubated overnight at −20°C. The sample was centrifuged for 1 h and then overnight at 37°C. Additional Tris-SDS solution was added to completely solubilize the bacterial pellet after the 65°C incubation. The sample was placed at 37°C in a dry incubator overnight to complete the digestion. To remove the SDS, one tenth the volume of 0.3 M sodium acetate (0.1 ml per 1 ml sample) was added to the samples which were then precipitated with 3 volumes cold 100% ethanol, flash cooled in a dry ice-ethanol bath and incubated overnight at −20°C. Samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000 × g at 4°C and pellets were suspended in deionized water and precipitated a total of three times. Samples were suspended in 15 ml of 10 mM Tris pH 7.4 containing 10 mM CaCl₂ and treated with 80 U micrococcal nuclease (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) overnight at 37°C. After incubation, an equal volume of 95% phenol was added to this mixture. Capsule samples and phenol were incubated at 65°C for 30 min, cooled on ice, and centrifuged at 2,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The aqueous layer was collected and the phenol layer was back extracted with an equal volume of deionized water pre-warmed to 65°C. The aqueous layers were combined. To remove any remaining traces of phenol, the aqueous phases were treated with 100 µg/ml ribonuclease and 2 µg/ml deoxyribonuclease I (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 1 h at 37°C and precipitated with 3 volumes cold 100% ethanol and flash cooled in a dry ice-ethanol bath and incubated overnight at −20°C. The sample was centrifuged for 1 h and then overnight at 37°C. Additional Tris-SDS solution was added to completely solubilize the bacterial pellet after the 65°C incubation. The sample was placed at 37°C in a dry incubator overnight to complete the digestion. To remove the SDS, one tenth the volume of 0.3 M sodium acetate (0.1 ml per 1 ml sample) was added to the samples which were then precipitated with 3 volumes cold 100% ethanol, flash cooled in a dry ice-ethanol bath and incubated overnight at −20°C. Samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000 × g at 4°C and pellets were suspended in deionized water and precipitated a total of three times. Samples were suspended in 15 ml of 10 mM Tris pH 7.4 containing 10 mM CaCl₂ and treated with 80 U micrococcal nuclease (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) overnight at 37°C. After incubation, an equal volume of 95% phenol was added to this mixture. Capsule samples and phenol were incubated at 65°C for 30 min, cooled on ice, and centrifuged at 2,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The aqueous layer was collected and the phenol layer was back extracted with an equal volume of deionized water pre-warmed to 65°C. The aqueous layers were combined. To remove
residual phenol, one-tenth volume of 0.3 M sodium acetate was added to the aqueous layers which were then precipitated three times with 3 volumes of absolute ethanol. The pellet was washed in distilled water and Triton X-114 (Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 5% (v/v). The sample was vortexed for 30 seconds and placed at 4°C. Sixteen hours later, the sample was placed at 37°C for two hours. The resulting detergent aqueous suspension was centrifuged at 2000×g at 37°C for ten minutes and the upper aqueous phase removed. The aqueous phase was dialyzed at room temperature against multiple changes of distilled water over 48 h and lyophilized. For some experiments, the lyophilized capsule was reconstituted in 6 mM Tris base, 10 mM EDTA and 2.0% SDS (w/v), pH 6.8 and placed over a 1×20 cm Sephacryl SH500 column equilibrated with the same buffer to remove residual LPS. The void volume of the column was 11 ml and the bed volume was 25 ml. The column was run at 37°C.

Immunological assays

Western blots were performed as previously described using 4–12% gradient gels (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with samples transferred to PVDF (Millipore) [37]. ELISA assays were performed using CoStar 3590 plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY) coated with 10 μg/ml of capsule as previously described [37].

Cryoultramicrotomy for Immuno-TEM

*F. tularensis* colonies were removed intact using a punch and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde with 0.2% glutaraldehyde in PBS overnight at 4°C. The plug was carefully trimmed into 1 mm square sections, treated with 2.3 M sucrose at 4°C overnight and then frozen by direct immersion in liquid nitrogen. Ultrathin frozen sections (70–95 nm) were cut on Leica EM UC6 Ultramicrotome and transferred onto a carbon-coated formvar covered nickel grid. These samples were then used for immunoelectron microscopy.

Immunogold labeling for electron microscopy

The sections on the nickel grids were washed with PBS and then distilled water. They were blocked with 5% normal goat serum for 15 min. This was followed by incubation with the primary antibody, MAb 11B7 at a 1:100 dilution in PBS for 30 min. The sample was washed with PBS thrice for 2 minutes each time. The secondary antibody was a goat anti-mouse IgG 12 nm gold conjugate diluted 1:40 in PBS and incubated on the sample at room temperature for 30 min. The grid was washed with PBS six times for 2 min each. As a final step, the grids were stained with 0.3% uranyl acetate in 2% methylcellulose for 8 min on ice. The excess solution was removed, the grid dried and the sample viewed with a JEOL 1230 TEM at an accelerating voltage of 120 kv.

Immunogold studies were also preformed on “whole mounted” bacteria with MAb 11B7 to evaluate surface labeling by the antibody directly. To accomplish this, bacteria were suspended in 0.4 mL anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) overnight and then a slurry of sodium hydroxide in DMSO was added to the sample and incubated at room temperature for 1.5 h with constant stirring. Then, methyl iodide was added to the sample, followed by a second addition of methyl iodide 30 min later. The permethylated sample was then cooled, and extracted two times with chloroform:water (1:2, v/v). The organic phase was dried and then hydrolyzed to 100°C for 6 h with 4 N trifluoroacetic acid. The sample was then reduced with sodium borodeuteride and acetylated with pyridine:acetic anhydride (1:1) at 100°C for 6 h. Samples were then dried under a nitrogen stream, and the partially methylated alditol acetates (PMAA) were dissolved in dichloromethane and analyzed by GC-MS.

Composition analysis

For composition analyses capsule and LPS samples were run as alditol acetate (AA) and trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatives. For AA derivatives, approximately 100 μg of sample was hydrolyzed to constituent monosaccharides with 4 N HCl at 100°C for 6 h. The acid was removed by flushing with dry nitrogen and re-evaporated using a 50% aqueous isopropanol solution. The monosaccharides were re-suspended in water and analyzed on a Dionex ICS-3000 equipped with a CarboPac PA-1 column, using a Pulsed Amperometric Detector (PAD). Samples were eluted using a sodium hydroxide and sodium acetate gradient. A standard set of monosaccharides were analyzed for comparison purposes. Alternatively, the monosaccharides were reduced to the corresponding alditols with either sodium borodeuteride or sodium borohydride overnight at room temperature. Reduced samples were neutralized with a 30% acetic acid solution and excess borates were removed by repeated co-evaporation with acidified methanol and anhydrous methanol several times. Finally, alditols were acetylated using a 1:1 mixture of pyridine:acetic anhydride at 100°C for 1 h. Reagents were removed using a dry nitrogen flush. Samples were then extracted in dichloromethane and analyzed by GC-MS. For TMS derivatives, either 100 μg (capsule) or 300 μg (LPS) was methanolyzed using 1 M methanolic-HCl at 80°C for 16 h followed by removal of acidified methanol and re-acetylation using CH3OH:pyridine:acetic anhydride (5:1:1, by vol) at 100°C for 1 h. Reagents were removed by dry nitrogen flush, and the samples were silylated using Tri-Sil reagent at 80°C for 30 min. After removal of Tri-Sil by dry nitrogen flush, samples were extracted in hexane and analyzed by GC-MS. Composition analyses of capsule and LPS samples were performed on a Trace-MS Plus GC-MS (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) equipped with a Varian GC-MS equipped with a DB-5 column (15 m × 0.25 mm, df = 0.25 μm) operating in the electron impact (EI) mode. Two μl of sample was injected into the GC-MS in split mode at 220°C, and the sample was split 1:50. The initial oven temperature was set to 100°C, with a 3 min hold, followed by a ramping of the temperature at 4°C/min, with a final temperature of 270°C. Samples run in the chemical ionization mode (CI) were dissolved in dichloromethane and subsequently analyzed on a Varian GC-MS equipped with a DB-5 column (15 m × 0.25 mm, df = 0.25 μm [Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA]). One μl of sample was injected into the GC-MS, operating with a split ratio of 1:25. The initial oven temperature was set to 120°C, with a 2 min hold, followed by a ramping of the temperature of 5°C/min, with a final temperature of 240°C, with a 5 min hold. Acetonitrile was used as the ionizing gas in CI mode.

Linkage analysis

Linkage analysis of the capsule was done following a modified method of Ciucanu [38]. First, 0.4 mg of the sample was dissolved in 0.4 mL anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) overnight, and then a slurry of sodium hydroxide in DMSO was added to the sample and incubated at room temperature for 1.5 h with constant stirring. Then, methyl iodide was added to the sample, followed by a second addition of methyl iodide 30 min later. The permethylated sample was then cooled, and extracted two times with chloroform:water (1:2, v/v). The organic phase was dried and then hydrolyzed at 100°C for 6 h with 4 N trifluoroacetic acid. The sample was then reduced with sodium borodeuteride and acetylated with pyridine:acetic anhydride (1:1) at 100°C for 6 h. Samples were then dried under a nitrogen stream, and the partially methylated alditol acetates (PMAA) were dissolved in dichloromethane and analyzed by GC-MS.
MALDI-MS analyses of capsule

Unprocessed capsule was reconstituted in water at a concentration of 3 μg/μl. One μl of the sample was spotted onto a stainless steel MALDI-MS target, allowed to dry, and overlaid with 1 μl of a 50 mg/ml solution of 2,5 dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) (Laser Biolabs, Sophia-Antipolis Cedex, France) in 70% acetonitrile. Samples were subsequently analyzed using an LTQ linear ion trap mass spectrometer coupled to a vMALDI ion source (Thermo Fisher). The vMALDI source uses an SI N2 laser (337.3 nm) with a 20-Hz firing rate. Data was collected in the positive ion mode using the automatic gain control (AGC) and the Tandem mass spectrometry (MSn) data were collected using a precursor ion selection window of 35–40%. Alternatively, samples were analyzed in positive ion mode using the QStarXL equipped with an oMALDI source (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Mass spectrometric analyses of the capsule for associated lipids

To test for the presence of lipid A in the capsule, various preparations of capsule were generated and analyzed by mass spectrometry. Untreated LPS from *F. tularensis* strain 1547 was used for comparison purposes. The capsule was treated with hydrofluoric acid (HF) for either 2 hours at 20°C or 2 days at 4°C and subsequently dried down using nitrogen gas, under a vacuum, over sodium hydroxide. Samples were further processed by extraction with either CHCl3:CH3OH:H2O (10:5:6) or CHCl3:CH3OH (1:1), respectively. The organic phases and a standard triple resonance probe with pulsed field gradients were analyzed using a 600 MHz Varian UnityInova NMR spectrometer equipped with a TCI cryoprobe. All spectra were processed using NMRPipe [39,40] and analyzed using Sparky. The following datasets were used for analysis: 1H-HMQC, gHMBC, gCOSY, DQF-COSY, TOCSY (20 ms and 60 ms mixing times), and NOESY (200 ms mixing time). Acquisition and processing parameters are shown in Table 6.

**Ethics Statements.** All animals were handled in strict accordance with good animal practice as defined by the relevant national and/or local animal welfare bodies, and all animal work was approved by the University of Iowa Animal Care and Use committee (ACURF # 0808184). Guidelines provided by the NIH were followed in all experimentation. The University of Iowa is PHS assured.

Passive immunization with MAb 11B7 of BALB/c mice and challenge with *F. tularensis* LVS

BALB/c female mice 6–8 weeks of age were purchased from NCI. Two groups of five mice were injected intraperitoneally with either 75 μg of 11B7 antibody or 75 μg of a matched isotype monoclonal antibody, 2C3, as a negative control. Antibody 2C3 binds to a *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* membrane protein, H.8. Both MAbs, 11B7 and 2C3, are IgG1 monoclonal antibodies. MAb 2C3 was a gift from Dr. Peter Rice, University of Massachusetts. Both antibodies were affinity purified over a Protein G (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) column according to manufacturer’s instructions. The protein concentration was determined using an Easy Titer Mouse IgG assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Twenty four hours post-injection of antibody, both groups of 5 mice were challenged intraperitoneally with 5 x 10⁴ cfu (~100 LD₅₀) of *F. tularensis* LVS and the ability of the mice to survive challenge was followed for 14 days. A second experiment was performed using the same protocol for administration of monoclonal antibodies but the challenge dose of *F. tularensis* LVS was 5 x 10⁵ cfu (~1000 LD₅₀).

Challenge of BALB/c mice with *F. tularensis* LVS previously immunized with capsule

BALB/c female mice 6–8 weeks of age were purchased from NCI. Groups of 5 mice were used for each immunization and challenge protocol. Two groups of five mice were injected intraperitoneally with 50 μl of phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and TiterMax Gold adjuvant at a 1:1 ratio. Two separate groups of mice were immunized intraperitoneally with 10 μg of capsule in a 25 μl volume mixed 1:1 with 25 μl TiterMax Gold adjuvant. Mice were bled retro-orbitally 30 days post-immunization to test for the presence of anti-capsule antibodies in the serum. One day post bleeding each group of mice was given a booster dose of antigen.

**Table 6. NMR Spectral Parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>F1 SW (Hz)</th>
<th>F2 SW (Hz)</th>
<th>F1 points, acquired</th>
<th>F2 points, acquired</th>
<th>F1 points, processed</th>
<th>F2 points, processed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1H-13C HMQC</td>
<td>7199.4</td>
<td>12062.7</td>
<td>512*</td>
<td>140*</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQF-COSY</td>
<td>5205.6</td>
<td>5205.6</td>
<td>1024*</td>
<td>620*</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gCOSY</td>
<td>5205.6</td>
<td>2505.6</td>
<td>2048*</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gHMBC</td>
<td>11029.4</td>
<td>38314.2</td>
<td>2048*</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOCSY, 20 ms</td>
<td>7199.4</td>
<td>7199.4</td>
<td>1024*</td>
<td>256*</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOCSY, 60 ms</td>
<td>7199.4</td>
<td>7199.4</td>
<td>1024*</td>
<td>256*</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOESY, 200 ms</td>
<td>7199.4</td>
<td>7199.4</td>
<td>512*</td>
<td>256*</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.0006
and adjuvant identical to the original immunization dose. Two weeks after the boost dose, the mice were bled again and the anti-capsule antibody was re-assessed. Forty eight hours post-bleeding, the groups of mice immunized with PBS, were challenged intraperitoneally with 250 and 750 cfu of *F. tularensis* LVS. One group of mice, immunized with 10 μg of capsule in adjuvant, was challenged intraperitoneally with 5 x 10^4 LVS and the other group of mice immunized with 10 μg of capsule in adjuvant was challenged intraperitoneally with 5 x 10^5 cfu of LVS. All mice were followed for 14 days to determine the ability of the mice to survive the challenge.

**Statistical Analysis.** For the challenge experiments, Kaplan-Meier survival estimates [41] of the survival of each group of mice were calculated and differences between groups tested using a log-rank test statistic [41,42]. Survival of all control mice were compared to survival of all mice treated with MAb 11B7, and each control group was compared to all immunized mice. All calculations were done in R (R Development Core Team, 2009) and the R survival package [43,44].

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Figure S1 shows a Western Blot that demonstrates that capsule does not appear to be shed during growth into the supernate of liquid cultures. One microgram of each of the following underwent SDS-PAGE using a 4–12% gel followed by transfer to nitrocellulose. Lane 1 contains the molecule weight controls and the arrow indicates 200 kDa, lane 2 is an organism pellet loaded into sample buffer, lane 3 is purified LVS capsule, lane 4, 5 and 6 are 70% ethanol precipitates of 4lane (5 lane), 8 (lane 6) and 24 lane 7, hour supernates cleared of organisms by centrifugation at 13,500 g. The bacterial pellets removed from the 4, 8 and 24 hour supernates are in lanes 8, 9 and 10 respectively. Lane 4 and 11 contained no sample. Lane 12 contains a TX-114 extracted LPS sample. The Western blot was developed with MAb 11B7 at a dilution of 1:10,000. These studies show that the majority of the LVS capsule is associated with the organism and only minimal amounts of capsule are released into the broth supernate at 24 hours. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s001 (1.88 MB TIF)

**Figure S2** Figure S2 shows the positive-ion MALDI-TOF mass spectra of unprocessed capsule. The predominant monoisotopic mass observed is m/z 815, this mass corresponds to the sodiated form of the 792 Da tetrasaccharide repeating unit. These data show that we were able to detect up to six repeating units in the capsule sample. Fragmentation analysis verified these assignments (B and C, respectively). Mannitol was included as a control. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s006 (0.53 MB TIF)

**Figure S3** Figure S3 shows the positive-ion vMALDI-LIT mass spectra of HF-treated capsule. After HF treatment, the predominant monoisotopic sodiated masses observed are at m/z 833 and 1625 which corresponds to one or two units of the 792 Da tetrasaccharide repeat, respectively. The fragments observed after HF treatment were generated by chemical hydrolysis and therefore contain an additional water (793+18 = 811 Da) relative to the peaks observed in the unprocessed capsule sample that were generated by gas-phase fragmentation. Masses labeled with an * designate major masses minus water. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s003 (0.23 MB TIF)

**Figure S4** Figure S4 shows the positive-ion vMALDI-MS analysis of unprocessed capsule. The tetrasaccharide at m/z 793 (A) was sequentially fragmented to yield fragment ions at m/z 606 (B), m/z 433(C), and at m/z 390 (D). The MS^3 data of m/z 433 demonstrated that it is composed of two carbohydrates monomers of the same mass, 216 Da, that are adjacent to one another. The MS^3 data of m/z 390 demonstrated that it is composed of two carbohydrate monomers with masses of 173 Da and 216 Da that are located adjacent to one another. Masses labeled with an * designate major masses +/- water. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s004 (0.65 MB TIF)

**Figure S5** Figure S5 shows the positive ion-vMALDI-MS^4 analyses of unprocessed capsule. The tetrasaccharide at m/z 793 (A) was sequentially fragmented to yield fragment ions at m/z 577 (B) and at m/z 361 (C). The MS^4 data of m/z 361 demonstrated that it is composed of two carbohydrate monomers with masses of 173 Da and 187 Da that are located adjacent to one another. Masses labeled with an * designate major masses +/- water. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s005 (0.46 MB TIF)

**Figure S6** Figure S6 shows the (A) total ion chromatogram of alditol acetate (AA) derivatives of *F. tularensis* capsule. Three major peaks were observed. One of these peaks could be assigned to QuiNAc (RT 23.56 min), based on CI (B) and EI (C) data. The two mass fragments observed in EI mode at m/z 302 and 144 CI are consistent with the QuiNAc assignment. EI analyses suggested that the unknown peaks are most likely anhydro-degradation products of QuiNAc or deformylated Qui4NFm. No peak was observed that was consistent with HexNAcAN; it has been previously suggested that this sugar is either too labile or polar to be observed by these analyses [Y. A. Knirel, et al. Eur. J. Biochem. 1985, 150:541-550]. Inositol was spiked in as a control. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s006 (0.53 MB TIF)

**Figure S7** Figure S7 shows the (A) total ion chromatogram of alditol acetate (AA) derivatives of *F. tularensis* LPS. QuiNAc, GlcNAc, GalNAc. Two unknown peaks were detected in these samples. CI (B) and EI (C) analyses verified the presence of QuiNAc. The two mass fragments observed in EI mode at m/z 302 and 144 CI are consistent with the QuiNAc assignment. CI and EI analyses also suggested that the unknown peaks are most likely anhydro degradation products of QuiNAc or deformylated Qui4NFm. Inositol was spiked in as a control. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s007 (0.64 MB TIF)

**Figure S8** Figure S8 shows the total ion chromatogram of trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivative of the capsule sample (A). QuiNAc and HexNACAN were detected in these samples. Fragmentation analysis verified their identification (B and C, respectively). Mannitol was included as a control. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s008 (1.22 MB TIF)

**Figure S9** Figure S9 shows the (A) Total ion chromatogram of TMS derivative of the *F. tularensis* LPS scanned over a mass range of m/z 50–600. These data show that the main constituents observed in this sample include lipid A components as well as core sugars. (B) Selective ion chromatogram of the LPS sample to scan for amino sugars. The sample was scanned over the mass range of m/z 172.5–173.5. These data confirmed the presence of QuiNAc, GalNAc, and GlcNAc. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s009 (0.50 MB TIF)

**Figure S10** Figure S10 shows the total ion chromatogram of partially methylated alditol acetate (PMAA) derivatives of *F. tularensis* capsule. Data was collected over a mass range of m/z 50–600. QuiNAc with a 3-linkage and a terminal linkage were identified at 25.40 and 22.57 min, respectively. Fragmentation analysis verified these assignments (B and C, respectively). Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s010 (0.61 MB TIF)

**Table S1** Proposed compositions of masses observed by MALDI-TOF analyses.
Table S2 High pH-chromatography data from mixture of standards.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s012 (0.04 MB DOC)

Table S3 High pH-chromatography data from F. tularensis capsule.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s013 (0.04 MB DOC)

Table S4 Summary of GC-MS data for TMS derivatives of F. tularensis capsule.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s014 (0.03 MB DOC)

Table S5 Summary of GC-MS data for TMS derivatives of F. tularensis LPS.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011060.s015 (0.03 MB DOC)
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