

1 **Title**

2 Simulation as a research translation technique

3

4 **Abstract**

5 In the clinical setting, simulation is emerging as an important educational technology for
6 learning about contemporary clinical care. **The aim of this case study was to illustrate the**
7 **feasibility of** simulation as a research translation mechanism. Designing and delivering a
8 simulated learning activity for delirium prevention was a key implementation strategy in a
9 larger study focused on translating research evidence into practice. Using evidence about
10 delirium prevention, and in collaboration with key stakeholders, the simulation team
11 developed a delirium prevention scenario that was conducted four times with nurses in the
12 **participating ward. This study suggests that the** use of simulation design and delivery as a
13 research translation mechanism is **feasible. Based on this experience, further research into**
14 **how simulations can function as research translation mechanisms is** recommended, **with a**
15 **view** to improve patient outcomes through **supported** practice change.

16

17 **Key Points**

18

19

- Implementing research evidence is a significant challenge for health services

20

- Planned action requires discussion, tailoring of research to context, and advocacy for the new practice

21

22

- Clinician and researcher co-design of a simulation can provide an additional mechanism for implementing research evidence in practice

23

24

25

26

27 **Key Words**

28

Co-design; implementation; evidence; simulation; stakeholders; delirium; translation

29

30

31

32 **Background**

33 Research translation is a dynamic process involving interaction between researchers
34 and end users (Milat & Li, 2017). While the literature on translation models is burgeoning
35 (Milat & Li, 2017), specific translation strategies are less evident. This case study provides
36 insight into how developing and delivering a simulation can enhance the translation of
37 research evidence about delirium prevention and care into practice in one health service.

38 Delirium is described as an acute disorder of attention and cognition, which is
39 distressing and often leads to further complications including falls, and sometimes even death
40 (Inouye, Westendorp & Saczynski, 2014). **There is comprehensive evidence that non-**
41 **pharmacological care activities are the most effective in preventing and managing delirium**
42 **(Hshieh et al., 2015). These care activities are commonly conducted by nurses, positioning**
43 **nurses to lead delirium prevention and care strategies.**

44 **The translation of research evidence into practice is more likely when the practitioners**
45 **can make the activities workable in practice and able to integrate it into their collective**
46 **workflow (May, Sibley, & Hunt, 2014). Simulation is a guided, immersive interactive**
47 **technique that affords the participants the opportunity to engage in a ‘real-world experience’**
48 **in a safe, non-threatening environment (Gaba, 2004) and may provide a vehicle to support**
49 **nurses to incorporate the delirium prevention and care strategies into their collective**
50 **workflow.** Not only can practice be improved through team learning in a simulation, co-
51 design of simulation experiences is promoted as a mechanism to jointly create, shape, test and
52 refine pathways of care (Kneebone, Weldon, & Bello, 2016).

53 **Co-design requires cooperation between individual agents to share their knowledge**
54 **and resources, working together to create a new product, with the aim of improved outcomes**
55 **and efficiency (Ward et al., 2018). The co-design of simulation can provide a mechanism for**
56 **research translation, whereby those involved gain in-depth understanding of the research**

57 basis for practice change and become informal advocates of that change within their teams.
58 This brief communication describes the process of co-design of a specialised simulation as a
59 research translation mechanism **to illustrate the feasibility of clinical simulation for research**
60 **translation.**

61 **The simulation case**

62 The simulation was developed as part of a three-level education program that also
63 included on-line modules and team discussions of clinical cases with a range of experts.
64 Researchers approached members of the simulation team in a state health service in southeast
65 Queensland to develop a simulated learning activity to support care of the patient presenting
66 with a possible delirium. The INACSL *Standards of Best Practice: Simulation*SM *Simulation*
67 *Design* (2016) were used to design this simulation. **The debriefing** was structured using the
68 Promoting Excellence and Reflective Learning in Simulation (PEARLS) framework (Eppich
69 & Cheng, 2015). **The Delirium Clinical Care Standard (ACSQHC, 2016) was used to design**
70 **the nursing activities.**

71 **The scenario.** As part of the implementation research project, barriers to effective
72 delirium care were identified as lack of screening for delirium, limited inclusion of families
73 in delirium prevention and care, and a limited set of management strategies for patients who
74 exhibit acute confusion. **Following the standards for simulation design (INACSL Standards**
75 **Committee, 2016),** the learning objectives **incorporated** cognitive (knowledge), affective
76 (attitude) and psychomotor (skills) learning domains. To address the barriers to effective
77 delirium care, the team agreed to three key learning objectives:

- 78 1) Recognise and respond to delirium;
- 79 2) Effectively communicate and include the family in delirium care; and
- 80 3) Perform and/or interpret the screening tool for delirium on admission

81 The simulated clinical immersion with a simulated patient structure was used.
82 Through discussions with clinicians, educators, and researchers, the simulation team
83 developed a scenario (see Figure 1). The simulation was set in the simulation lab, and
84 included a simulated patient (actor), replicated bedspace from a ward (physical fidelity);
85 realistic patient presentation including moulage and created clinical records to align with the
86 case (conceptual fidelity); and included an active voice of the patient, distractions, multiple
87 staff members and a family member (psychological fidelity). An unfolding case scenario was
88 used in that the simulated patient responded based on the participants' actions.

89 [Insert figure 1 here]

90 **Delivery**

91 The simulation sessions were held between June to December 2017. Nursing and
92 allied health staff from the ward hosting the research translation ward attended. Each
93 simulation session was attended by 4 to 5 nurses for a total of 22 nurses. All the nurses who
94 attended had completed two online learning modules on delirium from ADHERE (Delirium
95 Care, 2019).

96 The session was facilitated by an experienced simulation educator. Each session
97 consisted of a five to ten-minute pre-brief, 20-minute simulation, and 30 to 35-minute de-
98 brief. **In the pre-briefing, the primary simulation educator** set clear boundaries, expectations
99 and goals, **solicited** a commitment to act as if everything is real, and **attended** to logistic
100 details such as the start and stop time of the session, and conveying respect for the learner. A
101 second simulation educator was the simulated patient and, using moulage, was aged. The
102 clinical educator and/or researcher posed as the family member (or neighbour), and two
103 participants volunteered to engage in the simulation scenario. Other ward staff in attendance
104 observed the scenario. The Nurse Unit Manager, ward Educator and ward Clinical Facilitator

105 took turns attending the sessions and contributed to debriefing discussions with the staff
106 participants.

107 The debrief focussed on advocacy and inquiry and followed PEARLS framework
108 (Eppich & Cheng, 2015). In the debrief, the simulation educator would: set the scene for the
109 debrief including an overview of the session; elicit emotional reactions or comments which
110 could reveal new topics of discussion; provide a descriptive summary to clarify
111 understanding; facilitate discussion of the topics raised by the participants; and invite
112 participants to share their take-away message from the simulation in a ‘round-the-room’
113 technique.

114 The principle of co-design was operationalised in several ways. Firstly, the learning
115 objectives and outcomes were co-designed by simulation experts, researchers and clinicians,
116 inclusive of Nursing Unit Manager and Educator who are accountable for non-clinical, as
117 well as clinical, activities and the Clinical Facilitator, Registered Nurses and Enrolled Nurses
118 who are accountable for clinical care in the ward. The simulation team drafted the scenario
119 and discussed each version with clinicians and researchers until there was consensus.
120 Researchers and clinicians participated in each delivery and engaged clinicians in discussion
121 about practice. Outcomes of these discussions led to minor modifications of the delirium
122 prevention and care intervention on the ward.

123 One limitation in this work was the lack of formal evaluation of the simulation. At the
124 time, the focus was on feasibility of simulation as a research translation mechanism.
125 Feasibility was concluded based on good attendance and engagement from the nurses who
126 came to the four sessions and anecdotal feedback regarding how the sessions led the nurses to
127 revise their collective practices.

128 **Discussion**

129 In this example, research evidence about delirium prevention and care was translated
130 into nursing action in a simulated learning activity. Simulated learning emerged as a method
131 to improve professional competence, individually or in teams, **which is consistent with other**
132 **studies of simulation and interdisciplinary communication** (Hargestam et al., 2016).
133 However, in this case study, producing the simulated activity, as well as conduct of the
134 activity, provided a mechanism for translating research evidence into practice. As the
135 involved clinicians, educator and researchers planned and revised the scenario in response to
136 nurses' engagement and performance, **there is the possibility of deeper** learning about how
137 these nursing behaviours can improve the patient and family experience and reduce the
138 impact of delirium.

139 Translation of research evidence into clinical practice has predominantly been through
140 the production of knowledge tools or products such as practice guidelines, decision aids and
141 rules, and care pathways (Graham et al., 2006). While these tools are produced to present
142 knowledge in clear, concise and user-friendly formats, the likelihood of uptake is dependent
143 upon user competence and confidence. Like Graham and colleagues (2006), planned action,
144 where groups discuss, negotiate, resist and value the usefulness and appropriateness of
145 particular research to their setting and circumstances, tailoring the knowledge generated by
146 research to their unique circumstances **is recommended**.

147 When focused on designing a simulation, group members **may** learn more about the
148 barriers and facilitators to implementing the research evidence in practice. As the clinical
149 scenario is shaped and refined, group members are actively working to increase clinician
150 uptake of research-derived actions. The clinicians who are involved in the design and
151 delivery of the scenario may become advocates for the practice change in the ward, with
152 dissemination of practice, rather than knowledge, as the outcome. **Further research including**
153 **in-depth evaluation of the mechanisms involved and possible impact on practice is required**.

154 This clinical simulation was part of a broader implementation package for delirium
155 prevention and care. While practice change cannot be attributed to a single element of an
156 implementation program, the high levels of engagement from nurse participants in the
157 scenario and post-briefing indicate active and deep learning, which is recognised as
158 sustainable learning (Biggs, 2012) and indicates that the processes of simulation design and
159 delivery are feasible.

160 Clinical simulation provides a vehicle for research translation, whereby engagement
161 from end users such as clinicians and ward-based educators and managers can work
162 collaboratively with researchers to improve uptake of new, evidence-based, practices. In
163 particular, attending to the principles of co-design in scenario development, delivery and
164 evaluation offers emerging opportunities to work towards continuous improvement in
165 practice. Further, through the final debriefing stage (around-the-room), nurse participants can
166 consider their simulated experience and how they can incorporate what they have learned into
167 their teams. Further research into the potential value of clinical simulation as a research
168 translation mechanism should focus upon describing the nature of application that nurses
169 identify in the debriefing sessions and comparing these descriptions to observations of
170 practice in the ward. Making changes to improve patient outcomes, such as reducing the
171 incidence and impact of hospital-acquired delirium for older patients, will also require
172 researcher focus on patient outcomes.

173

174

175 **Acknowledgments**

176

177 **The** contributions of the nursing staff who engaged with the design and conduct of the

178 simulations **is acknowledged.**

179

180

181 **References**

- 182 ADHERE Delirium Care, at <http://www.adhere.org.au/deliriumcare.html>, accessed 3 January
183 2019.
- 184 Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, 2016. *Delirium Clinical Care*
185 *Standard*. Sydney: ACSQHC.
- 186 Biggs, J., 2012. What the student does: teaching for enhanced learning. *Higher Education*
187 *Research & Development* 31(1), 39-55.
- 188 Eppich, W. & Cheng, A., 2015. Promoting excellence and reflective learning in simulation
189 (PEARLS): development and rationale for a blended approach to health care simulation
190 debriefing. *Simulation in Healthcare* 10(2), 106-15.
- 191 Gaba, D.M., 2004. The future vision of simulation in health care. *Quality and Safety in*
192 *Health Care* 13(Supp. 1), i2-10.
- 193 **Graham, I.D., Logan, J., Harrison, M.B., Straus, S.E., Tetroe, J., Caswell, W., Robinson, N.,**
194 **2006. Lost in knowledge translation: time for a map? *The Journal of Continuing Education***
195 **in the Health Professions** 26(1), 13-24.
- 196 Hargestam, M., Lindkivst, M., Brulin, C., Jacobsson, M. & Hultin, M., 2016. Communication
197 in interdisciplinary teams: exploring closed-loop communication during in situ trauma
198 team training. *BMJ Open* 3(10), e003525. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003525.
- 199 **Hshieh, T.T., Yue, J., Oh, E., Puelle, M., Dowal, S., Travison, T., & Inouye, S., 2015.**
200 **Effectiveness of multicomponent nonpharmacological delirium interventions: a meta-**
201 **analysis. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 175(4), 512-520.**
- 202 INACSL Standards Committee, 2016. INACSL standards of best practice: SimulationSM
203 Simulation Design. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing* 12(S), S5-S12.
204 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecns.2016.09.005>.
- 205 Inouye, S.K., Westendorp, R.G.J. & Saczynski, J.S., 2014. Delirium in elderly people. *The*
206 *Lancet*, 383(9920), 911-922.
- 207 Kneebone, R., Weldon, S.M. & Bello, F., 2016. Engaging patients and clinicians through
208 simulation: rebalancing the dynamics of care. *Advances in Simulation* 1:19. DOI
209 10.1186/s41077-016-0019-9
- 210 **May, C. Sibley, A., Hunt, K., 2014. The nursing work of hospital-based clinical practice**
211 **guideline implementation: an explanatory systematic review using Normalisation Process**
212 **Theory. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 51, 289-299.**
- 213 Milat, A.J. & Li, B. 2017. Narrative review of frameworks for translating research evidence
214 into policy and practice. *Public Health Research & Practice* 27(1), e2711704.
- 215 Ward, M. E. et al. (2018). Using co-design to develop a collective leadership intervention for
216 healthcare teams to improve safety culture. *International Journal of Environmental*
217 *Research and Public Health*, 15, 1182; doi:10.3390/ijerph15061182.
- 218
- 219
- 220