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Abstract

The mental health benefits of resistance training (RT) alone or beyond those provided by aerobic physical activity (PA) are unclear. This study aimed to determine the association between meeting recommendations for aerobic PA and/or RT, and symptoms of depression and/or anxiety. Participants were Australian female members of the 10,000 Steps project (n=5180, 50.0±11.5 years). Symptoms of depression and anxiety were determined using the Depression Anxiety Stress Score. Participants were grouped as ‘depression only’, ‘anxiety only’, ‘co-occurring depression and anxiety’ or ‘neither depression nor anxiety’ based on relevant subscale score (cut-points: depression≥14 points, anxiety≥10 points). The International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Long Form questionnaire was used to determine PA with an additional item to specify RT frequency. Participants were classified as adhering to ‘aerobic PA only’ (≥150 min PA/week), ‘RT only’ (RT≥2 days/week), ‘aerobic PA+RT’ (≥150 min PA/week+RT≥2 days/week), or ‘neither aerobic PA nor RT’ (<150 min PA/week+RT<2 days/week). Adjusted relative risk ratios (RRR [95%CI]) were estimated using multinomial logistic regression models. Relative to the ‘neither PA nor RT’ (n=2215), the probabilities of ‘depression only’ (n=317) and ‘co-occurring depression and anxiety’ (n=417) were lower for the ‘aerobic PA only’ (n=1590) (RRR=0.74 [0.56 – 0.97] and RRR=0.76 [0.59-0.97] respectively), and ‘both PA+RT’ (n=974) groups (RRR=0.61 [0.43-0.86] and RRR=0.47 [0.33-0.67] respectively). There were no associations between adhering to one or both recommendations and ‘anxiety only’ (n=317), or between ‘RT only’ (n=401) and depression and/or anxiety. Prevention and treatment strategies including both aerobic PA and RT may provide additional benefits for depression with or without comorbid anxiety.

Keywords: common mental disorders, physical activity, muscle strengthening activity, anxiety, depression, aerobic activity
Introduction

Globally, approximately 322 million (4.4%) people had a depressive disorder in 2015, and 264 million (3.6%) had an anxiety disorder [1]. Depression is the leading global cause of disability, while anxiety is ranked sixth [1]. Approximately half of those diagnosed with one of these conditions have a comorbidity with the other [1, 2]. An estimated 12-32% of the population live with symptoms of varying severity, and women are about twice as likely to experience depression or anxiety in their lifetime as men [1, 3, 4]. Even mild symptoms of depression and anxiety can have debilitating effects on wellbeing, relationships, career and productivity [5-9].

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends 18-64 year-olds participate in muscle-strengthening activities (i.e. resistance training: RT) on 2 or more days and either ≥150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity (PA), ≥75 minutes of vigorous-intensity PA, or an equivalent combination per week [10]. Yet relative to the proportion of adults who report meeting aerobic PA guidelines far fewer adults report meeting the RT recommendation. In the US, 23.0% of adults met the RT recommendation, 46.1% met the aerobic PA recommendation and 19.4% met both [12]. Similar patterns have been found in Australia [13], the UK [14] and Scotland [11]. The prevalence of insufficient PA is concerning given the contribution of physical inactivity to the global burden of disease [15], and the even higher rates of insufficient RT are particularly concerning given RT may confer unique health benefits [16-22].

Physical activity can have a role in the management of depression and anxiety and is protective against cardiovascular disease and metabolic disorders, which are common comorbidities [23, 24]. While RT can have benefits for both depression and anxiety [25, 26], the majority of prior work has focused on aerobic PA or aerobic PA and RT combined without exploring the differential associations between the modes [27-30]. The few
intervention studies comparing ‘RT only’ with ‘aerobic PA only’ have indicated equal effectiveness of the two modes in terms of reducing symptoms of depression or anxiety [25, 26]. A recent observational study found the prevalence of depressive symptoms was lower in those who met both versus one of the recommendations for aerobic PA and RT [31]. A combination of aerobic PA and RT may confer unique benefits over and above that of aerobic PA or RT alone, but few studies have explored this. Additionally, few studies have explored the effect of RT and aerobic PA on co-occurring symptoms of depression and anxiety [32]. This is a major gap, as co-morbidity is common and is associated with unique symptom and neurobiological characteristics, and more severe and protracted symptoms than those with either disorder alone [2]. Consequently, the relationship between PA and co-occurring symptoms of depression and anxiety may differ from that of depression or anxiety alone [2]. The aim of this study was to determine the association between meeting recommendations for aerobic PA or RT alone and combined, and symptoms of depression and anxiety, alone or co-occurring.

**Methods**

**Study design and participants**

This was a cross-sectional sample from the member database of the 10,000 Steps project (www.10000steps.org.au), a web-based PA promotion initiative which commenced in Rockhampton, Australia, in 2001 [33]. The Central Queensland University Human Research Ethics Committee provided approval for the current study (H15/09-210). All participants provided informed consent to participate via the online portal. As of November-December 2016, the project had approximately 330,000 members with 42,090 email addresses verified as valid at the time of the email-invitation to participate in the current study [34]. The overall response rate based completed surveys (n=6982) and the total number of verified email addresses was 16.5%. The majority of those who completed the survey were women
Given the higher response rate from women, and the higher prevalence of depression and anxiety in women [1], this study focuses on women only. Data analysis took place in November 2018.

**Study measures**

**Depression and anxiety symptoms:** Symptoms of depression and anxiety were assessed using relevant DASS-21 (Depression Anxiety Stress Score) questionnaire [35]. The depression and anxiety sub-scales have shown criterion validity (depression: Area Under the Curve [AUC]=0.77-0.91, anxiety: AUC=0.60-0.83) in comparison to DSM-IV diagnosis, and adequate construct validity [36]. Each domain comprises seven statements assessing symptom frequency last week (e.g. ‘I felt I was close to panic’, ‘I felt I wasn’t worth much as a person’) and are rated on a 4-point scale (0=never, 1=sometimes, 2=often, 3=almost always). The score for each subscale is summed and multiplied by 2, making the maximum score for each domain 42. Scores were then categorized according to symptom severity (normal-extremely severe) [35]. These categories are not diagnostic but describe the continuum of severity observed in the population, i.e. ‘mild’ indicates symptoms above population average, not ‘mild disorder’[35]. Participants were categorized into four mutually exclusive groups: ‘depression only’ or ‘anxiety only’ if responses indicated at least ‘moderate’ severity (depression≥14, anxiety≥10), and less than ‘moderate’ severity on the other subscale. The ‘co-occurring depression and anxiety’ group scored at least ‘moderate’ severity on both subscales. Those categorized as ‘neither depression nor anxiety’ scored below ‘moderate’ severity on both subscales.

**Aerobic physical activity and resistance training** Aerobic PA during the previous week was measured using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire Long Form (IPAQ-LF). It assesses frequency and duration of participation in each of walking, moderate, and vigorous intensity PA as part of work, transportation, housework, gardening, sport or leisure during the
last week [37]. Total MET-minutes were calculated according to standard IPAQ scoring protocols, using MET values of 3.3 for walking, 4.0 for moderate intensity activity and 8.0 for vigorous intensity PA [37]. Standard scoring procedures were used to subsequently classify participants’ PA as ‘low’, ‘moderate’ or ‘high’ [37]. The IPAQ-LF measures “total activity” across multiple domains resulting in higher reported activity relative to only assessing leisure time activity [38]. Consequently the ‘high’ category (≥3 sessions of vigorous activity per week and a total of ≥1500 MET-minutes per week OR ≥7 sessions of any activity intensity and a total of ≥3000 MET-minutes per week) is considered to represent a total activity level comparable to that of meeting the PA recommendation of ≥150 minutes of aerobic PA per week [38, 39]. Participants were asked an additional item “How many days in the last 7 days have you participated in resistance/weight training” [40] and a frequency of ≥2 days per week was considered meeting the WHO recommendations [10]. Study participants were then categorized into the following PA groups: meeting ‘aerobic PA only’ (≥150 minutes aerobic PA/week), ‘RT only’ (RT≥2 days/week), ‘aerobic PA+RT’ (≥150 minutes aerobic PA/week+RT≥2 days/week), or ‘neither aerobic PA nor RT’ (<150 minutes aerobic PA/week+RT<2 days/week).

**Socio-demographic and behavioral measures** Household income was reported in seven categories and collapsed into categories of: ‘>AUD$70,000 per annum’; ‘≤AUD$70,000 per annum’; or ‘unsure/prefer not to state’. Employment status was categorized as ‘employed’ or ‘not employed’. Cigarette-smoking status was assessed using a single item and categorized as ‘current smoker’ or ‘non-smoker’ [41, 42]. Number of alcoholic drinks typically consumed was reported, and categorized as: ‘non-drinkers’ (no alcohol in the last year); ‘low risk’ (1-2 standard drinks on a typical occasion); or ‘high risk’ (≥3 standard drinks on a typical occasion), in line with the Australian guidelines [43]. The Workforce Sitting Questionnaire [44] asked participants how long they spent sitting for each of transport, at work, watching
TV, using a computer or other devices and leisure on each of work and non-work days, and number of work days per week. Average daily sitting-time, calculated by summing sitting-time for work and non-work days and averaging over 7 days, was categorized as low (<8hrs/day) or high (≥8 hours/ day) [45]. Sleep dimensions were measured using three items (sleep duration, quality and latency) from the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) [46]. The PSQI has strong reliability and validity, and moderate structural validity in a variety of samples [47]. Sleep duration was classified as ‘meeting recommendations’ (7 to ≤9 hours if 18 to <65 years old, 7 to ≤8 hours if ≥65 years old), or ‘not meeting recommendations’ (<7 hours or >9 hours if 18 to <65 years old, >8 hours if ≥65 years old). Sleep quality was collapsed into categories of ‘very good’; ‘fairly good’; or ‘fairly-to-very bad’ [45]. Sleep latency was classified as normal (≤30 minutes) or long (>30 minutes), indicating a sleep disorder [48].

Participants self-reported diagnosis of 17 chronic diseases: high blood pressure, diabetes type 1 or 2, coronary heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cerebrovascular disease, cancer, asthma, high cholesterol, arthritis, insomnia, restless legs syndrome, sleep apnea, irritable bowel syndrome, kidney disease, arthritis, and mental illness other than depression or anxiety. Participants were categorized as ‘≥1 chronic conditions’ or ‘None’. Participants reported if they had ever received a diagnosis of depression and/or anxiety and were dichotomized as ‘prior diagnosis of depression and/or anxiety’ or ‘none’. Self-reported height (cm) and weight (kg) were used to calculate body mass index (BMI) and subsequently categorized as >18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25.0-29.9 and ≥30.0 kg/m².

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics included means (SD) for continuous variables and count (%) for categorical variables, Cronbach’s alpha calculated for DASS subscales. T-tests and Chi-square tests were used to assess differences in descriptive variables between the sample and
those excluded from analysis due to incomplete data. One-way ANOVA analysis was used to compare MET-minutes reported for each activity intensity, activity domain and RT days between the four activity groups. Three multinomial logistic regression models were used, and Model A represents the unadjusted association between PA groups and mental health symptom groups while Model B presents the association between PA groups and mental health symptom groups, adjusted for socio-demographic variables, sleep health measures, and sitting-time. All covariates were chosen a priori based on previous literature [49-53]. Model C included the same variables as Model B, plus chronic disease diagnosis, BMI category and diagnosis of depression and/or anxiety as covariates. Chronic disease and BMI can be conceptualized as being on the causal path between PA and mental health symptoms, and previous diagnosis of depression and/or anxiety is likely associated with current symptoms of depression and/or anxiety [54-57]. Controlling for these variables is therefore potentially over-adjusting the model, as it cancels out the effect of PA on mental health symptoms mediated through the influence of PA on chronic disease, BMI or previous depression and anxiety [58]. However, Model C was included as a sensitivity analysis. A separate sensitivity analysis using an alternative threshold (≥600MET minutes) for meeting aerobic PA recommendations was also conducted. All analyses were conducted using Stata Version 14 (College Station, TX: StataCorp) in November 2018.

Results
The 5180 women included in the study were primarily middle-aged (50.0±11.5 years) and employed (85.5%) and had at least one chronic disease diagnosis (62.9%). These are higher than average age (38 years), employment rate (56%) and chronic disease diagnosis (52%) in the Australian female population [59, 60]. The mean DASS-21 depression score was 6.0 (7.3) points and mean DASS-21 score was 4.2 (5.3) points, with 79.9% (n=4129) classified as ‘neither depression nor anxiety’, 6.1% (n=317) classified as ‘depression only’, 6.1% (317)
classified as ‘anxiety only’ and 8.1% (n=417) classified as ‘both depression and anxiety’.

Participant characteristics by mental health symptoms are described in Table 1. Women who were excluded because of incomplete data (n=3086, 37.3%) were younger, less likely to disclose their income or report an income of >AUD$70,000 per annum, and more likely to be smokers and have a high-risk alcohol consumption or be non-drinkers than those who were included (Supplemental Table 1). They were also more likely to have a chronic disease diagnosis, a BMI≥30 kg/m² and meet ‘aerobic PA only’, and less likely to report a diagnosis depression and/or anxiety and meet ‘neither aerobic PA nor RT’. There were no differences by employment status; sitting-time; or sleep quality, duration or latency.

**Aerobic physical activity and resistance training**

Of the study sample (n=5180), 42.8% (n=4129) met ‘neither aerobic PA nor RT’, 30.7% (n=1590) met the ‘aerobic PA only’, 7.7% (n=401) met the ‘RT only’, and 18.8% (n=974) met ‘aerobic PA+RT recommendation’. Figure 1 shows the distribution of PA groups by mental health symptom groups. A comparison of total MET-minutes and the specific intensities and activity domains that characterized PA groups are described in Table 2. Notably, the ‘aerobic PA+RT recommendation’ group reported higher total MET-minutes, higher leisure domain MET-minutes for all intensity levels, and higher total vigorous MET-minutes than the ‘aerobic PA only’ group. The ‘aerobic PA only’ group had higher total moderate MET-minutes that the ‘aerobic PA+RT recommendation’ group from higher work, transport, house and yard chores MET-minutes. The ‘aerobic PA+RT’ group had more days of RT than the ‘RT only’ group.
Figure 1: Percentage meeting physical activity recommendations for women (n=5180) who responded to the Australian 10,000 Steps Project 2016 survey by symptoms of neither depression nor anxiety (n=4129), anxiety only (n=317), depression only (n=317) and both depression and anxiety (n=417).

Aerobic physical activity, resistance training and mental health symptoms

The probability of depression only and co-occurring depression and anxiety was lower for those meeting ‘aerobic PA only’ (ARRR= 0.74, 95%CI: 0.56-0.97 and 0.76, 95%CI: 0.59-0.97 respectively) and ‘aerobic PA+RT’ (ARRR= 0.61, 95%CI 0.43-0.86 and 0.47, 95%CI: 0.33-0.67 respectively), relative to the ‘neither aerobic PA nor RT’ group (Table 3, Model B). The magnitude of association was greater for ‘aerobic PA+RT’ than for ‘aerobic PA only’. Adjusting for the presence of chronic disease, BMI and prior diagnosis of depression and anxiety attenuated the association between ‘aerobic PA only’ and depression (ARRR= 1.00, 95%CI 0.75-1.33) and co-occurring depression and anxiety (ARRR=0.87, 95%CI 0.67-1.13) (Table 3, Model C or full results including covariates in Supplemental Table 2).

Meeting ‘RT only’ was not associated with a change in the relative risk of depression and/or anxiety, and there were no associations between meeting activity recommendations and anxiety. In analysis with ≥600 MET minutes as the cut-off for meeting the aerobic PA
recommendation, the association between ‘aerobic PA only’ and depression was attenuated, while all other associations remained (Supplemental Table 3).

Discussion

In this study of adult women, we found an association between meeting ‘aerobic PA only’ or ‘aerobic PA+RT’ and depression and co-occurring depression and anxiety. There was evidence that meeting both recommendations had a stronger association with lowered risk of symptoms of depression than only meeting the aerobic PA recommendation. This suggests a synergistic relationship between aerobic PA and RT in terms of mental health benefits, and is consistent with a recent study [31]. It also aligns with research examining the relationship between aerobic PA, RT and physical health outcomes [16-22].

The stronger association observed for those meeting ‘aerobic PA+RT’ than for those meeting the ‘aerobic PA only’ may also simply reflect that more overall PA is better, which may also explain why the sensitivity analysis showed that ‘aerobic PA only’ was no longer associated with lower relative risk of depression when using a lower cut-off for meeting aerobic PA recommendations. Those meeting ‘aerobic PA+RT’ recommendations reported higher total MET-minutes than the ‘aerobic PA only’ group (6791 [4830] vs. 6331 [4075] MET-minutes respectively) in addition to meeting the RT recommendation (Table 2). However, other studies have not observed a dose-response relationship between aerobic PA and RT participation and depressive symptoms [31, 61]. Several other factors may also contribute to our finding. Those meeting ‘aerobic PA+RT’ also participated in substantially more vigorous activity than those meeting the ‘aerobic PA only’ (2292 [2165] vs. 1344 [1889] MET-minutes respectively). Vigorous PA may be more beneficial to mental health than low or moderate intensity PA through different physiological effects, but further research is needed [62, 63].

Previous studies have also shown that differences in mental health by activity domain. One study indicated that while leisure activity and transport activity had a positive association
with better mental health, work-related PA was associated with worse mental health [64].

Another study demonstrated that summing leisure and transport activity with house and garden activity attenuated the positive association between leisure and transport activity and mental well-being [65]. In the current study, those meeting ‘aerobic PA+RT’ also participated in more leisure activities, whereas those meeting the ‘aerobic PA only’ reported more work, household and garden activity (Table 2). Activity domain may therefore influence associations with depression and anxiety and warrants further investigation.

Both aerobic PA and RT have been shown to independently benefit mental health via anti-depressive and anxiolytic effects [27-31], however an association between ‘RT only’ and depression or anxiety was not identified in the current study. Interestingly, for women meeting ‘RT only’ has been shown to be associated with lower prevalence of depressive symptoms in those with the most severe symptoms of depression, not in those with mild or moderate symptoms [31]. The lack of an association between ‘RT only’ and depression in the current study could therefore be from combining moderate and severe symptoms. However, the proportion of the sample who were categorized as ‘RT only’ was only 7.7% (n=401), overall, limiting the statistical power available, as evidenced by wider confidence intervals around the point estimates for the ‘RT only’ group compared to the other groups (Table 3).

Furthermore, the specific mechanisms that produce anti-depressive and anxiolytic effects are poorly understood, especially for RT, but may include both psychological, neurobiological and behavioral mechanisms [63]. The volume (load, length and intensity of sessions, duration of intervention) of RT necessary to elicit these effects is unclear [25, 26, 66].

We did not find an association between meeting one or both PA recommendations and relative risk of anxiety symptoms (Table 2). This is in contrast to other studies that have found that while the positive effects of PA are smaller for symptoms of anxiety than depression, they still exist [26, 27]. A possible explanation for this lack of association in the
current study may be differences in severity of anxiety symptoms (Table 1). On average, the anxiety only group had ‘moderate’ symptoms of anxiety (score: 10-14) whereas the co-occurring depression and anxiety group had ‘severe’ anxiety symptoms (score: 15-19).

Evidence suggests the anti-depressive effect of aerobic PA and RT is greater in more severely depressed populations [25, 27], but whether or not there is a floor effect for the anxiolytic benefit has not been explored to the same extent. While a favorable effect of aerobic PA and RT on mental health has been shown in both non-clinical populations [26, 67] and participants with generalized anxiety disorder [32], further research is needed to explore the effect in populations with subclinical symptoms [26] and those with co-occurring depression and anxiety [2].

**Strengths and limitations**

The study included a relatively large sample of women where the proportion of participants meeting neither or both guidelines was similar to that reported in large Australian [13] and US [31] samples, and the mean DASS depression and anxiety subscale scores is similar to normative values [68]. Study strengths also include a focus anxiety and depression and their co-occurrence, and inclusion of a range of key covariates. The inclusion of sleep is a particular strength due to its strong association with mental health [69]. Adjusting for chronic disease, BMI and a prior diagnosis of depression and/or anxiety attenuated the association between meeting ‘aerobic PA only’ and depression and co-occurring depression and anxiety, though this could be due to over-adjusting. However adjustments did not appreciably alter the association between meeting ‘aerobic PA+RT’ and depression or co-occurring depression and anxiety.

The study included primarily middle-aged Australian women with an income above AUD$70,000, and findings may not be representative of the wider female population, or generalizable to men. Furthermore, the IPAQ does not differentiate between arobic PA and
RT, and some participants may have included RT activities in the IPAQ reporting and also when reporting RT. This may have underestimated the effect of aerobic activity. Participants were also part of a community-wide physical activity promotion program [33]. This may confound the prevalence of individuals meeting the aerobic PA guidelines, though the prevalence rate was similar to that previously reported in an Australian population [13] (52.6% vs 49.5% in the current study).

The referent group labelled ‘neither depression nor anxiety’ included participants with mild symptoms of depression and anxiety, and those with moderate and severe symptoms were combined as ‘symptoms of depression and/or anxiety’. This grouping could potentially underestimate the association between mental health symptoms and PA [27, 31]. The DASS-21 assesses symptoms experienced in the last week, so they may be transient in nature as opposed to chronic, and not necessarily be associated with activity participation. Exploring associations between meeting PA recommendations and diagnosed depression and anxiety is an important next step.

**Conclusion**

Meeting recommendations for aerobic PA, or both aerobic PA and RT, was associated with a reduced risk of reporting symptoms of depression and co-occurring symptoms of depression and anxiety in women. The magnitude of association was stronger for those meeting both recommendations, indicating the potential added benefit of engaging in both types of PA. However, it remains unclear whether there is something unique about RT in particular, or whether additional PA of any kind would produce similar benefits. Prospective analyses of these cross-sectional relationships are needed. Clarifying the dose-response relationship between activity types, symptoms of depression and anxiety and their co-occurrence will also improve understanding of the application of PA to prevent and manage symptoms of depression and anxiety.
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Table 1: Sample characteristics of women who responded to the Australian 10,000 Steps Project 2016 survey by symptoms of depression and/or anxiety (n=5180)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental health symptoms of ≥ moderate severity</th>
<th>Neither (n=4129)</th>
<th>Anxiety† (n=317)</th>
<th>Depression‡ (n=317)</th>
<th>Depression and anxiety (n=417)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, Years</td>
<td>50.6±11.3</td>
<td>47.0±11.9</td>
<td>50.0±11.5</td>
<td>46.9±13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score DASS (0–42)</td>
<td>3.3±3.4</td>
<td>7.4±3.6</td>
<td>18.0±5.5</td>
<td>22.1±8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety score DASS (0–42)</td>
<td>2.3±2.3</td>
<td>12.9±3.7</td>
<td>4.4±2.6</td>
<td>16.4±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic disease diagnoses Count</td>
<td>1.1±1.3</td>
<td>1.7±1.6</td>
<td>1.4±1.4</td>
<td>1.8±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income AUD$≤70,000k</td>
<td>824 (20.0)</td>
<td>77 (24.3)</td>
<td>75 (23.7)</td>
<td>140 (33.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income AUD$&gt;70,000k</td>
<td>2686 (65.0)</td>
<td>193 (60.9)</td>
<td>197 (62.2)</td>
<td>210 (50.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Unsure/prefer not to say</td>
<td>619 (15.0)</td>
<td>47 (14.8)</td>
<td>45 (14.2)</td>
<td>67 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment unemployed</td>
<td>574 (13.9)</td>
<td>45 (14.2)</td>
<td>49 (15.5)</td>
<td>85 (20.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment employed</td>
<td>3555 (86.1)</td>
<td>272 (85.8)</td>
<td>268 (84.5)</td>
<td>332 (79.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette Smoking Current smoker</td>
<td>158 (3.8)</td>
<td>33 (10.4)</td>
<td>12 (3.8)</td>
<td>40 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette Smoking Non-smoker</td>
<td>3971 (96.2)</td>
<td>284 (89.6)</td>
<td>305 (96.2)</td>
<td>377 (90.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption High risk</td>
<td>897 (21.7)</td>
<td>101 (31.9)</td>
<td>57 (18.0)</td>
<td>113 (27.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption Low risk</td>
<td>2677 (64.8)</td>
<td>166 (52.4)</td>
<td>196 (61.8)</td>
<td>240 (57.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption Non-drinker</td>
<td>555 (13.4)</td>
<td>50 (15.8)</td>
<td>64 (20.2)</td>
<td>64 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting-time ≥8 hours/day</td>
<td>2786 (67.5)</td>
<td>237 (74.8)</td>
<td>239 (75.4)</td>
<td>329 (78.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting-time &lt;8 hours/day</td>
<td>1343 (32.5)</td>
<td>80 (25.2)</td>
<td>78 (24.6)</td>
<td>88 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality Fairly bad/very bad</td>
<td>868 (21.0)</td>
<td>122 (38.5)</td>
<td>142 (44.8)</td>
<td>239 (57.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality Fairly good</td>
<td>2432 (58.9)</td>
<td>169 (53.3)</td>
<td>152 (48.0)</td>
<td>154 (36.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality Very good</td>
<td>829 (20.1)</td>
<td>26 (8.2)</td>
<td>23 (7.3)</td>
<td>24 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration meets recommendation‡</td>
<td>1706 (41.3)</td>
<td>206 (64.5)</td>
<td>177 (55.8)</td>
<td>275 (65.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep latency Long (&gt;30 minutes)</td>
<td>477 (11.6)</td>
<td>89 (28.1)</td>
<td>77 (24.3)</td>
<td>152 (36.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep latency Normal (≤30 min)</td>
<td>3652 (88.5)</td>
<td>228 (71.9)</td>
<td>240 (75.7)</td>
<td>265 (63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic disease One or more</td>
<td>2486 (60.2)</td>
<td>239 (75.4)</td>
<td>210 (66.3)</td>
<td>322 (77.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic disease None reported</td>
<td>1643 (39.8)</td>
<td>78 (24.6)</td>
<td>107 (33.8)</td>
<td>95 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever diagnosed with dep and/or anxiety</td>
<td>785 (19.0)</td>
<td>160 (50.5)</td>
<td>160 (50.5)</td>
<td>265 (63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index &lt;18.5 kg/m²</td>
<td>59 (1.4)</td>
<td>5 (1.6)</td>
<td>7 (2.2)</td>
<td>11 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index 18.5-24.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>1664 (40.3)</td>
<td>110 (34.7)</td>
<td>108 (34.1)</td>
<td>101 (24.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index 25.0-29.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>1252 (30.3)</td>
<td>72 (22.7)</td>
<td>88 (27.8)</td>
<td>116 (27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index ≥30 kg/m²</td>
<td>1154 (28.0)</td>
<td>130 (41.0)</td>
<td>114 (36.0)</td>
<td>189 (45.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Cut-off for ‘moderate’ anxiety symptoms ≥ 10 points; 2 Cut-off for ‘moderate’ depression symptoms ≥ 14 points; 3 The internal consistency in this sample (Cronbach’s alpha) was 0.91 for the DASS-21 depression subscale and 0.79 for the anxiety subscale; 4 Recommended sleep is 7-9 hours of sleep per night for <65-year olds and 7-8 hours of sleep per night for ≥65-year olds.
Table 2: Intensity and domain-specific aerobic activity by group for women who responded to the Australian 10,000 Steps Project 2016 survey (n=5180)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity recommendations met</th>
<th>Neither recommendation</th>
<th>Aerobic physical activity only</th>
<th>Resistance training only</th>
<th>Aerobic physical activity and resistance training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (%)</td>
<td>2215 (42.8)</td>
<td>1590 (30.7)</td>
<td>401 (7.7)</td>
<td>974 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MET-minutes</td>
<td>1474±819</td>
<td>6331±4075</td>
<td>1899±695</td>
<td>6791±4830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking activity MET-minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>109±239</td>
<td>777±1110</td>
<td>81±187</td>
<td>589±993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>155±250</td>
<td>376±600</td>
<td>159±223</td>
<td>352±558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure</td>
<td>318±358</td>
<td>674±664</td>
<td>428±562</td>
<td>773±719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total walking MET</td>
<td>567±488</td>
<td>1726±1410</td>
<td>655±439</td>
<td>1627±1444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate activity MET-minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>50±150</td>
<td>528±996</td>
<td>44±145</td>
<td>414±910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport (cycling)</td>
<td>22±144</td>
<td>104±480</td>
<td>23±123</td>
<td>75±435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House/yard</td>
<td>240±280</td>
<td>945±1025</td>
<td>228±231</td>
<td>736±939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House chores</td>
<td>224±266</td>
<td>766±824</td>
<td>217±237</td>
<td>627±757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure</td>
<td>57±153</td>
<td>235±457</td>
<td>166±279</td>
<td>445±596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total moderate MET</td>
<td>725±609</td>
<td>3261±2620</td>
<td>784±531</td>
<td>2871±2768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous activity MET-minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>61±190</td>
<td>825±1702</td>
<td>43±128</td>
<td>692±1554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House/yard</td>
<td>139±283</td>
<td>752±1154</td>
<td>113±221</td>
<td>636±1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure</td>
<td>132±293</td>
<td>626±479</td>
<td>423±479</td>
<td>1704±1459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vigorous MET</td>
<td>183±332</td>
<td>1344±1889</td>
<td>460±481</td>
<td>2292±2165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days per week</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
<td>1.2±0.4</td>
<td>3.8±1.1</td>
<td>4.1±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8 hours/day</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resistance training

Sitting-time (%)

Values are mean±SD unless otherwise noted.
Table 3: Multinomial regression analysis for associations between depression and/or anxiety and meeting physical activity recommendations for women who responded to the Australian 10,000 Steps Project 2016 survey (n=5180)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations met</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Model A(^1) RRR (95%CI)</th>
<th>Model B(^2) ARRR (95%CI)</th>
<th>Model C(^3) ARRR (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets neither activity recommendation</td>
<td>1684 (40.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic physical activity only</td>
<td>1282 (31.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance training only</td>
<td>327 (7.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 2 1 1</td>
<td>836 (20.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither depression (DASS&lt;14) nor anxiety (DASS&lt;10) (n=4129)</td>
<td>Referent group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets neither activity recommendation</td>
<td>145 (45.7)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic physical activity only</td>
<td>97 (30.6)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.67 – 1.15)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.69 – 1.21)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.75 – 1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance training only</td>
<td>23 (7.3)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.52 – 1.29)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.56 – 1.41)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.59 – 1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic physical activity and resistance training</td>
<td>52 (16.4)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.52 – 1.00)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.57 – 1.11)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.64 – 1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (DASS≥14) (n=317)</td>
<td>165 (52.1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic physical activity only</td>
<td>88 (27.8)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.54 – 0.92)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.56 – 0.97)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.59 – 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance training only</td>
<td>21 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.41 – 1.05)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.43 – 1.12)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.43 – 1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic physical activity and resistance training</td>
<td>43 (13.6)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.37 – 0.74)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.43 – 0.86)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.46 – 0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (DASS≥14) &amp; anxiety (DASS≥10) (n=417)</td>
<td>221 (53.0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets neither activity recommendation</td>
<td>123 (29.5)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.58 – 0.92)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.59 – 0.97)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.67 – 1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance training only</td>
<td>30 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.47 – 1.04)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.51 – 1.18)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.54 – 1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic physical activity and resistance training</td>
<td>43 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.28 – 0.55)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.33 – 0.67)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.39 – 0.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Model A: unadjusted relative risk ratio; \(^2\) Model B: adjusted relative risk ratio: age, smoking, alcohol use, household income, education level, employment, sitting-time, sleep quality, sleep duration, sleep latency; \(^3\) Model C: adjusted for Model B + chronic disease diagnosis.
**Highlights**

Lower depression risk when meeting aerobic activity recommendation

Lower comorbid depression/anxiety risk when meeting aerobic activity recommendation

Lower depression risk when meeting aerobic and resistance training recommendations

Lower comorbid depression/anxiety risk when meeting both activity recommendations

Magnitude of risk reduction greater when meeting both activity recommendations