



Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Coronary CT in Australia has high positive predictive value unaffected by site volume: An analysis of 510 positive CTCA scans with invasive angiographic correlation”
[IJC Heart Vasc. 20 (2018) 46–49]



Michael P. Fletcher^{a,*}, Rachael O'Rourke^{a,2}, Niranjana Gaikwad^{a,2}, Michael David^c,
Darren L. Walters^{a,3}, Christian Hamilton-Craig^{a,b,4}

^a The Prince Charles Hospital, Rode Road, Chermside, QLD 4032, Australia

^b University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

^c Teaching and Research Unit, School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Australia

The authors sincerely regret that Dr. Michael David was not included in the list of authors on the above publication, due to our error in the submission process. Dr. David meets all the IJCME Guidelines for Authorship having substantially contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data, drafted and revised the work, and is accountable for the accuracy and integrity of the work along with the co-authors.

The authors would like to sincerely apologise for any distress and inconvenience caused by our mistake, and thank Dr. David for his contribution to our paper.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2018.03.005>.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: michaelpaulfletcher@gmail.com (M.P. Fletcher).

¹ Associate lecturer, University of Queensland. This author takes responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

² This author takes responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

³ Professor of Cardiology, University of Queensland. This author takes responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

⁴ Associate Professor, University of Queensland. This author takes responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.