Charging for the use of survey instruments on population health: the case of quality-adjusted life years

Yot Teerawattananon, Alia CG Luz, Anthony Culyer & Kalipso Chalkidou

Abstract A trend towards charging for access to research findings, tools and databases is becoming more prominent globally. But charging for the use of research tools and databases that are vital to research supporting national and international policy development might be unjustified. Financial barriers to accessing these tools and databases disproportionately affect low- and middle-income countries, who may have greater need for information that fuels research in their areas of concern. However, changing this trend is potentially possible. One example is the experience with the EuroQol-five-dimensional questionnaire (EQ-5D), a generic measure of health status used in economic evaluations for resource allocation decisions. Increasingly, governments and health-care providers are using the EQ-5D tool in patient-reported outcome measures to monitor quality of health-care provision, diagnose and track disease progression, and involve patients in their health care. The EuroQol Group, which owns the intellectual property rights to the EQ-5D, recently terminated their policy of charging for noncommercial, nonresearch uses of the tool. We share a brief history of this development and examine these charging policies in the context of the EQ-5D’s use in national health-care research and policies, reflecting the trends and developments in the use of survey instruments on population health.
An important noncommercial, nonresearch use of the EQ-5D is the routine collection of patient-reported outcome measures in clinical settings. Such data form part of many health systems’ monitoring efforts to improve service quality and inform patient choice of providers. In this paper we share a brief history of licensing for the EQ-5D with the aim of informing the global health community and encouraging discussion about the development and management of similar research tools. The paper is also a call for more sharing of research tools such as executable models and databases as well as guidelines and best practice norms that have the potential to inform global and national health policies.

**EQ-5D and the global community**

The EQ-5D tool is a generic measure of health status within five dimensions (mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain or discomfort, anxiety or depression) on three levels (no problems, some problems, extreme problems). The tool’s origins lie in academic papers published in the 1970s and 1980s.

EuroQol developed the tool in the 1980s to measure, value and compare health status across disease areas, primarily with the aim of using the results to inform resource allocation decisions. EuroQol’s objectives were to develop a standardized instrument for measuring health-related quality of life, which had dimensions relevant to a broad range of patients, as well as to the general population and, which would be simple and easy to complete. The reliability and validity of the tool was tested on a variety of populations and patients, and research continues on issues, such as the effect of the duration of the health states on patients’ self-reported values and other considerations, for example the use of the tool in large-scale health-system applications. EuroQol has also published EQ-5D-5L, a more sensitive tool that includes more levels (no problems, slight problems, moderate problems, severe problems, extreme problems) and EQ-5D-Y, a tool for measuring children’s and adolescents’ health status.

The EQ-5D is the most frequently used tool for generating quality-adjusted life years values. These values are applied as health outcomes in economic evaluations, a type of health technology assessment. Use of the tool grew markedly with the increasing application of health technology assessment for decision-making through the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence in the United Kingdom and similar institutions in other countries. Pharmaceutical companies included EQ-5D in their health technology assessment submissions, paying fees for its use and providing EuroQol with a revenue source. This revenue allowed EuroQol to have formalized legal arrangements, establish the EuroQol Group and Foundation and to create a business model to facilitate not-for-profit research activities.

All users are now required to register the instrument (copyright belongs to the EuroQol Group). Only commercial, for-profit users were charged. Over time, group membership became an international network. The EuroQol Foundation has since developed procedures for ensuring that cultural adaptations of the tool retain the intended meaning of the original and a protocol for assigning valuations to ensure standardization.

The protocol is a standard method to assess health state preferences, ensuring that the valuations remain consistent across countries with different cultures and social and economic status. The Foundation now provides leadership in the development of instruments for describing and valuing health, promoting the use of these tools, fostering support for the international community of researchers developing these tools and ensuring the proper use of the tools in the various contexts in which they are applied. The ease and simplicity of use of the EQ-5D have allowed it to be incorporated in clinical trials, observation studies, population health surveys and patient-reported outcome measures.

EuroQol has promoted and supported EQ-5D use and development for research in many countries through educational and uptake initiatives. Similarly, these efforts received support from the research community globally in testing and developing local values to allow translation from EQ-5D scores to health utilities that are used in economic evaluations. For example, in 2004, the Thai Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program used public resources to fully fund a household survey for assessing the Thai valuation of EQ-5D-3L. In 2007, the Thai health technology assessment guideline endorsed the use of EQ-5D as the preferred health utility measure for economic evaluations conducted for allocating health resources by the Thai government, one of the first low- and middle-income countries to do so. The second household survey to assess the Thai value set of EQ-5D-5L was also partially supported through Thai government funds.

It is clear that, from the very beginning and throughout its subsequent development, the EQ-5D has been created and extended by researchers employed in public institutes, supported by public research funding and used in the formation of public policy.

**Are charges appropriate?**

There can be advantages to charging for use of the EQ-5D. Charging enables the monitoring of the tool’s use and so maintains consistency in its meaning and use. Charges can also be used to build up reserves for supporting further research, developing the tool and promoting its use in research and clinical practice. Furthermore, charges fund EuroQol staff who receive applications for translation and use, respond in a timely manner to questions and organize conferences and meetings with various stakeholders to learn about the tool and its applicability in diverse settings. The staff members also ensure that an appropriate version of the tool is used that fits the research goals of each applicant. More countries are now inviting pharmaceutical companies to submit or conduct studies that require the use of the EQ-5D, which means there is greater potential for income generation in that area.

We believe, however, that charging should only be for commercial use of the tool. Noncommercial use of EQ-5D should remain free of charge and it is encouraging that the foundation reconsidered its charging policy.

Since the tool was an outcome measure developed in universities for public policy purposes, it is inappropriate for those same universities and similar non-profit public agencies to be charged for its use. EQ-5D increases in value with continued use by governments and academics. This development might not have occurred if the charging policy had been outlined beforehand, because the process for the tool’s development has involved the sharing of resources supported by governments and many academics.
Patient-reported outcome measures are an important component of policy assessment and review. As the EQ-5D is a simple tool that is easy to complete, it is a good measure of patient-reported outcome measures with an accepted role in clinical settings for diagnosing and monitoring disease progression and patients’ health status; for facilitating communication and shared decision-making with patients; and for gathering data on the effects of interventions. Patient-reported outcome measures are reliable predictors of disease progression to complement traditional indicators (such as tumour markers or tumour response)23,24 and help doctors, governments and relevant stakeholders to meet the demands and needs of their patients more effectively. Such measures are especially needed by countries and health systems in their journey towards universal health coverage.

Many clinics, hospitals and government health systems in low-income countries would likely be unable to afford the user charges. Even middle-income countries that could theoretically afford to pay charges may have more pressing demands on their health research budgets. The United Kingdom currently uses the EQ-5D tool for its national programme of patient-reported outcome measures,25 with many countries following suit. Charging for use of the tool could eventually disincentivize its use. Governments, particularly in low- and middle-income countries with greater resource constraints, could disinvest or commit fewer resources to tools with such barriers, perhaps jeopardizing efforts to develop the EQ-5D in countries that could benefit most from its use. The loss of investment in research development needs to be balanced against the revenue gains from charging.

Finally, the measurement of quality-adjusted life years has been promoted globally and endorsed by health technology assessment agencies, such as the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, National Institute for Health Research and Thai Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program.26–28 The understanding among agencies was that the tools to measure quality-adjusted life years would be freely accessible. EuroQol is laudable for providing the questionnaire free of charge for such purposes. This practice contrasts with the health utilities index of Health Utilities Inc.29 or the six-dimensional health state short form (SF-6D) of the University of Sheffield,30 which have charges or financial barriers for noncommercial research use. The SF-6D, for example, allows a one-study use for non-profit and research organizations and charges subsequent studies. As in Thailand, other low- and middle-income countries are investing in the EQ-5D value sets for this reason (Fig. 1). However, changing the policy sets a precedent for future charges for other EQ-5D uses that could restrict the use of the quality-adjusted life years measure on a global level.

Policy questions
There are several policy-relevant questions we can pose to research agencies who are developing tools for global use when considering sustainable models of income generation. First, is it justifiable to charge for the tools needed to measure key global health indicators? Second, is it justifiable to differentiate between commercial and noncommercial uses of the tools and charge only for the former? Third, is it justifiable to differentiate between research and nonresearch uses of the tools and charge only for the latter? Fourth, do not-for-profit groups need top-up funding to supplement the revenues which they currently generate? Fifth, are there any circumstances in which it would be acceptable to charge noncommercial research users for use of these tools? Sixth, what are the best methods for informing users and keeping them up-to-date with policy changes regarding charges for use of these tools? Finally, is there a consensus concerning the answers to these questions?

Ensuring information and research accessibility
We need to look at how to sustain high-quality research efforts while ensuring accessibility to the products and tools of such research. EuroQol’s change in policy is part of a pushback against barriers to information access, especially concerning information generated by publicly funded research. These responses include initiatives such as the Tufts Cost-effectiveness Analysis Registry and the F1000Research database that invite researchers to upload their models and papers to be freely available; the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust adopting open-access policies to ensure that their funded research is accessible; and calls to allow transparency through the All Trials Campaign, an initiative that aims to have all results and methods from previous and current clinical trials registered and reported.35–39

Another promising initiative is socially responsible licensing, which allows licensing of technologies and medicines for defined populations to provide differential access or prices compared with regular licensing policies.30 Socially responsible licensing includes different licensing strategies with the end goal of safeguarding access. For example, universities in the United States of America have begun implementing these licences by defining the contractual language to ensure royalty-free or reduced fees for specific purposes or for use by low- and middle-income countries or humanitarian groups. The initiative has generated even more research. A positive development from these policies was the stimulation of the support and investment from licensees and philanthropic organizations to universities.40–42 Socially responsible licensing has the potential to reduce barriers to information access and research development for low- and middle-income countries, while still ensuring the sustainability, quality and development of global research and tools.
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Fig. 1. Countries with value sets for health status derived using the EuroQol-five-dimensional questionnaire

EQ-5D: EuroQol-five-dimensional questionnaire.

Note: The EQ-5D (EuroQol Group, Rotterdam, Netherlands) is a standardized instrument for measuring health status. The 2003 value set for Europe was derived from six countries which are highlighted in the map. The value set may have been used in other European countries. Data sources: EuroQol.
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Abstract

Charging for population health survey instruments: the case of quality-adjusted life years

On a global scale, there is an increasing trend toward charging for access to research results, tools, and databases. However, charging for research tools and databases that are essential to policy development at both national and international levels may not always be justified. Financial barriers to accessing these tools and databases affect in a disproportional manner low- and middle-income countries, which may have a greater need for information to support research in areas of concern for these countries. However, it is possible to change this trend. The EuroQol five-dimensions (EQ-5D) questionnaire is an example of a tool used in economic evaluations of decision-making on resource allocation, allowing governments and healthcare providers to use patient-reported outcomes to monitor healthcare quality, diagnose and track disease progression, and engage patients with healthcare projects. The EuroQol Group, which holds the intellectual property rights to the EQ-5D, recently ended its policy of charging for use of the tool for non-commercial and non-research purposes. We briefly review the development of this policy and examine these policies in the context of using the EQ-5D in national healthcare research and policy, reflecting on the trends and developments in the use of population health survey instruments.
Resumen

El sistema de cobro por el uso de instrumentos de las encuestas sobre la salud de la población: el caso de los años de vida ajustados por calidad

La tendencia a cobrar por el acceso a los resultados de las investigaciones, las herramientas y las bases de datos está cobrando cada vez más importancia en todo el mundo. Sin embargo, puede que no esté justificado cobrar por el uso de herramientas de investigación y bases de datos que son vitales para la investigación en apoyo del desarrollo de políticas nacionales e internacionales. Las barreras financieras para acceder a estas herramientas y bases de datos afectan desproporcionadamente a los países de ingresos bajos y medios, que pueden tener una mayor necesidad de información que impulse la investigación en sus áreas de interés. Sin embargo, es posible cambiar esta tendencia. Un ejemplo es la experiencia con el cuestionario de cinco dimensiones EuroQol EQ-5D, una medida generica del estado de salud utilizada en las evaluaciones económicas para las decisiones de asignación de recursos. Cada vez más, los gobiernos y los proveedores de atención de la salud están utilizando la herramienta EQ-5D en las medidas de resultado informadas por el paciente para supervisar la calidad de la prestación de la atención de la salud, diagnosticar y hacer un seguimiento de la progresión de la enfermedad e involucrar a los pacientes en su cuidado de la salud. El Grupo EuroQol, propietario de los derechos de propiedad intelectual de EQ-5D, puso fin recientemente a su política de cobrar por los usos no comerciales y no relacionados con la investigación de la herramienta. En este artículo se presenta una breve historia de este desarrollo y se examinan estas políticas de cobro en el contexto del uso de EQ-5D en la investigación y las políticas nacionales de atención de la salud, reflejando las tendencias y los avances en el uso de los instrumentos de las encuestas sobre la salud de la población.
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