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Abstract

This study aims to gain further understanding of anatomically opposed ischaemia, or "ischaemic ST-segment counterpoise", by simulating body surface potential distributions resulting from two regions of partial thickness ischaemia in the left ventricle during the ST-segment.

The finite volume method was used to solve the passive bidomain equation in a torso with an idealised model of the heart. Regions of ischaemia of varying size were placed in various positions in the anterior and posterior regions of the ventricular wall.

Simulations show that the sources associated with the anterior ischaemic region dominated the body surface potential distribution, irrespective of the relative sizes of the two ischaemic regions. However, further modelling is required to establish a theoretical basis to understand ischaemic ST-segment counterpoise.

1. Introduction

Ischaemic ST-segment counterpoise is a phenomenon that arises when anatomically opposed patches of subendocardial ischaemia are present in the human heart. This phenomenon is believed to cause a false negative outcome in an exercise stress test [1–3]. The rationale for this phenomenon is based on a patient study where both severe left anterior descending and right coronary artery stenoses produced severe myocardial infarction (demonstrated by thallium-210 positron emission computerised tomography imaging), but produced a normal exercise electrocardiogram. One possible explanation for this observation is that there exist equal sized ischaemic regions on opposite sides of the heart, which lead to a net cancellation of the resulting ST-segment vectors during an ischaemic episode [2].

In an attempt to explain this phenomenon, a previous study [4] considered an isolated left ventricle in the shape of a half ellipsoid and considered the effect of two regions of subendocardial ischaemia included in the ventricular wall, placed at varying positions within the tissue. These regions were also of varying size, ranging from being equal to one another to one being significantly larger than the other. The conclusion reached was that one large anterior and one small posterior regions of ischaemia could yield an epicardial potential distribution (EPD) that was not significantly different to one large anterior region. However, having two ischaemic regions of similar size was identifiable on the EPD.

The present study consists of an idealised heart with right and left ventricles placed inside a realistic torso. This is closer to reality than the previous study. Each simulation considered here contains two regions of ischaemia of varying sizes and the results are discussed in terms of the resulting body surface potential distributions (BSPD).

2. Methods

For this study it was assumed that the thorax consisted of a heart with left and right ventricular walls, separated by a septum set within a homogeneous torso. The electric potential within the cardiac tissue was assumed to be governed by the passive bidomain equation

\[ \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{M}_i + \mathbf{M}_e) \nabla \phi_e = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}_i \nabla \phi_m \] (1)

and by Laplace’s equation in the remainder of the torso

\[ \nabla^2 \phi_b = 0 \] (2)

which was assumed to be filled with blood. In these equations, \( \phi_e \) is the extracellular potential in the cardiac tissue, \( \phi_m \) is the specified transmembrane potential distribution and \( \phi_b \) is the potential in the remainder of the body. The matrices \( \mathbf{M}_i \) and \( \mathbf{M}_e \) represent conductivity tensors for the intracellular (\( i \)) and extracellular (\( e \)) spaces, respectively.

The conductivity tensors take the form [5]

\[ \mathbf{M}_q = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{G}_q \mathbf{A}^T \] (3)

for \( q = i \) or \( e \), where \( \mathbf{G}_q \) is a diagonal matrix containing the conductivity values along the fibre direction (\( g_{ql} \)), across the fibre sheets (\( g_{qt} \)) and normal to the sheets of fibres (\( g_{qn} \)). Cardiac tissue fibres were generated using a rule based model [6], where it was assumed that the fibres rotated through 120° from the endocardium (at an angle of 45° to the plane of the base of the ventricles) to the epicardium (at an angle of \(-75°\)). Conductivity values used in
this study were $g_d = 2.4$, $g_{ed} = 2.4$, $g_t = 0.35$, $g_{et} = 2.0$, $g_{in} = 0.08$ and $g_{en} = 1.1$, all with units of mS/cm [7]. Finally, the conductivity of blood was set to $g_b = 6.7$ mS/cm [8].

Boundary conditions for the electrical potential were that the outer torso was insulated, there was no current flow from the intracellular space in the tissue into the blood and there was continuity of potential and current between the extracellular tissue space and the blood.

The heart itself was created by merging a half ellipsoid to create the left ventricle and appending another quarter of an ellipsoid to create the right ventricle. Overall the left ventricle was 8cm high with an outer radius of 4cm and the right ventricle was also 8cm high with an outer radius of 8cm (Figure 1). Throughout the heart, the tissue was uniformly 1cm thick. This heart was placed inside a realistically shaped torso [9], with its centre of gravity moved 3cm to the right and 4.125cm forward from the centre of gravity of the torso. Both the geometry of the heart and the creation of the mesh were carried out using the open source mesh generator gmsh [10] and resulted in an overall mesh consisting of approximately 680,000 nodes and 4,150,000 tetrahedral elements, with approximately 220,000 nodes and 1,220,000 tetrahedral elements in the heart tissue. Note that the number of nodes and elements varied between scenarios due to the different ischaemic region configurations considered. The heart-torso geometry used in this study is shown in Figure 2.

Ischaemia was included in the tissue in a regular block type fashion. All regions of ischaemia extended through 50% of the thickness of the tissue, starting at 20%, extending to 70%. One reason for choosing such a simple model for the heart geometry was to enable easy control of the placement of the ischaemic regions. Each region is defined by an angular range in the polar ($\phi$) and azimuthal ($\theta$, measured from the base of the heart) directions. These are summarised in Table 1, with Figure 1 showing scenario 2 with large anterior and posterior regions of ischaemia, as an example.

The overall governing equations are solved using an electrocardiography dedicated implementation [11] the finite volume method.

3. Results

Table 2 summarises the ranges of the body surface and heart surface potential found from the six different scenarios listed in Table 1. From this it would appear that the effect of the anterior ischaemic region dominates the BSPD. The presence of the posterior ischaemic region causes the potential distribution to become more negative (compare scenario 1 with scenarios 2 and 3) with the size of the anterior region affecting the size of this shift (compare scenario 2 with scenario 3, and scenario 4 with scenario 5). When the size of the anterior ischaemic region is reduced (scenarios 4 and 5), the range of the potentials decreases, even when there is a large anterior ischaemic region. Note the small range of body surface potentials for the posterior ischaemic region (scenario 6). One further observation from this is that the range of epicardial potentials is reasonably
consistent across all scenarios.

Figure 3 shows the BSPD and EPD (in mV) for scenario 1, a single anterior region of ischaemia, both relative to a Wilson central terminal. On the body surface there is a region of positive potential just to the left of the centre of the torso, with two regions of negative potential adjoining the central region. This pattern is similar to that seen on the heart surface. Note that the zero potential line is continuous around the body and weaves its way between the maximum and the two minima.

Figure 4 shows the BSPD and the EPD for scenario 2, consisting of two large regions of ischaemia, both anterior and posterior. Here the BSPD is similar to that in Figure 3, with the main difference being that the zero contour is now in two parts, with regions of negative potential surrounding the entire positive region. Interestingly, the range of epicardial potentials is wider in this scenario than for scenario 1, but the range of potential values on the body surface has become more negative. The BSPD in this figure is typical of the BSPDs in scenarios 3, 4 and 5, except that in scenarios 4 and 5, the anterior regions of ischaemia are smaller and the range of body surface potentials is reduced.

### 4. Discussion

From the results presented in Table 2 and Figures 3 and Figures 4 it would appear that for two diametrically op-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Min. BS</th>
<th>Max. BS</th>
<th>Min. HS</th>
<th>Max. HS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Minima and maxima for the body surface (BS) and epicardial (HS) potential distributions (in mV) for the different scenarios.

![Figure 3. Potential distributions for scenario 1, with a single large anterior ischaemic region. The solid black line in the plots is the zero potential line.](image-url)
posed regions of ischaemia, the anterior region dominates the posterior region in terms of the effect on the BSPD. This is even in the case when there is a large posterior region of ischaemia and a small anterior region. The most likely explanation for this is the fact that the anterior region is much closer to the body surface and there is less attenuation of its effect, compared to the posterior region.

Therefore, based on these observations, it appears that the possibility that two diametrically opposed regions of ischaemia could cancel each other out on the body surface is unlikely.

Having said that, the present study has some limitations. Perhaps if the study were carried out in a transient manner, by solving the active bidomain equation, then the observation might be different. Also, the fact that the model here only consisted of a heart and torso, with no other internal organs, with the main conductivity set to that of blood, might change the results. Hence, further modelling work is required to establish, from a mathematical and simulation point of view, whether the two diametrically opposed regions of ischaemia cancel each other out.
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