Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) was first isolated in Tanzania in 1953 [1]. Since then, CHIKV outbreaks have occurred sporadically in Sub-Saharan Africa [2], southeast Asia [3] and India [4]. Most recently, in 2005/2006, a CHIKV outbreak was reported in a number of islands in the western Indian Ocean, which has since spread into India, giving rise to the largest CHIKV epidemic on record [5]. Reports of CHIKV cases in Italy, a more temperate environment, have suggested the potential for this virus to spread worldwide [6]. CHIKV, an Alphavirus belonging to the Togaviridae family, is maintained by transmission cycles between mosquito vectors and vertebrate hosts. Whilst CHIKV disease is generally not fatal, it can cause debilitating symptoms including fever, rash, headache, myalgia and arthralgia. Some more severe cases have been described, for example, in neonates where infection has been found to involve the CNS [7]. As yet, there are no specific treatments available for CHIKV disease, although analgesics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs can be given for symptomatic relief.

CHIKV is closely related to Ross River virus (RRV), an Australasian Alphavirus responsible for outbreaks of infectious polyarthritics in Australia and the western Pacific Islands. Both CHIKV and RRV belong to the Semliki Forest virus group, a phylogenetically related group of alphaviruses [8]. RRV disease exhibits symptoms similar to that seen for CHIKV disease, including arthralgia, rash, lethargy and myalgia [8]. Both diseases also have a similar incubation period, duration and can, on rare occasions, cause complications involving the CNS, such as encephalitis [9,10]. RRV, like CHIKV, primarily targets skeletal muscles and joints [11,12], causing a range of symptoms from tenderness and minor movement restriction to severe swelling, effusion and redness.

Proposed mechanism of disease
The immunopathological mechanisms responsible for CHIKV disease are poorly understood, primarily owing to the lack of suitable animal models of disease. However, much can be learnt about alphaviral disease mechanisms by drawing on current RRV studies and disease models. Not only could these studies provide an insight into the mechanisms of CHIKV disease, they may also provide essential clues for the development of a much needed mouse model of CHIKV disease that mimics the adult human arthritic disease. A recent study has established a mouse model for CHIKV disease using neonatal and type-1 interferon (IFN)-deficient mice [12]. This model mimics the more severe cases of CHIKV, resulting in flaccid paralysis, lethargy, viral dissemination to the CNS and sometimes mortality. Mice with partial deficiency in type-1 IFN provided a model for benign CHIKV infection, where no lethality or mortality was observed but infectious virus was obtained from various tissues. Wild-type mice, however, failed to exhibit any signs of infection. Unfortunately, these mouse models do not mimic many aspects of the human disease as the models do not show prominent limb weakness and inflammatory response in bone and joint tissues. Clearly, more research is needed to develop an adult mouse model appropriate for this form of the disease.
The initial studies involving a mouse animal model for CHIKV infection have similarities to early RRV studies. For example, in 1973, studies into RRV infection in outbred mice showed a similar spread of virus through a wide range of tissues, including the CNS, although no evidence of RRV replication or RRV-mediated pathology was found in the joint tissues [13,14]. The targeting of connective tissue of joints and skeletal muscles have been identified as sites of viral replication for both CHIKV [12] and RRV within the respective mouse models. Similar to what was seen for CHIKV in these and previous studies [15], we have found that RRV is unable to replicate in mouse blood leukocytes and primary human monocytes, except when infection is performed in the presence of subneutralizing concentrations of anti-RRV antibody through a process known as antibody-dependent enhancement of infection [Rulli N, Musso C, Mahalingam S, Unpublished data].

The early RRV mouse models were originally thought to be unsuitable models for human RRV-induced arthritic disease due to the lack of RRV-mediated pathology in the joint tissues. After further development, however, a RRV disease model in outbred mice was established, with characteristics that clearly mimicked that of the human disease [16]. Mice developed arthritic symptoms in the hind limbs at 7–9 days postinfection, with a dramatic infiltration of monocytes and macrophages into the hind-limb muscle, causing associated disruption to the muscle structure. Full recovery of mice was seen after approximately 25 days postinfection. This model was successfully reproduced using inbred mice, resulting in similar clinical features and pathology [11]. Since the newly developed CHIKV disease mouse models also do not mimic the less severe form of CHIKV disease, showing either severe symptoms or not producing detectable arthritic symptoms, the lessons learnt from the establishment of an arthritic RRV mouse model could provide valuable clues for the development of a more appropriate mouse model.

The role of the macrophage in alphaviral immunopathogenesis has been identified through studies involving the mouse model of RRV disease [16]. Amelioration of RRV-induced arthritis and myositis was found following depletion of macrophages from the mouse prior to infection [16], and macrophage-derived proinflammatory mediators have been implicated in the pathogenesis of RRV disease [17]. Synovial effusions from RRV patients are also known to have high levels of activated macrophages and monocytes, confirming the physiological relevance of these results [18,19]. In addition, RRV can persist in macrophages for long periods [20], suggesting a role for these cells in RRV persistence in addition to pathogenesis. These observations may also be relevant to the immunopathological processes that lead to arthritic symptoms following CHIKV infection and may provide a key starting point for further investigations into the mechanisms involved in CHIKV disease.

In summary, the knowledge gained from RRV studies and animal models can be used to understand the mechanisms behind alphaviral disease in general. Specifically, a greater understanding could be gained about the pathogenesis of CHIKV disease by adapting our understanding of RRV disease.
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