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On 7 February 2009, devastating bushfires in the state of Victoria caused one of Australia’s worst-ever disasters. There were 173 people killed and more than 400 injured in the “Black Saturday” fires. Thousands of dwellings and other infrastructure were destroyed. As a result of the fires, 19 patients with burn injuries were admitted to The Alfred Hospital (The Alfred), a major trauma hospital in Melbourne that houses the Victorian Adult Burns Service (VABS). VABS is the state’s only adult burns service, treating 250–300 acute burn-injured inpatients each year.

Severe burn injury is an uncommon event in Western societies, and is well recognised that burn health care resources are soon overwhelmed by relatively small numbers of patients with significant burn injuries in a mass casualty setting. Victoria’s mass casualty burns plan defines a mass burns casualty as an event causing burns injuries that exceed or are likely to exceed the ability of the burns unit to manage them (five or more severe burn injuries is the figure used as a guide).

Reports of bushfires in Canberra and San Diego have focused on pre-hospital planning and emergency department management rather than the overall experience of a multidisciplinary unit. In recent Australian history, the most similar event was the Ash Wednesday bushfires of 1983 in which 75 people died, however, the medical literature on these fires relates to psychological trauma. Mass casualty events that have been studied in terms of burn centre responses include the terrorist attacks in New York, NY and Washington, DC in 2001, and Bali in 2002.

Our study describes the characteristics of admitted patients, analyses the hospital response, and highlights the resource requirements for an adequate response to this incident. The circumstances of the injuries placed a number of these people at high risk of infective and other complications.

METHODS

Data collection

A retrospective chart review was performed on all patients who were admitted to VABS with burn injuries sustained in the February 2009 bushfires. We collected data from Ambulance Victoria records, and from The Alfred’s VABS data registry and operating theatre and intensive care unit (ICU) databases. This provided information on patients’ age, sex, percentage of total body surface area burned (%TBSA), percentage of full thickness body surface area burned (%FTSA), type and site of burn, hospital and ICU length of stay (LOS), and standard burn care practices, including fluid resuscitation therapies, number of surgical procedures and overall operating theatre time.

For each case patient, a series of historical control patients were selected to compare renal function (measured by estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR]) and LOS post-burn injury. The control patients were identified from the VABS database over a 12-month period (April 2008 to April 2009). Each control was matched for age (±10 years), sex, ICU admission and %TBSA burns.

For broader comparison, we obtained additional historical data on inpatient LOS/TBSA from the National Burn Centre at Middlemore Hospital, Manukau, New Zealand, which serves a similar population base to that of VABS. The Alfred’s finance department provided data on costs, and individual units and departments provided information on additional resource allocation.

Data analysis

All data were managed and analysed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Wash, USA) and OpenEpi (Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health, version 2.3.1; http://www.openepi.com). Continuous data are presented as mean (SD) for symmetrical data, and as median (interquartile range [IQR]) or odds ratio (OR) (95% CI) for asymmetrical data.

Ethics

Our study was approved by The Alfred’s human research ethics committee.
Transport from scene to VABS

Nineteen patients injured in the Black Saturday bushfires were admitted to VABS. Each patient’s trauma triage flow sheet and history detailing pre-hospital first aid interventions and treatments by Ambulance Victoria were analysed (Box 1).

Results

Admission to VABS

The characteristics of patients admitted to VABS are shown in Box 2. Patients were mostly men, with a mean age of 52.7 years (SD, 12.4 years; range, 31–77 years). Nine of the 19 patients were admitted to the ICU with burns ranging up to 50% TBSA (mean = 18.4%; SD, 14.5%). The %FTSA ranged up to 39% (mean = 11.0%; SD, 12.6%). All patients received intravenous fluid resuscitation in the first 24 hours of injury (mean = 7.26 mL/kg/%TBSA; SD, 3.5 mL/kg/%TBSA; range, 3.62–16.25 mL/kg/%TBSA). Data on urine output are not presented, as urine output was not recorded for all patients. Injuries were predominantly caused by radiant heat, with most patients suffering burns to their hands, upper and lower limbs, and head and neck region (Box 2). Other injuries included four inhalational injuries (21.1%), two corneal abrasions (10.5%), one foreign body injury (5.3%), and a likely episode of severe hypoxia.

Surgical response

Seventeen patients (89%) underwent at least one surgical procedure (mean = 2.6; SD, 2.04; range, 0–8), with a mean total operating time of 350.1 minutes (SD, 292.9 minutes). Thirteen patients (68%) underwent a surgical procedure in the first 24 hours after injury, of which two occurred at other hospitals. Six patients required at least one emergency escharotomy, and eight patients required transfusion of packed red blood cells during their admission (mean = 17.5 units; SD, 13.1 units; range, 1–42 units). Synthetic skin substitutes were used in nine patients (47.3%) and six patients (32%) required the use of cadaver skin due to contaminated wound sites. Total operating theatre time and operating time by %TBSA are shown in Box 3. There was an average requirement of 65.5 minutes of operating time and 26.1 minutes of total theatre time per %TBSA. All primary surgery was completed by Day 33 (Box 4). After the first week, there was a rapid decrease in theatre-time requirements (Box 5). Historical data from Middlemore Hospital shows a comparable theatre-time requirement of 22.8 minutes/%TBSA burn for patients with burns >10% TBSA, with operating time greatest in the first week and roughly halving in each subsequent week.12

Complications

Complications are summarised in Box 6. Many patients had a common history of wound contamination through contact with water in damps and cattle troughs, or with dirt and ash after injury. Given delays in transfer and difficulty ensuring adequate clinical wound surveillance, we considered infection risk to be elevated. Thus wound cultures and specimens were obtained at every dressing change and theatre visit, with all positive cultures treated with antibiotics. Repeated bronchoscopies were performed in patients with evidence of inhalation of dust and smoke.

Hospital and recovery outcomes

Of the 19 patients admitted to VABS, two died in hospital: one presented with multisystem organ failure and did not recover; the second died of respiratory failure due to fungal airway infection superimposed on severe inhalation injury.

Duration of hospital LOS ranged from 0 to 46 days (median = 22 days; IQR, 11.75–29.5 days). Nine patients were admitted to the ICU, with LOS ranging from 2 to 24 days (median = 13.5 days; IQR, 6.25–16.5 days). Nine patients required mechanical ventilation (mean hours ventilated = 222.1; SD, 118.9). Time to wound healing in days was reported in 13 patients (mean = 39.7 days; SD, 13.6 days).

Eleven of the 17 surviving patients were discharged to a hospital-in-the-home program. The LOS in this program ranged from 7 to 29 days (mean = 17 days; SD, 6.3 days). Three patients were discharged to another hospital or rehabilitation facility, and three were discharged home.

The extra resource allocations required in various departments are shown in Box 7. Additional costs for hospital medical officers and consultants were estimated at A$64 000, and extra operating theatre costs (staffing and consumables) for the month of February were estimated to be A$61 500.

Inpatient LOS/%TBSA was similar for both the VABS bushfire and the Middlemore Hospital cohorts (1.0 days and 1.1 days, respectively).

Median hospital LOS was greater for the VABS bushfire cohort than for the VABS historical cohort (21 days [IQR, 12–27 days] v 13 days [IQR, 4–28.5 days]). The VABS
bushfire cohort had a higher incidence of acute renal impairment (defined by abnormal eGFR levels) compared with those of the VABS historical cohort (68% vs 55%; OR, 1.75 [95% CI, 0.55–5.59]).

**DISCUSSION**

Burns >20% TBSA are considered “severe” in the setting of a mass casualty incident, and seven patients admitted to VABS as a result of the bushfires had what is defined as a severe burn injury. To put this in context, an average of 35 patients a year in the state of Victoria received burns of >20% TBSA in the years 2000–2006.15

The requirement for ICU beds when treating burn patients is frequently the limiting factor in the acute response to mass casualty incidents,16 as patients with airway injury or extensive burns necessitating large doses of opiates for analgesia require intubation and ventilation. The acute creation of 10 additional ICU ventilator beds at The Alfred has been documented elsewhere.1

Disaster plans focus on the triage and distribution of casualties to hospitals. While it has been increasingly recognised in recent years that burns patients require large amounts of resources — human, infrastructural and consumable — what this actually means in terms of capacity is difficult to quantify. The delayed demands on such resources are increasingly difficult to measure as time from the incident elapses, yet burns patients have notoriously prolonged and complex hospital stays, and require lengthy rehabilitation and secondary surgery.

In terms of calculating what the theatre requirements of a particular burn patient are likely to be, for the group with burns between 10% and 50% TBSA, 21 minutes of theatre time per %TBSA burn for the whole inpatient stay would seem to provide a reasonable estimate, based on experiences at Middlemore Hospital and VABS. This estimate can be used in the event of a mass burn casualty event to determine the ability of a hospital to provide appropriate care for the cohort of patients with 10%–50% TBSA burns. Theatre demands are maximal in the first week and decrease rapidly thereafter, at least halving in each subsequent week.

However, attempts to predict the requirements of patients with massive burns are far more problematic and uncertain. It has been estimated that for the state of South Australia, five adult patients with massive burns would consume all normal burns unit resources for at least 3 months, after requiring a minimum of 5 hours each of surgery per week for the first 3 weeks.17 A patient with >50% TBSA burns has insufficient donor sites to provide early definitive wound closure, and is prone to a multitude of complications, making for a highly idiosyncratic clinical course. Add to this the fact that these are relatively uncommon injuries (most Australian burns units would admit around three to four such patients a year, some of whom might be treated palliatively), and it becomes very difficult to produce meaningful average data. The presence of people with burns >50% TBSA in a mass casualty cohort increases both acute and longer-term resource requirements exponentially, as well as greatly extending the length of time of increased demands, and would significantly compound decision making with respect to resource allocation. The recently established Australia and New Zealand Bi-National Burns Registry will provide comprehensive data on these uncommon injuries and aid in predicting resource requirements.

The ability to provide early total burn wound excision is crucial in minimising complications in burns patients18 — especially in those with severe burns (>20% TBSA) who are at risk of developing systemic complications19 — which consume extra resources over increasing time periods in an already pressured environment. A hospital responding to a mass burn casualty event must, as a priority, ensure that theatres and surgeons are acutely available to enable early excision of severe burns. This strategy will minimise ongoing demands for resources and ensure best outcomes for patients. If excision is delayed, complications increase, hospital stays drag out, and the drain on resources limits the institution’s ability to return to business as usual quickly.

In the aftermath of the 2009 bushfires, VABS was able to continue to admit and
lost family members, homes, livestock and their livelihoods. They had significant loss and grief issues. In addition to the provision of medical treatment, The Alfred dealt with media issues, provided psychological support, and responded to the social needs of these patients and their families as part of the statewide response to this unprecedented catastrophe.

There were relatively few patients with severe burns admitted as a result of the bushfires when compared with the number of lives lost. This may reflect the extreme conditions created by the firestorms experienced on 7 February 2009 and that most people trapped by the fires perished. Nonetheless, significant increases in resource allocation were required to manage the survivors with burn injuries. Analysis of this resource allocation can assist in planning for future mass burn casualty incidents.
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It is important to recognise that victims of a mass casualty event have needs beyond medical treatment. Many of our patients had

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infective</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood stream infection</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External auricular abscess</td>
<td>1 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>1 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 organisms</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 organisms</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 organism</td>
<td>1 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive wound culture donor site</td>
<td>4 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-infective</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative respiratory arrest</td>
<td>1 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missed subcutaneous foreign body</td>
<td>1 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-healing wound requiring split skin graft</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed grafting requiring regrafting</td>
<td>1 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained staples requiring anaesthetic for removal</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 Extra staff* allocated to the Victorian Adult Burns Service in the aftermath of the February 2009 bushfires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Extra staff</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute Pain Service</td>
<td>Pain nurse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Immediate acute doubling of workload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pain consultant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious Diseases</td>
<td>Registrar</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Plus 100 hours of overtime for laboratory staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Medical Staff</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>Clinical nurse specialist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>An extra 296 nursing hours allocated over 6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ward)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>Nutritionist</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>Initial tripling of workload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational therapy</td>
<td>Occupational therapist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allied health assistant</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiotherapy</td>
<td>Physiotherapist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allied health assistant</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatry</td>
<td>Psychiatry nurse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Provided staff support service in addition to patient care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatry registrar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatry consultant</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social work</td>
<td>Social worker</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Provided patient, family and staff support and assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social worker (after hours service)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Full-time equivalent.
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