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INTRODUCTION

The International Olympic Committee (IOC) recognises the health and fitness benefits of physical activity (PA) and sport as stated in recommendation #51 from the Olympic Movement in Society Congress held in Copenhagen, 2009:

Everyone involved in the Olympic Movement must become more aware of the fundamental importance of Physical Activity and sport for a healthy lifestyle, not least in the growing battle against obesity, and must reach out to parents and schools as part of a strategy to counter the rising inactivity of young people.

The IOC assembled an expert group (January 2011) to discuss the role of PA and sport on the health and fitness of young people and to critically evaluate the scientific evidence as a basis for decision making. Specifically, the purpose of this consensus paper is to identify potential solutions through collaboration between sport and existing programmes and to review the research gaps in this field. The ultimate aim of the paper is to provide recommendations for young people’s sport and PA stakeholders.

After an introduction to the scope of the problem, issues addressed include how best to define the current state of fitness and PA of young people; health consequences due to the lack of PA and/or sport; correlates and determinants of PA and sedentary behaviour; options for change: studies on effectiveness of intervention; and context for action: potential solutions. Finally, a summary and recommendations are given.

Scope of the problem

Insufficient PA has been classified by the WHO as the fourth leading risk factor for global mortality from non-communicable diseases (NCDs) after hypertension, tobacco use and high blood glucose. Insufficient PA is responsible for 3.2 million or 5.5% of all deaths. The detrimental health effects of inadequate levels of PA in young people are well established and evidence on the negative health effects of sedentary behaviour is growing. PA, including through involvement in sport, is instrumental in the prevention of NCDs that carry a high burden of individual health costs as well as economic consequences to society.

Defining the current state of fitness and PA of young people

Are today’s young people active?

The assessment and interpretation of young people’s PA is one of the most difficult tasks in epidemiology. PA and sport participation during youth have historically been assessed by self-report but the criterion validity of self-reported instruments is low to moderate with correlation coefficients usually between 0.3 and 0.4. Furthermore, self-report instruments tend to overestimate the intensity and duration of PA and sport participation. However, self-report methods can provide information about types of PA, the setting and contexts in which PA takes place and the amount of PA devoted to specific domains.

Recent, large-scale observational studies have used objective monitoring of PA by accelerometry. This innovation has substantially increased our knowledge of PA and how PA is associated with health outcomes in youth. However, interpreting PA data from accelerometry during childhood and adolescence is challenging. Methodological issues include the definition of PA intensity thresholds, and there is no consensus on the most appropriate PA intensity thresholds to use when measuring young people’s PA by accelerometry.

Self-reported PA suggests that 30–40% of young people satisfy current health-related PA recommendations. Accelerometry data are more variable but most studies using PA intensity thresholds above 3000 counts per minute (broadly equivalent to brisk walking) indicate that they are achieved by less than 25% of young people. Sport participation contributes to higher levels of PA in youth.
It is unlikely that any self-report method is sufficiently accurate for examining cross-cultural differences and temporal trends in young people’s sport participation and PA. However, recent self-report studies suggest that PA levels have not declined during recent decades.20 This observation is supported by the few studies that have assessed PA objectively.23 24 However, data on temporal trends should be interpreted cautiously as PA levels may have declined in domains not assessed by these instruments.25

Are today’s young people fit?

Peak oxygen uptake (VO₂) is the best single measure of young people’s aerobic fitness. During growth and maturation, peak VO₂ benefits from increases in muscle mass, stroke volume and, particularly in boys, blood haemoglobin concentration. Young people’s peak VO₂ increases with age and boys’ values are higher than those of girls throughout childhood and adolescence, regardless of whether peak VO₂ is expressed in absolute (l/min) or body mass-related (ml/kg/min) terms.26 27

A high peak VO₂ is a prerequisite of elite performance in many sports but, in several sports and in everyday life, intermittent exercise and the ability to engage in rapid changes of exercise intensity is at least as important as achieving maximal aerobic performance. Under these conditions, it is the transient kinetics of VO₂ which best describes the relevant component of aerobic fitness. In youth, peak VO₂ is not related to the primary component, time constant (τ), during the transition from rest to exercise. The VO₂ kinetics response to exercise is age dependent and boys have a shorter τ than girls during the transition from rest to heavy intensity exercise.28 29

Young athletes have higher peak VO₂ and faster τ than their untrained peers. Both trained and untrained youth benefit from exercise training.30 Young people, however, rarely experience habitual PA of the duration and intensity sufficient to enhance peak VO₂ and there is no meaningful relationship between habitual PA and this component of aerobic fitness.31 The relationship between habitual PA and VO₂ kinetics remains to be investigated.

Data on VO₂ kinetics in youth are sparse but the peak VO₂ of young people is well documented. There are no widely recognised recommendations for health-related levels of aerobic fitness in youth and no compelling evidence to suggest that young people have low levels of peak VO₂ (l/min) or that they are less aerobically fit than young people of the previous generations.32 There has been a very small decline of about 0.1% per decade in mass-related peak VO₂ (ml/kg/min) between 1962 and 1994. In contrast, there has been a substantial deterioration of about 4.0% per decade in maximal aerobic performance, since 1975. It is not clear whether these temporal changes have been uniform or skewed over time, although changes were typically more marked in young people who are less fit.33 Declines in maximal aerobic performance are likely the result of a network of social, behavioural, physical, psychological and physiological factors. Irrespective of the underlying mechanisms, it is the diminished aerobic performance that has the greatest implications for youth health and well-being, and successful sport participation.34

Health consequences of lack of physical fitness, PA and/or sport

Cardiovascular and metabolic health

Research focused on the cardiovascular consequences of inactivity in young people has primarily used two methodologies: interventional studies, in which PA is increased in a given population, and observational studies, in which cardiovascular markers have been compared with levels of PA in a subject population.5 11 35 36

Exercise training interventions in normotensive youth have been found to have little effect on blood pressure, but prolonged programmes in hypertensive youth have had a salutary effect.37–46 In addition, observational studies have reported a positive association between aerobic fitness levels and blood pressure.45 46 It can be concluded that a PA intervention of at least 30 min, three times per week with intensity sufficient to increase aerobic fitness can effectively reduce blood pressure in youth with essential hypertension.

Studies of the effect of activity levels and exercise interventions on blood lipid levels in young people suggest that a minimum of 40 min of activity per day, 5 days per week and with a duration of at least 4 months is required to achieve improvement in lipid and lipoprotein levels, demonstrating primarily increased HDL-C and decreased triglyceride levels.35 47 48 Interventions have included aerobic training, resistance training and circuit training.5 45 49

Metabolic syndrome was first described as a constellation of risk factors for cardiovascular disease in adults, including abdominal obesity, type II diabetes, hypertension and increased levels of inflammatory markers. However, it is now estimated that metabolic syndrome characteristics exist in 8–14% of all youth and is increasing as obesity in youth increases.50–53 Observational studies have found a close association between low PA levels and metabolic syndrome in youth.5 54 55 Interventional studies have shown improved levels of elements of metabolic syndrome with increased PA in both obese and non-obese youth. The amount of PA necessary to prevent or treat metabolic syndrome has not yet been defined.45 49 56–59

Few studies have examined the relationship of muscular fitness to cardiovascular risk factors in young people, but the studies available demonstrate a negative association between muscle fitness and clustered metabolic risk.60–62

In summary, the current scientific literature suggests that low levels of PA in young people are associated with higher levels of obesity, hypertension and cardiovascular risk factors, including increased instances of metabolic syndrome.

Bone health

Bone is a dynamic tissue that varies between individuals as a function of age, sex, genetics and lifestyle. Bone geometry, mass and structure contribute to bone strength, which largely determines bone’s susceptibility to fracture. Fractures affect approximately 30–50% of both young63 64 and old populations.65 PA is key to enhanced bone mass, structure and strength and these beneficial effects were summarised in recent reviews.66–71

Animal studies clearly demonstrate that dynamic loading of short duration with multiple rest pauses is most effective for bone formation.72 Young athletes engaged in weight-bearing activities across a range of sports have augmented bone mass compared with non-athletic peers.73–76 Racquet sport athletes who began training in early puberty have significantly stronger bones on their playing arm compared with their non-playing arm and benefits persist over time.77 Thus, early puberty provides a ‘window of opportunity’ when bone is most responsive to PA and sport than at any other time during the life course.

A number of effective interventions have typically involved vigorous jumping and other activities across the school day,
Recent studies, reviews and meta-analyses assessed important measures of bone geometry, structure and strength. 

Sedentary pursuits, which have contributed to reductions in recent decades, active behaviours have been displaced by more and from school, has declined in recent decades. Numerous PA and exercise, including active transport such as walking to and from school, has declined in recent decades.

However, longer term follow-up studies in young people and retrospective studies of athletes and corroborated the benefit of weight-bearing PA for young people’s bone health.

Taked together, a wide range of extra-curricular sports, other activities and targeted school-based programmes provide a weight-bearing stimulus that promotes young people’s bone health. Although bone strength benefits persist into old age in animal studies, there is little direct evidence that the enhanced ‘bone bank’ similarly persists into old age in humans as these long-term studies are challenging to conduct. However, longer term follow-up studies in young people and retrospective studies of athletes support this notion.

Obesity

Globally, obesity is affecting an increasing proportion of young people. PA during the growing years is important for the physical growth and development of all young people and is associated with numerous health benefits, including lowering the levels of overweight and obesity and reducing the risk of obesity in adulthood. Higher levels of PA and exercise, including sports participation, may translate to greater benefits. In recent decades, active behaviours have been displaced by more sedentary pursuits, which have contributed to reductions in PA energy expenditure. Typically, obese young people are less active than their normal-weight peers. While many reports suggest that young people’s participation in organised sport and PA is high or has increased in some populations, incidental PA and exercise, including active transport such as walking to and from school, has declined in recent decades. Numerous environmental and lifestyle changes have contributed to these reductions.

From a public health perspective, the promotion of a healthy diet and of PA and exercise are equally important factors for the maintenance of a healthy weight and body composition and reduction of chronic disease risk.

Mental health

Review-level evidence with young people has shown small-to-moderate beneficial effects for reduced depression and anxiety from PA, but the evidence base is weak. Intervention designs are low in quality, and many reviews include cross-sectional studies. However, the beneficial effects of PA on reducing depression and anxiety are comparable with psychosocial interventions. PA can lead to small improvements in global self-esteem, at least in the short term. However, there is a paucity of good-quality research and future work may need to focus on physical aspects of the self. Reviews of PA and cognitive functioning have shown evidence that routine PA can be associated with improved cognitive performance, classroom behaviour and academic achievement in young people, but these associations are usually small. Additional allocation of time to PA in schools at the expense of academic class time does not affect academic performance.

Results from recent primary studies have shown consistent small negative associations between mental health and sedentary behaviour, primarily screen viewing, with half controlling for PA. One longitudinal study did show that television viewing was associated with increased odds of depression after a 7-year follow-up.

Little research has specifically addressed sport participation and mental health separate from participation in PA more broadly. Moreover, it is difficult to ascertain effects for low fit or low active youth.

Injury risk

Another health problem to be considered in young people is non-contact anterior cruciate ligament injuries in adolescent female athletes. Interventional studies have emphasised plyometrics, flexibility and aerobic training, balance and proprioception training, with most studies finding an association between training interaction and decreased injuries.

Prevention of ankle sprains in young athletes through training interventions has also received much attention.

A recent series of studies from The Netherlands of young people exposed to a fitness education and classroom training programme demonstrated a decrease in sports injuries, particularly in the previously less active participants.

An ideal system for preventing sports injuries due to lower levels of fitness would entail a preparticipation evaluation of each participant followed by a training prescription to address individual deficits in fitness levels.

Correlates and determinants of PA and sedentariness

In order to better understand the ‘mechanism’ behind PA and sedentariness of young people, it is necessary to have an insight into the correlates and determinants of these behaviours. Roughly speaking, the correlates and determinants of these behaviours can be categorised into biological, psychosocial, behavioural, social and environmental factors. In reference to these five categories, the main findings of two reviews that were carried out for the purpose of this consensus statement are summarised below.

Determinants of PA

Uijtdewilligen et al conducted a systematic review on the determinants of PA building on a review on the same topic published in 2007. In contrast to the 2007 review, the current review only took into account prospective studies. The review concerned studies that were published between January 2004 and November 2010. Twenty-seven papers were identified. A best-evidence synthesis was applied to summarise the results. The following conclusions were drawn from the data regarding
6–12 year olds: insufficient evidence was found for a longitudinal association between parent education and PA; moderate evidence was found for a longitudinal association between intention and the child’s PA. Determinants of adolescents’ PA were age (being older), ethnicity (not being African American) and planning. From the review of Uijtdewilligen, one must conclude that we have little ‘true’ high-quality information about the determinants of PA in youth.

Correlates and determinants of sedentariness
Two reviews addressed sedentariness. Uijtdewilligen et al.27 looked at determinants of sedentariness by reviewing four prospective studies. They concluded for all determinants of sedentary behaviour insufficient evidence for both children and adolescents. Pate et al.28 looked at correlates of sedentary behaviour and found that demographic, biological, psychosocial, environmental and behavioural factors have been studied as potential correlates of sedentary behaviour. From these studies, it was concluded that time spent in sedentary behaviour has been shown to be higher in lower socioeconomic groups, in older versus younger youth, in non-white youth, in more mature youth, and in young people who live in homes that present heavy exposure to electronic forms of entertainment (televisions and computers). In addition, several studies have shown that young people spend less time in sedentary behaviours if their parents set limits regarding time of participation in screen-based entertainment.

Options for change: the evidence from intervention studies
PA promotion in community and family settings
PA is influenced by a number of ecological levels, including the family and community environment. A limited evidence base is available on the effectiveness of PA promotion in young people in these settings. To update our knowledge on this topic, Van Sluijs et al.29 conducted a review of reviews, as well as an updated systematic review from August 2007 to October 2010. Only 13 family and three community-based studies were identified in the three previous reviews combined, and all independently concluded that the evidence was limited in both family and community settings. Preliminary evidence, however, hinted that family-based interventions set in the home and including self-monitoring and goal setting may be a useful strategy to pursue. The updated literature search identified a further 10 intervention studies: six family-based and four community-based ones. Although more evaluations showed significant positive effects on PA (three family-based, one community-based studies), no distinctive characteristics of the effective interventions compared with those that were ineffective were identified when studying differences in intervention characteristics, target population or methodology. Based on the cumulative evidence to date, however, it also appears that creating safe environments in which young people can engage in free play or that they can use for active travel may have the potential to increase population levels of PA. Five studies showed positive effects on body composition, three of which were conducted on overweight or obese populations.

PA promotion in school settings
School-based interventions are thought to be the most universally applicable and effective way to counteract low PA and fitness since children and adolescents spend at least half of their waking hours in this setting. Kriemler et al.30 performed a review of school-based interventions by applying a similar approach to Van Sluijs et al; that is, conducting an analysis of four reviews, as well as a systematic review on papers published between January 2007 and December 2010. The review of reviews led to the following conclusions: 47–65% of trials considered were found to be effective. The effect was mostly seen in school-related PA, while effects on outside school on overall PA were often not observed or not assessed. The school-based application of multicomponent intervention strategies was the most consistent promising intervention strategy, while controversy existed regarding the effectiveness of family involvement, focus on risk populations, or duration and intensity of the intervention. The current review included 20 trials. All of these trials showed a positive effect on in-school, out-of-school and in overall PA in 9/10 studies, and 55% of studies showed an increase in fitness. By taking the highest combined hierarchy level of quality and Public Health relevance (ie, objective assessment of overall PA), these studies all included children (≤12 years) and applied multicomponent programmes with involvement of the families. While these results support the notion that school-based PA interventions are effective, time is ripe to look at long-term effects and implementation strategies.

Context for action: potential solutions
The International Olympic Committee
The IOC in Copenhagen, 2010 outlined future priorities for the IOC emphasising the importance of sports participation from a public health perspective and protection of the health of the athlete through prevention of injuries and diseases. To promote PA effectively, the IOC acknowledges the need to care for the health problems of the active patient. This not only involves providing effective care for the injured patient, but also developing and promoting injury prevention measures actively.31 In 2010, the first Youth Olympic Games was held in Singapore emphasising culture, education and sports in 14–18-year-old athletes. During these Games, the athletes were exposed to educational tools developed by the IOC. Since 2005, the IOC has developed programmes for prevention of injuries and diseases in high-level and recreational sports. Some examples are the IOC Periodic Health Exam,32 and protection of the child athlete, consensus meeting on training of the elite athlete33 and age determination.34

International Federations
Few International Federations (IFs) have programmes that address the issue of inactivity in young people and youth (unpublished survey of a selection of IFs 2010). Many IFs host Junior or Youth Championships. Other IFs have modified their sport to encourage youth participation. The Gymnastics Federation (FIG) is unique in that its athlete population is almost solely comprised of child and youth athletes. The Football Federation (FIFA) has published two studies on sport promotion in youth showing that football is as effective as an established obesity training programme for improving PA and fitness in young people.35 36 IFs can be instrumental in supporting National Federation programmes that address inactivity in youth.

National Olympic Committees
A few National Olympic Committees (NOCs) have developed programmes to promote PA and sport in young people. One example is the Canadian Olympic Committee, which developed an educational tool for students from grades 2 to 12. The Canadian Olympic School Program was designed in
collaboration with physical health educators to support the development of a healthy, active, physically literate nation and to proactively combat the epidemic of physical inactivity in children and adolescents. The curriculum focuses on participation, effort and pride in the pursuit of excellence. This example illustrates the important role that NOCs can have in promoting PA and sport in youth.137

**The World Health Organization**

In 2010, the WHO and the IOC agreed to cooperate to “...promote, physical activity and sport...” The WHO Global Strategy on Diet, PA and Health (2004) and the WHO Global Action Plan for NCD prevention and Control (2008) provide a clear mandate for WHO’s role in providing evidence-based recommendations, technical support, capacity building, surveillance and collaboration with United Nations (UN) agencies and international partners. In 2010, the WHO published the Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health, which includes recommendations for 5–17 year olds. The UN General Assembly high-level meeting on NCD prevention and control 2011 provides all stakeholders an opportunity to highlight the important impact PA and sport can have on the health of young people.138–140

**International PA networks**

There are two global and four regional PA promotion networks. Agita Mundo is the global network for PA promotion141 and GAPA142 acts as the advocacy council of the International Society for Physical Activity and Health. The regional PA promotion networks are RAFA/PANA for the Americas,143 European Network for the Promotion of Health-enhancing Physical Activity (HEPA) for Europe,144 the Asia-Pacific Physical Activity Network145 and the African Physical Activity Network.146 These networks can play a crucial role in promoting the health and fitness of young people in several ways: by providing exchange platforms and access to key experts; by analysing practice-led evidence to identify good practice, develop guidance and foster monitoring and evaluation,147 by distributing recommendations to expert communities and local implementers; and by contributing to more effective dissemination of recommendations and good practice regionally, nationally or locally. However, availability of practice-led evidence has so far been non-systematic. While these networks have become instrumental platforms for exchange, their scope and reach is constrained by limited funding as they depend largely on voluntary contributions.

**Non-governmental organizations**

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) use PA and sport as platforms to develop social capital and social cohesion.148–149 NGOs involved in Sports for All (http://www.tafisa.net) and Sports for Development (http://www.sportanddev.org) identified health as one key outcome.149 The right to ‘participate in sport, physical activity or play’ are considered fundamental human rights.150–151

NGOs raise funds, educate, mentor, advocate, implement programmes, and develop local capacity.152 These organisations use the vehicle of sports participation as a platform in the fight against HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria in the developing world and to promote PA in other settings.153

There is, however, limited central coordination to promote interagency cooperation and inadequate evaluation of programme implementation. Evaluation needs to be planned early and adapted to local realities. NGO partnerships must ensure sustainability, equity, appropriate allocation of resources and community participation.154

**Governments**

Reviews of actions taken by governments in many countries show mixed results in terms of operationalised plans for sports and PA promotion for young people. Lessons learned from one programme, the Agita Galera Program, which deals with 6000 schools, and about 6 million students, in the State of São Paulo, Brazil, provided an opportunity for the government to identify and promote PA and sports participation, a surveillance system, and support for building partnerships; to build infrastructures for sports participation; to facilitate the development and implementation of an ‘Active-School Curriculum’; to promote active transport to school; and to incentivise the practice of PA and sports inside and outside school.155

**Education**

Health and fitness promotion via PA represents a complex issue, which can only be resolved by multisectoral interventions because no one sector can independently resolve the challenges involved. The education sector, in general, and physical education (PE), in particular, comprise a primacy setting for interventions throughout formative development, which can influence positive attitudes and behaviours of young people during compulsory school attendance years.

PE makes a unique contribution to education through the development of ‘physical and health literacy’. Together, they seek to help pupils develop the necessary skills to make healthy choices and are key in sowing the seeds in the formation of the physically educated person. Physical movement education is the only educational experience where the focus is on the body, its movement and physical development, and it helps young people to learn to respect and to value their own bodies and abilities, and those of others.

A school’s role extends to encouraging young people to continue participation in PA, through the provision of links and co-ordinated opportunities for all young people at all levels. Schools should also develop partnerships with the wider community (health and sport) to extend and improve the opportunities available for students to remain physically active: bridges need to be built and pathways created to foster partnerships and so increase the potential for positive interventions.

Comprehensive whole-of-school approaches to child health represent an effective strategy to address childhood physical inactivity.156–161 Successful models incorporate strategies across settings, emphasise partnerships and advocate political and financial support. Factors deemed key to success are political will, sustained funding, shared vision and decision-making, policy, evaluation and teacher training and support, multiple components, adaptability and compatibility.

Implementation of effective school-based models in the real-world setting is complex and demands a multipartner investment over the long term. Ecological approaches that integrate government, schools, the community, individuals and settings are likely the key to successful and sustained implementation.157,159–163

There is a gap between demonstrating the effectiveness of PA interventions and our understanding of the wide-scale implementation and/or dissemination of them.163

**Healthcare system**

The healthcare setting can play an important role for promotion of PA, fitness and health in adults.164–166 The few healthcare-based studies to date that have addressed young people have
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deal with exercise groups, counseling and computer-based behaviour change programmes. The scientific evidence is insufficient to draw any conclusions about how these methods affect PA among young people. That said, PA is regarded as a cornerstone in the treatment of common child and adolescent diseases. Through the provision of adequate education of primary healthcare professionals on the benefits and prescription of PA, the healthcare system can play an important role in the promotion of PA and sport involvement in young people.

Summary and recommendations
To realise Recommendation #<51> from the Olympic Movement in Society Congress (Copenhagen 2009), a coordinated, collaborative, global effort involving many stakeholders, including members of the Olympic Movement, is required. It is essential for the success of future programmes that young people are involved in plan, implement, deliver and evaluate sport and PA programmes. The following recommendations are formulated based on a review of the current scientific evidence and the collective expertise of the authors in their respective fields relating to the health and fitness of young people.

Sport organisations
Sport organisations have a role to play in the realisation of the global recommendations for young people to accumulate at least 60 min per day of moderate-to-vigorous intensity PA in addition to the activity they accrue as part of everyday living.

It is recommended that sport organisations strengthen their role in the promotion of PA and sport for health and fitness in youth in the following ways:

► ensure that sport programmes include youth-oriented activities to engage and retain young athletes;
► educate sport coaches to incorporate appropriate health-related fitness training in relation to growth and maturation;
► identify and lower the barriers to participation in sport;
► collaborate with youth, parents, school personnel and community programmes to design and deliver sport programmes that attract and retain young people;
► foster collaboration with international, regional and national PA promotion networks;
► evaluate and improve the quality and delivery of sport programmes for young developing athletes; and
► encourage research into the efficacy and effectiveness of delivery of sport and PA for young people.

Governments
It is recommended that governments:

► advocate PA and health promotion on global health and regional agency agendas;
► foster collaboration with international, regional and national PA promotion networks;
► place health and PA higher on the national political agenda;
► develop, implement and evaluate policy to promote sport and PA in young people;
► enhance funding for youth involvement in sport and PA programmes across sectors;
► support multisectoral policies and provision of school-wider community (sport, recreation, health agencies) partnerships to improve PA opportunities for young people;
► ensure that providers of recreational programmes for young people limit the time spent in sedentary pursuits such as television watching, video game playing and computer use; and
► support research to better understand the role of PA in the health trends of young people.

Educational system: With regard to the educational system, it is recommended that governments:

► provide effective PE in school delivered by qualified professionals at all levels of the curriculum;
► provide a minimum of three lessons of PE totaling 120–180 min per week;
► ensure that opportunities for PE/PA are provided in a variety of settings and are embedded within the curriculum;
► collaborate with community organisations to create accessible and safe PA and sport environments;
► implement adaptable whole-of-school models that utilise multiple component strategies and routes of entry; and
► allocate adequate resources to PE/PA programmes.

Healthcare System: With regard to the healthcare system, it is recommended that governments:

► provide mandatory education of healthcare professionals on the benefits and prescription of PA for young people;
► increase collaboration between healthcare professionals and other providers of PA and sport in the community; and
► revise the healthcare financing system to include reimbursement for individualised life style counseling and follow-up.

Non-governmental organisations
It is recommended that:

► Sport for Development programmes be evaluated for the efficacy of health outcomes and impact;
► a registry of NGOs, both sport and non-sport, be established to promote PA and sport as a vehicle for health and community development; and
► NGOs develop a filter for partnerships to ensure sustainability, equity, allocation of resources, community ownership and buy-in, and to limit unintended consequences of PA and sport programming.

Research recommendations
It is recommended that research be conducted:

► with respect to sport, to assess if
  ▶ current structures of organised sport are adequate to meet the needs of young people and
  ▶ coaches are adequately prepared to cope with the unique pedagogical physiological and psychological needs of young people during growth and development;
► to use new non-invasive technologies such as MRI and spectroscopy and near-infrared spectroscopy to better understand responses to exercise and young people’s fitness during growth and maturation;
► to evaluate setting and types of young people’s habitual PA, sport participation and fitness through large-scale, standardised, national and international surveys;
► to evaluate the effect of PA promotion interventions on intermediate factors, and at long-term follow-up with objective measures of the behaviour, fitness and health outcomes;
► to better define the dose–response mechanisms and effects of PA/exercise and sedentary behaviour on fitness and health during growth and development;
► to assess which method of PA promotion is best for a given population taking into consideration factors such as disease state, socioeconomic conditions, culture, ethnicity, gender and age; and
► to assess reach and implementation issues beyond attendance rates in intervention studies to establish the potential for wider implementation; and
to use objective measures of PA whenever possible to enhance the quality of assessment and interpretation of data. It is recommended that a web-based repository for surveillance data on objectively measured PA be developed to better compile, evaluate and disseminate the scientific evidence in this field.
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