Vaccination has played a significant role in controlling and eliminating life-threatening infectious diseases throughout the world, and yet currently licensed vaccines represent only the tip of the iceberg in terms of controlling human pathogens. However, as we discuss in this Review, the arrival of the genome era has revolutionized vaccine development and catalyzed a shift from conventional culture-based approaches to genome-based vaccinology. The availability of complete bacterial genomes has led to the development and application of high-throughput analyses that enable rapid targeted identification of novel vaccine antigens. Furthermore, structural vaccinology is emerging as a powerful tool for the rational design or modification of vaccine antigens to improve their immunogenicity and safety.

**Introduction: genomics, the foundation of new vaccine principles**

Vaccination is considered to be one of the public health interventions that has had the greatest impact on world health, yet infectious disease remains the leading cause of death worldwide and the vaccines in use today represent only the tip of the iceberg when considering the number of diseases that need to be targeted (Figure 1A). However, the arrival of the genome era has radically changed the way identification of vaccine candidates is approached (Figure 1B). As a result of technological revolutions and shifting paradigms, we have entered a renaissance in vaccine development in which rapid targeted identification of novel vaccine antigens is possible through large-scale high-throughput genomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic analyses (Figure 2 and Table 1).

Since the origin of modern vaccination in 1796, with the discovery of the smallpox vaccine, there have been numerous technological advances and breakthroughs in the fight against infectious disease (1–3). However, most successful vaccines have been developed using conventional methods that follow the paradigm established by Pasteur over a century ago, namely to “isolate, inactivate, and inject” the disease-causing microorganism. Hence, most vaccines available for human use consist of either whole microorganisms (either killed or live attenuated) or purified subunits of a microorganism; only a small number are based on recombinantly produced antigens. Furthermore, available vaccines primarily target microorganisms that have little or no antigenic diversity or variability and for which vaccination induces antibody-mediated protective immunity (e.g., the microorganisms that cause polio and diphtheria) (Figure 1A) (4, 5). Conventional vaccinology has often proven to be inadequate in the development of vaccines for those pathogens that are antigenically diverse, those that cannot be cultivated in the laboratory, those that lack suitable animal models of infection, and/or those that are controlled by mucosal or T cell-dependent immune responses (Figure 1B) (4, 6).

The genome era, initiated with the completion of the first bacterial genome, that of *Haemophilus influenzae* in 1995 (7), catalyzed a long overdue revolution in vaccine development. Advances in sequencing technology and bioinformatics have resulted in an exponential growth of genome sequence information, and at least one genome sequence is now available for each major human pathogen. As of August 2009, more than 880 bacterial genomes have been completed and more than 2700 are ongoing (GOLD Genomes OnLine Database, http://www.genomesonline.org/gold.cgi; NCBI: Microbial Genomes, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/MICROBES/microbial_taxtree.html; JCVI-CMR Comprehensive Microbial Resource, http://cmr.jcvi.org/tigr-scripts/CMR/shared/Genomes.cgi.; ref. 8). The application of genome analysis to vaccine development, a concept termed “reverse vaccinology,” initiated a positive feedback loop in terms of the development and application of novel approaches to the field of vaccinology. As a result, it is becoming possible to systematically examine almost every aspect of a pathogen and its interactions with the host immune system in the search for vaccine candidates (Figure 2; Table 1). Reverse vaccinology applied to the genome of a pathogen aims to identify the complete repertoire of antigens that an organism is capable of expressing on its surface. Transcriptomics and proteomics enable the investigation of the array of antigens actually expressed by a pathogen under specified conditions, by examining the mRNA and protein of the organism, respectively. Analysis can also focus on the subset of proteins that are surface exposed (surface proteome) or the subset of genes that are functionally important for infection (functional genomics). Newer fields of study are focused on elucidating the set of antigens that interact with the host immune system and the mechanisms involved in these interactions (immunomics), the structural epitopes of immunogenic antigens (structural vaccinology), and the way in which individual host immune systems respond to a vaccine (vaccinomics). While each of these approaches has limitations (Table 1), they have all emerged as powerful tools in vaccine development. Here, we outline these approaches and the way in which vaccinology in the genome era is bringing us closer to developing vaccines that were previously out of reach.

**Reverse vaccinology**

Classical reverse vaccinology: from one genome to a comprehensive serogroup B meningococcal vaccine. The genome sequence of a microorganism provides unprecedented access to the complete repertoire of its antigens, from which vaccine candidates can be selected through rapid and intelligent screening processes. Serogroup B *Neisseria meningitidis* (MenB), the most common cause of meningococcal disease in the developed world, is the prototypic example of an organism for which several decades of conventional vaccine development failed to produce a comprehensive vaccine (reviewed in ref. 9), yet the use of reverse vaccinology by Novartis Vaccines identified more vaccine candidates in 18 months than had been discovered during the previous 40 years (10), and this has driven vaccine development into clinical development (Figure 3).
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MenB vaccine candidates identified by conventional approaches, all of which have failed to produce a clinically useful vaccine, can be subdivided based on the reasons for the failure. First, although the capsule polysaccharides of *N. meningitidis* serogroups other than serogroup B (specifically serogroups A, C, Y, and W-135) have been successfully used to make conventional vaccines for these pathogens, the capsule polysaccharide of MenB failed to be a suitable vaccine candidate because it is identical to human polysialic acid and is therefore poorly immunogenic (11, 12). Second, a number of MenB vaccine candidates identified by conventional approaches proved to be hypervariable and/or poorly conserved between the diverse MenB strains that cause endemic disease, making it unlikely that they would provide broad protection against MenB (13).

In 2000, a new resource for meningococcal vaccine development became available with completion of the genome of the virulent MenB strain MC58, giving in silico access to 2158 predicted ORFs (an oil-in-water emulsion), respectively (16). Initial phase II clinical results in adults and infants indicated that this vaccine was well tolerated and induced a protective immune response against three diverse MenB strains in 89%–96% of subjects following three vaccinations and 93%–100% after four vaccinations (17). This vaccine therefore has the potential to provide broad protection to infants against MenB infections, and phase III clinical testing began in the first quarter of 2008.

This initial success of reverse vaccinology in developing a vaccine for MenB served as a proof-of-concept for this approach and catalyzed a paradigm shift in vaccine development. This process is independent of several of the constraints of classical vaccinology, such as the need to culture the pathogen in vitro. Genome-based vaccine discovery projects have since been initiated for a range of pathogens, including *Streptococcus agalactiae* (18), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (19), *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (20), *Chlamydia pneumoniae* (21), *Bacillus anthracis* (22, 23), and *Brucella melitensis* (24). These projects are based on stand-alone reverse vaccinology approaches, refined reverse vaccinology approaches (e.g., using pangenomics), and/or a combination of genomic, proteomic, and transcriptomic approaches.

**Pan-genomic reverse vaccinology:** a universal vaccine against group B *Streptococcus*. Comparative analyses of the genomes of multiple isolates of a bacterial pathogen and of closely related pathogenic and nonpathogenic bacteria are of considerable scientific interest, not only for the information gained regarding genome size, gene content, and gene conservation or variability among different strains and their associated diseases, but also for the implications for effective vaccine and drug-discovery programs. Multi-genome comparisons can be performed using either genome sequence- or microarray-based methods.

---

**Figure 1**
Schematic overview of conventional vaccinology versus vaccinology in the genome era. (A) Most licensed vaccines target pathogens that have low antigenic variability and pathogens for which protection depends on antibody-mediated immunity. These vaccines have typically been developed using conventional vaccinology. (B) Several pathogens are shown for which no vaccine is available, due to either their high antigenic variability and/or the need to induce T cell–dependent immunity to elicit protection. New approaches are being applied to vaccine development for these pathogens in the genome era. Vaccines/diseases shown in the figure are selected examples of each category and are not a complete list. TB, *M. tuberculosis*. 
Serotypes causing invasive diseases, were generated (27). With inevitable implications for pathogenesis, vaccine design, and a similar number of predicted genes, the analysis revealed an undefined definition of the species. Although the eight GBS strains revealed sequences of an additional six strains, representing the major that are expected to play a role in disease, such as transcriptional regulators and surface proteins (26). Hence, the complete genome sequences of an additional six strains, representing the major serotypes causing invasive diseases, were generated (27). Comparative analysis of the eight complete GBS genomes represented a revolution in genomics and led to the introduction of the pan-genome concept to define the global gene repertoire of a species, with inevitable implications for pathogenesis, vaccine design, and definition of the species. Although the eight GBS strains revealed a similar number of predicted genes, the analysis revealed an unexpected degree of intraspecies diversity (27). Each strain contained a core genome that was present in all strains (an average of 1806 genes typically involved in housekeeping functions), a dispensable genome comprising a set of genes present in two or more strains (an average of 439 genes typically with hypothetical or unknown functions), and strain-specific genes found only in a single isolate. The most surprising finding that emerged from these analyses was that the GBS pan-genome is open and theoretically unlimited, and mathematical extrapolations enabled the estimation that for every new GBS genome sequenced, an average of 33 new strain-specific genes will be added to the GBS pan-genome (27, 28).

The identification of protein vaccine candidates suitable for a broadly protective vaccine against GBS infections represents the first important example of how reverse vaccinology has been refined from a classical approach based on one genome sequence to a pan-genome concept that is better able to describe a bacterial species (18). Comparing the complete genome sequences of the eight available GBS strains and using bioinformatics algorithms, Maione and coworkers at Novartis Vaccines selected a total of 589 genes encoding putative surface-exposed and secreted proteins from within the GBS pan-genome (18). Among the selected proteins, 396 belonged to the core genome and 193 were present in the dispensable genome. A total of 312 proteins were successively expressed in E. coli as soluble recombinant proteins, and their capacity to elicit protection was evaluated in an active maternal immunization/neonatal challenge model. From this systematic screening, four antigens were selected for their capacity to elicit protection in infant mice. Only one of these antigens, the Sip protein, which had been previously described as a potential vaccine candidate (29), belonged to the core genome. The other three proteins were part of the dispensable portion of the GBS pan-genome. Nevertheless, the combination of these proteins conferred protection against a large panel of GBS strains representative of all circulating serotypes (18). This work showed the importance of screening more than one genome for the identification of a broadly
### Table 1
Approaches used in the genome era to identify vaccine candidates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Question(s) addressed</th>
<th>Methods used</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genomics/ reverse vaccinology</td>
<td>Analysis of all the genetic material of an organism</td>
<td>What is the repertoire of protein antigens that an organism has the potential to express?</td>
<td>Bioinformatic screening of a genome sequence, followed by expression of ORFs predicted to be surface exposed, generation of antibodies in mice to confirm surface exposure and investigate antibody-mediated protection (120)</td>
<td>Prediction programs have not been systematically validated</td>
<td>MenB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficult to predict how sequences translate to functional proteins and the conditions under which candidate antigens are expressed</td>
<td>(10, 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Requires high-throughput cloning and protein expression for validation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan-genomics</td>
<td>Analysis of all the genetic material of several organisms of a single species</td>
<td>Will the antigens identified from a single strain cover the diversity of an organism?</td>
<td>Similar to reverse vaccinology, but ORFs are chosen based on screening of genomes from multiple strains (118)</td>
<td>Sequences of multiple isolates of a species is required</td>
<td>GBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Similar limitations as above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptomics</td>
<td>Analysis of the complete set of RNA transcripts expressed by an organism under a specified condition</td>
<td>What genes are expressed during specific conditions?</td>
<td>Gene expression is evaluated under specific in vitro or in vivo conditions using DNA-based microarray or new generation sequencing techniques (121)</td>
<td>There is no direct correlation between mRNA and protein level</td>
<td>MenB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In vivo studies are limited by the need for relatively large amounts of mRNA</td>
<td>(44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Need for genetic tools, acceptance of transposons, and natural competence</td>
<td>H. pylori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional genomics</td>
<td>Analysis of the role of genes and proteins</td>
<td>What genes are required for survival during specific conditions?</td>
<td>Genes that are functionally essential in specific in vitro or in vivo conditions are determined based on gene inhibition followed by screening of mutants in animal models or cell culture to identify attenuated clones (57)</td>
<td>Proteins with low abundance, low solubility (especially membrane proteins), or that are only expressed in vivo are missed</td>
<td>GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor understanding of how amino acid sequences translate into functional conformation</td>
<td>(68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteomics</td>
<td>Analysis of the complete set of proteins expressed by an organism under a specified condition</td>
<td>What is the repertoire of protein antigens that an organism expresses or that are expressed on its surface?</td>
<td>2D-PAGE, MS, and chromatographic techniques to identify proteins from whole-cell fractions, fractionated samples, or to identify proteins that have been “shaved” off the bacterial surface using proteolytic enzymes (122)</td>
<td>Proteins with low abundance, low solubility (especially membrane proteins), or that are only expressed in vivo are missed</td>
<td>GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor understanding of how amino acid sequences translate into functional conformation</td>
<td>(68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunomics</td>
<td>Analysis of the subset of proteins and epitopes that interact with the host immune system</td>
<td>What is the repertoire of antigenic proteins that interact with and can induce the host immune system?</td>
<td>Analysis of seroreactivity of proteins, using 2D-PAGE, phage display libraries, or protein microarrays, probed with host sera (70, 71)</td>
<td>Potential bias for sequences that cannot be displayed</td>
<td>S. aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Large conformational epitopes assembled as a result of interaction of amino acid residues far apart on the primary sequence may not be detected</td>
<td>(75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural vaccinology</td>
<td>Analysis of the 3D structure of the proteins of an organism</td>
<td>What is the 3D structure of an antigen/epitope, and how does it interact with protective antibodies?</td>
<td>Structure of proteins determined using NMR or crystallography in the presence and absence of antibodies or therapeutics (96)</td>
<td>Poor understanding of what determines immunogenicity and immunodominance as well as structure-function relationships</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethical issues of “personalized” medicine</td>
<td>MMR vaccine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinomics</td>
<td>Analysis of human immune response phenotypes and gene polymorphisms</td>
<td>How does the host immune system respond to a vaccine?</td>
<td>Investigation of heterogeneity in host genetic markers at the individual or population level that may alter humoral, cell-mediated, and/or innate immune responses to vaccines (114)</td>
<td>Immense diversity of human immune response</td>
<td>(115)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**ORFs**: Open Reading Frames
**mRNA**: Messenger RNA
protective vaccine against pathogens, such as GBS, with highly variable circulating strains.

The implications of open, closed, and finite pan-genomes in vaccine development. With the exponential increase in recent years of the number of species for which multiple complete genome sequences are available, it has been possible to apply the GBS pan-genome model to many other pathogens (28). The open pan-genome model is valid for \textit{E. coli} (30); however, the pan-genome of \textit{B. anthracis} can be fully described by only four genomes and is therefore considered “closed” (31). This probably reflects the fact that \textit{B. anthracis} is a highly clonal species that recently evolved from \textit{Bacillus cereus}.

Recently, a new “finite” pan-genome (named a supragenome) has been proposed based on comparison of 13 strains of \textit{H. influenzae} (32) and 17 strains of \textit{S. pneumoniae} (33). Using the assumption that dispensable genes are not accumulated in the population with equal probability, the authors predict that the overall number of genes within these species is limited and a finite number of new genomes would be sufficient to define the complete supragenome of these species. Irrespective of the pan-genome size, it is evident that a species cannot be characterized by a single genome sequence. Although the core genes, generally highly conserved and present in all strains, represent the most desirable source of potentially universal antigens, the group of dispensable genes, even though not present in all strains, might be an endless source of important virulence factors that exploited in appropriate combinations might elicit a broad immune response.

\textbf{Discovery of new structures and functions: pili in pathogenic streptococci as promising vaccine candidates.} The recent identification of pili (long filamentous appendages that extend from the bacterial cell surface; ref. 34) in the main pathogenic strains of streptococci, facilitated by the identification of a characteristic gene organization in pilus islands, represents an example of the impact of genomics in accelerating the discovery of promising vaccine candidates. Three protective antigens of GBS identified at Novartis Vaccines by pan-genomic reverse vaccinology assemble into high–molecular weight polymers visible by EM as pilus-like structures (35). Furthermore, three pilus islands have been discovered in GBS that encode structurally distinct pilus types (36). Each pilus contains two antigens capable of eliciting protective immunity in mice (37). Typical pilus regions have also been identified in the complete available genomes of group A \textit{Streptococcus} (GAS), and a combination of recombinant pilus proteins was shown to confer protection in mice against mucosal challenge with virulent GAS isolates (38). The availability of multiple complete genome sequences for \textit{S. pneumoniae} has also allowed the discovery of two pilus islands that contribute to adherence to lung epithelial cells as well as to colonization in a murine model of infection, where they elicit host inflammatory responses (39, 40). In addition, the \textit{S. pneumoniae} pilus subunits are immunogenic in mice and are able to confer protection in passive and active immunization models (41).
Although the components of pili have long been regarded as potential targets of vaccine development due to their essential role in colonizing host tissue, the pilus-based vaccines tested so far have unfortunately failed due to the high variability of their protein antigens and their inability to induce protection against heterologous strains (34). Nevertheless, the presence of pili that contain protective antigens in all three principal streptococcal pathogens provides support for the hypothesis that these structures play an important role in virulence, a notion that has proven controversial. Of clear interest is the recent demonstration that, at least for GBS, the variability of pili is limited and that a combination of only three pilin subunits induces broad protective immunity against GBS strains in mice: information that was extrapolated to calculate that a vaccine containing pilus components from all three islands could confer protection against 94% of GBS strains (37). Further, it has been estimated, using sequence and epidemiologic data, that a vaccine comprising a combination of 12 pilin variants could theoretically protect against more than 90% of currently circulating GAS strains (42).

Transcriptomics: expression analysis reveals vaccine candidates

The availability of complete microbial genome sequences has facilitated the design of comprehensive DNA-based microarray chips, which have been exploited in various ways for vaccine development. The transcriptome of a pathogen (the complete set of RNA transcripts expressed under a specified condition) can be analyzed to identify and characterize the expression of potential antigens important for pathogenesis and/or survival in the host (43).

Once again, MenB serves as a prototypic example of the application of microarray-based transcriptional profiling to identify novel vaccine candidates (44). Analysis of the MenB transcriptome during adhesion to host epithelial cells led to the identification of 189 genes with increased expression under conditions that mimicked in vivo host-pathogen interactions (44). Twelve of these genes were confirmed by FACS analysis to express surface proteins accessible to the immune system (with four of these being detected only after adhesion to epithelial cells), five of which induced protective antibodies in mice. Transcriptional profiling of MenB, performed during exposure to human serum and endothelial cells (45), iron limitation (46), and oxygen starvation (47), has identified several additional genes predicted to encode proteins involved in pathogenesis and has implied the potential function of several uncharacterized genes based on their expression during specific experimental conditions.

Several interesting variations of microarray-based approaches have since been used and are highlighted here. Genes expressed by the cholera-causing bacterium *Vibrio cholerae* during human infection were identified using bacteria directly isolated from deceased individuals (48). Comparison of the transcriptome of *V. cholerae* isolated from patient stool samples with that of bacteria grown in vitro greatly increased the understanding of the hyperinfectious state that is seen after passage of bacteria through the human gastrointestinal tract (48). Furthermore, transcriptional comparison of the *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolate UAMS-1 with the prototype laboratory strain RN6390 revealed substantial differences in expression of genes encoding surface proteins (high in UAMS-1) and those encoding proteins involved in exotoxin production (low in UAMS-1) (49), providing insights into pathogenesis and highlighting the importance of studying clinically relevant strains for vaccine development. The transcriptome of *S. pneumoniae* during interaction with human macrophages (50) and human lung epithelial cells (51) has been determined, and additional analyses have been undertaken to compare the transcriptome of an encapsulated pathogenic strain with that of an unencapsulated avirulent strain during association with lung cells to help understand differences between pathogenic and commensal strains of the bacterium. Further, the transcription profile of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* during the course of early tuberculosis in immunocompetent BALB/c and SCID mice revealed a set of 67 genes activated exclusively in the lungs of immunocompetent BALB/c mice, providing an insight into the bacterial response to the host immune system (52).

The application of transcriptome analysis to vaccine development is expected to greatly advance with improving technologies for differentially extracting microbial RNA from tissues during in vivo experiments (53, 54). The increasing availability of microarrays (e.g., arrays for 39 different pathogens are available free of cost from the J. Craig Venter Institute: Pathogen Functional Genomics Resource Center; http://pfgc.jvci.org/index.php/microarray/available_microarrays.html) and the new wave of microarray-independent gene-expression analyses based on advances in ultra-high-throughput pyrosequencing technology, which have enabled the rapid sequencing of cDNA and quantification of sequence reads (55, 56), should enable further transcriptome-based advances in vaccine development.

Functional genomics: essential genes as vaccine candidates

High-throughput functional screens can now be performed on a genomic scale by combining whole-genome microarrays and comprehensive ordered libraries of mutants, enabling identification of specific vaccine candidates based on genes essential for microorganism survival and/or pathogenesis. These screens have also proven highly valuable in assigning functions to the numerous uncharacterized ORFs identified in genome sequences and in identifying mutants that could serve as live vaccines or delivery systems for heterologous antigens (57).

Several functional genomics approaches are based on the inhibition of genes using transposon mutagenesis approaches (i.e., strategies that utilize the ability of a transposon to insert into a gene and inactivate it), such as signature-tagged mutagenesis (STM), genome analysis and mapping by in vitro transposition (GAMBIT), and transposon site hybridization (TraSH), followed by the screening of mutants in animal models or cell culture to identify attenuated clones (reviewed in refs. 58, 59). STM has been applied to more than 30 pathogens, including MenB, where 65 novel genes required for infection that causes septicemia in infant rats were identified (60), and *Helicobacter pylori*, where 47 genes essential for colonization of the gerbil stomach were identified (61). Also of interest have been studies using TraSH to identify the mechanisms by which *M. tuberculosis* persists in the host (62) and those using GAMBIT to determine the complete set of genes required by *H. influenzae* for growth and viability in vitro (63). For pathogens that do not readily accept transposons, such as *S. aureus* (64) and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (65), antisense RNA–mediated transcriptional attenuation has been used to identify genes that are essential for infection and/or pathogenesis.

In vivo expression technology (IVET) and recombinase-based in vivo expression technology (RIVET) are alternative gene-expression techniques that have greatly benefited from the availability...
of genome sequences and advances in screening methods. These approaches enable identification of microbial promoters, from a library of transcriptional fusions of genomic DNA to a reporter gene, that are specifically induced during infection (66). For example, application of RIVET to an attenuated *V. cholerae* strain (CVD110) identified 217 genes induced in human volunteers; many of these were specifically induced following human infection and not in mouse models of infection (67).

### Proteomics: a complement to genomics for vaccine development

In the genomic era, proteomics-based approaches have rapidly developed and are now widely considered to be effective technologies that are complementary to classical genomic-based approaches for discovering surface-associated, immunogenic proteins that could be potential vaccine candidates. The availability of a constantly increasing number of complete genome sequences has enabled the proteomic community to rapidly and specifically identify proteins of interest from discrete cell compartments. 2D-PAGE coupled to mass spectrometry (MS), chromatographic techniques, and protein arrays are the principal proteomic methods used for analyzing, usually in high-throughput mode, the complete protein profile of a microorganism, including protein localization, protein-protein interactions, posttranslational modifications, and differential expression in specified conditions.

For most bacterial pathogens, proteins able to elicit a protective immune response are either secreted proteins or surface-exposed proteins, and these represent the most promising vaccine candidates. In silico analysis of the complete sequence of several bacterial genomes predicts that surface-associated proteins constitute 30%–40% of all bacterial proteins. Using this information, a novel proteomic-based approach was developed by Rodriguez-Ortega et al. (68) to specifically isolate bacterial surface proteins. The method uses proteolytic enzymes to “shave” the bacterial surface under conditions that preserve cell viability, and the peptides released are analyzed by MS. The peptide sequences are then matched with predicted gene sequences from published genomes, permitting a fast and selective identification of all proteins partially or entirely exposed on the bacterial surface. The effectiveness of this approach is supported by the fact that 95% of the 72 proteins identified in this way from the completely sequenced M1-SF370 strain of GAS (69) were predicted to be cell wall proteins, lipoproteins, transmembrane-spanning proteins, and secreted proteins and included most of the protective antigens described to date plus a novel protective antigen. Surface exposure of most of these proteins was confirmed by FACS analysis (68).

### Immunomics: identification of immunogenic proteins

Circulating human antibodies induced by exposure of the host immune system to a pathogen represent a molecular imprint of the specific set of proteins able to elicit a humoral immune response during the course of infection. Therefore, a combination of genomic/proteomic-based approaches and serological analysis can identify potential vaccine candidates and provide effective validation of these candidates. The set of proteins identified by this approach, which are able to elicit a humoral immune response during the course of infection, represents the “immunoproteome” or “antigenome” of that pathogen (70, 71). A number of methods have been developed to enable high-throughput display of the proteome of a pathogen to the host immune system.

Immunomics (also known as serological proteome analysis [SERPA]) combines proteomic-based approaches with serological analysis and has been widely applied for antigen discovery and vaccine development (72–74). Applied to *S. aureus*, this approach led to the identification of 15 highly immunogenic proteins, including known and novel vaccine candidates (75). Surface proteins derived from *S. aureus* grown in vitro under various conditions were first resolved by 2D-PAGE, transferred onto a membrane, and then blotted with sera from either healthy individuals or *S. aureus*-infected patients preselected for the presence of antibodies specific for staphylococcal proteins. Highly reactive protein spots were then identified by MS analysis (75). Another example of SERPA combined with in silico analysis, applied to *B. anthracis*, has permitted the identification of a set of 84 immunogenic proteins that are expressed in vivo, providing a pool of potential candidates for vaccine development and diagnostic and therapeutic purposes (76). This approach has also been applied to select immunoreactive proteins from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (77), *Streptococcus pyogenes* (78), *S. pneumoniae* (79), *Streptococcus suis* (80), and *Clostridium difficile* (81).

A limitation of the immunomic approaches is that differentially expressed proteins, such as those dependent on pathogen-host interaction, will not be detected. The use of phage display methods and protein microarray technologies can overcome this limitation and lead to the identification of the complete immunoproteome, where immunogenic polypeptides and proteins can be identified independently of their level of expression in vitro. Bacterial and phage-based expression libraries of either large polypeptides or small peptides encoded by random synthetic oligonucleotides of identical length have frequently been used (82). A novel genome-based approach that combines serological antigen identification and the use of a comprehensive genomic peptide library, potentially expressing all genome-encoded amino acid sequences, has been recently developed and termed antigenomics (83). For library construction, the pathogen genome was randomly sheared into 30- to 300-bp DNA fragments by mechanical and/or enzymatic treatments. The fragments were displayed as diversely sized peptides on the surface of *E. coli* via fusion to one of two outer membrane proteins (LamB and PhuA) and probed with convalescent sera selected for high antibody titers to identify immunogenic antigens. Using this approach, it is theoretically possible to define the global repertoire of all immunogenic proteins, including their antibody-binding sites, that are expressed by a pathogen in vivo, targeted by the human immune system, and therefore likely to induce antibodies in humans if used as vaccine antigens. The limitation of this approach is that conformational epitopes that are assembled as a result of interactions of amino acid residues far apart on the primary sequence may not be detected. Applied for the first time to *S. aureus* (83), this technology has since been extended to other human pathogens, *S. epidermidis, S. agalactiae, S. pyogenes*, and *S. pneumoniae* (71, 84). In addition to identifying most known protective proteins, it has also allowed the identification of novel classes of highly conserved antigens that are potential vaccine candidates. Based on the analysis of the antigenomes of five staphylococcal and streptococcal species, it has been estimated that the antigenome of a particular pathogen typically consists of approximately 100–200 antigens, most of them surface-exposed or secreted proteins (71).

Using protein microarray technologies with comprehensive high-throughput cloning and expression approaches may also rap-
idly lead to generation of the potential global immunoproteome of an infectious microorganism. All individual proteins are spotted onto microarray chips that are probed with sera to obtain immunodominant antigen profiles (85). Examples of protein microarray application are represented by determination of humoral immune response to *Plasmodium falciparum* infection (86), *Francisella tularensis* (87, 88), and *V. cholerae* (89). Another important application of protein microarrays permits the high-throughput examination of protein function by assessing their biochemical activities and interactions with other molecules (90).

The increasing knowledge regarding highly immunoreactive antigens offers useful information for further investigation of potential associations between specific immune responses and diseases (91–94). In addition, the comparison of multiple immunoproteomes may allow the discovery of immunogenic structural features shared and conserved between different pathogens, which could form the basis of broadly protective multispecies vaccines.

**Structural vaccinology: the next generation of epitope mapping and vaccine design**

Structural biology is increasingly being applied to vaccine development (structural vaccinology), focusing on determining and understanding the structural basis of immunodominant and immunosilent antigens, to enable the rational design of peptide mimetics of bactericidal epitopes (95, 96). The explosion of genome and proteome data, as well as improved protein expression, purification, and structural determination technologies, has led to the rapid development of the field of structural vaccinology (97). Approximately 30,000 high-resolution protein structures are available in public databases (predominantly for soluble proteins), and several initiatives have been established to pursue high-throughput characterization of protein structures on a genome-wide scale; hence, this approach is often referred to as “structural genomics” (98). The structure-based design of antiviral therapeutics is a well-established approach that has led to the development of drugs directed toward the active sites of the HIV-1 protease (99) and influenza neuraminidase (100).

Structural vaccinology is now being used to increase understanding of previously identified vaccine candidates; to identify new vaccine candidates; and to optimize the immunogenicity, stability, safety, and ease of production of promising vaccine antigens for many pathogens (reviewed in ref. 96). For example, structural and antigenic characterization of the HIV envelope has led to an increased understanding of the way in which the viral spike (which is composed of the viral proteins gp120 and gp41) evades the host antibody response (101, 102). For gp120, conformational antibody epitopes were found to be CD4 induced (103). To base vaccines on epitopes that exist only transiently during certain stages of the infection cycle, such as during contact with CD4, it is necessary to stabilize these antigen conformations by adding either disulfide bonds or other cross-links. However, high-resolution mapping of gp120-antibody complexes has revealed that a neutralizing antibody, b12, targets a conformationally invariant region of gp120 that is involved in binding to CD4 (104). Furthermore, another broadly neutralizing antibody, 2G12, which recognizes a carbohydrate epitope on gp120, has recently been mutated based on structural information, leading to an increased ratio of 2G12 dimer to monomer formation, thereby increasing its neutralization potency (105).

Even though these broadly protective antibodies have been identified, the problem remains that infection with HIV typically leads to an immune response against highly variable immunodominant epitopes (e.g., the V1, V2, and V3 loops of the envelope glycoprotein gp160) that does not provide protection against diverse strains. However, immune refocusing technology (i.e., engineering of an antigen to direct the immune system to new epitopes) has been used to remove or dampen the immunodominant gp160 epitopes in order to allow the host to respond to epitopes that were previously subdominant (ref. 106; reviewed in ref. 107). Engineered gp160, in which amino acids in V1 and V3 have been glycosylated, deleted, and/or substituted, induces comparable levels of gp160-specific antibodies as the wild-type unmodified antigen. The antibodies induced by both the modified and unmodified gp160 have similar neutralizing activity against homologous strains; however, those induced by the modified protein have improved activity against heterologous strains due to the fact that a portion of the immune response is redirected to epitopes that are conserved between strains (106). This approach is also being used to engineer more broadly protective vaccine candidates for influenza, rhinovirus, and foot-and-mouth disease virus (107).

The structure of fHBP, one of the MenB antigens identified by reverse vaccinology to elicit protective human immune responses, has enabled the identification of epitopes recognized by bactericidal fHBP-specific antibodies raised against fHBP variants, and these epitopes have been used as the basis for engineering a chimeric fHBP vaccine candidate (108–110). The application of available structural information, without the need for a complete antigen-antibody structure, has also proven valuable in mapping protective epitopes through analysis of phage display libraries (111) and mutants that escape immune neutralization (112). An alternative approach involving the high-throughput modification of proteins and their screening for immunogenicity is predicted to evolve with advancing techniques (96).

**Vaccinomics: human responses to vaccination**

On the other side of the coin, human genomics may have a role in vaccine design. Vaccinomics refers to the investigation of heterogeneity in host genetic markers at the individual or population level that may result in variations in humoral, cell-mediated, and/or innate immune responses to vaccines, with the hope of predicting and optimizing vaccine outcomes (i.e., maximizing the immune response and minimizing vaccine failure and adverse events) (113, 114). This field has emerged in light of the availability of the human genome sequence, the International HapMap Project (which is investigating genetic similarities and differences between humans), and new tools that allow high-throughput detection of gene variations, such as SNP and linkage-disequilibrium maps. For example, HLA genotyping has revealed associations between HLA class and MMR (measles, mumps, and rubella) vaccine outcomes (115). The ability to predict vaccine responses may eventually allow physicians to determine whether to give a vaccine (i.e., is a patient genetically susceptible to a disease or the possible adverse effects of the vaccine?) and if so, what dose and schedule to use.

**Conclusions and future directions**

The arrival of the genome era and the inception of reverse vaccinology have shifted the paradigm of vaccine development from conventional culture-based methods to high-throughput genome-based approaches (Figure 1 and Table 1). Several years into the genome-based vaccine revolution, we have a previously unimagi-
surface proteome, and immunoproteome, all of which can be analyzed to discover novel vaccine antigens (Figure 2). Furthermore, the approaches used for vaccine development are continuously being refined based on improved understanding of microbial physiology, epidemiology, evolution, virulence, and host-pathogen interactions as well as increased understanding of the complexity of microbial communities and the scale of microbial intra- and interspecies diversity (116–119). One, or a combination, of these approaches now typically drives vaccine discovery projects, with the approach used being heavily dependent on the characteristics of the target pathogen. For example, a classical reverse vaccinology screening approach may be sufficient for a species that cannot be cultured and has low antigenic diversity, whereas a refined reverse vaccinology approach using pan-genomic analysis may be required for antigenically variable and diverse species. On the other hand, a transcriptomic, functional genomic, or proteomic approach may provide a faster route to antigen selection in some cases by narrowing the pool of candidates to be assessed, but these approaches may be limited by species diversity and may also be dependent on the availability of a valid animal model to ensure that the antigens expressed under the conditions studied are relevant to human infection. Finally, the ability to screen antigens for their immunogenicity and to rationally design antigens for increased immunogenicity will aid vaccine development as the fields of immunomics and structural vaccinology continue to advance. The progress made in the genomic and postgenomic era has finally put the realization of vaccines for many pathogens within reach. Indeed, in the coming years, vaccines are set to have an even greater impact on world health than they currently do.
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