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Abstract

The Meryton Association (MA) is a medium sized non-government agency located in Brisbane, Australia. MA provides support to people with so-called “mild” or “borderline” intellectual disabilities, actively assisting this group to build relationships, resources, knowledge and autonomy in their everyday lives. They are a group that usually do not meet eligibility criteria for specialist disability services, but are high users of many generalist services, such as mental health, child protection and criminal justice systems. This paper explores the opportunities and challenges of MA’s practice approach with this group using qualitative in-depth interviews with MA staff. The paper proposes that specialist services are needed using a developmental approach that stresses the importance of relationship and the need to practice gentleness and hope in social worker-client interaction.

The Lived Experience of People with Mild to Borderline Intellectual Disabilities
“Intellectual disability” is the term most frequently used in the contemporary Australian context for people with significant learning difficulties. The American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (AAIDD) (2010) definition is often used: a disability “characterised by significant limitations both in intellectual functioning and adaptive behaviour as expressed in conceptual, social, and practical skills” (para. 2). The disability is said to originate before the age of eighteen. IQ tests and assessments of adaptive functioning are the usual means of measuring intellectual functioning, determining access to services, and assessing a person’s decision-making capacity and support needs (Uzieblo, Winter, Vanderfaeillie, Rossi, & Magez, 2012).

This paper focuses on the service needs of people with borderline (IQ range 70-80) and mild intellectual impairment (IQ range 50-69) (World Health Organisation, 2007). In 2003, approximately 3% of the Australian population had an intellectual disability within the severe to mild range of impairment. If people with borderline impairment are included in this figure, it would increase to 13% of the population (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2008).

Research in relation to people with intellectual disability often fails to distinguish between different levels of impairment. For example, in a recent literature review by Allerton, Welch and Emerson (2011) of over 1500 articles on the health needs of children and young people with intellectual disability, there is only one reference made to the particular needs of people with mild intellectual disability and no reference to people with borderline intellectual disability. Edgerton (2001) p. 3 attests, “Perhaps the most sobering realization is that the majority of these individuals... are not cited in the research literature nor are they known to the mental retardation/developmental disabilities service delivery system.” However the needs of this group can be quite different from those experienced by people with more marked levels of intellectual impairment.

People with mild and borderline intellectual disabilities experience many life challenges arising from their impairment and from society’s disabling responses to that
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impairment. Having an intellectual disability can create difficulties in understanding and retaining complex ideas, interacting with others, and engaging in everyday problem-solving (Wehmeyer et al., 2008; Hartley & MacLean, 2009; Van der Molen, Van Luit, Van der Molen, & Jongmans, 2010). In addition, living in contemporary society presents many everyday challenges. These challenges include high rates of loneliness (Gillan & Coughlan, 2010) and low levels of income (Snell & Luckasson, 2009). Consequently people with intellectual disability are vulnerable to a range of challenging issues. They may have difficulties accessing public housing, are likely to have insufficient income to pay rent in the private rental market, and are over-represented among the homeless (Oakes & Davies, 2008). They are less likely to be employed than the general population (Luecking, 2011); and are also significantly more at risk for criminal victimisation than are other members of the general population, including physical, financial, emotional and sexual exploitation (Nettlebeck & Wilson, 2002). They are over-represented as offenders in the criminal justice system, with some studies of the number of prisoners with intellectual disabilities reporting as many as 28.8% of prisoners (Murphy, Harrold, Carey, & Mulrooney, 2000). They can have difficulty accessing appropriate health services, and may be susceptible to developing many chronic health conditions, co-morbid mental illness and substance use problems (Emerson, 2011; Cooper & van der Speck, 2009; Slayter, 2010). Many parents with mild and borderline intellectual disabilities have their children removed and placed into care by child protection agencies (Feldman, McConnell, & Aunos 2012). They are often dependent on generic services which are not usually able to cater successfully to this group’s needs (Weiss & Lunsky, 2010).

The Meryton Association and Developmental Work with People with Mild and Borderline Intellectual Disabilities

The Meryton Association (MA)\(^1\), established in 1987, is a medium sized non-government service located in Brisbane. It currently oversees a range of programs that assist people with a ‘learning difficulty’, intellectual disability or an intellectual disability and

\(^1\) All names of people and organisations are altered
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mental illness; as well as young people at risk of leaving school early; people at risk of homelessness and programs it self-funds\(^2\). It is required to regularly evaluate its practice, has successfully reported against funding guidelines and commissioned evaluations of its programs as part of an ongoing process of service development. MA has developed and publicises its key principles for practice, and a developmental practice framework within which these principles are applied.

The developmental approach developed and used at MA builds on Benn’s (1976) work at the Brotherhood of St Lawrence - “The dignity and worth of the individual, self-determinism and self-actualisation cannot be attained unless people have power over their own life decisions” (Benn, 1976, p. 80). She noted that to achieve the objectives of changing the person’s environment to reduce inequalities rather than getting the individual to adapt to the given situation, a developmental approach was needed, focused on achieving power over resources, relationships, information and decision-making (Benn, 1976:73). This resonates with the approach promoted by the social model of disability (Oliver & Sapey 2006). Their approach also takes account of the need to address the very real issues people experience linked to their impairment. In this regard MA is influenced by work with intentional communities, particularly the work of L’Arche communities - where people with and without intellectual disabilities live together with an emphasis on relationships based on mutuality and trust (http://www.larche.org.au/index.cfm). MA’s approach also reflects core aspects of community development: identifying issues in common, moving private issues to public ones and increasing the capacity of people to use their resources to address these issues.

The research in this paper sought to answer the question: What are the challenges and opportunities for practitioners in supporting people with a mild-borderline intellectual disability using the MA model? The two programs of interest in this study focus on

\(^2\) While MA receives State and Federal disability funding, it has diversified its funding sources through a number of avenues. These include the private sector, community development finance institutions, and businesses run by MA.
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constituents\(^3\) at risk of homelessness and constituents who live independently in the community. Currently these programs work with 65 people and their networks. The constituents supported by these programs live locally, and many have experiences of social disadvantage. They are not representative of all people with mild or borderline intellectual disability. Most have histories of disconnection and/or abuse from their families of origin, many have mental health diagnoses, many have contact with the police and corrections as victims or perpetrators of crime, and when they initially come to MA many are isolated and/or living in very poor housing. All are in receipt of the Disability Support Pension. Their ages currently range between 16 and 49 years.

**Sample and Method**

This qualitative research, conducted by an experienced researcher independent of MA, received ethical approval from the University of Queensland. Eleven out of the fourteen staff employed in the two programs, volunteered to be interviewed\(^4\). Details of participant’s gender, qualifications, time in the organisation and their roles are outlined in Table 1.

**Put Table 1 about here**

A semi-structured interview guide was used to guide workers in their discussion of their practice approaches at MA, highlighting practice issues and challenges, practice strategies and opportunities. This guide was structured in line with MA’s organisational practice framework,\(^5\) outlined later in this paper, to ensure the interviews focused on the work objectives and methods of practice of the organisation. Interviews were recorded, transcribed and analysed thematically using open coding with NVivo 9 qualitative software. The de-identified summaries of individual interviews were shared with MA workers, which

---

\(^3\) The term ‘constituent’ came into use at MA rather than ‘clients or service users’ because people in the organisation wanted to emphasise that the organisation belonged to the people who used its services and existed for them. Constituents and their significant others have formal power in the organisation and are offered membership in MA. One third of MA’s board is reserved for constituents.

\(^4\) The three staff who declined were recent employees.

\(^5\) MA policy documents were used in formulating the interview guide
Practicing gentleness and encouraging hope helped to further refine the analysis and conclusions of the study. The interviews were supplemented with de-identified records of MA’s practice, as well as a secondary analysis of policy and internal research conducted by the organisation.

Findings

Responding to Issues and Needs – Challenges and opportunities with MA’s Organisational Practice Framework

MA’s practice framework has four elements used to enhance people’s capacity to change: building relationships; building resources; building knowledge; and building decision making. Change comes about by addressing these issues in people’s lives. Themes arising from the interviews in the challenges and opportunities of these four areas of practice are next developed.

Building Relationships

MA’s core work involves extending both the reach and range of people’s relationships and widening their web of support. One worker explained, “We work with young people and their significant others… so one person might mean one person or it might mean five people or it might mean twenty people.”

Any relationship work with people with intellectual disability needs to happen at a gentle pace; there are many factors to consider. For example, there may be aspects of the person that other people may not readily warm to, or the person may have considerable anxiety about forming new relationships, even if this is what he or she desires. One worker discussed the need to introduce change slowly with a woman who liked to do craft work.

We’re slowly doing some crafts in her garage, and putting up pictures…She’s never had any visitors apart from her mum to her unit, and she has spoken about a house warming, but then said, oh, no, no. …I reckon this might take another six months or so…doing some craft every week or fortnight, and then building up to maybe inviting people to have a look
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Workers at MA often need to build the courage to ask others in the community to make a commitment to a person. As one worker attested, “...our professional training, if anything... reinforces this sense that people will come and ask me for help... it’s more difficult for people to actually go out and ask others, say, I need your help...”

Inviting people to become involved in a person’s life is done in a number of ways, including recruitment through volunteer organisations, an advertisement in the local paper, or putting up flyers. A more active approach is taken through networking, getting a profile of the kind of person and his or her desired role in the community and then actively approaching a range of people to find the right person.

Helping people to build new relationships in their lives has many benefits as can be demonstrated in this example of facilitating relationships in a person’s home space (Figure 1):

The work should not get in the way of supportive existing relationships, such as those with family members. MA has a role in supporting the building of new understandings in existing relationships in a person’s life, such as assisting in the resolution of conflict or facilitating reconnection.

Workers focus on fostering relationships but there are clear limits around the nature of a worker-constituent relationship. Talking about work with one female constituent the worker highlights the gap between the needs of the person and what can be offered by a worker:

“There (were) 10 phone calls a day and X was trying to keep you on the phone and when you went round, you couldn’t get out of the house.” This worker outlined efforts to come to grips with the issues that would (and did) offer a way forward. This took considerable time and was resolved by establishing a more mutual position in X’s relationships with a wider network of people.
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Relationship work also involves assisting a person with his or her interactions with other service systems they need to utilise. MA workers are continually advocating for their constituents and for greater collaboration between different service systems (Ellem, Wilson, & Chui, 2011). This can be difficult and time consuming, particularly when other agencies fail to understand or take responsibility for issues (Okamoto, 2001).

Relationship work is also an avenue to address issues of safety and security for people with intellectual disability. Keeping people safe involves looking at both the positives and negatives of existing relationships, and seeking to accentuate the positive while putting boundaries around the negative wherever possible. Workers commented that while it may appear easier to discourage a person to associate with certain others, but this is likely to be unrealistic, could undermine the person’s sense of autonomy in life and present unforeseen repercussions in the person’s life. Effective relationship work therefore requires considerable reflection on the part of the worker. The worker may need to suspend his or her own judgement of a situation, resist the urge to take over the relationship, while addressing any exploitation that is occurring. A worker may challenge exploitation that exists within relationships by shining a light on the behaviour:

...letting them [the perpetrator] know in a nice way...this is who I am, I am involved, I am supporting this person... I’m talking to you because you’re obviously a big part of this person’s life right now and I want to meet you and get to know you. And even in the positive way that still lets them know that you’re involved and watching what’s going on…

MA assists people with and without intellectual disability to form intentional groupings (http://www.larche.org.au/index.cfm) to pursue common agendas. Intentional groupings are identified as one mechanism to assist people to save for a range of things including goods and holidays:

So they all save for an individual goal, but there’s a relationship aspect to it where people support each other..They share what they’re saving for. There’s a bit of mutual
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pressure and there are some rules to the group, some structure to it. …you commit to

that.

The Adventure Holiday Group, formed when workers realised that the people they
supported did not have the resources to have their own holiday, is one example of intentional
grouping. It was set up with certain expectations for people, constituents and volunteers, who
wished to join the group. Resources for holidays were acquired outside the group at first, but
now members have the energy and enthusiasm to raise their own funds. The positive
achievement of going on a holiday is something members can draw upon in other aspects of
their lives.

People coming together because they have similar needs can also accentuate those
needs. The act of grouping people with disabilities together is often seen as problematic in the
ideology of disability service provision. As part of his Social Role Valorisation theory,
Wolfensberger (1992) described congregation as a way in which devalued people were kept
separate from mainstream society. While the practice of intentional groupings includes
people without disabilities, there are still many instances where people with intellectual
disabilities come together at MA, as the physical locality of the organisation acts as a base for
many social gatherings. People with intellectual disability who have had a protected life may
be negatively influenced by others with intellectual disability from more disadvantaged
backgrounds.

Relationship building can be challenging for the worker on several levels. For
example, it may be difficult to stay connected to a large number of people. Similar
difficulties can be found in other approaches such as Family-Centred practice (Strock-
Lynskey & Keller, 2007). At times there can be potential confusion over who is the primary
client. Confidentiality within a person’s extended network can also be an ongoing negotiation
with the person with an intellectual disability. Relationship work is complex and all-
encompassing, involving supporting, affirming, re-affirming and re-establishing existing
connections and building new relationships. It involves continual reflection and learning on
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the part of the worker. Because relationships between constituents and workers may take a while to develop and are resource-intensive, there is a danger that constituents can become over-reliant on workers. MA workers need to assess the pros and cons of their work with people, judging it in terms of what people with intellectual disability want to do and what is likely to be most helpful.

The next section examines how MA workers seek to build resources for people with intellectual disability in order to achieve change.

Building Resources

Many people with an intellectual disability supported by MA have difficulty accessing the resources needed to achieve a meaningful life. Fundamental needs such as housing, income, food, clothing, furnishings and transport can be hard-won commodities. However, workers comment that it is a mistake to focus on obtaining these resources without considering the importance of relationships:

You can set up those material things around people but then Dad will come (from outside Brisbane) and they’ll be off with him, and there’d be that frustration of, “Oh God, we did all that work and now they’ve gone and their Dad ditched them and they’re homeless again” … People won’t value (these resources) over the relationships and they’ll be happy to leave it.

MA encourages people with an intellectual disability to discover their gifts, talents and resources and use them for change. A common assumption is often made that people with intellectual disabilities are needy, passive recipients of human services, rather than acknowledging their strengths, abilities and contributions. MA has been influenced by practice based approaches such as Mutualism, Strengths Based Practice and Asset Based Community Development. The organisation encourages relationships of reciprocal obligation and cooperation between people through which each person can engage in change and create
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opportunities (Wilkinson-Maposa, 2008). All of this takes time and effort if staff are not to fall back on the temporary ‘quick fix’:

Worker: It’s not all just a reliance on MA... people feel that they have... an imprint, their sense of their own decision making, because they’ve got resources to do things, and they don’t have to come to us and ask for our permission to do things.

Of course there can be tensions between what the worker and the constituent see as issues, for example around the use of money. As one worker commented, “I don’t think people have raised pawn brokers and credit cards as being bad. It’s more workers seeing it as a problem.”

Many people with an intellectual disability are poor and their poverty is likely to be accentuated if their parents also experienced poverty. MA works to assist people to address poverty in its guises of debt, homelessness, and inadequate income. This has been through both collective and individual utilisation of underutilised gifts and resources and through individual and collective gaining of external resources. Individually people may develop skills in budgeting or, with assistance, attract external funding or affordable housing. Collectively groups such as the workers’ co-op, established to provide training opportunities, also provide important resources for both income generation and a sense of self-worth. Such developmental work requires considerable input from staff who try to balance their use of time to achieve externally funded outputs as well as internally generated projects, while keeping the constituent central to their work processes. It may not always be possible for the worker to adequately balance these competing demands.

MA staff are encouraged to use as many aspects of themselves in their work as possible and allocated projects based on their interests and passions. As one worker described, “The culture of the organisation is all about the potential to create things new...being innovative, having a go at things…”

But there are also several challenges in resource building work. For example, there is a paradox of supporting people to have more control over resources through relinquishing
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control or sharing control with others. A person with an intellectual disability may be
resistant to relinquishing control of their income, at least initially, but may actually achieve a
better quality of life and be able to save for an important holiday if his or her money is
managed by the Public Trust. Another challenge for workers is being able to support people
to share their gifts in a society that does not value those gifts. An employee with an
intellectual disability may only be able to work at a certain pace and for a few hours per week,
but this is often not recognised or valued by employers.

Building Knowledge
People with intellectual disability can achieve positive change in their lives if they are
supported to develop knowledge about issues that are important to their everyday lives. The
process of building knowledge is another element to MA’s practice framework which
recognises that there are different forms of knowledge development, which can include
technical knowledge, knowledge from story or example, experiential knowledge and
knowledge from conscious reflection.

Technical knowledge can be developed by assisting people with intellectual disability
to learn such things as preparing their own meals, keeping a budget or running a meeting.
Much of the learning of these skills is incidental at MA, based upon the needs and desires of
the person with intellectual disability at the time. While there are more structured
mechanisms to assist groups of people with intellectual disability to gain technical
knowledge, such as the Literacy Skills group, even these forums are individualised, with
people developing their own agendas and curriculum. For people with intellectual disability
to learn technical knowledge, the worker must be able to break down tasks into simple
concrete steps and provide repeated opportunities for learning. Patience and persistence are
important worker attributes, as is respecting the person’s needs to feel competent and be
treated as an equal.

Knowledge can also be gained through story-telling, case study or other examples.
Such sources provide a concrete example to people with intellectual disabilities about social
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situations, and can be directed to the needs of the individual and/or group. One worker
mentioned she used the content from the TV series “Home and Away” to provide
opportunities for people to understand relationship issues. People with intellectual disability
at MA have also been encouraged to share their own stories to help others, for example, in the
Talk About Bullying Group, where people shared their schooling experiences with students
across Brisbane. In this instance, workers carefully supported people to overcome any
anxiety they may have felt in sharing their stories to others. Different avenues were often
explored depending on the person’s comfort levels, such as having a worker read the person’s
story or videotaping a person telling their story.

Lived experience can also be a potent teacher and MA also values the use of
experiential knowledge in helping people with intellectual disability to make changes in their
lives. An example was given by a worker in assisting a man with intellectual disability to
better manage the symptoms of his mental illness. The man was able to understand how
certain behaviours were concrete signs of becoming unwell:

We did a bit of an exercise where he described what physically changed when he was
getting unwell. “When I sleep, I sleep with my door open usually. When I’m getting
unwell I close the door. I close the door because the voices are shouting at me and I
want to shut them out.” So, that is a physical thing that is very concrete. “I’ve closed
my bedroom door, I need to let D* know I’m not feeling well before it gets to the
point I’m smashing things.”

Assisting a person to consciously reflect on what is happening in their lives is another
way in which MA assists people with intellectual disability to build knowledge. Many people
need help to understand the emotions they may be experiencing. A worker can provide
important reference points to the person by talking about what he or she observes, or the
worker sharing his or her own feelings. One worker mentioned how many of the people she
supported had very poor oral health, but felt that the discomfort they experienced was a
common experience for everyone. The issue was picked up through observation – for
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example, only eating soft food - and helping the person to become aware of the need to get dental care.

Helping a person with an intellectual disability to develop knowledge also requires considerable reflection on the part of the worker. It can be easy to either over assume a person’s competency, or over assume their inability. There is also the difficulty that people with an intellectual disability, like all of us, can be negatively influenced by the presence of false knowledge in our society - the constant presence of fast food advertising leading people to make poor nutritional choices is an obvious example. Effectively challenging such dominant social messages is very difficult and MA staff noted they had limited success in this regard.

Building Decision Making

A sense of autonomy and self efficacy in one’s life is linked to actively participating in decisions regarding his or her life. Decision making can be individual or collective in nature, and can involve addressing a person’s fears, lack of knowledge and uncertainty. Most workers commented on this aspect of their work as central but challenging. MA recognises that people with intellectual disability may have difficulty articulating what they want, and therefore workers attempt to be truthful to a person’s real expressions. This is through ‘deep listening’ and finding the core messages behind a person’s actions as mentioned previously. The core messages may be very difficult to understand and require a lot of reflection, persistence, and trial and error on the part of the worker. In some instances, the worker may be aware of a situation where the person with intellectual disability is being exploited by others, yet does not want to take action to stop the abuse. The worker needs to take the time to understand the underlying reasons for the person’s reluctance, but not let go of the issue of exploitation. This may involve providing different options to the person that he or she feels comfortable with – such as talking to someone close to the person about an issue, without divulging information that the person does not want to be shared; or the worker talking to the
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other person involved. Below is an example in which a worker supported a woman to make
decisions that would promote her safety (Figure 2):

Put Figure 2 about here

Decisions may also need to be challenged when they impact negatively on the person
or others. Workers noted a common issue is around assisting people with intellectual
disability to develop a sense of self control and healthy boundaries with others. For one man
who had Bipolar Disorder, a worker needed to confront some of the unrealistic cognitions the
man was having and help him to make decisions more slowly without harming others in the
process.

Several issues were raised by workers that need attention when assisting a person with
an intellectual disability in decision making. First, you need to continually reflect on whether
a person is really agreeing or disagreeing with a decision. A person may be experiencing
considerable anxiety and this may affect how they respond. Second, you need to be careful
not to pretend to understand a person when you really may not. Third, you need to avoid
colluding in harmful decisions a person may make. Finally you need to continually question
your own judgment about what actions you may regard as harmful, when they may be
beneficial for the person.

Practitioners’ Accounts of the Overall Challenges in Constituents’ Experiences

When practitioners were asked to describe some of the everyday challenges this group
may experience, it was acknowledged that while each person they worked with was a unique
individual, there were some common issues experienced by most people that presented
practice challenges. Reference was often made to the level of assistance and time needed by
people with intellectual disabilities to engage in everyday activities, as one worker explains:

… a simple thing, like reading a phone number and making a phone call…maybe you
can't retain the phone number in your head long enough to dial the number and if you
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do… you have to remember who to ask for. You have to be confident enough to ask for the person and then be able to articulate what you want… work out the answer that they are giving you… and remember that and then be able to use that information…

There are 100 simple steps to everything you want to do and there’s an opportunity for every little step to be a little bit harder for someone with an intellectual disability.

MA practitioners acknowledged that while people with mild and borderline intellectual disabilities are able to engage in many activities independently compared to people in the lower range of IQ, the level of autonomy available to them presents its own difficulties. For example, a natural desire to be regarded as competent and similar to others without disabilities may lead people to mask their troubles in understanding complex social nuances and abstract concepts in communication. This can result in a failure to understand the person’s behaviour and choices. In particular, service providers may regard people as non-compliant, attention-seeking or unmotivated:

Worker: Generalist services… may not even realise that people have a significant cognitive disability because people can verbalise… They can say ‘yes’ to the workers, who have the great plans about things they should do with their lives.

Workers then may fail to understand why the person does not follow through on such plans and feel that the person isn’t responding to them. The service relationship is likely to break down from these misunderstandings. To be effective relationships must be built on a shared understanding of the goals of practice. Identifying such goals is a further challenge.

Assessing What is Important – Finding the Core Message

Participants noted that a key element in understanding a person is learning about the core messages in his or her life. In their experiences these core messages may be something quite different from those the constituent immediately presents. They may feel fairly powerless in their lives, and therefore feel the need to assert themselves by saying “no” to
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things they actually want. It may take a very long time to understand what a person is really communicating and there can be several core messages. It was acknowledged that this is true for many people, but negotiating from the initial statement of issues to the core issue takes time and skill.

In the example below, a woman with an intellectual disability expresses her need to connect:

Worker: This woman lives in a unit on her own and spends a lot of time on the computer. Really doesn't venture out much... At times she has a real need to connect so she calls up and wants the workers to come around and visit, but if we say could we help her find something to do in the community? Or get involved in a group? We've got volunteers that could get involved. She's like, “No, no, I don't need anyone else; I just want you guys.” So we go “Okay, that’s okay,” that approach clearly wasn't working, but she’d still be ringing up looking for us to connect with her...She's a very proud person as well so that's why she said, “I don't need any one else, I don't need a volunteer.” So we went back to her and said, “Would you be interested in connecting with an unpaid worker; we have people who are happy to help us out and don't want to get paid. They're looking for things to do; they're also interested in learning about what we do?” She said “I’d love to do that,” so it’s putting a different label on the role.

Listening to the underlying messages behind the woman’s actions involved a gentle and intuitive approach. The woman was communicating quite clearly that she felt comfortable with MA workers and that she was feeling bored and lonely. This manifested as numerous phone calls per day, keeping the worker chatting on the phone, and not wanting workers to leave when they visited. Other core needs were having a sense of pride and being able to help others. The solution of the “unpaid worker” was one that listened to the woman’s core messages.
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**Gentleness, Hope and Celebration**

This paper has touched upon some of the practices of the Meryton Association which supports programs and projects to assist people with mild and borderline intellectual disabilities to live meaningful lives. The constituents who receive support from MA tend to be high frequency users of a diverse range of welfare services. While the practice approach outlined in this paper has been effective in the context of MA, further research is needed to examine its applicability to other types of services and service users. In many respects, MA’s approach runs counter to the contemporary economic rationing of many welfare services, and the current emphasis on short-term, episodic support. It has survived in a Neo-Liberal context due to its ability to attract and retain highly creative and skilled workers and ensure effective outcomes for service users who may have previously been rejected by other services.

The MA approach has been informed by the lived experience of people with intellectual disability and is developmental in nature, aiming to build people’s capacities for relationships, resources, knowledge and decision-making. The intent of such practice is to relate to a vulnerable group of people with gentleness and respect, and to instil a sense of hope and celebration for life, which previously may have been missing. Workers who engage in this practice need to be highly reflective, and be willing to embrace very complex situations. They need to prioritise the importance of relationships in their work and be creative in coming up with solutions to the issues presented. The practice framework used at Meryton Association – with its four elements of building relationships, building resources, building knowledge, and building decision-making - is an important guidepost to such a practice.

**Table 1: Details of participating staff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Qualifications</th>
<th>Time at MA</th>
<th>Current Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>BSocWk, Master of Social Work</td>
<td>21 years</td>
<td>Coordinator Meryton Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Qualification</th>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Team Leader/Senior Outreach Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk/BA</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Key Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Key Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Key Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>BSocWk/BA</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>Community Development Worker/Volunteer Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk/BA</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Research worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk/BA</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Key Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk</td>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>Team Leader Community Support Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk/BA/Dip Ed/Master of Social Work</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>Senior Key Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>BSocWk (Hons)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Key Worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1:

**Relationship Building in a Person's Home Space**

A man who had been previously sexually assaulted and robbed by his next door neighbour was still living in constant fear and trauma. Although the man no longer lived in the neighbourhood where the abuse took place, he would still constantly talk about the perpetrator. The worker decided it would be useful to put her energy into creating some positive social experiences for the man, so she started a project with him where they developed a flyer and letter to put in people’s letterboxes. The letter explained that the man really loved dogs and wanted to take neighbours’ dogs for a walk once a fortnight with assistance from his worker:

**Worker:** We got probably 30 copies of the letters and he walked past the street and whenever he heard a dog bark, he said, “Make sure we put one in this letterbox…”

The worker received phone calls from two women in the neighbourhood and an ongoing visit was arranged for morning or afternoon tea:

**Worker:** ...for him, it’s about having someone in the neighbourhood that really cares about him, really loves him, really shows interest on what he’s been up to and how the week has been. So they come along to his birthday party... they just wanted to be part of his life and it’s just really wonderful.

The benefit to the man was that the activity gave him something positive to focus on rather than the trauma of his past.

Figure 2:

**Deciding to Stay Safe**

**Worker:** A woman had some taxi drivers coming over...she was lonely... really, really isolated. The taxi drivers would come and knock on her door and say “Hey, can I come in.” They'd come for a cup of tea. She'd let them in, she loves making people cups of tea, then they wouldn't want to have a cup of tea, they'd want to have sex, which she'd feel obliged to go along with just because she'd let them in... So, first it was about talking about whether she wanted that. “What do you want from these men? What's good about them coming over? What do you not like about it?”... and then her deciding I don't want them to come over, this is not okay and then developing some language around that and some strategies of, “No, you can't come in, you need to leave now, I don't want you here... I am going to call MA, I'm going to call the police,” and so having that strategy of calling them while he is at the door. If he wouldn't go away, also talk to the neighbours and they were able to look out and see if some strange person was knocking on her door that they'd, they would all come out and say, “Hello, what are you doing here, who are you?”
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