Investigating the Effects of Food Available and Climatic Variables on the Animal Host Density of Hemorrhagic Fever with Renal Syndrome in Changsha, China
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Abstract

Background: The transmission of hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) is influenced by population dynamics of its main host, rodents. It is therefore important to better understand rodents’ characteristic in epidemic areas.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We examined the potential impact of food available and climatic variability on HFRS rodent host and developed forecasting models. Monthly rodent density of HFRS host and climate data in Changsha from January 2004 to December 2011 were obtained. Monthly normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and temperature vegetation dryness index (TVDI) for rice paddies were extracted from MODIS data. Cross-correlation analysis were carried out to explore correlation between climatic variables and food available with monthly rodent data. We used auto-regressive integrated moving average model with explanatory variables to examine the independent contribution of climatic variables and food supply to rodent density. The results indicated that relative rodent density of HFRS host was significantly correlated with monthly mean temperatures, monthly accumulative precipitation, TVDI and NDVI with lags of 1–6 months.

Conclusions/Significance: Food available plays a significant role in population fluctuations of HFRS host in Changsha. The model developed in this study has implications for HFRS control and prevention.

Introduction

Hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS), a rodent-borne viral disease caused by different species of hantaviruses, is characterized with fever, hemorrhage, headache, back pain, abdominal pain, and acute kidney injury [1]. HFRS, initially described clinically at the turn of the 20th century, is primarily distributed in the Asian and European continents [2]. In western and central Europe one of the most important hantavirus is Puumala virus [3,4], while in China there are two predominant species of hantavirus, Hantaan and Seoul virus, each of which has co-evolved with a distinct rodent host [5].

HFRS is a serious disease in China, at present, it is endemic in all 31 provinces, autonomous regions and metropolitan areas in mainland China where human cases account for 90% of the total global cases [6]. Through a series of measures that improve environment, vaccinate and control population of rodents, there is a trend towards declined incidence of HFRS in China, but it is still the highest incidence of HFRS in the world, and a total of 53,471 cases were reported from 2006 to 2010 in China [7]. Hunan Province is the province with one of the highest incidence of HFRS in China, and its capital city Changsha bears a large HFRS burden in Hunan Province. The highest incidences in Ningxiang county within Changsha was recorded as 101.68 per 100,000 in 1994 [8].

HFRS is transmitted to human by contact with rodent urine, feces or saliva [3,9]. For this reason, the fluctuations in abundance of rodent host are considered as an important reason for temporal variations in human infections of HFRS. Human nephropathia epidemica (NE) epidemics, a mild form of HFRS, have been observed a close relation with bank vole populations in many countries in Europe [10,11,12]. Human hantavirus epidemics can be accurately predicted solely by the population dynamics of the host, even without knowledge of the degree of hantavirus infection of the involved rodent reservoir [13]. In recent years, the relationship between HFRS and host population have been
observed in China as well. The rise and falls of incidence rate was found generally coincident with rodent density, and a statistical correlation was presented between the incidence rate and rodent density [14]. It is therefore important to know more about the laws between rodents and environment, which have implications for HFRS control and prevention. Population fluctuations generally are driven by a combination of multiple environmental factors [15,16]. The climatic variables and food supply are important indicator for the rodent population [17], the close relationship among rodent population, rainfall and food was found by compared the two rodent species utilizing exploratory analyses of species densities with time series statistical tools [18]. It is therefore necessary to have more knowledge about the dynamics of the rodent host and their interactions with natural environment. However, the quantitative relationship among climatic variables, food available and host population remains to be determined.

The aim of this study is to investigate the effects of climatic variables and food available on the host density of hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome using data from rodent host population dynamics, climatic variables and food available in Changsha. Firstly, time series analyses of monthly rodent data with climatic variables and food available were carried out using autocorrelation analysis and cross-correlation analysis. Secondly, built up Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model to examine the independent contribution of climatic variables and food available to HFRS host dynamics. Finally, we forecasted the changed trend of the rodent population.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The study area covers Changsha, the capital city of Hunan Province in Central China, located between latitude 27°51’ and 28°40’ north, and longitude 114°15’ and 111°53’ (Figure 1). Changsha has a humid subtropical climate, with annual average temperature being 17.2°C. Average annual precipitation is 1,390 mm.

Rodent Data

We conducted a density-of-rodents survey in the residential areas, industrial areas and fields where the rodents may haunt. Rodents of hantaviruses were trapped in Changsha every month from January 2004 to December 2011. There were 19 permanent trapping sites, and a total of 50,376 traps were set from 2004 to 2011. At least 200 traps were placed at each trapping site each night and received in the morning, which is conducted over three consecutive nights: one trap every 5 meters in each row with 50 meters between rows. We used the “Relative rodent density” to describe the combined effect of rodent density:

Relative rodent density

\[ \text{Relative rodent density} = \left( \frac{\text{Number of rodents captured}}{\text{Number of traps}} \right) \times 100\%. \]  

(1)

The number of rodents captured divided by the number of traps placed is that month’s density of rodents. The species of rodent was also identified and Shannon-Wiener diversity index was used to calculate species diversity:

\[ H' = - \sum p_i \ln(p_i), \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, S). \]  

(2)

Where \( p_i \) is proportion of the total number of individuals belonging to species \( i \) and \( S \) is is species richness.

Meteorological Data

From 2004 to 2011, monthly climate data in Changsha were collected from the China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (http://cdc.cma.gov.cn/index.jsp). The climate variables included monthly mean temperature (MT), monthly mean maximum temperature (MaxT), monthly mean minimum temperature (MinT), and monthly accumulative precipitation (AP).

Land-surface Attributes for Food Available

Two indices that characterize habitat quality were extracted from monthly MODIS (Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) data from January 2004 to December 2011 (Figure 2). The MODIS data (MOD11A2 and MOD13A2) was acquired with a spatial resolution of 1000-m from the International Scientific Data Service Platform (http://datamirror.csdb.cn). In this study, MODIS data for the study area were transformed to the UTM-WGS84 50N projection. The land use data are from the Second National Land Survey Data. NDVI and TVDI value for rice paddies were used to reflect the lushness of the vegetation, thus these indices are good indicators of the food available for rodent.

The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) was referred to as a greenness index which represents the vegetation amount and reflects agricultural biomass. The NDVI is calculated using the near-infrared (NIR) and red reflectance bands:

\[ \text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{NIR} - \text{red}}{\text{NIR} + \text{red}}. \]  

(3)

Where red and NIR stand for the spectral reflectance measurements acquired in the red and near-infrared regions, respectively.

The temperature vegetation dryness index (TVDI) has been widely used in soil moisture estimation [19]. The TVDI is estimated using the following equation:

\[ \text{TVDI} = \frac{T_s - T_{s\min}}{a + b \text{NDVI} - T_{s\min}}. \]  

(4)

Where \( T_s \) is the observed LST at a given pixel, \( T_{s\min} \) is the minimum land surface temperature (LST) for a given NDVI, defining the wet edge, \( a \) and \( b \) are parameters defining the dry edge, modeled as a linear fit to data \( (T_{s\max} = a + b \text{NDVI}) \). The TVDI is higher for dry and lower for wet conditions and varies between 0 and 1.

Data Analysis

Time series analyses of monthly rodent data with climatic variables and food available were carried out using autocorrelation analysis and cross-correlation analysis to examine the seasonal and lagged effects in the data sets. The cross-correlation analysis was performed as follows: first, convert one of the series into white noise, and then the second series was filtered by the same filter before computation. The significance of the cross-correlations was assessed on the basis of its two standard error limits (significant at 0.05 level). Climatic variables that did not exhibit significant cross-correlations with the rodent data were excluded from further analysis.

In this study, which incorporates climatic input series is referred as ARIMAX [20], was used to examine the independent contribution of climatic variables and food available to HFRS host dynamics. SARIMA is the ARIMA model that incorporates seasonality, referred as SARIMAX \( p, d, q|\{P, D, Q\} \), where \( p \) indicates the AR order, \( d \) the differencing order and \( q \) the MA
order. P, D and Q indicate the seasonal order of AR, differencing, and MA, respectively. Autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation function (PACF) were performed to analyze any random, stationary and seasonal effects on the time series data. The residuals were further inspected for autocorrelation through ACF and PACF. Goodness of fit was examined through calculated Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) and the mean relative prediction error (MRPE).

\[
MRPE = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\text{predicted value}_t - \text{observed data}_t}{\text{observed data}_t} \right|}{N}
\]

The monthly rodents survey data was divided into two sets: one was used in the fitting process (parameter estimation), and another for prediction. We took the observations in the latest one year as the prediction period. Among the 96 observations in Changsha survey data, we used 84 points for fitting and 12 for prediction. All ARIMA modeling were performed using SAS software, Version 9.1.3 of the SAS System for Windows (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

**Result**

**Description of Population Dynamics**

A total of 812 rodents were captured in residential areas, industrial areas and fields over the study period. The monitoring data shows *Rattus norvegicus* (55.58%) and *Mus musculus* (26.72%) were the most predominant species captured which are the predominant virus host species. Shannon-Wiener diversity index and evenness index of rodent in Changsha from 2004 to 2011 were 0.86 and 0.78 respectively. The highest species diversity of 1.06 was found in 2011. Annual averages across years reveal that major peak months over the study period occurred in June, September and October (Figure 2, Figure 3).
Climate and Food Available with Population Fluctuations

As shown in Table 1, there was a positive correlation between monthly mean temperatures and monthly accumulative precipitation with population density of HFRS host, with the highest correlation coefficients having a lag of 5 and 1 months, respectively. NDVI was positively associated with density of HFRS host with a lag of 3 months. However, there was an inverse correlation between TVDI and rodent density.

Based on the ACF and PACF, we fitted several univariate (S)ARIMA models and found that the best performing models are ARIMA(1,1,2). Thus we chose ARIMA(1,1,2) as the baseline model because all $p$-values of the estimated coefficient are relatively significant ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2).

We further fitted ARIMAX model with the lagged climate and land-surface variables as input series, and the results are summarized in Table 2. For these multivariate models, the best fit MRPE is obtained from ARIMAX(1,1,2) with TVDI as covariate and ARIMAX(1,1,2) model has the best AIC.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Fit</th>
<th>Prediction</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>Environmental variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPRE</td>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>MPRE</td>
<td>Est.</td>
<td>Pr&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2)</td>
<td>0.2252</td>
<td>-624.462</td>
<td>0.1861</td>
<td>0.8237</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1)</td>
<td>0.7375</td>
<td>-531.519</td>
<td>0.4723</td>
<td>-0.5405</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with NDVI</td>
<td>0.2821</td>
<td>-606.063</td>
<td>0.3139</td>
<td>0.8843</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with NDVI</td>
<td>0.7308</td>
<td>-530.312</td>
<td>0.4262</td>
<td>-0.5816</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with AP</td>
<td>0.2801</td>
<td>-620.177</td>
<td>0.2233</td>
<td>0.8289</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with AP</td>
<td>0.7415</td>
<td>-508.31</td>
<td>0.4937</td>
<td>-0.5267</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with LST</td>
<td>0.2499</td>
<td>-584.528</td>
<td>0.4730</td>
<td>-0.8302</td>
<td>0.0938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with LST</td>
<td>0.7425</td>
<td>-523.251</td>
<td>0.4939</td>
<td>-0.5344</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with TVDI</td>
<td>0.1969</td>
<td>-617.186</td>
<td>0.1605</td>
<td>0.8956</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with TVDI</td>
<td>0.7502</td>
<td>-509.659</td>
<td>0.5191</td>
<td>-0.5246</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with NDVI and AP</td>
<td>0.3002</td>
<td>-605.724</td>
<td>0.3291</td>
<td>0.8759</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with NDVI and AP</td>
<td>0.7354</td>
<td>-513.207</td>
<td>0.3031</td>
<td>-0.5852</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with NDVI and LST</td>
<td>0.3015</td>
<td>-592.734</td>
<td>0.3752</td>
<td>0.8629</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with NDVI and LST</td>
<td>0.7309</td>
<td>-528.319</td>
<td>0.4294</td>
<td>-0.5810</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with NDVI and TVDI</td>
<td>0.2610</td>
<td>-607.672</td>
<td>0.3490</td>
<td>0.8461</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with NDVI and TVDI</td>
<td>0.7505</td>
<td>-514.264</td>
<td>0.6376</td>
<td>-0.5729</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with AP and LST</td>
<td>0.3016</td>
<td>-586.775</td>
<td>0.5045</td>
<td>-0.8169</td>
<td>0.0351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Fit</td>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Environmental variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPRE</td>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>Est.</td>
<td>Pr&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with AP and LST</td>
<td>0.7465</td>
<td>506.549</td>
<td>0.4962</td>
<td>-0.5239</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with AP and TVDI</td>
<td>0.3419</td>
<td>620.811</td>
<td>0.3536</td>
<td>0.7796</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with AP and TVDI</td>
<td>0.7503</td>
<td>507.66</td>
<td>0.5192</td>
<td>-0.5250</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with LST and TVDI</td>
<td>0.2885</td>
<td>683.938</td>
<td>0.4984</td>
<td>-0.7561</td>
<td>0.0919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with LST and TVDI</td>
<td>0.7531</td>
<td>507.87</td>
<td>0.5199</td>
<td>-0.5209</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with NDVI and AP and LST</td>
<td>0.3612</td>
<td>592.425</td>
<td>0.4661</td>
<td>0.8566</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with NDVI and AP and LST</td>
<td>0.7365</td>
<td>511.242</td>
<td>0.3066</td>
<td>-0.5840</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with NDVI and AP and TVDI</td>
<td>0.2926</td>
<td>608.511</td>
<td>0.3920</td>
<td>0.7496</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with NDVI and AP and TVDI</td>
<td>0.7373</td>
<td>512.305</td>
<td>0.3237</td>
<td>-0.5757</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with NDVI and LST and TVDI</td>
<td>0.3054</td>
<td>593.062</td>
<td>0.4049</td>
<td>0.7824</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with NDVI and LST and TVDI</td>
<td>0.7355</td>
<td>512.28</td>
<td>0.3270</td>
<td>-0.5720</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIMA(1,1,2) with AP and LST and TVDI</td>
<td>0.2851</td>
<td>586.275</td>
<td>0.5240</td>
<td>-0.7535</td>
<td>0.0315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARIMA(1,1,2)(0,1,1) with AP and LST and TVDI</td>
<td>0.7529</td>
<td>505.876</td>
<td>0.5201</td>
<td>-0.5221</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

| Model | AR | MA | Prediction | Est. | P>|t| | AIC | MPRE | AIC | MPRE |
|-------|----|----|------------|------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| SARIMA(1,1,2) with NDVI and AP | 0.326 | 0.231 | -0.5748 | 0.5322 | <0.001 | 0.2907 | 1.2490 | 0.3489 | 0.5645 |
| SARIMA(1,1,2,1) with NDVI and AP and LST and TVDI | 0.3126 | 0.4159 | -0.5927 | 0.7812 | <0.001 | 0.390 | 1.2490 | 0.3489 | 0.5645 |
| SARIMA(1,1,2,1) with NDVI and AP and LST and TVDI | 0.3126 | 0.4159 | -0.5927 | 0.7812 | <0.001 | 0.390 | 1.2490 | 0.3489 | 0.5645 |
| SARIMA(1,1,2,1) with NDVI and AP and LST and TVDI | 0.3126 | 0.4159 | -0.5927 | 0.7812 | <0.001 | 0.390 | 1.2490 | 0.3489 | 0.5645 |

Abbreviations: ARIMA = Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average; S = Seasonal; X = with explanatory variables; LST = Land Surface Temperature; AP = Accumulative Precipitation; NDVI = Normalized Difference Vegetation Index; TVDI = Temperature Vegetation Dryness Index; MRPE = Mean Relative Prediction Error; AIC = Akaike's Information Criterion; AR = Autoregressive coefficients; MA = Moving Average Coefficients; Est = Estimated values through conditional least square method.

Discussion

Through the use of ARIMA models, we first examined whether rodent density can be modeled as a univariate (S)ARIMA. The results indicated that the ARIMA was capable of forecasting 1-step ahead future rodent density relatively well. The best univariate model is ARIMA(1,1,2), where rodent density depends on density in previous one month. In the ARIMAX models, we found that TVDI for rice paddies is a significant predictor for rodent density in Changsha. A key finding from this study was that food supply is an important predictor of the rodent population dynamics of the host in central China. The results clearly demonstrated that host density of HFRS was well predicted by the climatic factors and food supply conditions and we found a consistent relationship between these factors with lags of 1–6 months and rodent density. The main natural reservoir of hantaviruses is rodent, human can be infected directly or indirectly through contact with rodents [21], thus human HFRS cases are associated with the population of reservoir hosts [22]. Reservoir hosts are the potential indicator of hantavirus emergence [23], increased rodent density increases the probability of human contact with rodents, and we can predict potential HFRS incident by monitoring rodent density. So this lead time is of particular importance in predicting the possible surge in host population and the following epidemics of HFRS.

The significant variables in the final ARIMAX model could offer a strong explanation for dynamics changes of HFRS host population. Climate variables were excluded from the final ARIMAX model, although climate series were significantly correlated with the host population. The question is then to identify the driving factors behind these fluctuations of rodent density, most rodent species responded directly to fluctuations in food available [17,18], the densities of these rodent hosts were driven by changes in food resources [24]. The fluctuations of food availability can be linked to environmental influences, some of which can be related to climate change. Previous study found that food availability was closely associated with Southern Oscillation Index and NE winds [25]. The food availability depended on the local climate, and then can decide carrying capacity in an area [26]. Under optimal weather conditions, higher carrying capacity can afford bigger population size of rodent. The results indicated that weather may affect the rodent reservoir indirectly through its effect on the food available condition.

Temperature vegetation dryness index is a complex variable, which reflect the moisture condition, temperature, lushness of the vegetation. We thought it was a good indicator of food available for the rodent, whereas it was directly influenced by climatic forcing [16]. The TVDI was negatively associated with the density of HFRS host, because higher TVDI value represents dry conditions lead to the low biomass and food shortage. The
population of rodents thus decrease. When the opposite happens, 
rodent population increase. The results of the current study are 
helpful in defining significant exogenous factors on the population 
dynamics of HFRS host. ARIMAX model with TVDI may 
provide an expert tool to predict the population fluctuations of 
HFRS host by making use of remote sensing tools and 
climatological data. However, Changsha is located in the humid 
subtropical climate area, the laws between rodents and TVDI 
need to be further investigated in other areas.

The limitations of this study should also be acknowledged. In 
this study, we only analyzed the role of exogenous factors in 
population dynamics, without the endogenous factors (e.g., 
competition, predation) [15]. Because both types of factors 
try to analyze some exogenous factors in the model, and find the 
relationships between rodent population and TVDI in other larger 
areas.

In conclusion, this study suggest that antecedent patterns of food 
supply were the key determinants of the HFRS host population in 
Changsha, China. The forecasting model of this study provides an 
predictive capacity for potential HFRS epidemics, which can give 
health authorities sufficient time to formulate plans, disseminate 
warnings, and implement public health interventions. There is also 
an urgent need for monitoring and predicting HFRS incidence to 
reduce the substantial disease burden caused by HFRS.
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