Drug repurposing and human parasitic protozoan diseases
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ABSTRACT

Parasitic diseases have an enormous health, social and economic impact and are a particular problem in tropical regions of the world. Diseases caused by protozoa and helminths, such as malaria and schistosomiasis, are the cause of most parasite related morbidity and mortality, with an estimated 1.1 million combined deaths annually. The global burden of these diseases is exacerbated by the lack of licensed vaccines, making safe and effective drugs vital to their prevention and treatment. Unfortunately, where drugs are available, their usefulness is being increasingly threatened by parasite drug resistance. The need for new drugs drives antiparasitic drug discovery research globally and requires a range of innovative strategies to ensure a sustainable pipeline of lead compounds. In this review we discuss one of these approaches, drug repurposing or repositioning, with a focus on major human parasitic protozoan diseases such as malaria, trypanosomiasis, toxoplasmosis, cryptosporidiosis and leishmaniasis.
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1. Major human parasitic protozoan diseases

Protozoan parasites that are infectious to humans represent a significant threat to health and cause more than a million deaths annually (Lozano et al., 2012). They also threaten the lives of billions world-wide and are associated with significant morbidity and large economic impacts (World Health Organization, 2010b; Murray et al., 2012b). Three of the most important human diseases caused by protozoan parasites have disability adjusted life years (DALY’s) in the millions and have far reaching global distributions (Fig. 1) (World Health Organization, 2008, 2010b; Murray et al., 2012b). While there is little doubt that the protozoan disease burden is focussed in tropical and subtropical regions of the world, more temperate regions of our globe, including North America and the Asia Pacific region, are also impacted by protozoan diseases (Fig. 1). The significant burden of human protozoan infections has been exacerbated by the lack of a licensed vaccine for any of the diseases these parasites cause. Treatment and prophylaxis has therefore been dependent on drugs, many of which have become less effective necessitating the search for replacements. The repurposing of drugs originally licensed for alternative indications has contributed to antiparasitic drug discovery, with some current antiparasitics having arisen via this approach. This strategy has numerous advantages including potential reductions in development times and costs. This review focuses on drug repurposing for the human parasitic protozoan diseases malaria, trypanosomiasis, leishmaniasis, toxoplasmosis, and cryptosporidiosis. Topics covered include an overview of drugs that have been successfully repurposed for use against these pathogens, repurposed or extended uses of clinically used antiprotozoal drugs, an analysis of recent whole-cell anti-protozoan screening campaigns that have used libraries of clinically available drugs,

African Trypanosomiasis (Trypanosoma spp.)
- Caused by T. brucei gambiense and T. brucei rhodesiense
- T. b. gambiense causes most cases
- Population at risk ~ 60 million
- ~0.6 million DALYs in 2010
- ~9,000 deaths in 2010
- Drugs: suramin, pentamidine, melarsoprol, eflornithine (i.v.)/nifurtimox (oral)

Leishmaniasis (Leishmania spp.)
- L. donovani causes visceral disease
- Population at risk ~ 350 million
- ~3.3 million DALYs in 2004
- ~52,000 deaths in 2010
- Drugs: pentavalent antimonials, amphotericin B and pentamidine

Toxoplasmosis (Toxoplasma spp.)
- Population at risk ~ 2 billion
- ~1.2 million DALYs (congenital)
- Drugs: pyrimethamine combined with a sulfonamide, clindamycin, cotrimoxazole, azithromycin, or atovaquone

Fig. 1. Distribution and disease impact of major human diseases caused by parasitic protozoa. (A–C) Reproduced, with the permission of the publisher, the World Health Organization, Global Health Observatory Map Gallery (World Health Organization). (D) Data reprinted, with minor modification, from Ref. Torgerson and Mastroiacovo (2013) with permission from Elsevier [license # 3266830155236]. Deaths and disability adjusted life years (DALYs) data taken from Lozano et al. (2012), Murray et al. (2012b), Torgerson and Mastroiacovo (2013), World Health Organization (2013).
and a discussion of current drug repurposing and drug rescue strategies and resources.

1.1. Malaria

Malaria is the most significant of the protozoan parasites that infect man. Found in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world, malaria parasites threaten the lives of 3.3 billion and cause ~0.6–1.1 million deaths annually (Fig. 1A) (World Health Organization, 2008; Lozano et al., 2012; Murray et al., 2012a). Malaria also results in significant morbidity and economic loss (Murray et al., 2012a). While pregnant women and children are particularly vulnerable to the threat of malaria, severe disease is also a threat for naive travellers to malaria endemic regions and immunocompromised people. Six Plasmodium species are responsible for malaria in humans (Sutherland et al., 2010), however, the two most significant are Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium vivax. Most malaria related deaths are caused by P. falciparum and occur in sub-Saharan Africa, while P. vivax is responsible for significant morbidity particularly in South America and the Asia-Pacific region (World Health Organization, 2010; Murray et al., 2012a). Similar to other protozoan infections of man, the treatment of malaria is dependent on chemotherapy. While substantial funds have been invested in producing a malarial vaccine, to date poor efficacy has been achieved for those that have been trialled clinically, including the leading candidate RTS.S (Aghanjii et al., 2011; Abdulla et al., 2013). This means that drugs remain a mainstay for malaria prevention and treatment. The WHO currently recommends three malaria prevention strategies for high risk groups: intermittent preventative treatment in pregnancy (IPTp) with sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine (SP); intermittent preventive treatment in infants (IPTi) with SP at second and third diphtheria–tetanus–pertussis vaccination; and seasonal chemoprevention with amodiaquine plus SP for children aged 3–59 months in some areas of highly seasonal malaria transmission (World Health Organization, 2013a). Since 2012, artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) have been adopted as first line treatment for uncomplicated malaria in most endemic countries, while chloroquine is now only used in some countries in the Americas due to widespread drug resistance (World Health Organization, 2013b). Recommended treatment for severe malaria is quinine, or the artemisinin derivatives arteether or artesunate (World Health Organization, 2013a). Primaquine is the only drug available for radical cure of P. vivax, but unfortunately this drug suffers from side effects in people with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency, necessitating pre-screening where it is used (Howes et al., 2012; World Health Organization, 2013b). Unfortunately, all of the drugs currently available for the treatment of malaria have been associated with drug resistant parasites. Even artemisinin and its derivatives, arguably our last line of defense against this devastating disease, have been associated with decreased efficacy and emerging drug resistance (Dondorp et al., 2009; Dondorp and Ringwald, 2013; Arieux et al., 2014). The ongoing need to discover and develop new antimalarial drugs which are effective against multi-drug resistant parasites has spurred the research community to invest in various drug development and discovery strategies. As discussed in Section 3, drug repurposing has played a role in malaria chemotherapy to date and has been the subject of renewed interest in recent high throughput screening campaigns (Section 5).

1.2. African trypanosomiasis

African trypanosomiasis, also known as African sleeping sickness, is caused by parasitic protozoan of the genus Trypanosoma. The two forms, West African and East African trypanosomiasis, are caused by Trypanosoma brucei gambiense and Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense, respectively (Brun et al., 2011). T. b. gambiense accounts for more than 95% of cases (Brun et al., 2011; Simarro et al., 2011) and causes chronic infection which can emerge as severe disease many years after parasite infection (Checchi et al., 2008). T. b. rhodesiense causes acute infection, which can rapidly result in central nervous system involvement with parasites crossing the blood–brain barrier (Nickolскаia et al., 2006; Grab and Kennedy, 2008; Barrett et al., 2011). African trypanosomiasis threatens the lives of ~60 million people in sub-Saharan Africa and, if left untreated, is fatal. In 2010 this disease was responsible for around 9000 deaths (Fig. 1B) (Lozano et al., 2012). While these deaths are lower than in 2004 (World Health Organization, 2008), there are concerns that drug resistance will impact this position (Vincent et al., 2010; Baker et al., 2013). There is no vaccine available for trypanosomiasis with treatment relying on chemotherapy. The drug of choice for treatment depends on the infecting species and the stage of infection. In early stages, T. b. gambiense and T. b. rhodesiense infections can be treated with pentamidine or suramin, respectively (Lionne and Yorke, 1937; Steverding, 2010; Murthy et al., 2013). While both of these drugs are less toxic than late infection (second-stage) treatments they are still associated with significant adverse effects and require parenteral administration (Barrett et al., 2011; Brun et al., 2011). If disease has progressed, treatment relies on melarsoprol or eflornithine (Friedheim, 1949; Pepin et al., 1987; Burri and Brun, 2003). Melarsoprol, an arslenical drug and one of the most noxious licensed for administration to humans, can cause severe adverse effects including reactive encephalopathy, heart failure and death (Brun et al., 2011). Eflornithine is less toxic, the treatment regimen with this drug is expensive, strict and difficult to administer (Barrett et al., 2011; Simarro et al., 2012). Eflornithine, while having recently been introduced as part of a combination therapy (nifurtimox–eflornithine combination therapy; NECT) is not effective against T. b. rhodesiense (Iten et al., 1997; Priotto et al., 2007, 2009; Murthy et al., 2013). In addition to these serious limitations it is likely that parasites have developed resistance to melarsoprol (Pepin and Milord, 1994; Burri and Keiser, 2001; Robays et al., 2008; Barrett et al., 2011). While treatment failures with pentamidine, suramin and eflornithine are rare, resistance to these drugs has also been reported (Brun et al., 2001; Bernhard et al., 2007; Barrett et al., 2011). As chemotherapy is central to the treatment of sleeping sickness and there are serious limitations of current therapies, research efforts to identify new agents with different modes of action are essential to continual control and disease elimination efforts.

1.3. Chagas disease

Chagas disease, or American trypanosomiasis, is a serious health concern in Latin America and as a result of migration is an emerging disease in traditionally non-endemic countries (Cousa and Vinas, 2010; Gascon et al., 2010). Chagas disease is caused by infection with Trypanosoma cruzi and threatens the lives of millions primarily in Mexico, Latin American and the United States. The World Health Organization estimates that 8–10 million people are infected annually (World Health Organization, 2010a). Chagas disease presents as an initial acute phase which is followed by a chronic phase. The acute phase of the disease can be severe in a small number of individuals (<1%), but is generally asymptomatic (Nunes et al., 2013). While infection can remain asymptomatic for many years, progression of the disease into its chronic phase often results (30–40%) in cardiomyopathy, progressive myocardium damage and heart disease (Nunes et al., 2013). Loss of life can occur (10,000 people in 2010) (Lozano et al., 2012), however, the disease also results in significant DALYs (~0.5 million DALYs in 2010) and
Toxoplasma gondii. It has a world-wide epidemiology and is of the genus *Toxoplasma*. It is transmitted to humans by *Toxoplasma* oocysts in the feces of infected cats or by ingestion of *Toxoplasma* tachyzoites in undercooked meat from infected pigs or cattle. The infection is spread from mother to child during pregnancy. The incidence of congenital toxoplasmosis is estimated to be 1.2 in 10,000 births. The disease can also be acquired in utero or perinatally, and in the latter case, the outcome is usually fatal. Prevention of congenital toxoplasmosis is possible by treatment of pregnant women with the antiparasitic drug spiramycin and by the use of preconception and prenatal diagnosis. The treatment of acquired toxoplasmosis is a challenge because of the difficulties in the diagnosis and lack of effective antiparasitic drugs.

1.4. Leishmaniasis

Leishmania species are complex diseases caused by different species of the genus *Leishmania*. In humans, the infection is transmitted to humans by *Leishmania* species that are transmitted by sandfly bites. The clinical manifestations of leishmaniasis include cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (MCL), and visceral leishmaniasis (VL). The disease is caused by a protozoan parasite of the genus *Leishmania*, which is transmitted to humans by the sandfly *Lutzomyia* species. The disease is most common in the Americas, Africa, and Asia. The disease is characterized by a chronic inflammatory response to the infection. There is no effective vaccine for preventing leishmaniasis, and the treatment options are limited. However, the establishment of the neglected tropical diseases program is now amenable to HTS (Bessoff et al., 2013).

1.5. Toxoplasmosis

Toxoplasmosis is caused by an obligate intracellular protozoan *Toxoplasma gondii*. It has a worldwide epidemiology and is believed to infect 25–30% of the world’s population (Montoya and Liesenfeld, 2004). As a zoonosis, humans usually become infected by horizontal transmission through the consumption of tissue cysts in contaminated meat (Kapperud et al., 1996; Baril et al., 1999; Cook et al., 2000). However, other methods of transmission including vertical transmission from mother to child also occur. If infection occurs for the first time during pregnancy or after birth, toxoplasmosis can be particularly serious in immunosuppressed individuals, such as those with HIV/AIDS, where it can result in severe disease (Luft and Remington, 1992). In otherwise healthy individuals, the symptoms of toxoplasmosis are often mild or not apparent. However, clinical symptoms such as ocular disease (e.g. uveitis) can occur. There is some evidence to suggest that Toxoplasma infection is associated with a high incidence of traffic accidents (Fiegler et al., 2002, 2009) and diseases such as epilepsy and schizophrenia (Palmer, 2005; Torrey et al., 2007) which may mean the global burden of this disease is higher than current estimates for congenital toxoplasmosis (1.2 million DALYs; Fig. 1D) (Torgerson and Mastroiacovo, 2013). Current treatment options for toxoplasmosis are limited. While asymptomatic toxoplasmosis is rarely treated, therapy of the more severe forms of the disease often consists of pyrimethamine in combination with a sulfonamide. To reduce transmission of parasites from mother to foetus pregnant women can also be treated with spiramycin and leucovorin (Desmonts and Couvreur, 1974b). Additional drugs available for use include clindamycin, co-trimoxazole, azithromycin, or atovaquone (Georgiev, 1994). Adverse side effects to pyrimethamine combinations (Dannemann et al., 1992) and concerns about the efficacy of other macrocyclics such as azithromycin (Farthing et al., 1992) highlight the need for alternative therapeutic options.

1.6. Cryptosporidiosis

Cryptosporidium parvum and Cryptosporidium hominis are the most common etiological agents of human cryptosporidiosis. Cryptosporidiosis causes self-limiting disease in immunocompetent hosts (Chen et al., 2002), but is a major cause of recurrent diarrhoea in children under 5 years of age. A recent study investigating the cause and effect of diarrhea in children (under 5 years) residing in four African and three Asian study sites identified cryptosporidiosis as the second most common infection in infants with infection associated with death in toddlers (12–23 months) (Kotloff et al., 2013). Globally, cryptosporidiosis is estimated to be responsible for 30–50% of the deaths in under 5 year olds (Ochoa et al., 2004; Snelling et al., 2007). Infection in this age group is also associated with developmental problems (Guer rant et al., 1999). Current treatment options for cryptosporidiosis are limited, with the current gold standard drug nitazoxanide (NTZ) having only moderate clinical efficacy in children and immunocompetent people, and none in people with HIV (Abubakar et al., 2007; Amadi et al., 2009). Problems associated with the discovery and development of new drugs for cryptosporidiosis includes the lack of a widely accepted method to culture Cryptosporidium and an inability to genetically manipulate the organism. While this limits target-based drug discovery approaches, as discussed in Section 5, recent advances in cell-based approaches have meant that they are now amenable to HTS (Bessoff et al., 2013).

2. Anti-parasitic drug discovery approaches

The discovery and development of effective new drugs that target parasitic protozoa in humans is challenging. Differences in the clinical forms of each disease, pharmacokinetic requirements, pre-existing drug resistance, limited abilities to culture some
organisms, and difficulties in genetically manipulating some parasites all add to the complexity of the problem. To address these difficulties a range of approaches are used to identify new antiparasitic agents. Strategies include extending the usefulness of existing drugs by generating new formulations with varying strengths/combinations/dosing regimens, de novo drug discovery, “piggy-back” drug discovery approaches (Nwaka and Hudson, 2006), and label extension or drug repurposing.

Development of new formulations and combinations of existing drugs is a clever way of extending the life of important biological actives. The reformulation of drugs can improve compliance, pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics making them more effective in vivo (Ogutu, 2013). Combination treatments are also believed to prevent the development of drug resistance and for this reason are now recommended standard practice for malaria chemotherapy and other diseases where multiple drugs are available (Abdulla and Sagara, 2009; Burrows et al., 2013).

De novo drug discovery focuses on identifying active new chemical entities (NCEs). This process usually involves screening strategies to identify “hits” that inhibit parasite growth/development in whole-cell screens or a specific validated target in target-based assays. Target based approaches have not been as successful as promised (Wells, 2010) with many now advocating whole-organism screening approaches. This has led to the identification of thousands of anti-parasitic “hit” compounds in recent years, particularly for diseases such as malaria (Gamo et al., 2010). However, in most cases progression of “hit” compounds through the developmental pipeline has been poor, or is only just beginning to yield leads for clinical progression (Anthony et al., 2012; Jones and Avery, 2013).

In contrast to de novo drug discovery, the “piggy-back” approach involves taking a validated molecular target for one disease and exploiting it as a drug discovery starting point for another disease (Nwaka and Hudson, 2006). Various parasitic protozoan experimental targets identified via this process are currently being pursued. For example histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzymes, inhibitors of which are clinically approved for T cell lymphoma, are being pursued as potential drug targets for malaria, leishmaniasis, trypanosomiasis (Kelly et al., 2012), and other parasitic diseases (reviewed in (Andrews et al., 2012a,b)). Primary sulphonamide compounds that primarily target carbonic anhydrase (Supuran, 2008b) are also being studied as potential antimalarial (Reungprapavut et al., 2004; Krungkrai et al., 2005, 2008; Andrews et al., 2013), anti-trypanosomal and anti-leishmanial agents (Guzel-Akdemir et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2013; Syrjanen et al., 2013), Kinase inhibitors, several of which are clinically approved for human use (O’Brien and Fallah Moghaddam, 2013), are under development as a potential new drug target class for parasitic diseases such as malaria, African trypanosomiasis and schistosomiasis (Beckmann et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2012; Katyor et al., 2013; Ochiana et al., 2013; Patel et al., 2013). Phosphodiesterase inhibitors, compounds that regulate signalling pathways, have been used to treat ailments including rectile dysfunction and schizophrenia and are also under investigation as anti-parasitic agents (Bland et al., 2011; de Koning et al., 2012).

Drug repurposing strategies have the potential advantage of facilitating rapid and cost effective drug development. As the cost associated with discovering and developing a NCE may be in the realm of $US800 million and may take 1–2 decades, this is a considerable advantage (Ashburn and Thor, 2004; Taylor and Wainwright, 2005; Nwaka and Hudson, 2006). Benefits can include reduced time to market if preclinical and clinical development can build on already available safety data, and potential new applications for candidates that may have failed preclinical or clinical testing for the primary indication. In the following sections we discuss the impact of drug repurposing in the context of the major human parasitic protozoan diseases discussed above.

3. Anti-protozoan drugs repurposed from other applications

Drug repurposing, repositioning, redirecting or re-profiling is best described as applying known drugs or compounds with proven clinical safety to new diseases or indications. As repurposed drugs are typically approved for clinical use, this discovery process can result in significant time and cost savings. Such savings are particularly appealing for rare diseases or those where there is little financial incentive to invest in “de novo” drug discovery. The discovery and development of drugs for parasitic diseases, for example, often provides little financial incentive to big pharma and as a result is an area that can benefit from drug repurposing. The sensitivity profile of parasites to known drugs is also rapidly changing and “de novo” drug discovery often leaves us ill equipped to combat drug resistance. Drug repurposing strategies have already had some success in this field, and it is likely that as techniques and tools for drug repositioning become further developed additional agents with useful clinical activity for these diseases will be discovered. The following sections provide examples of drugs that have been successfully repurposed for use against major parasitic protozoan diseases and discuss the resources and techniques currently available to repurpose drugs in this arena.

3.1. Drugs repurposed for malaria

Several broad spectrum antibiotics have been shown to be effective antimalarial drugs in certain settings. For example, synthetically derived tetracyclines such as doxycycline have been used successfully for chemoprophylaxis and treatment of malaria. Doxycycline was first approved for clinical use in 1967 (Vibramycin) as a once-a-day broad-spectrum antibiotic. This drug is a partially efficacious causal prophylactic against liver stages of the malaria parasite and has been shown to have slow acting schizonticidal activity (Clyde et al., 1971; Rieckmann et al., 1971). It is used as a malaria prophylactic and is effective as a treatment in combination with fast acting agents such as quinine and quinidine (Tan et al., 2011) (Table 1). Likewise clindamycin, a lincosamide antibiotic which was originally used in the 1940–1950s for acne treatment (Christian and Krueger, 1975), with numerous applications since, is used clinically for malaria (and toxoplasmosis – see Section 1.5), with antimalarial activity first described in the 1970’s (Miller et al., 1974) (Table 1).

The sulphonamide group is a key component of many drugs used to treat a wide range of human diseases, including kidney disease, glaucoma, epilepsy and obesity and more recently as candidates for cancer therapy (Supuran, 2008a,b, 2010). Whilst there are over 100 FDA-approved sulphonamide containing drugs (Smith and Jones, 2008) only a handful have been exploited as antiparasitic therapies. The antibacterial sulphonamides, sulphadiazine and sulphadoxine, that target folate synthesis, have been used in combination therapies to treat T. gondii and P. falciparum infections (Doberstyn et al., 1976; Guerina et al., 1994) (Table 1). The anti-folate sulfa drug co-trimoxazole (Bactrim and other names), a combination of trimethoprim and sulphamethoxazole, first used to treat chronic urinary-tract infection, otitis media, shigellosis, and Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia (Gleckman et al., 1979) is also used to treat toxoplasmosis (Section 1.5) and P. falciparum malaria in non-pregnant adults and children (Gleckman et al., 1979; Manyando et al., 2013).

Dapsone, a sulfone antibiotic that was first used to treat leprosy and then other diseases such as dermatitis herpetiformis, and P. carinii pneumonia in AIDS patients (reviewed in (Wolf et al., 2013)).
### Table 1
Examples of drugs repurposed for use against parasitic protozoal diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Initial use(s)</th>
<th>Repurposed use(s)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Clindamycin Structure" /></td>
<td>Anti-bacterial (e.g. acne)</td>
<td>Malaria – slow acting blood schizontocidal agent; used in combination with fast acting antimalarials; Toxoplasmosis</td>
<td>Miller et al. (1974), Christian and Krueger (1975), Montoya and Liesenfeld (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Doxycycline Structure" /></td>
<td>Broad-spectrum bacteriostatic agent</td>
<td>Malaria; causal prophylactic (liver stage) and slow acting blood schizontocidal agent; used with fast acting antimalarials</td>
<td>Tan et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-trimoxazole (trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Co-trimoxazole Structure" /></td>
<td>Urinary-tract infection, otitis media, shigellosis, and <em>P. carinii</em> pneumonia</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em> malaria in non-pregnant adults and children; dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor; toxoplasmosis</td>
<td>Gleckman et al. (1979), Montoya and Liesenfeld (2004), Manyando et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miltefosine</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Miltefosine Structure" /></td>
<td>Skin metastases (breast cancer)</td>
<td>Vischeral leishmaniasis</td>
<td>Smorenburg et al. (2000), Paladin Labs Inc (2010), Dorlo et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paromomycin</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Paromomycin Structure" /></td>
<td>Antibiotic; acute and chronic intestinal amebiasis; adjunctive management of hepatic coma.</td>
<td>Parenteral treatment of visceral leishmaniasis; cutaneous leishmaniasis</td>
<td>Ben Salah et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphotericin B (AmBiome structure shown)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Amphotericin B Structure" /></td>
<td>Antifungal infections such as aspergillosis, cryptococcosis, North American blastomycosis, systemic candidiasis, histoplasmosis, and zygomycosis</td>
<td>Visceral leishmaniasis; AmBiome now superseded by liposomal formulations of amphotericin B such as AmBiome (structure shown)</td>
<td>Meyerhoff (1999), Hu et al. (2003), Ostrosky-Zeichner et al. (2003), Sundar and Chakravarty (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>Initial use(s)</td>
<td>Repurposed use(s)</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphadiazine</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Bacterial infections (e.g. streptococcal and staphylococcal infections); topical use against gram –ve and +ve bacterial infections in burns victims</td>
<td>Used in combination with pyrimethamine for prevention and treatment of toxoplasmosis</td>
<td>British Medical Journal (1942), Coloviras et al. (1942), Leport et al. (1988), Guerina et al. (1994), Montoya and Liesenfeld (2004), Rorman et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug name</td>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>Approved use</td>
<td>Repurposed/investigational use</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinine</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Quinine structure" /></td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Lupus, arthritis, and leg cramps</td>
<td>Bird et al. (1995), Barrocas and Cymet (2007), Ben-Zvi et al. (2012), Murphy et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Chloroquine structure" /></td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Extraintestinal amebiasis (E. histolytica); intrathoracic and cutaneous sarcoidosis; cancer treatment</td>
<td>Basnuevo and Gutierrez Estarli (1951), Scaffidi and Astuto (1959), Cohen and Reynolds (1975), Zic et al. (1991), Baltzan et al. (1999), Sotelo et al. (2006), Amaravadi et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artemisinin (and derivatives)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Artemisinin structure" /></td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Cancers, pathogenic microbes (e.g. Trypanosoma spp., Leishmania), metazoan helminths (Schistosoma spp.), fungi, and viruses</td>
<td>Xiao (2005), Mishina et al. (2007), Efferth et al. (2008), Krishna et al. (2008), Sen et al. (2010), Njuguna et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrimethamine</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Pyrimethamine structure" /></td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Toxoplasmosis</td>
<td>Wilson and Edeson (1953), British Medical Journal (1957), Montoya and Liesenfeld (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitazoxanide (Alinia®)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Nitazoxanide structure" /></td>
<td>Antiprotozoal agent; approved for use against diarrhoea caused by C. parvum and G. lamblia</td>
<td>Anaerobic bacteria and parasites residing in bowel, hepatitis C, cancer cells (e.g. bowel and breast), and Leishmaniosis parasites</td>
<td>White (2004), Muller et al. (2008a,b), Elazar et al. (2009), Di Santo and Ehrisman (2013), Fan-Minogue et al. (2013), Mesquita et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2002}) was introduced to treat malaria in the late 1990’s. Dapsone was marketed as Lapdap (GlaxoSmithKline) in combination with chlorproguanil (Lapudrine) (Winstanley, 2001) and, while effective against parasite folate metabolism, was withdrawn from the market in 2008 (Merckx et al., 2003). Unfortunately dapsone was found to result in haemolytic anaemia in ~20% of patients, being a particular problem in individuals with glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency (Puavilai et al., 1984).

3.2. Drugs repurposed for trypanosomiasis

Drugs used to treat African Trypanosomiasis have primarily been developed for that purpose rather than being repurposed from other indications. An exception is efornithine (difluoromethyl ornithine; DFMO), an inhibitor of the ornithine decarboxylase enzyme which is required for polyamine biosynthesis. This drug was identified as an antitumour agent (reviewed in (Casero and Woster, 2009)), however, clinical studies were discontinued due to problems with adverse side-effects (Abeloff et al., 1986). Efornithine has also been used clinically against P. carinii in AIDS patients (Paulson et al., 1992) and was FDA-approved (Vaniqa®) for treatment of Hirsutism in women in 2001 (Hickman et al., 2001; Shapiro and Lui, 2001). In 1990, efornithine (Ornidyl™) was approved as an orphan drug for intravenous use against forms of African sleeping sickness (Burri and Brun, 2003; Kennedy, 2008), and as a result of partnership between the WHO and pharma, intravenous efornithine became widely available to patients around 2001 (Kennedy, 2008, 2013). Since then efornithine has been combined with a drug originally used for Chagas diseases, nifurtimox, for first-line treatment for CNS-stage T. b. gambiense human African trypanosomiasis (Simarro et al., 2011, 2012). Efornithine is, however, ineffective against T. b. rhodesiense human African trypanosomiasis. It must also be given intravenously, can have many side-effects, and has issues of potential drug resistance.

3.3. Drugs repurposed for leishmaniasis

Drugs active against leishmaniasis that have arisen via repurposing include Amphotericin B, paromomycin, and miltefosine. Amphotericin B deoxycholate (AmBD) was first licensed as an infusion in 1959 for use against life threatening fungal infection then used in India for treatment of visceral leishmaniasis (Hu et al., 2003; Ostrosky-Zeicher et al., 2003). While this drug has an excellent cure rate it needs to be administered by intravenous infusion and patients can suffer from adverse infusion reactions such as fever, chills, and thrombophlebitis. More toxic adverse reactions including nephrotoxicity, myocarditis, and death can also occur. The administration mode and associated adverse effects of AmBD mean that its use requires monitoring and hospitalization, increasing the cost of this therapy. New formulations of AmBD, including liposomal amphotericin B (AmBisome; Gilead Sciences), amphotericin B lipid complex (ABLC; Abelcet™, Enzon Pharmaceuticals), and amphotericin B cholesterol dispersion (ABCD; Amphocet™, Intercept Medical Corp.) which package AmBD with cholesterol and other phospholipids to overcome some of these issues, have been produced. Liposomal AmBDs are now used to treat fungal infections caused by Aspergillus, Candida and/or Cryptococcus. They are particularly beneficial in patients with renal impairment (precludes the use of amphotericin B deoxycholate) and those with febrile neutropenia (Sundar and Chakravarty, 2010). In 1997 AmBisome became the first FDA-approved drug for leishmaniasis (Meyerhoff, 1999).

Paromomycin (aminosidine) is an aminoglycoside–aminocyclitol antibiotic that is used for parenteral treatment of visceral leishmaniasis, and more recently cutaneous leishmaniasis (Ben Salah et al., 2013). First isolated in the 1950s (Davidson et al., 2009), paromomycin has broad-spectrum antibiotic activity against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. It is also effective against cestodes and other protozoa, including Giardia and Entamoeba histolytica (Davidson et al., 2009). The antileishmanial activities of paromomycin were established in the 1960s (Kellina, 1961; Neal, 1968; Neal et al., 1995). While paromomycin remains an option for the treatment of giardiasis, leishmaniasis and amebiasis, it is no longer used as an antibiotic with the more popular cephalosporin and quinolone compounds forcing this drug out of the market in the 1980s (Davidson et al., 2009). Paromomycin acts by inhibiting protein synthesis, however, its anti-protozoan activity may be more complex (Maarouf et al., 1997).

The only drug registered for oral treatment of leishmaniasis is miltefosine. Miltefosine and related alkylphosphocholine drugs were simultaneously, but independently, discovered to have antitrypanosomal and antineoplastic activity in the 1980’s (Crot et al., 2003; Dorlo et al., 2012). Priority was given to the development of miltefosine for local treatment of cutaneous metastases of breast cancer, with a topical formulation (Miltecs®, Baxter, UK) being approved for this indication (Smorenburg et al., 2000). While this compound was also assessed for use against other types of tumours, it was ultimately discontinued due to dose-limiting gastrointestinal side effects (reviewed in (Dorlo et al., 2012)). These anti-cancer studies did however lead to miltefosine being re-investigated for leishmaniasis and, after several clinical trials, to its approval for oral treatment for leishmaniasis (Paladin Labs Inc, 2010). Today miltefosine can be used to treat all of the clinical leishmaniasis (Berman, 2008), however, it is associated with common gastrointestinal side effects. It is also limited by its relatively high cost (Sundar and Chakravarty, 2013), teratogenicity and growing concerns in relation to increases in clinical isolate susceptibility (Prajapati et al., 2012).

3.4. Drugs repurposed for Toxoplasmosis

As a first choice, eye disease or lymphadenitis resulting from Toxoplasma infection can be treated with the antimalarial drug pyrimethamine (Table 2) combined with the antibacterial agent sulfadiazine (Table 1) (British Medical Journal, 1942; Coloviras et al., 1942; Leport et al., 1988; Guerrina et al., 1994; Rorman et al., 2006) and folinic acid (Montoya and Liesenfeld, 2004). For patients who cannot tolerate sulfa drugs, sulfadiazine can be replaced with clindamycin (Table 1) or azithromycin, both of which were first used as antibacterial agents (Christian and Krueger, 1975; Montoya and Liesenfeld, 2004). Spiramycin (Rovamycin) is a macrolide antimicrobial agent with activity against gram-positive organisms that was first used in France in 1955 (Descotes et al., 1988). In the 1970’s spiramycin was shown to have benefit in preventing congenital toxoplasmosis (Desmonts and Couvreur, 1974a; Couvreur et al., 1988), and is now used when maternal infection is acquired or suspected during pregnancy (Montoya and Liesenfeld, 2004; Robert-Gangneux and Darde, 2012). As discussed below (Section 4, Table 2), the antimalarial drug atovaquone has also been repurposed for Toxoplasmosis.

4. Antiprotozoan drugs under investigation for use against other diseases

Examples of drugs that have been approved for protozoa and then repurposed to treat other diseases (Table 2) further highlight the potential of drug repurposing as a drug discovery mechanism. Quinine, an antimalarial first developed in the 17th century, was the mainstay of malaria therapy until chloroquine was introduced in the 1940’s (Achan et al., 2011). In addition to being used for malaria, quinine and related members of the quinoline family have also been used to treat lupus, arthritis, and leg cramps (Bird et al., 1995; Barrocas
and Cymet, 2007; Ben-Zvi et al., 2012; Murphy et al., 2013). Chloroquine has been investigated for use against amebiases such as E. histolytica and sarcoidosis (Zic et al., 1991; Baltzan et al., 1999), HIV (Savario et al., 2004; Brouwers et al., 2008), and for cancer, with evidence suggesting that this compound sensitizes cancer cells to radiation and chemotherapeutic agents (Sotelo et al., 2006; Amaravadi et al., 2011). The antimalarial drug atovaquone, a hydroxy-1, 4-naphthoquinone and structural analogue of the protozoan mitochondrial electron transport protein ubiquinone, has also been repurposed. Atovaquone was first released for malaria in 1992 (Baggish and Hill, 2002) and is primarily used in combination with proguanil for malaria prophylaxis, however, it has also been used against diseases including P. carinii pneumonia, toxoplasmosis, and bovine babesiosis (Araujo et al., 1991; Baggish and Hill, 2002). Likewise, the antimalarial artemisinin, a sesquiterpene endoperoxide lactone natural product from the sweet wormwood plant, Artemisia annua L. (Asteraceae) (Klayman, 1985), has been extensively studied as a potential therapy for other diseases, including cancer, pathogenic microbes, helminths, fungi, and viruses (Krishna et al., 2008; Njuguna et al., 2012). The anticancer activity of artemisinin derivatives was first reported in the early 1990’s and has since been shown to have various effects including growth arrest, induction of apoptosis, alteration of hormone responsive properties, and inhibition of cancer cell angiogenesis (reviewed in (Njuguna et al., 2012)). Artemether, an artemisinin derivative, has also been used for Schistosoma mansoni prophylaxis and in combination with praziquantel for treatment of Schistosoma japonica (Xiao, 2005). Artesunate, another artemisinin derivative, has been shown to have inhibitory activity against human cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex type-1, hepatitis B and C, and HIV-1 viruses (Efferth et al., 2008). Artemisinin, and various derivatives, also inhibit the growth of parasitic protozoa such as T. cruzi, T. b. rhodesiensis, and Leishmania parasites (Mishina et al., 2007; Sen et al., 2010).

As discussed in Section 1.3, while nifurtimox was first used to treat American trypanosomiasis (Chagas disease), this drug has since been repurposed for use against human African trypanosomiasis (Moens et al., 1984; Van Nieuwenhove, 1992) where it is useful in combination with efloornithine (NECT) (Priotto et al., 2009) (Table 2). A recent meta-analysis of nine clinical trials on treatment of second-stage human African trypanosomiasis caused by T. b. gambiens
e indicated that, while melarsoprol monotherapy resulted in fewer relapses than pentamidine or nifurtimox, more adverse events were seen. Nifurtimox combined with efloornithine was found to give rise to fewer relapses, to be well tolerated, and had the benefit of reducing the frequency and number of efloornithine slow infusions to two a day (Priotto et al., 2009; Lutje et al., 2013). Studies have also indicated that nifurtimox may also have benefit as a cancer therapy for treatment of neuroblastoma (Saulnier Sholler et al., 2006, 2011).

Another example of an anti-protozoan agent that has been repurposed is nitazoxanide. Nitazoxanide (Alinia®, Romark), the only clinically approved drug to treat diarrhea caused by C. parvum, is also used to treat Giardia lambila. In addition, nitazoxanide has been used to treat diseases caused by other parasites (including Leishmania) and anaerobic bacteria, has been shown to promote hepatitis C elimination, and to have effects against some types of cancer cells (White, 2004; Muller et al., 2008b; Elazar et al., 2009; Di Santo and Ehrisman, 2013; Fan-Minogue et al., 2013; Mesquita et al., 2013) (Table 2).

### 5. Screening of clinical drug libraries to identify new repurposing candidates

A number of whole-cell based high throughput screening campaigns utilizing compound libraries containing registered drugs have recently been carried out (Table 3). Antimalarial screens have been carried out by different groups, most against asexual blood stage P. falciparum parasites (Chong et al., 2006; Weisman et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2011), but also against the less assay-tractable liver stage using mouse malaria parasites (da Cruz et al., 2012). In 2006, two screens were carried out using laboratory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite</th>
<th>Library (details)</th>
<th># Screened</th>
<th># “Hits”</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. falciparum (axical)</td>
<td>MicroSource Spectrum and Killer Collections</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>72 (at 1 μM)</td>
<td>19 drugs identified not previously shown to</td>
<td>Weisman et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>inhibit P. falciparum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. falciparum (axical)</td>
<td>Johns Hopkins Clinical Compound Library (JHCL)</td>
<td>2687</td>
<td>189 (at 10 μM)</td>
<td>Antihistamine astemizole and its metabolite</td>
<td>Chong et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>identified as new antimalarials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. falciparum (axical)</td>
<td>NIH National Chemical Genomics Center (NGGC)</td>
<td>2816</td>
<td>32 (IC50 &lt; 1 μM)</td>
<td>Screened against 61 P. falciparum lines; also</td>
<td>Yuan et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pharmaceutical Collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>identified genetic loci and genes associated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with differential compound phenotypes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. b. rhodesiensing</td>
<td>US Drug Collection Library</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>116 (at 10 μM)</td>
<td>Decoquinate identified (mitochondrial bc1</td>
<td>da Cruz et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>complex inhibitor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. cruzi</td>
<td>MicroSource Spectrum and Killer Collections</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>35 (at 1 μM)</td>
<td>17 novel trypanocidal agents identified</td>
<td>Mackey et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. cruzi</td>
<td>Iconix library</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>55 (at 10 μM)</td>
<td>Antibacterial, antifungal, and allergy drugs</td>
<td>Engel et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with &gt;50× selectivity for parasite vs normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. parvum</td>
<td>NIH Clinical Collection</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>24 (at 10 μM)</td>
<td>Statin class of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors</td>
<td>Bessoff et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>identified as potent inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. histolytica</td>
<td>Iconix library</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>11 (at 5 μM)</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis drug auranofin identified</td>
<td>Debnath et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(EC50 0.5 μM (10-&gt; metronidazole)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. vaginalis</td>
<td>US Drug Collection Library</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>47 (at 20 μM)</td>
<td>11 Drugs with activity &gt; metronidazole at 20 μM</td>
<td>Goodfellow and Secor (2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Known drugs, bioactive compounds, and natural products (http://www.mdsdiscovery.com/).
- 1937 FDA- approved drugs and 750 compounds approved outside USA or undergoing phase 2 clinical trials (http://www.nature.com/nchembio/journal/v2/n8/extref/ncambios206-51.pdf).
- FDA-approved and unapproved bioactive compounds (Ref. Wishart et al. (2008)).
- Microsource Discovery Systems, USA – drugs approved for human use in the USA or that are undergoing clinical trials.
- Evotec, USA – FDA-approved drugs and drug-like molecules.
- Ref. Huang et al. (2011).
- Definition of “hit” varied, but was usually >50% inhibition at the indicated test concentration.
- In vitro infection model combining P. berghei parasites and the Huh7 human hepatoma cell line.
lines of *P. falciparum*, yielding numerous hits including the antihistamine astemizole which was also shown to have some activity in vivo in mouse models of malaria (Chong et al., 2006). This compound has since been shown to also have activity against *Plasmodium* liver stages (Derbyshire et al., 2012) and is being pursued as a starting point for new antimalarial compounds (Roman et al., 2013). Recently, Yuan et al. (2011) screened a panel of 61 *P. falciparum* lines with different drug sensitivities against the NIH Chemical Genomics Center Pharmaceutical Collection which contains 2816 compounds registered or approved for human or animal use (Huang et al., 2011). Results of this extensive screen yielded 32 compounds with potent in vitro activity (IC50 <1 μM), most of which are clinically approved. It is reported that 10 of these compounds had not previously been shown to have antimalarial activity (Yuan et al., 2011).

Fewer high throughput studies have been carried out for other protozoan pathogens, largely due to problems associated with robust culture methods and assay limitations. However there has been some recent activity in this area (Table 3). Screens of drug libraries against *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* have identified almost 100 hits, some of which are novel actives for trypanosomes and with promising parasite-specific selectivity (Mackey et al., 2006; Engel et al., 2010). To search for drugs for cryptosporidiosis, Bessoff et al. (2013) screened a library of FDA approved compounds with a history of clinical use against *C. parvum*. This screen yielded 21 hits, 15 of which are FDA approved for clinical use, including compounds classified as topical antibacterials, channel inhibitors, a microtubule agent, pyrimidine analogues, and tetracycline analogues (Bessoff et al., 2013). Further work arising from this screening campaign identified Itavastatin as a potent *C. parvum* inhibitor. The activity of this drug is believed to be, at least partly, due to the inhibition of human HMG-CoA reductase (Bessoff et al., 2013). Whole cell screening against the intestinal parasite *E. histolytica*, which causes human amebiasis, yielded eleven hit compounds including the rheumatoid arthritis drug auranofin which displayed approximately ten-fold greater activity than the control amebiasis drug metronidazole (Debnath et al., 2012). Likewise, screening of a different library against *Trichomonas vaginalis*, which causes the common sexually transmitted diseases trichomoniasis, yielded hits with better activity than metronidazole (Goodhew and Secor, 2013), which is used to treat this parasitic disease.

A comparison of hit compounds in common between different parasite species resulting from the clinical library screens in Table 3 is shown in Fig. 2. While these data do not take into account variations in library composition or assay conditions, they suggest significant cross-over of “hits” between parasitic protozoan species. While many compounds showed activity against two parasite species, some inhibited the growth of three or more species, including antineoplastic agents (cycloheximide, daunorubicin/daunorubicin hydrochloride, docetaxel, doxorubicin/doxorubicin hydrochloride, idarubicin/idarubicin hydrochloride, mitomycin C, paclitaxel, and vinorelbine/vinorelbine bitartrate), antiseptics (gentian violet, phenylmercuric acetate, and thimerosal), and antibiotic/anti-infective agents (doxycycline/doxycycline hydrochloride and emetine/emetine HCl). Although potentially complicated by library bias, it is perhaps not surprising that antibiotics and antifungals, together with tubulin inhibitors, are highly represented in these hits. As discussed above (Section 3), antibiotics have a history of repurposing success for diseases such as malaria, and drugs such as the benzimidazoles target tubulin in the protozoan parasite *Giardia lamblia* and are also active against *P. falciparum* (Skinner-Adams et al., 1997), helminths and other parasite pathogens of humans (Canete et al., 2009). Data in Fig. 2 demonstrating significant bioactivity cross-over between parasite species, together with the work discussed above on repurposing drugs between parasitic diseases (Section 4), indicates that there may be potential value in cross-screening compound libraries between protozoa species. This could also include leads arising from “de novo” or piggyback approaches.

Fig. 2. Common antiprotozoal “hits” from whole-cell-based screening of clinical drug libraries. “Hits” present in two or more different parasite species from studies shown in Table 3 are shown. For *P. falciparum*, data are from the three asexual blood stage screens (Chong et al., 2006; Weisman et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2011). White boxes – either not defined as a “hit” or not present in library screened.
above and in Table 3), the potential value of obtaining access to additional compounds in this “universe” is clear. Funding agencies in the United Kingdom and the United States, recognizing the difficulties often encountered by academia in accessing such compounds, are investing in strategies to link academics with industry to enable investigation of pre-worked or abandoned molecular entities (Mullard, 2011, 2012). In 2011, the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and AstraZeneca announced an alliance (AZ-MRC alliance) giving British researchers’ access AstraZeneca compounds, including some with prior clinical data, as tools to probe molecular mechanisms of disease. Likewise, in 2012 the United States National Institutes of Health “National Center for Advancing Translation” launched its New Therapeutic Uses (NTU) program which aims to discover new uses for compounds from industry that have undergone significant investigation including human safety testing. While these public private partnerships are encouraging and may lead to new opportunities, they currently include small numbers of compounds (22 and 58, respectively, for AZ-MRC and NTU pilot programs), do not include infectious disease agents, and could be of additional benefit if expanded in the future.

While this review has focused on repurposing human therapeutics, another attractive approach might be to repurpose veterinary therapeutics for human use, particularly given the genetic similarity between many of human and animal infecting protozoa. Unfortunately, there is currently limited information available on this approach, probably due to concerns of poor tolerability and toxicity. However some open access and commercial compound libraries do include agents used for veterinary purposes. The NIH NCGC Pharmaceutical Collection (Huang et al., 2011) contains a small number of veterinary compounds and, as discussed above (Table 3), this library has been screened against multiple P. falciparum lines. One of the hits identified was Tylosin, a veterinary drug used to treat bacterial infections (Yuan et al., 2011).

In addition to whole cell and target based screens of chemical libraries, in silico profiling or pre-screening of compound libraries may also be a useful approach to identify new drug leads for parasitic diseases. These approaches may also be a cost effective alternative to whole-library screening, guiding selection of appropriate compounds for further assessment. Pre-screening can involve compound prioritization based on activity against similar organisms (as discussed above) or, if target or mode-of-action data are available, may involve more detailed in silico assessment (Ekins et al., 2007). In silico screening may be pharmacophore, network or target based and although all of these screening techniques have disadvantages, including precluding potential hits, they may improve the success of drug repurposing campaigns. In a recent study by Sateriale et al. (2013) data mining was used to identify drug repurposing opportunities for protozoan parasites. In this study protein sequences were used in a target-based screening approach to enrich libraries for potential bioactive compounds. A total of 13 parasite proteomes were examined, including Plasmodium, Leishmania, and Trypanosoma species, with hits being compared to C. parvum in vitro whole cell screening data for validation. This approach resulted in significantly higher hit rates in the pre-screened library than in the un-screened cohort of compounds. The in silico screening results from that study are available online and are likely to be a useful resource for drug repurposing efforts (Sateriale et al., 2013). In addition databases and analysis tools such as DrugBank (Wishart et al., 2008), PubChem (Bolton et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2014), ChEMBL (Bento et al., 2014), NCGC Pharmaceutical Collection (NPC) (Huang et al., 2011), TDR Targets (Magarinos et al., 2012) and PROMISCUOUS (von Eichborn et al., 2011) are freely available for alternative pre-screening strategies.

7. Overview and perspectives

Diseases caused by parasitic protozoa cause enormous health, social, and economic impact, in particular to tropical regions of the world, many of which are resource constrained. The lack of a licensed vaccine for any human parasitic disease, together with a lack of affordable, safe and effective drugs for some diseases, or problems of parasite drugs resistance where drugs are available, is driving the search for new anti-parasitic agents. Despite recent advances that are helping to fuel drug discovery efforts, such as the sequencing of several parasites genomes and the establishment of public–private partnerships (PPPs) to specifically focus on tropical disease drug discovery and development (Chatelain and Isloet, 2011; Jakobsen et al., 2011), there are numerous challenges facing research in this area. In particular, the large cost associated with progressing compounds through the drug discovery pipeline, together with the poor financial incentives to big pharma, are bottlenecks that need to be overcome. In this arena, repurposing or repositioning existing drugs could have potential benefits such as reduced costs during discovery, development and clinical phases; an accelerated drug development process; possible extension of patent life; the potential to recover and repurpose previously failed compounds; and lower overall risk. With evidence to suggest bioactive cross-over particularly with antibiotics, fungicides, HDAC inhibitors and kinase inhibitors, to name a few, drug repurposing is likely a promising avenue for the discovery and development of new anti-parasitic agents. A potential problem with this approach does need to be acknowledged – the reluctance of some pharmaceutical companies to allow testing of existing drugs against “non-commercial” diseases indications in case unwanted toxicities are discovered that could potentially compromise the commercial value of their products (Urbina and Docampo, 2003; Pink et al., 2005; Nwaka and Hudson, 2006). It is, however, encouraging that some pharmaceutical companies are now opening up their own compound collections and bioactivity data to benefit drug discovery for diseases like malaria (Gamo et al., 2010).
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