Islanded Operation of Microgrids with Inverter Connected Renewable Energy Resources

H. R. Pota ∗ M. J. Hossain ∗∗ M. A. Mahmud ∗∗∗ R. Gadh ∗∗∗∗
R. C. Bansal †

∗ School of Engineering and Information Technology (SEIT), The University of New South Wales, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia (h.pota@adfa.edu.au).
∗∗ Griffith School of Engineering, Griffith University, Gold Coast Campus, Gold Coast, QLD 4222, Australia (j.hossain@griffith.edu.au).
∗∗∗ Faculty of Engineering & Industrial Sciences, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC 3122, Australia (mmahmud@swin.edu.au).
∗∗∗∗ Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, The University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
† Department of Electrical, Electronic, and Computer Engineering, University of Pretoria, South Africa

Abstract: This paper contains a control scheme for power sharing in islanded microgrids with inverter-sourced distributed energy resources that combines robust control and droop control. As the load within the microgrid changes, the inverter-sourced generators share the change in the load; this paper shows that the uncontrolled load sharing among the generators will be arbitrary and methods such as droop control achieve a regulated change. This paper includes a background on control schemes for power sharing and highlights the difficulty in exact reactive power sharing. The performance of the proposed controller is demonstrated using a test microgrid system.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Interconnection of microgrids is a practical way to achieve higher utilisation of renewable energy, reduce transmission losses, lower infrastructure capital investment, and achieve higher reliability of electricity supply (Lasseter, 2002, 2011). Experimental microgrids have been developed to test the basic ideas (Lasseter et al., 2011).

Energy sources in microgrids are likely to be renewable resources interconnected via voltage source converters (VSC). Most of the microgrid literature treats voltage sources behind the inverters as ideal (Lasseter, 2002, 2011; De Brabandere et al., 2007) but it needs extension when the ideal inverter-sourced generators are replaced with renewable energy resources.

The presentation in this paper is with an emphasis on microgrids from a power systems point-of-view. It is clearly brought out that the rate-of-change of angle droop control requires a change in the input power and there needs to be another controller to achieve this objective. In the next section an analysis is presented which brings out the essential elements in control algorithms for power sharing amongst renewable resources in microgrids.

2. POWER SHARING WITHOUT CONTROL

Analysis of a two-source microgrid is instructive to get a basic understanding of the microgrid operation and the research

Recent survey papers (Guerrero et al., 2013a,b) contain useful background information on microgrids and a rich bibliography. A review of experimental microgrids is covered in (Lidula and Rajapakse, 2011). The sharing of the reactive power in a microgrid is complicated owing to the fact that the conventional method of sharing based on the generator terminal voltage does not work in microgrids (Johnson et al., 2011). Some solutions for reactive power sharing from the literature are discussed in this paper.

Most of the microgrid literature treats voltage sources behind the inverters as ideal (Lasseter, 2002, 2011; De Brabandere et al., 2007). This assumption is perfectly valid for the research in power sharing amongst parallel connected inverters (De Brabandere et al., 2007) but it needs extension when the ideal voltage sources are replaced with renewable energy resources. The presentation in this paper is with an emphasis on microgrids from a power systems point-of-view. It is clearly brought out that the rate-of-change of angle droop control requires a change in the input power and there needs to be another controller to achieve this objective. In the next section an analysis is presented which brings out the essential elements in control algorithms for power sharing amongst renewable resources in microgrids.
problems. Most renewable energy sources are connected to the microgrid using inverters. The inverter connected energy generation can be modelled as a voltage source with controllable voltage magnitude and phase (Coelho et al., 2002; Johnson et al., 2011). Fig. 1 shows a two voltage-source microgrid with one resistive load. The voltage source values $V_1$, $\delta_1$, $V_2$, and $\delta_2$ can be independently controlled. In this paper it is assumed that the inverters are fast and there are no stator transients.

![Fig. 1. A Simple Microgrid](image)

The transmission line parameters for the microgrid in Figure 1 are: $z_{14} = 0.0 + j0.05 \text{ pu}$, $z_{24} = 0.0 + j0.2 \text{ pu}$, and $z_{23} = 0.0 + j0.05 \text{ pu}$. Bus 1 is a PV bus: $P_{1}^0 = 0.5 \text{ pu}$, $V_1 = 1 \text{ pu}$, bus 2 is a slack bus: $V_2 = 1 \text{ pu}$, $\delta_2^0 = 0^\circ$, and the load resistor is: $R_L = 1 \text{ pu}$; the initial values of $\delta_1$, $V_2$, and $\delta_2$ are obtained using a load-flow solution and the values are: $\delta_1^0 = 5.7663^\circ$, $V_2 = 0.9984 \text{ pu}$, $\delta_2^0 = -1.4258^\circ$.

To see the effect of the load change, the load resistor $R_L$ is changed to 0.5 pu. Let the voltage sources hold the voltage magnitude and phase values at the pre-change values. For these values the real and reactive powers supplied by the two voltage sources are: $P_1 = 0.6616 \text{ pu}$, $P_2 = 1.3190 \text{ pu}$, $Q_1 = 0.0740 \text{ pu}$, $Q_2 = 0.1247 \text{ pu}$, and $P_{R_L} = 1.9805 \text{ pu}$. This means $\Delta P_1 = P_1 - P_1^0 = 0.1616 \text{ pu}$ and $\Delta P_2 = P_2 - P_2^0 = 0.8221 \text{ pu}$; from these values it can be seen that the extra load is not evenly divided between the two voltage sources. It is desirable to distribute the change in the load amongst generators depending on their ratings or some other consideration. We need a method to be able to perform this load distribution automatically. This can be achieved by a master-slave control or what is normally called droop control after the governor control of synchronous machines.

### 3. POWER SHARING WITH CONTROL

The control methods used for power sharing can be broadly classified into two categories. The first category is of master-slave control methods that have been developed for load sharing by parallel connection of uninterrupted power supplies. The second category is of droop control methods which are more suited to load sharing among distributed energy resources (DERs) in microgrids. A summary of both the categories is presented next.

#### 3.1 Master-Slave Control

Many power sharing methods for microgrids have their basis in load-sharing among parallel interrupted power supplies (UPS) to supply a critical load. Most of the UPS load sharing schemes that are useful for microgrids can be covered under the master-slave configuration shown in Figure 2. For an automatic load sharing, one master distributed energy resource (DER) is designated, and all other DERs are put in the slave mode. When the load changes, the output of each DER will change as shown in the previous section. In general, the change in the output of DERs will not be in any desired way, and to have a controlled change this master-slave structure is used. Let the new output of the master controller be $P^0$ and $Q^0$, then the master controller communicates the set-points to all other DERs as:

$$
\begin{align*}
    P^0_i &= k_p (P^0 - P_i^0) \\
    Q^0_i &= k_q (Q^0 - Q_i^0)
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_p$ and $k_q$ are chosen for a controlled distribution of change in the load.

The above-described master-slave structure has many variations and they all require a communication link to work (Vandoorn et al., 2013). Next we look at load sharing methods which do not require a communication link.

#### 3.2 Droop Control

In this section the droop control method for sharing power due to load changes is presented. For inverter sourced generation the phase of the voltage can be advanced according to a control law which appears like a droop. For example, angle $\delta_i$ is reduced if the generator supplies more than the reference load and vice-versa. The droop controllers (shown in Figures 3 and 4) are ($i = 1, 2$):

$$
\begin{align*}
    \dot{\delta}_i &= -k_{p_i} (P_{m_i} - P_i^0) \\
    \Delta V_i &= -k_{q_i} (Q_{m_i} - Q_i^0)
\end{align*}
$$

For a stable operation it is necessary that the real and reactive powers are such that $\delta_1 - \delta_2 = 0$. This guarantees that using
the droop control law (3), the real power is shared in inverse proportion to $k_p$. In steady-state the change in the system frequency is $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \Delta \omega$. The QV droop control in (4) does share the reactive power change but it does not share it proportionately and it depends on transmission line parameters. Next we present a two-bus system analysis with a view to designing a droop scheme to share the change in the reactive power proportionately.

Power Relationships for Two-bus System
The complex power entering a node can be written as:

$$S_i = P_i + jQ_i = V_i \angle \delta_i \times I_i \angle -\phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Also

$$I_i \angle \phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2} Y_{red,ij} V_j \angle \delta_j$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $Y_{red} = Y_{11} - Y_{12} Y_{22}^{-1} Y_{21}$. The submatrices $Y_{ij}$ are made from $Y_{bus}$ by grouping both the voltage-source terms in ‘1’ and the load bus as ‘2’. Let $Y_{red,ij} = G_{ij} + jB_{ij}$, define $\delta_{ij} = \delta_i - \delta_j$, and then putting (5) and (6) together, we get

$$P_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2} V_i V_j \left( G_{ij} \cos \delta_{ij} + B_{ij} \sin \delta_{ij} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$Q_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2} V_i V_j \left( G_{ij} \sin \delta_{ij} - B_{ij} \cos \delta_{ij} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

Also

Fig. 5. A two-bus system

The above power relationships (7) and (8) for a two-bus system shown in Figure 5 can be written as follows, with $B_{11} = B_{22} = \frac{X}{Z}, \quad G_{11} = G_{22} = \frac{R}{Z}, \quad B_{12} = B_{21} = \frac{Z}{R}, \quad G_{12} = G_{21} = \frac{R}{Z}$, and $Z = \sqrt{R^2 + X^2}$:

$$P_i = \frac{V_i^2}{2} \left( R \frac{X}{Z} \cos \delta_{12} + X \frac{Z}{2} \sin \delta_{12} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$Q_i = \frac{V_i^2}{2} \left( X \frac{Z}{2} \sin \delta_{12} - X \frac{Z}{2} \cos \delta_{12} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$Q_i - Q_2 = \left( V_i^2 - V_2^2 \right) \frac{X}{2Z^2} - \frac{2V_1 V_2 R}{Z} \sin \delta_{12}$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

The above power relationships have a simplification for some commonly used transmission lines as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$X \gg R$</th>
<th>$R \gg X$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_i = \frac{V_i^2}{2} \sin \delta_{12}$</td>
<td>$\frac{V_i (V_i - V_2)}{R}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_i = \frac{V_i (V_i - V_2)}{X}$</td>
<td>$-\frac{V_i V_2}{R} \sin \delta_{12}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Power Relationships for Special Cases

4. REACTIVE POWER SHARING

For a two-source microgrid with the droop control shown in Figure 1, for a change in $\Delta Q_1$, the ratio of the change in $\Delta Q_1$ and $\Delta Q_2$ is given as follows:

$$\frac{\Delta Q_2}{\Delta Q_1} = -\frac{V_1^2 B_{13} \cos \delta_{13} \left( 1 - k_{q1} \left( V_0^2 B_{23} \cos \delta_{23} + 2V_0^2 B_{22} \right) \right)}{V_2^2 B_{23} \cos \delta_{23} \left( 1 - k_{q1} \left( V_0^2 B_{13} \cos \delta_{13} + 2V_0^2 B_{11} \right) \right)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

Expression (13) clearly indicates that the sharing of reactive power using a simple droop will be in the ratio of admittances. This is a fundamental limitation in the use of QV droop control. In the following we discuss how to overcome this limitation and provide proportional reactive power sharing.

In (He and Li, 2011) virtual impedance concept for reactive power sharing and resonant filters for harmonic current support is proposed. The control scheme (He and Li, 2011, Fig. 11) shows how the measured current is used with an “impedance” block to synthesise virtual impedance.

In (Etemadi et al., 2012a,b) the design of decentralised robust controllers for multi-DER microgrids is presented. Each DER is a subsystem with a fully controllable voltage source and the control objective being the magnitude and angle of the voltage at the PCC. The PCC voltage settings are communicated from a central power management system using power flow analysis.

In (Zhong, 2013) a robust droop controller is presented for a predominantly resistive network where reactive power is controlled by varying the phase difference and the real power by voltage magnitude. A proportionate sharing is achieved much like (17) introduced in (Johnson et al., 2011).

The difficulty with exact reactive power sharing as compared to real power sharing amongst multi-DER microgrids is that it is difficult to use an integral control with reactive power sharing. Let us look at the droop control equations (3)–(4), the system has stable operation for multiple combinations of $\Delta V_i$ and $\Delta V_N$.

A proportionate reactive power sharing can be achieved only when the control algorithm can guarantee equal values of all $\Delta V_i$ at the new equilibrium.

It is possible but a very difficult problem to design control algorithms to ensure

$$\Delta V_i = \Delta V_2 = \cdots = \Delta V_N$$

One way to achieve equal $\Delta V_i$ is to have an integral control of the form:

$$\Delta V_i = \int \left( \Delta V_i - \Delta V_{com} \right) dt$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $\Delta V_i = -k_{q1} \left( Q_{mi} - Q_{mi}^0 \right)$ and $\Delta V_{com}$ has to be the change in the voltage at a pre-chosen common point in the microgrid and this scheme needs communication amongst the inverters or the DERs. A schematic of this scheme is shown in Figure 6.

In (Sao and Lehn, 2005) the control law is:

$$V_i = V_{i}^0 + k_{qi} \int (V_{refi} - V_{com}) dt$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$V_{refi} = V_{i}^0 - D_{qi} Q_i$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

In (Johnson et al., 2011) a proportional term is added to the above control law (15)-(16):
5. CONTROL FOR MICROGRIDS WITH DER

For DERs in microgrids there are different ways to choose the reference values, \( P_i^0 \) and \( Q_i^0 \), the steady-state real and reactive power output. In this paper the values prior to load change are used as the reference. In (Erickson et al., 2011) the DC link voltage in a PV system is used to set the reference real power. In (Chiang and Chang, 2001) a virtual impedance scheme is introduced to achieve (a) a balance in sharing the reactive power, and (b) harmonic current sharing, amongst many voltage-sources. Nonlinear loads have to be supplied with harmonic currents thus there is a need to share the required harmonic currents equally amongst various voltage-sources. In (De Brabandere et al., 2007) a modified active and reactive power \( P' \) and \( Q' \) are proposed for control. This paper (De Brabandere et al., 2007) also discusses the use of virtual impedance for droop control. Future research needs to consider the dynamics of the energy resources along with the sensor dynamics for a proper design of microgrid droop controllers.

In (Kamel et al., 2013) a wind generator is combined with a storage device to provide a smooth output power. The wind generator is modelled with its dynamic equations but the energy storage devices are modelled as ideal DC sources. Reference power output for the wind generator is obtained by using short term predictions of wind speeds and pitch angle control. Fuzzy control is employed to control the pitch angle.

Robust control methods for controlling PV Solar (Mahmud et al., 2012) and wind generation (Hossain et al., 2013) have been proposed for transmission and distribution systems (Roy et al., 2013). In this paper these robust control algorithms are extended to work in the microgrid framework by appending an energy storage system and a droop controllers to each DER.
at their respective reference values which are again processed to get reference voltage components. The battery, shown as a current source in Fig. 8, charges or discharges to keep the capacitor voltage at \( v_{dc}^{ref} \).

The values of the above mentioned parameters \( k_{d_w}, k_{d_v}, k_{q_w}, k_{f_w} \) and \( k_{d_p} \) are determined by minimizing an error integrating cost function which ensures a stable system with minimum steady-state errors. The cost function is given as

\[
J = \sqrt{t_0} \sum_{t=t_0}^{t_f} (t - t_0).W_{c}[E]
\]

where \( t_0 \) and \( t_f \) are the starting and ending times for calculating control performance, \( W \) is a weighting matrix and \( E = [\delta v_{dc}, \delta P_w, \delta Q_w, \delta v_{dc_p}, \delta P_p] \) is the absolute error matrix; \( \delta P \) and \( \delta Q \) represent the error between the real and reactive power references and measurements and \( \delta v_{dc} \) is the voltage deviation from its nominal value.

The performance of the designed controller during an islanded mode is discussed in the following section.

6. CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The test microgrid in (Katiarei et al., 2005) is used for controller performance evaluation. The system consists of three 13.8 kV feeders which are connected to the grid through a 69 kV radial line. The total load of the system is 7.3 MW and 3.97 MVAr.

The PV unit is connected to the system via the VSC. The stator of the DFIG is connected directly to the grid and the rotor via a VSC. The rating of the PV unit is 3.0 MW and the DFIG is 3.5 MW. Both the PV unit and the DFIG are connected to a battery energy storage system. The rating of each battery is 0.6 MWh. The DC-link voltage is 1200 V and the capacitor is 10 000 µF. Five-hundred and sixty-two two 2.135 V lead-acid batteries are connected in series to get the desired voltage. Maximum charging or discharging current is 5 kA. Each distribution line is represented by lumped series RL branches. During the islanded mode the remaining balance of 1 MW power is supplied by the BES.

The weighting matrix in (25) is chosen as \([0.5, 1.0, 1.0, 0.5, 1.0]\); the obtained control parameters for the wind turbines are \( k_{I_w} = 13.25, k_{d_w} = 8, k_{v_w} = 20 \) and for the PV unit are \( k_{f_w} = 5, k_{d_p} = 30 \). Droop controllers are tuned first and then their dynamics are included in the robust controller design.

Initially the microgrid is working in a grid-connected mode and the performance of the designed controller is investigated for a pre-planned islanding. At 1 s, an intentional islanding command is applied to the 69 kV line breakers and the batteries are switched on so that they share the remaining balance of 1 MW of power. Figures 9 and 10 show the real power output of the battery energy storage (BES). The wind generator and PV...
unit continue to operate at their optimum value. The real output power of the PV unit is shown in Fig. 11 the combined output power of the PV unit and BES is shown in Fig. 12.

Both the DER units control their reactive power to control the terminal voltage. The voltage disturbance associated with mode transition is eliminated by the voltage controller. Both the phase angle and magnitude of the controlled voltage are quickly modulated by the proposed controller in order to reject the voltage and power angle disturbances. The damping provided by the robust power sharing controller yields a well damped power sharing performance. From this study it is clear that the proposed controller can ensure stability and damped transient performance during switching from grid-connected to islanded mode.

7. CONCLUSION

For ideal inverter-connected voltage sources droop control can help in sharing real and reactive power. The control design is simple owing to the restricted sensor and controller dynamic interaction. The challenge is to include generation and load dynamics, with their controls, and guarantee stability of islanded microgrids. Energy storage systems can be used to support DERs to achieve robust control to maintain stability for large disturbances and droop control for effective power sharing.
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