Short-segment posterior instrumentation combined with anterior spondylodesis using an autologous rib graft in thoracolumbar burst fractures

Good outcomes in 32 patients
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Thoracolumbar (T11–L2) burst fractures that are unstable (i.e. failure of the anterior and middle column under compression or disruption of the posterior column) or associated with a neurologic deficit are most often treated surgically (Verlaan et al. 2004, Oner et al. 2010). Currently, short-segment posterior stabilization is considered to be the first step towards preserving motion segments, preventing adjacent segment disease, shortening operating time, and reducing intraoperative blood loss (Verlaan et al. 2004, Dai et al. 2007, Zdeblick et al. 2009, Gelb et al. 2010, Kim et al. 2011, Schmid et al. 2011, Tofuku et al. 2012). Furthermore, short-segment posterior stabilization can be performed in a standard emergency surgery setting. However, there has been some controversy concerning the need and type of anterior treatment. Combined posterior and anterior spondylodesis may result in better pain relief (Verlaan et al. 2004) and less correction loss (Bertram et al. 2003, Oner et al. 2010) or instrumentation failure (Been and Bouma 1999) compared to posterior surgery alone in patients suffering from burst fractures with an impaired anterior column.

Autologous bone grafting results in superior fusion rates compared to allografts (An et al. 1995). However, donor-site morbidity often impairs clinical outcome (Summers and Eisenstein 1989, Emery et al. 1996, Myeroff and Archdeacon 2011). If thoracotomy is performed to access thoracolumbar burst fractures from anterior, autologous rib grafts can be harvested without additional surgery. To date, little is known about the outcome after anterior spondylodesis with rib grafts in patients suffering from thoracolumbar burst fractures (Buhren and Braun 1993, Vieweg et al. 1996, Nakamura et al. 2001). We have therefore investigated the clinical and radiographic outcome after posterior bisegmental instrumentation and monosegmental spondylodesis combined with anterior monosegmental spondylodesis using an autologous rib graft for treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures.
Patients and methods

Included in this retrospective study were 37 consecutive patients who were treated with posterior bisegmental instrumentation and monosegmental spondylodesis combined with anterior monosegmental spondylodesis using an autologous rib graft for thoracolumbar burst fractures (T11–L2) at a single institution between 1999 and 2007. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Canton Lucerne.

Indications for surgery

Indications for surgery included instability (i.e. disruption of posterior structures), neurological deficits (i.e. paraplegia), risk of spinal cord injury (e.g. retropulsed fragment, spinal canal compromise), substantial damage of the proximal intervertebral disc, or severe kyphosis (> 20°) (Argenson et al. 1996, Munting 2010). In patients without neurological deficits, MRI was used to investigate the integrity of the posterior structures.

Surgery

Surgeries were performed by 6 experienced spine surgeons. In principle, a 2-stage procedure was performed. First, posterior fracture reduction, restoration of the sagittal plane alignment, and stabilization using an internal fixator with monoaxial screws (Universal Spine System or SpineFix System, Synthes, Switzerland) was achieved. Posterior instrumentation involved 2 motion segments. Autologous vertebral bone—and if necessary allogologous (Tutoplast, Novomedics GmbH, Zürich, Switzerland) or xenologous bone (Tutobone, Novomedics GmbH, Zürich, Switzerland)—was used for monosegmental posterior spondylodesis. Secondly, after approximately 10 days, anterior spondylodesis was performed using a rib graft. When the respiratory and cardiovascular condition of the patient allowed, posterior and anterior procedures were performed as 1 stage.

Posterior fracture reduction, restoration of the sagittal plane alignment, and stabilization using an internal fixator with monoaxial screws was performed with the patient in prone position according to the technique described by Dick (1987). A single dose (1g) of a first-generation cephalosporin was given before surgery. The fractured vertebra was identified with a fluoroscope, and a midline incision was performed over the spinous processes reaching from 1 adjacent vertebra below to 1 above. Access to the pedicles was gained by dissecting the thoracolumbar fascia and blunt detachment of the para-vertebral muscles. Monoaxial pedicle screws were placed into the vertebral bodies above and below the injury (bisegmental instrumentation). Rods were cut to the appropriate length and bent in order to restore lordosis and achieve fracture reduction. In cases of persistent spinal canal compromise, laminectomy and occasionally direct repositioning of a retropulsed fragment was performed. Posterolateral spondylodesis between the injured and adjacent proximal vertebra was accomplished by decortication and adding the removed bone flakes together with allogologous or xenologous bone. The wound was closed in layers and a drain was placed inside the subcutaneous tissue.

Anterior spondylodesis was performed during the same intervention, if the respiratory and cardiovascular condition of the patient allowed, or approximately 10 days after posterior surgery. Single-lumen tracheal intubation was used, and patients were placed in right lateral recumbence. The skin was incised (8-10 cm) over the rib 2 levels proximal to the injured vertebra. The periosteum was stripped from the ventral two-thirds of the rib, and the segmental nerve and blood vessels were identified. A 12- to 14-cm rib bone graft was then harvested. Subsequently, the pleura was perforated (minimized incision length of ~10 cm), and a retractor system was placed. After identification of the injured vertebra using fluoroscopy, the parietal pleura was dissected longitudinally along the vertebral bodies, reaching from the proximal adjacent vertebra to the distal adjacent vertebra. Afterwards, the proximal (injured) disc and the fractured part of the injured vertebra (partial corpectomy) were removed. Corpectomy was performed with a chisel and with a view to creating a flat and level surface. The posterior wall of the injured vertebra was spared, but thinned with rongeurs to facilitate resorption. The cartilaginous endplate of the proximal vertebra was removed completely with raspers to facilitate fusion. Afterwards, approximately 5 autologous rib strut grafts of appropriate length (2-3 cm) were inserted press-fit in the sagittal plane compactly side by side like a palisade (Figure 1). Attention was paid to

Figure 1. Anteroposterior (left) and lateral (right) view of the thoraco-lumbar spine (T11 to L3) on postoperative radiographs after an AO type B2.3 fracture of L1. Bisegmental posterior instrumentation from T12 to L2 and anterior spondylodesis from T12 to L1 using an autologous rib graft was performed. The image shows the rib strut grafts in situ, arranged like a palisade.
prepare the bone bed carefully and place the rib grafts correctly. A chest tube was placed, and the pleura was partially closed. Finally, a catheter connected to an analgesic perfusor was put into the costodiaphragmatic recess, and deep wound drainage was positioned. The wound was closed in layers. The chest tube, wound drain, and catheter were removed after 2-3 days. Patients were mobilized one day after anterior surgery. Patients without spinal cord injury wore a thoracolumbar brace for 12 weeks in order to prevent excessive inclination and reclination, because the additional anterior spondylodesis did not provide primary stability.

**Implant removal**

Removal of the implants was recommended after fusion had occurred, in order to restore motion to the additionally fixed superior motion segment.

**Follow-up**

Clinical and conventional radiographic evaluation took place after 1, 6, and 12 weeks; 6 and 12 months postoperatively; and 6 and 12 months after implant removal. Postoperative pain was assessed on a numeric rating scale (NRS) from 0 to 10 (mild pain: 1–4; moderate pain: 5–7; severe pain: 8–10). Postoperative computed tomography (CT) imaging was only performed if indicated by findings on the conventional radiographs, or if patients reported moderate or severe back pain.

**Radiographic analysis**

Fractures were graded according to the AO classification system using preoperative conventional radiographs and CT images (Magerl et al. 1994). The monosegmental Cobb angle (Keynan et al. 2006) (Figure 2) between the lower endplate of the fractured vertebra and the upper endplate of the adjacent proximal vertebra was measured on lateral conventional radiographs using Phoenix PACS software (version 3.20.34233; Phoenix-PACS GmbH, Freiburg, Germany). Negative values indicate kyphotic angles and positive values indicate lordotic angles. Anterior interbody fusion was graded according to Molinari et al. (1999) as follows. Grade I: fused with remodeling and trabeculae present; grade II: graft intact, not fully remodeled and incorporated, and no luency present; grade III: graft intact, potential lucency present at the top or bottom of the graft; grade IV: fusion absent with collapse/resorption of the graft.

**Statistics**

Data are presented as median, range, and 95% confidence interval (CI). Differences between the monosegmental Cobb angles were tested using the Friedman and Wilcoxon signed rank test. In all statistical analyses, any p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 15.0).

**Results**

5 of the 37 patients were lost to follow-up because they had moved abroad, and they were therefore excluded from the analysis. Thus, we analyzed the data on 32 patients (26 males) (Table). The median age at the time of injury was 31 (16–67 years). The fractures had most often occurred after falls (n = 11), followed by para-gliding (n = 8), traffic accidents (n = 6), winter sport accidents (n = 5), and other trauma (n = 2). The most frequently affected vertebrae were L1 (n = 20) and T12 (n = 10). The fracture severity according to the AO classification system was: 1 A3.1 lesion, 1 A3.2 lesion, 14 B1.2 lesions, 10 B2.3 lesions, and 6 C1.3 lesions. 5 patients had sustained additional vertebral fractures (AO type A.1). 4 patients had suffered from polytrauma (cranial, thoracic, abdominal, or pelvic injuries, long bone fractures). All the patients with neurological deficits had received methylprednisolone in different dose regimens within the first 48 h after injury. In 10 patients (including all 5 patients without neurological deficits), MRI was used to investigate the integrity of the posterior ligamentous complex. A rupture of the posterior ligamentous complex was therefore confirmed in all 5 patients without neurological deficits.

The median time from injury to surgery was 1 (0–26) days. A crosslink rod was connected in 3 patients (2 AO type B fracture and 1 type C fracture). In 3 patients, laminectomy was performed for decompression of the spinal cord. Additional allogous or xenologous bone was used for posterior spondylodesis in 3 and 5 patients. Anterior surgery was performed after a median time of 8 (0–58) days. In 10 patients, posterior and anterior surgery was carried out in a single intervention.
Most commonly, anterior spondylodesis was performed with the tenth rib (n = 26). The ninth and eleventh ribs were used in 4 and 2 patients, respectively.

There were no relevant perioperative complications. Furthermore, no implant failure or loosening was observed. In the majority of patients (n = 22), posterior instrumentation was removed after a median time of 19 (13–32) months. 3 patients refused implant removal, and for 6 patients there was no information available as to why the implants were not removed. 1 patient suffered from chronic intercostal neuralgia. 26 patients had no complaints concerning their back. 1 and 2 patients suffered from mild and moderate back pain after implant removal. In the 10 patients without implant removal, 2 patients reported mild back pain postoperatively.

---

### Table: Individual patient characteristics, Cobb angles, and outcomes after posterior bisegmental instrumentation and monosegmental spondylodesis combined with anterior monosegmental spondylodesis using an autologous rib graft

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–24</td>
<td>–7</td>
<td>–7</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>C1.3</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>L1–L3</td>
<td>L1–L2</td>
<td>–20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–20</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>–4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>NRS 1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>C1.3</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–25</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–19</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–21</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>C1.3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–23</td>
<td>–9</td>
<td>–9</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–20</td>
<td>–3</td>
<td>–7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>–14</td>
<td>–16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>C1.3</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–25</td>
<td>–9</td>
<td>–9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>A3.2</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>NRS 5–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–23</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–19</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>neuralgia b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–17</td>
<td>–6</td>
<td>–6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–20</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–25</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>–7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–21</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>–5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>C1.3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–25</td>
<td>–8</td>
<td>–25</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>–4</td>
<td>–10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>C1.3</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>NRS 5–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>A3.1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–6</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NRS 1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>NRS 1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>T11–12</td>
<td>–24</td>
<td>–8</td>
<td>–14</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>B1.2</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>–23</td>
<td>–2</td>
<td>–4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>T11</td>
<td>B2.3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T10–12</td>
<td>T10–11</td>
<td>–13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>nc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A: Patient identification  
B: Sex  
C: Age  
D: Fracture level  
E: AO fracture classification  
F: American Spinal Injury Association impairment score preoperatively  
G: American Spinal Injury Association impairment score postoperatively  
H: Posterior levels  
I: Anterior levels  
J: Cobb preoperatively (°)  
K: Cobb postoperatively (°)  
L: Cobb last follow-up (°)  
M: Months to implant removal  
na: not available/not applicable  
N: Months to last follow-up  
O: Outcome  
nc: no complaints  
NRS: numeric rating scale (pain severity)  
transient (3 months) intercostal neuralgia  
chronic intercostal neuralgia
The segmental kyphosis was corrected from a median of -20° (range: -25 to -10; CI: -21 to -19) to -1.0° (range: -14 to 8; CI: -2.7 to 0.7) postoperatively (Figure 3). The segmental angle decreased by a median of 2.0° (range: -2 to 17; CI: 0.2 to 2.8) from the postoperative situation to 12 months postoperatively. The median correction loss after implant removal was 0.0° (range: -2 to 6; CI: -0.5 to 0.5). There was no statistically significant difference between the segmental angle before and after implant removal (p = 0.12).

At the time of admission, 27 patients suffered from neurological deficits (Table). The patient with the AO type A2.3 fracture presented with an American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) impairment score (AIS) D, but recovered completely postoperatively (AIS E). 20 of the 24 patients with AO type B fractures showed neurological impairment. 2 patients recovered from AIS B and D, respectively, to AIS E, and 1 patient improved from AIS B to AIS D. All the patients who had sustained an AO type C fracture suffered from neurological deficits (2 patients with AIS A and 4 with AIS D), and there was no improvement in the AIS at discharge.

Anterior spondylodesis resulted in fusion in all patients, as evidenced by incorporation and remodeling of the rib grafts (Figure 4). There was no lucency, collapse, or resorption of a graft. The median Molinari score 12 months postoperatively was 2 (1–3), and it was 1 (1–1) after implant removal.

**Discussion**

The restoration and maintenance of the anatomic alignment in the sagittal plane is an important goal in the surgical treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures. It is important from a functional point of view (Argenson et al. 1996) and for prevention of accelerated disc degeneration of adjacent segments (Lee 1988, Kumar et al. 2001)—and possibly pain associated with kyphotic deformity (Malcolm et al. 1981, Farcy et al. 1990, Vaccaro and Silber 2001). In our series, the posttraumatic segmental angle was corrected from a median of -20° to -1° (kyphosis) postoperatively. After implant removal, the median segmental angle was -3°. In comparison, the physiological segmental angles in the thoracolumbar spine (T11–L2) range from -4.8° to -1.2° (Vialle et al. 2005). Correction loss 1 year postoperatively was minor and clinically irrelevant, and no further correction loss was observed thereafter until 2 years postoperatively (implants removed). These findings are in accordance with results in the literature. In systematic review and multicenter studies, the postoperative bisegmental angle has been reported to decrease by approximately 5° after
combined posterior and anterior spondylodesis as compared to approximately 7° after short posterior spondylodesis (Verlaan et al. 2004, Reinhold et al. 2010). In a recent multicenter study, the authors concluded that combined posterior and anterior surgery restored posttraumatic deformity best (Reinhold et al. 2010). However, the clinical relevance and benefit of this small difference in kyphosis correction is questionable. The additional morbidity risks and costs with the anterior approach need to be justified by the clinical benefits. Nevertheless, there does not appear to be a relevant difference in the risk of complications after combined techniques and the risk after posterior techniques (Verlaan et al. 2004). Furthermore, the differences in treated patient groups or fractures and outcome measures between studies may have masked relevant differences between the techniques under investigation in published studies. Thus, randomized prospective studies comparing the different techniques are required to establish true benefits of one technique over another.

Stabilization allowing early mobilization for rehabilitation and prevention of pressure sores is particularly important in paraplegic patients. In the present study, most patients had sustained a neurological injury; 8 of 32 patients suffered from complete paraplegia. The rate of patients with neurological injury and complete paraplegia in other studies has been lower than in our study, i.e. between 5% and 25% (Reinhold et al. 2010, Schmid et al. 2011). In our experience, posterior instrumentation in the thoracic and thoracolumbar region commonly causes pressure points and discomfort in wheelchair users. Furthermore, monosegmental posterior and anterior spondylodesis is performed in order to preserve motion segments and prevent adjacent segment disease. Thus, we recommend removal of the posterior instrumentation in wheelchair users especially, but also in patients without any neurological deficits.

Postoperative neurological improvement was observed in 4 patients. 3 of these 4 patients (1 with AO type A fractures and 2 with AO type B fractures) recovered completely (AIS E). However, none of the patients with AO type C fractures showed any improvement in the AIS. The correction of the compromised spinal canal did not generally result in neurological improvement but possibly prevented further neurological deterioration. Our observations are largely in accordance with those in the literature (Verlaan et al. 2004, Oner et al. 2010, Schmid et al. 2010). Complete cord injury (AIS A) is not likely to resolve whereas patients with mild neurological deficits have a greater chance of recovery (Reinhold et al. 2010).

We did not encounter any major perioperative complications and there were no implant failures or loosening. In a systematic review of surgical treatment of thoracolumbar fractures, a complication rate of 5% was reported after anteroposterior surgery (Verlaan et al. 2004). Most patients (72%) were pain-free after anteroposterior surgery, 22% suffered from mild to moderate back pain, and 6% suffered from constant severe pain. In the present study, 81% reported having no back pain and 19% complained of mild to moderate back pain. Postoperative pain after thoracolumbar fractures may persist or develop because of progressive kyphosis as a result of abnormal stresses placed on the facet joints, the intervertebral discs, and the surrounding soft tissues (Malcolm et al. 1981, Vaccaro and Silber 2001, Glassman et al. 2005, Munting 2010). Furthermore, the injured intervertebral disc itself may become a source of pain if it is not removed completely, as a result of chronic osteochondrosis (Mayer and Korge 2002).

The additional anterior approach facilitates the complete removal of the injured intervertebral disc, and this may prevent chronic posttraumatic back pain (Willen et al. 1990, Benson et al. 1992). Furthermore, it has been suggested that postoperative kyphosis after thoracolumbar burst fractures mainly occurs because of disc height loss (Wang et al. 2008) resulting from a collapse of the injured disc space (Daniaux et al. 1991, Muller et al. 1999, Walchli et al. 2001) or creeping of the disc into the fractured bony endplate (Oner et al. 1998).

Some authors have claimed that the rib graft segments must be fixed together with wire, thread, or a screw to achieve sufficient mechanical stability (Vieweg et al. 1996). However, in our study there was no graft failure, even though the graft segments were not fixed together. 3-4 rib segments are required to attain load-carrying capacity similar to that of iliac bone grafts (Vieweg et al. 1996, Nakamura et al. 2001). The anterior approach to thoracolumbar fractures via thoracotomy facilitates the harvest of autologous rib grafts, which achieve excellent fusion rates and very little donor-site morbidity.

Other authors have also reported successful outcomes after short-segment posterior instrumentation for treatment of AO type B and C thoracolumbar burst fractures with anterior spondylodesis (Reinhold et al. 2010, Schmid et al. 2010) or without (Gelb et al. 2010, Reinhold et al. 2010). However, the degree of correction loss was great without anterior spondylodesis (Gelb et al. 2010, Reinhold et al. 2010).

One limitation of our study was the possibility of patient selection bias as a result of investigating patients who presented at our institution, which is a private rehabilitation center for spinal cord-injured patients. Furthermore, this was a retrospective study with no comparison or control group. A randomized, prospective comparison of the present technique with other standard techniques is required to ascertain and validate the current results. The quality of fusion was assessed on conventional radiographs and not on CT images, which would be considered to be the gold-standard method. As a result of the retrospective nature of our study, CT images at the time of implant removal were not available for all patients. According to common clinical practice, postoperative CT imaging was only performed if indicated by findings on the conventional radiographs, or if patients reported moderate or severe back pain (NRS 5–10).

In conclusion, there was no clinically relevant correction loss postoperatively and after implant removal, and there were no major complications. Most patients had no complaints concerning their back at the last follow-up.
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