Preface

The key interest of this edited book is in integration of students’ learning experiences across vocational education institutions and workplace settings. This is nowadays a central aspect of vocational education within universities, technical and vocational institutes, upper secondary schools and enterprise based training environments. Such educational goals came about, and have been driven by transnational organizations and governmental decisions, in response to a changing world of work, unemployment, global workforce mobility and a new economy - all acknowledging risks and uncertainties (OECD, 2009; OECD, 2010). Taken together, these are aspects that are raising the demands on the provision of vocational education, including both a quest for high quality workers as an outcome of education and training while avoiding educational blind alleys, as well as inclusion of young people who are reluctant to fully participate in education. Increasingly, major interest groups at different levels are looking to workplace-based experiences to be part of vocational educational provisions as a means to develop the kinds of knowing required to be productive in work, inform students about their preferred occupations, make judgments about suitability for a vocation of their interest, and also develop the kinds of capacities that they will require to be productive in their chosen occupations. Hence contemporary vocational education has to adapt to new groups of students to meet societal challenges and to new forms of vocational education.

However, societal problems labeled as being global and proposed resolutions through education do not fully address curricular arrangements for integration of students’ experiences designed for national contexts. Indeed, transnational educational imperatives materialize in different forms across different nation states depending on a range of factors including traditions about development of skills for particular industries. The provision of experiences in work settings need to be appropriately designed and afforded for learners who are either employed or positioned as students. Whereas the former are embedded within routine work activities and interactions, much of the learning arrangements for students are predominantly located outside the circumstances of work. Hence, student placements in workplaces and short periods of work experiences represent quite distinct opportunities compared to what they learn in educational settings alone. Yet, it is this combination of experiences that has become the most common feature of curriculum offered by vocational and higher education sectors.
Further to this, ways in which different systems and institutions secure reconciliation of students/apprenticeships’ experiences inform strategies and processes to facilitate their learning across sites. Thus, it is important to understand the different national systems and their particular purposes for providing experiences beyond those provisions afforded by educational institutions because practices to support sequencing and organizing learning experiences in multiple sites have to be developed and implemented within specific national and local contexts. The chapters in Section II illustrate examples that contribute to a rich understanding of arrangements for integration in different national contexts.

Furthermore, the contributions and positions of stakeholders like teachers, workplace trainers and students inform understandings about the phenomenon of integration of students’ learning experiences. For instance, students as workers (as in German, Swiss and Australian apprenticeships) engage in experiences in which the workplace plays a key and structured role and has decisive influence on the content and pedagogy, largely governed by workplace production and specific professional/vocational requirements. Alternatively, programs offered by educational institutions, have a decisive influence on the nature of experiences (e.g. classroom or practice-based) and focus on defined proficiencies suited for general preparation for a vocational field. Therefore, the purposes and level of commitment from different stakeholders in supporting these arrangements will have implications for the kinds of experiences that are enacted and provided to students, and how students engage with those provisions. The cases in Section II of this book provide examples of how different stakeholders support integration.

In this publication the idea of integration has been studied from different theoretical and conceptual perspectives, hence bring to attention different phenomena. In an everyday language integration may refer to different bits of learning that are melded together to form a whole. For instance, integration can refer to amalgamation of what is learnt in school and what is learnt in the workplace into a whole for the benefit of the students, the employing organizations and society as a whole. A problem is though that in the language of policy this merging – or integration – is more or less ‘black-boxed’ – and needs to be unpacked. A point of departure for this book is the different sites in which students experience vocational education. As mentioned above, these sites, in whatever ways they are arranged, lay down certain conditions for what is possible to learn. Thus, if we for instance argue – as we often do – that the work of teachers, workplace supervisors and students have to be connected or
coordinated, it is important to recognize that the different sites have their multitude of institutional and material manifestations with different historical roots. Indeed, integration in this instance appeals for recognition of the distinct differences between the settings. So, the planning and implementation of provisions for integration demands not only appropriate policy directions, stakeholders agreeing to and exercising their roles, but also an appreciation of the nuances in arrangements and operations within particular sites. The chapters in Section II of this book highlight these very points.

The structure of the book:
This edited book comprises three sections: (I) Provision and integration of work experiences within vocational education, (II) Integrating work experiences within vocational education: empirical examples; and (III) Educational practice supporting the use and integration of work experiences in vocational education. We will briefly introduce the chapters.

I Provision and integration of work experiences within vocational education

The first section offers a general discussion on the understanding of integration across educational and workplace settings. The section forms a foundation concerning the importance of preparing students for integration. It also stresses the importance of acknowledging national and industry traditions and their institutional arrangement.

The first chapter by Sarojni Choy, Viveca Lindberg and Gun-Britt Wärvik, *Integration between school and work: Developments, conceptions and applications*, summarises some of the historical intentions and progression around integration of learning between school and work as evidenced in the curriculum design and delivery of vocational education and training (VET). The VET curriculum has evolved into one that better prepares individuals as skilful and productive workers and at the same time aims to fulfil their vocational aspirations. The authors summarise the conceptualisations and progressive development of processes as vocational education systems transformed in their manifestations, purposes and practices.

In the second chapter, *Student readiness and the integration of experiences in practice and education settings*, Stephen Billett takes the concept of students’ readiness as a starting point for a discussion on students’ adaptation to educational goals and their ability to integrate and reconcile experiences in education and work through teacherly interventions before and after
students’ experiences in work settings. His explanatory basis to understand and appraise the readiness comprises the students’ zone of potential development, that is, the zone within which students can mediate their own learning, and the movement towards the zone of proximal development. He thus points to the necessity of guidance and teacherly interventions before, during and after work placement, and the reciprocity that arises between the student's current experiences and the teacher's efforts as mediatational means, for the fulfilment of educational goals.

David Guile’s chapter, *Work experience and VET: insights from the connective typology and the recontextualisation model*, compares the Connective Typology of Work Experience with the Recontextualisation Model of Knowledge, and discusses the implications for work experiences. It shows how the latter model addresses limitations of the former, and offers a way to distinguish the difference in learning outcomes. Both models accept the mediated relationship between school and work however, stresses a major difference. The first model focuses on the learners’ movements – boundary crossing – between education and work. Here the reasoning is based on an application of Weber’s concept of ideal types of work experience. The latter model is influenced by activity theory and the interplay between manifestations of knowledge in education and work, as well as the learners’ movements within and across contexts. A point of departure is cultural tools, here meaning forms of knowledge, and how these tools are influenced by the purpose to which the tools serve. He concludes by illustrating his thesis with an example of digital technologies for mobile learning purposes, and as a way to reconsider how learners could be supported.

Philipp Grollmann, in his chapter *Workbased learning and vocational education in international comparative research*, takes a different point of departure and discusses the dual nature of vocational education in different national contexts. He explains that this duality can be shaped very differently, even in vocational systems that are classified as dual. According to Grollmann, vocational education systems are most often dual even if not explicitly classified as such. Accordingly, there are degrees of dualities that can serve as a starting point for comparative education, between as well as within national systems. His concern is thus that the main policy focus on work-based learning and apprenticeships for the purpose of labour market outcomes tends to overlook the curricular aspects. He argues that the term work-based learning is too unspecific and can be associated with a range of arrangements. There is
however a lack of emphasis on the integration of learning experiences in schools and workplaces.

II Integrating work experiences within vocational education: empirical examples

This section draws on eleven empirical studies from seven countries, each reporting on different aspects of integration. The section presents an understanding of the purposes for providing experiences outside educational institutions; the kinds and extent of those experiences; and efforts enacted to secure integration of students’ experiences across sites. The studies concern various vocational areas and the authors take their national vocational education system as a point of departure, thus recognising the contextual aspect of curricular means for integration of students’ experiences across settings. The intention in this section is not to compare the different cases, but to acknowledge the complexities surrounding the formation of vocational education. Thus, the focus is not on the various educational systems per se, rather the conditions and approaches that enable or constrain integration.

The chapters are ordered alphabetically by country name.

The first chapter of section II by Sarojni Choy, Integration of learning in educational institutions and workplaces: An Australian case study, concerns Australian vocational education and training students, teachers and managers/coordinators’ conceptions on how learners’ make connections between what is learnt in educational institutions and workplaces. She identifies four dominant conceptions of connectivity with structural and referential variations that illustrate a progression of learning for work, to learning through work. The first is fully matched with an intended curriculum while the latter show that students are full participants in work contexts. The roles of all stakeholders are stressed as important for creation of couplings between the two contexts for integration to happen.

Ray Smiths’ chapter, Learner agency and the negotiation of practice is also from Australia and concentrates on learning at work as a transformational practice where workers contribute to this practice. His point of departure is learner agency as enacted intention, a capacity to act – and with the assumption that it is impossible not to act in a workplace. Such a capacity is seen as personal, though mediated by circumstances of which an individual is a part of (eg. people, places and practices) hence calls for negotiations for purposes of learning. Through
three examples (fruit and vegetable packers; firefighters; and a restaurant owner, manager and staff) he illustrates how socio-personal agency and transformative practice develop over time as part of their vocational training.

In Integration for holistic development of apprentices’ competences in Finland, Laura Pylväs, Heta Rintala and Petri Nokelainen focus on integration of apprentices in their work environment and the development of occupational (theoretical and practical) and personal competences into holistic vocational expertise in the context of apprenticeship training. Their study found that boundary-crossing and collaboration between workplaces and education providers does not take place too often in the context of Finnish apprenticeship training. The authors argue that to support the holistic development of vocational expertise and to avoid drop-outs in apprenticeship training, workplaces need to acknowledge not only the significance of apprentices developing vocation-specific competences, but also the role of social competences and self-regulation when operating in between vocational institutions, and simultaneously functioning as an accountable employee in a workplace.

In her chapter, Variations in implementing the dual VET System: Perspectives of students, teachers and trainers in the certified trades in Iceland, Elsa Eiriksdóttir analyses the curricula of 34 certified trades in Iceland. She noted a lack of central governance and that the dual system models differed in terms of the duration of the work-based learning period and the sequencing of the periods at the workplace and in school. She then selected four trades for a closer examination to understand the variations. Conflicting goals and important trade-offs between different models were the main factors that led to variations.

The chapter by Selena Chan, Bronwyn Beatty, Dominic Chilvers, Lorna Davies, Adam Hollingworth & Isabel Jamieson, Work-integrated learning in Aotearoa/New Zealand: diversity, biculturalism and industry-led, is from New Zealand and concerns graduate studies in five vocational areas: broadcasting, business management, midwifery, nursing and social work, that all embrace work-integrated learning. They discuss the socio-cultural-historical origins of the programme approaches. The concept of work readiness is central in their analysis of work-integrated learning, including aspects like knowledge-related issues as well as inter-relational and citizen features in a distinct bi-cultural context of New Zealand. All students are exposed to the diverse ethnic work environment characterizing Aotearoa/New Zealand. The authors noted inconsistent structures across the programs.
The second chapter from New Zealand, *Even better than the real thing: practice-based learning and vocational thresholds at work*, by Karen Vaughan draws on research on general practice (GP) registrars, carpentry apprentices, engineering technician cadets, and their workplace teachers and mentors. She explores “vocational threshold”, described as “experiences that act as a portal to new levels of practice capability” (p. xxx). She notices differences in demands for capability development between the vocations, and argues that crossing the vocational thresholds in field specific contexts helps develop disposition attributes for the vocations. Importantly, she also points out that just providing students with workplace-based learning is not enough - learning is dependent on the quality of workplace affordances. Vaughan contends that workplace imperatives should not be compromised at the expense of rich learning opportunities that students need.

The chapter by Torgeir Nyen & Anna Hagen Tønder, *Development of vocational skills through integration of practical training periods in school based vocational education in Norway*, discusses how vocational skills, identity and motivation for learning are influenced by different ways of integrating practical training periods in vocational education and training. The study is based on the Norwegian model of an initial phase of school-based education followed by a work-based phase (2+2 years). They proposed that it can be beneficial for students to be shielded from real work situations during the first part of the education, going to explain that without basic skills, students can experience such situations as restrictive since they are only allowed to carry out very simple tasks. However, later in their study program work-based experiences can have positive impacts on students’ learning.

The second chapter from Norway, *ePortfolios as hybrid learning arenas in vocational education and training (VET)*, by Leif Ch. Lahn and Hæge Nore is about the use of ePortfolios as an integrating element of vocational education and training. The context is the Norwegian apprenticeship training offices, approved as training companies, but owned by a community of companies. The training offices are however not trade-specific. Their role is to recruit apprentices and training enterprises, organize networks between enterprises, schools and branch organizations, secure and follow up training in companies, and deliver courses. The training offices are also responsible for the establishment of a quality system of which ePortfolios are a part. The authors conceptualise ePortfolios as hybrid learning-arenas, and regard the ePortfolio systems as an integrating link between apprentices, training offices, schools and companies. Their study shows that ePortfolios are used very differently across the
vocations, including the ability of the system to integrate between the different institutions. The authors suggest ways to improve the use of ePortfolios for integration of learning in different sites.

The next chapter is from Singapore, *Spaces and spaces ‘in between’ - relations through pedagogical tools and learning*, authored by Helen Bound, Arthur Chia and Lee Wee Chee. The authors outline recent changes in Singapore’s Continuing Education and Training sector. They discuss two case studies to analyse affordances: one is about employees in a retail outlet and the other is about cadet fire fighters. They highlight the difficulties experienced by enterprises if employees need to leave the worksite to attend training. Employers prefer workers to learn at and during work. Bound and her team identify “spaces in-between” where workers can use integrative devices to engage in collaborative learning and information sharing.

Swedish upper secondary school apprenticeship and integration through so called tripartite conversations is the focus of the chapter by Ingela Andersson, *Workplace learning for school based apprenticeships: Tripartite conversations as a boundary crossing tool*. Tripartite conversations are held between the teacher, the student and the workplace supervisor. These conversations are regarded as tools intended to support integration. Her study is based on a school-based apprenticeship where the apprentices are not employed by the companies, but remain as upper secondary school students. She concludes that the conversations can be useful for preventing situations where the students become the only brokers between school and work. She also points out that continuous appraisal of arrangements is necessary to create collaborative planning. Thus, Andersson’s study points out that even if an intended curriculum is situated within a framework of tripartite conversation, how this will work in practical terms is not always predictable.

The second Swedish chapter by Gun-Britt Wärvik and Viveca Lindberg, titled is *Integration between school and work: Changes and challenges in Swedish VET 1970-2011*. The analysis in this chapter concerns how integration between schools and workplaces is distributed and organised to accomplish the goals of three Swedish national upper secondary school curricula reforms of 1970, 1994 and 2011. The focus is on health care and textile industries that over the decades have been subjected to major changes. The authors note that both in health care and textile, teachers have played important roles in integration. However, earlier traditions in
the two industries have influenced how integration of learning was organised. The authors argue that the ideal of integration must be understood in its cultural and historical contexts.

The last chapter in Section II is from Switzerland. In the chapter *Success factors for fostering the connection between learning in school and at the workplace: the voice of Swiss VET actors*, Viviana Sappa, Carmela Aprea and Barbara Vogt have studied teachers, in-company trainers and apprentices’ perceptions of important features of support provided to apprentices with connecting learning at school and work. Swiss students attend intercompany courses which can be considered as a third space for their learning. However, schools and workplaces still remain the primary locations for education. The authors identify connecting factors at both meso- (communication, curriculum development) and micro levels (instructional factors), and describe the multidimensional nature of connecting.

**III Educational practice supporting the use and integration of work experiences in vocational education**

This concluding section draws together key considerations for an understanding of integration of students’ learning experiences across vocational education institutions and workplace settings. It contains two chapters.

The first concluding chapter in section III is *Concepts, purposes and practices of integration across national curriculum*, by Stephen Billett, Gun-Britt Wärvik and Sarojni Choy and summarises different concepts, purposes and practices of integration as developed in the chapters of this book. A point of departure for the conceptualisations is that integrating two sets of experiences implies a duality, and the involvement of two or more physically and socially separated settings. The reconciliation of the learner also includes mutuality, therefore cannot rely on the students’ agency alone. Other stakeholders with interest in realising the goals for vocational education contribute to provisions and processes for effective integration of learning in different sites.

The second concluding chapter *Considerations for the integration of students’ experiences*, by Sarojni Choy, Gun-Britt Wärvik and Viveca Lindberg outlines processes, procedures and arrangements for the integration of vocational education that takes place in schools and in workplaces. The chapter draws on the cases presented in Section II to propose broad
considerations for integration around four imperatives: social-cultural arrangements; negotiated curriculum; the roles of stakeholders; and learner preparedness. These imperatives and implications for students’ learning are discussed.

The review of literature and the chapters in this book highlight the strong focus on individualisation that is connected to educational policy agendas, emanating from transnational organizations like the European Union and the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), which have been widely adopted in national contexts. Individualisation implies a level of responsibility for engaging in vocational education and training, for becoming flexible and employable individuals who can contribute to society. This is also evident in the strong connections between education, economy and labour market that we see today. From another perspective individualisation can also refer to learning support according to individual educational needs. We agree that the quest for integration of learning in schools and workplaces requires mutual engagement from all three actors: the school, the workplace and the student. The school needs to be engaged in local policies and arrangements as well as in interventions and support by teachers or other staff. Workplaces and supervisors need to be engaged in arrangements for a workplace curriculum that opens up for both repetitive and challenging work tasks as well as possibly collaborating with other companies if the experiences that students can get seem restricted. The students’ have a responsibility for engagement and commitment to their studies. This engagement should be seen as relational to the school and the workplace.

A conclusion from this book is that integration of students’ experiences across settings is limited if left without pedagogical interventions which need to be problematized in a reflective way to understand the challenges with arranging rich learning opportunities for students. Teacherly interventions before, during and after students’ work placements are important processes to maintain effective integration because very few workplaces have the capacity to appropriate the learning curriculum designed by educational policy makers, given that the main business of these sites is the production of goods and services. Furthermore, not all work tasks are educationally rich to serve students’ curricular goals. Hence effective integration necessitates collaborations between workplaces and educational institutions to organise arrangements that allow the enactment and engagement of the VET curriculum.
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