A systematic review assessing the extent of social marketing principle use in interventions targeting children (2000-2014)

Introduction

The World Health Organization indicates that childhood obesity remains among the biggest global challenges to public health in the twenty-first century (WHO, 2014). There is a growing evidence that obesity in children has been increasing around the world in the last few decades (Ayadi, 2008; Booth et al., 2003; Magarey et al., 2001; Wang and Lobstein, 2006), with one in four Australian children and one third of American children and adolescents classed as obese (Ogden et al., 2012). Further, in the European Union over 20% of school-age children are overweight or obese, and the International Obesity Taskforce estimates that worldwide there are up to 200 million school age children who are either overweight or obese, with about 22 million children under 5 year olds being classed as overweight (Deckelbaum and Williams, 2001).

Childhood obesity causes many health issues such as hyperinsulinaemia, poor glucose tolerance and a raised risk of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, sleep apnoea, social exclusion and depression (Braveman and Barclay, 2009). Obesity among children remains a serious problem later in life as obese children are at high risk of becoming overweight or obese adults, and will be at risk of increased morbidity even if they lose weight (Deckelbaum and Williams, 2001). If the current increased prevalence of childhood obesity remains unchanged, obesity will start to affect people in their adulthood, and increased levels of heart diseases, diabetes, cancer, gall bladder disease, osteoarthritis, endocrine disorders and other obesity-related health problems will impact the health of our society to even greater extent than they already do. This will be a significant cost to the governments (Lobstein et al., 2004), as up to 7% of the total health care costs are attributable to obesity (WHO, 2003). While some of the science fuelling the obesity discourse is being questioned (Gard and Wright, 2005), a World Health Organization report points towards changing world food economy and shifting dietary patterns, such as an increase in the consumption of energy-dense foods that are high in saturated fat and low in unrefined carbohydrates, as some of the reasons behind increasing obesity rates (WHO, 2000). Our changing diet patterns combined with sedentary lifestyles, reliance on motorised transportation and the decline in physically demanding labour jobs also play an important role in the growing prevalence of overweight and obesity.

Social marketing is one of the tools used around the world to combat some of the problems associated with and leading to obesity. For example, a recent study by Carins and Rundle-Thiele (2014) identified thirty-four social marketing interventions aiming to improve healthy eating behaviours. The American Academy of Pediatrics argues that the causes of the obesity epidemic need to be tackled early in child’s life (Daniels and Greer, 2008; Shonkoff, Boyce and McEwan, 2009). Calls have been made for social marketing to be included in children’s preventative health strategy and practice (Barlovic, 2006), yet no previous systematic review of evidence in the area of social marketing targeting children has been identified. This paper attempts to
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close this gap by examining the extent that social marketing principles or benchmark criteria are (or are not) used in social marketing interventions targeting children published in peer-reviewed journals.

**Social Marketing**
Social marketing has been used for over 40 years to facilitate a wide range of behaviours, including responsible alcohol consumption, reduction in the prevalence of smoking and illicit drugs, improved diet and increased physical activity (Gordon *et al.*, 2006). Social marketing is a framework relying on its commercial marketing roots to address social issues. In July 2013 the International Social Marketing Association (ISMA), European Social Marketing Association (ESMA) and the Australian Association of Social Marketing (AASM) adopted a consensus definition of social marketing stating that “social marketing seeks to develop and integrate marketing concepts with other approaches to influence behaviours that benefit individuals and communities for the greater social good” (2013).

In 2002, Alan Andreasen identified the six benchmark criteria differentiating social marketing from other behaviour change approaches. Following the publication of Andreasen’s (2002) social marketing benchmark criteria alternative frameworks (see for example, French and Blair-Stevens, 2005 and Robinson-Maynard *et al.*, 2013) have been put forward. Not all frameworks offer mutually exclusive criteria for categorisation purposes. Andreasen’s (2002) framework offers mutually exclusive categories and has been used to categorise the extent social marketing has been implemented within interventions in similar social marketing reviews (Carins and Rundle-Thiele, 2014; Gordon *et al.*, 2006). Andreasen’s criteria include six benchmarks. First, social marketing interventions should aim to change behaviours. While increasing awareness, changing attitudes or behavioural intentions are all important, changing behaviour must remain the penultimate aim (Donovan and Henley, 2010). Second, social marketers should use formative research to provide insights into consumers’ needs, wants, behaviours and preferences, in order to inform the development of the social marketing intervention (French and Blair-Stevens, 2006). Third, segmentation should be used to differentiate between different groups within the target market, provide them with tailored marketing mixes and maximise the effectiveness of marketing activities. Fourth, marketing mix, which may include product, place, price and promotion should be used to deliver an offering that target audiences will find attractive (Elliot *et al.*, 2014). Fifth, exchange should be considered in an attempt to lower the barriers to performing the desired behaviour and offering benefits that the target audience will consider beneficial. Finally, competition should be analysed focusing on both direct alternative behaviours and competing campaigns and marketing activities.

Considerable confusion remains about what is (and what is not) social marketing. In 2002 Alan Andreasen (2002) recommended that social marketing should comprise any one of six benchmark criteria. More recent studies (see Carins and Rundle-Thiele, 2014), that have empirically examined social marketing intervention effectiveness in the context of healthy eating in adult populations, indicate that social marketing is more likely to be effective when more of the benchmark criteria are used. For this reason, it is important to examine the extent that Andreasen’s (2002) benchmark criteria are used by social marketers who are seeking to change behaviours in young consumers, to understand how further improvements to social marketing
implementation can occur. Efforts to establish the extent that social marketing principles are (or are not) used assist to overcome current confusion surrounding social marketing. A systematic literature review of social marketing interventions targeting children was first undertaken and an assessment of the extent of social marketing benchmark criteria use in interventions followed.

Methods
Following the systematic literature review procedures outlined in Carins and Rundle-Thiele (2014) a search was conducted to identify social marketing interventions targeting children aged 12 and under, and published between January 2000 and June 2014. Nine databases (Table 1) were searched using the following terms: children* or kids* AND intervention* or Randomi#ed Controlled Trial or evaluation or trial or campaign* or program* or study or studies AND social marketing. The variance of records between databases can be attributed to the size and the specialisations of each database and how closely they relate to the search terms. ProQuest, for example, is made up of twenty databases.

Table 1: Databases and articles retrieved in initial search

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Number of articles retrieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBSCO All Databases</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSPEC (Web of Knowledge)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medline (R; and InProcess) (Ovid)</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProQuest All Databases</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsycINFO (Ovid)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScienceDirect</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor &amp; Francis</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web of Science</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>651</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All downloaded records were collated using Endnote. As multiple databases include the same journals, duplicate records had to be removed reducing the number of unique articles to 320. In the next stage unqualified records including newspaper articles, conference papers and records published before January 2000 and not in English were removed. Then, titles and abstracts of the remaining papers were reviewed and records classified into the following exclusion criteria were excluded: formative research, papers with no social marketing claim, review/conceptual papers, and interventions not targeting children under the age of 12.
Following the application of the exclusion criteria thirty-five articles including evaluations of social marketing interventions targeting children aged 12 years and under were identified. Backward and forward searching using authors’ names and websites, intervention names, Google Scholar, ‘Publish or Perish’ and reference lists was completed to identify a further forty-six relevant social marketing interventions and other articles providing additional information about the identified interventions. In total, eighty-one articles were included in the analysis covering twenty-three social marketing interventions. Figure 1 shows the literature search process, and the full list of eighty-one papers for each social marketing intervention can be found in Appendix 1. All interventions included in this paper self-identified as social marketing interventions. Interestingly, all interventions included in this paper are in the field of obesity. The majority of interventions identified in this review targeted healthy eating and/or physical activity and to a lesser extent interventions targeted areas such as diabetes, which is associated with obesity.
All identified articles were analysed to identify any potential evidence of each of Andreasen’s (2002) six social marketing benchmark criteria: the aim to change behaviours (and factors known to influence behaviour change in the longer term), distinct formative research to inform the intervention, market segmentation to increase the effectiveness of the intervention, clearly identified exchange, the use of marketing mix, and consideration of competition reported. Further analysis was also completed to determine the target audience for each intervention and identify all intervention outcomes and results reported in the articles. In order to increase inter-rater reliability all identified relevant excerpts were reviewed, compared and discussed by four social marketing researchers. If no evidence of any of the assessment was identified by any of the researchers a ‘not reported’ outcome was assigned to the intervention against the specific benchmark criterion.
Results and Discussion

Target audiences
Each intervention was analysed to determine its exact target audiences. Seventeen of the twenty-three interventions had targeted multiple groups, indicating the awareness that children are not always in control of their behaviour and other stakeholders (e.g. parents, teachers and community members) can have a significant impact on a child’s physical activity levels and food consumption (Ayadi, 2008). Among those interventions five also included parents and school staff (Cork, 2008; Foster et al., 2008; Levin et al., 2002; Sallis et al., 2003; Sanigorski et al., 2008). While fifteen interventions targeted both the parents and the children, parents were mostly considered as a secondary target audience except in two campaigns (Croker et al., 2012; Richards et al., 2009). Community was a secondary target audience in four interventions (Bachar et al., 2006; Henley et al., 2011; Sanigorski et al., 2008; Sayers et al., 2009).

Outcomes of the interventions
Although all of the social marketing interventions described in this paper targeted children under the age of 12, they were carried out in a diverse range of contexts and often included different outcome measures. We were hence unable to follow standard meta-analytical procedure and focused on identifying whether positive, negative or no effect was observed, without attempting to determine the size of the effect. Five main types of outcomes were included in the analysis: behavioural, behavioural intentions, attitudinal, awareness, and policy outcomes.

The main goal of social marketing intervention is behaviour change (Coffman, 2002). Sixteen out of the twenty-three interventions reported positive behavioural effects, representing over two-thirds of the identified studies, while seven interventions reported no behavioural change. None of the reviewed interventions reported any negative behavioural outcomes.

Andreasen’s (2002) benchmark criteria
Table 2 presents the assessment of each of the twenty-three social marketing interventions against Andreasen’s (2002) six social marketing benchmark criteria. None of the interventions gave evidence that they addressed all of the benchmark criteria, and only two interventions addressed five of the six social marketing benchmark criteria (Bellows et al., 2013; Sanigorski et al., 2008). In both interventions the only benchmark criterion that was lacking was audience segmentation. Fifteen interventions reported the use of three or less benchmark criteria.
Table 2: Assessment of the use of Andreasen’s benchmark criteria in social marketing interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Target Audience</th>
<th>No. of SMBC ²</th>
<th>Behavioural Objective</th>
<th>Audience Segmentation</th>
<th>Formative Research</th>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Marketing Mix</th>
<th>Competition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bellows et al., 2013</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>3-5 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>✓ (+)³</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ (4)⁴</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanigorski et al., 2008</td>
<td>Healthy eating and physical activity</td>
<td>4-12 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>✓ (+)</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ (4)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachar et al., 2006</td>
<td>Reduce diabetes</td>
<td>9-10 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>✓ (+)</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓ (3)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cork, 2008</td>
<td>Healthy eating and physical activity</td>
<td>4-11 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>✓ (+)</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ (2)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeBar et al., 2009</td>
<td>Physical activity and healthy eating</td>
<td>11-14 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>✓ (+)</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓ (4)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keihner et al., 2011</td>
<td>Healthy eating (and later increased physical activity)</td>
<td>9-11 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>✓ (+)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓ (3)</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kremer et al., 2011</td>
<td>Physical activity and healthy eating</td>
<td>12-18 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>✓ (*)</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓ (2)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine et al., 2002</td>
<td>Healthy eating</td>
<td>Kindergarten to 4 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>✓ (+)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓ (2)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croker et al., 2012</td>
<td>Healthy eating and physical activity</td>
<td>5-11 years</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>✓ (*)</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henley et al., 2011</td>
<td>Childhood obesity</td>
<td>3-12 years</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>✓ (+)</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓ (3)</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² Social Marketing Benchmark Criteria (Andreasen, 2002)
³ + positive behavioural outcomes reported, * no behavioural change reported
⁴ The number of Ps reported in the intervention
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Author(s)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Behaviour</strong></th>
<th><strong>Target Audience</strong></th>
<th><strong>No. of SMBC</strong></th>
<th><strong>Behavioural Objective</strong></th>
<th><strong>Audience Segmentation</strong></th>
<th><strong>Formative Research</strong></th>
<th><strong>Exchange</strong></th>
<th><strong>Marketing Mix</strong></th>
<th><strong>Competition</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Behavioural objective

Behaviour change can be found in all social marketing benchmark criteria frameworks (Andreasen, 2002; French and Blair-Stevens, 2006) and it is fundamental to evaluating intervention success. French and Blair-Stevens (2006) stated that social marketing must have “a clear focus on behaviour, based on a strong behavioural analysis, with specific behaviour goals” (p.1). All of the studies analysed in this paper stated a specific aim for their intervention, and twenty out of the twenty-three interventions targeting children under 12 aimed to change behaviours, and sixteen of them delivered positive behavioural outcomes. One out of the three interventions which did not have any behavioural objectives delivered positive behavioural outcomes (Foster et al., 2008). Fifteen interventions aimed to change more than one behaviour for example, Sanigorski et al.’s (2008) intervention had eight specific behaviour change aims: reducing television viewing, reducing sugar drinks and increasing water consumption, reducing energy dense snacks and increasing fruit intake, increasing active play after school and weekends, and increasing active transport to school.

Two interventions sought to influence behavioural intentions towards performing a specific behavior: increasing children’s willingness to try new foods (Jonson et al., 2007) and increasing the likelihood that children would internalise healthier behaviours (DeBar et al., 2009). Six interventions also aimed to change attitudes. For example, Cork (2008) aimed to change children’s habits and attitudes towards healthy living and provide them with the tools and knowledge to encourage lifelong participation in physical activities and consumption of healthy food and drink. Awareness was an aim for nine interventions, and ten interventions made an attempt to change policy.

Segmentation

Segmentation relies on dividing a total population targeted by an intervention into smaller groups and delivering marketing activities and materials tailored to each of the groups and reflecting their needs. Andreasen’s (2002) stated that careful segmentation of target audiences is necessary in order to “ensure maximum efficiency and effectiveness in the use of scarce resources” (p.104). Segmentation can be based on one or more of demographic, psychographic, geographic, behavioural and epidemiological factors. Segmentation is based on the principle understanding that populations are typically heterogeneous (Evers et al., 2013) and that groups with similar needs and wants can be identified. An intervention was classified as using segmentation if an evidence of adapting at least one of the marketing mix components to different groups within the target population was identified. Only two social marketing interventions reported using segmentation and both of them delivered positive behavioural outcomes (Keihner et al., 2011; Levine et al., 2002). Keihner et al. (2011) used ten grade-specific activities for Grade 4 and Grade 5 to provide stronger links to the core academic subjects of the California Content Standards. In a similar way, Levine et al. (2002) also used grade-specific activities for three different groups of children: pre-kindergarten and kindergarten, first and second grades, and third through fifth grades.

Formative research

Formative research is essential to any social marketing intervention (Andreasen, 2002) providing an opportunity for the social marketer to learn about the target
audience and how to best design an intervention for that specific audience. Eleven interventions reported use of two or more formative research methods to inform intervention development. Use of both focus groups and interviews was the most popular combination (n=7) (Bachar et al., 2006; Bellows et al., 2013; Croker et al., 2012; DeBar et al., 2009; Hoffman et al., 2009; Huhman et al., 2008; Thackery et al., 2002). Over half of the interventions analysed (n=12) used one or more formative research method. Focus groups (n=9), interviews (n=8) and surveys (n=8) were the most popular methods of formative research. Including additional stakeholders outside of the target audience in formative research is a good way to learn unique insights and gauge the endorsement of secondary groups. For example, Bellows et al. (2013) used key informant interviews and focus groups with Head Start and preschool teachers and parents respectively, to examine current physical activity practices, as well as attitudes, opinions, and desired wants and needs for physical activity materials. Last but not least, seven of the interventions in this review did not incorporate formative research into their study design.

**Exchange**

According to French and Blair-Stevens (2006), in the context of social marketing exchange describes something that a person has to give up in order to get the proposed benefit of the intervention. In this review exchange was treated as “direct exchange”, meaning that if something tangible (e.g. money) or intangible (e.g. time) that needs to be given up simultaneously to get a direct benefit was identified in an intervention, the intervention was classified as using the social marketing exchange. Over time, performing an exchange or multiple exchanges is expected to lead to the desired behaviour. Understanding what the alternatives are to the desired behaviour can provide insight into what would represent a valuable exchange to the target audience. Similar to commercial marketing, social marketers must know the behaviours that are competing with the behavioural aim of an intervention. Two interventions identified in this review included clear evidence of exchange. Sanigorski et al. (2008) demonstrated some evidence of exchange for children with the creation of lunch packs sold during the intervention. The healthy lunch packs were provided to students in exchange for money.

**Marketing mix**

Consistent with previous literature reviews (Carins and Rundle-Thiele, 2014), if evidence of at least two of the marketing mix elements (product, place, price or promotion) was reported in an intervention, the intervention was classified as using a marketing mix. Social marketing campaigns involve the use of multiple strategies, including the 4Ps of the traditional marketing mix: product, price, place, and promotion (Evers, 2013). In this review, five interventions employed the entire marketing mix and seven interventions used three elements of the marketing mix (see Table 2). All of the interventions except Pempek and Calvert (2009) used the promotional aspect of the marketing mix, and three interventions relied solely on promotions to achieve their aims (Atlantis et al., 2008; Croker et al., 2012; Foster et al., 2008).

Product is the benefits derived from an exchange by the target audience and any goods and services required to support the desired behaviour (Lee and Kotler, 2011). An overwhelming majority of the interventions in this review reported using products (n=19). Tangible products included for example, a recipe/cook book(s) (Cork, 2008;
Keihner et al., 2011; Thackery et al., 2002), healthy food combo pack for school lunches available at the school cafeteria (Sanigorski et al., 2008), provision of school healthy meal programs and physical education class plans to be used by teachers (DeBar et al., 2009), music CD for children to provide a musical journey to help tie the nutrition and activity concepts together (Bellows et al., 2013), and interactive classroom lessons, including classroom materials, training resources, and technical assistance for teachers, and focusing on making food choices and then assessing those food choices (Levine et al., 2002). Further, some of the intangible products included events such as walk to school day and cooking classes (Kremer et al., 2011; Thackery et al., 2002), walking school bus (Sanigorski et al., 2008; Sayers et al., 2009), as well as training in healthy food preparation for cafeteria staff and professional development for teachers in physical education curriculum (Sanigorski et al., 2008), and an online game educating children about healthier food choices (Pempek and Calvert, 2009).

Evidence of promotion was identified in 22 interventions. The social marketing interventions in this review used a wide range of promotional tools to raise awareness, enforce a particular message or to promote social marketing activities. The most commonly used tools were promotional events (n=11) such as Athletics Week, Parent’s Day, Healthy Week, National Food and Water Day (Kremer et al., 2011), followed by leaflets and pamphlets (n=5), posters (n=7), newsletters targeted at parents and adults (n=5), banners and public service announcements (n=4). Television advertising was found in five interventions (Atlantis et al., 2008; Bachar et al., 2006; Huhman et al., 2008; Johnston et al., 2009; Keihner et al., 2011). Four interventions (Bellows et al., 2013; Croker et al., 2012; Johnston et al., 2009; Kremer et al., 2011) used digital promotional methods in the form of websites either created specifically for the intervention or as an addition to a current website.

Place as a location where the target audience enters into an exchange was identified in fourteen interventions, with school premises (e.g. cafeteria) and its surroundings being the most common location (n=13). The interventions reported in Huhman et al. (2008) used a number of places: community recreational centres, camps, schools, festivals, sporting events and shopping centres where play areas and street and interactive games were located. Adoption costs in social marketing may be monetary or non-monetary in nature, including time, effort and energy required to perform the behaviour, perceived psychological risks and losses, and physical discomforts that may be associated with the behaviour (Lee & Kotler 2011). Price as the cost or sacrifice exchanged for the product was explicitly identified in four interventions and included time, the financial cost of healthy lunch packs or cafeteria food (Bellows et al., 2013; DeBar et al., 2009; Sanigorski et al., 2008). Sayers et al. (2009) considered price by giving away bicycles, which were donated and repaired by volunteers before being provided to low-income families, free of charge.

Competition

Andreasen’s (2002) social marketing benchmark criteria require recognising and addressing the competition of the behaviour targeted by an intervention. The social marketer has to understand what other behaviours are competing for the chosen target audience’s time and attention in order to develop strategies that minimize the impact of the competition (Andreasen, 2002). An intervention was classified as meeting the competition benchmark if at least one direct (e.g. other campaign aiming to change
the same behaviour) or indirect (e.g. other behaviours competing for the target audience’s time and attention) form of competition was identified in the analysed materials. Nine interventions mentioned competition to their desired behaviour(s), and seven of them reported sedentary behaviours, sugary drinks, energy dense snacks and fatty foods as competition (Bachar et al., 2006; Croker et al., 2012; DeBar et al., 2009; Foster et al., 2008; Kremer et al., 2011; Levine et al., 2002; Sanigorski et al., 2008). Further, Cork (2008) reported internal competition from other programs run by their organization and highlighted that when developing the intervention they had to first consider this internal competition before the external competition.

Conclusion, Limitations and Future research
This paper sought to review social marketing interventions targeting children under the age of 12, published in peer-reviewed journals, to identify the extent that social marketing principles have been used in social marketing. There is growing evidence that social marketing can be successfully used to improve healthy eating behaviours (Carins and Rundle-Thiele, 2014), one of the main causes of obesity. Yet, considerable confusion continues to exist with a poor understanding of what social marketing is (and is not). The current study was undertaken to update the evidence base on the extent that social marketing principles outlined by Alan Andreasen in 2002 are employed in social marketing interventions targeting children.

The results indicate that all of the interventions analysed in this review targeted behaviours associated with either physical activity or healthy eating among children under the age of 12. Although none of the social marketing interventions employed all six of Andreasen’s (2002) benchmark criteria, seventeen of the studies reported positive behavioural outcomes, and no negative outcomes were reported. This indicates that social marketing can change behaviour among children aged under 12. Previous studies identified many examples of social advertising masquerading as social marketing (for example, see Carins and Rundle-Thiele, 2014). In this review two of the three interventions which relied solely on promotions reported no positive outcomes. This supports earlier studies (Carins and Rundle-Thiele, 2014) indicating that social advertising is less effective than social marketing interventions employing more of the social benchmark criteria (e.g. a marketing mix offering the opportunity for exchange).

With a growing concern about the prevalence of obesity among children (Ogden et al., 2012), social marketing offers an effective approach to increase physical activity and healthy eating. This review provides examples of use of each of six social marketing benchmarks to provide a clear understanding of what is (and what is not) social marketing. In 2002 Alan Andreasen indicated that social marketing occurs if any one of six social marketing benchmark criteria are used. More recent evidence (Carins and Rundle-Thiele, 2014) indicates achieving positive change is more likely when more of the social marketing benchmark criterion are used. The current review indicates that effectiveness can be achieved without full use of all six of Andreasen’s (2002) benchmark criterion. Taken together, evidence indicates that social marketing is likely to deliver superior outcomes to information only campaigns and as such social marketers need to extend beyond sole use of communications to deliver behavior change. Moreover, social marketers may improve social marketing intervention effectiveness with full use of all six of Andreasen’s (2002) benchmark criteria (Carins and Rundle-Thiele, 2014).
Evidence of audience segmentation, exchange and competition analysis in the 23 interventions was scarce. Social marketers need to develop a comprehensive understanding of these principles. This paper outlines examples of reported use of each social marketing benchmark to extend our understanding. Some of the interventions targeted children between the ages of 4 and 12, yet significant differences between children in this age bracket call for a more targeted approach reflecting the developmental differences between children aged between 4 and 12 years old. Further opportunities exist in the identification of competing behaviours and offerings which contribute toward children’s obesogenic behaviours. Obese children often grow up to be overweight or obese adults, and therefore remain at risk throughout their life (Deckelbaum and Williams, 2001). Future studies should look into long-term effectiveness of social marketing interventions targeting children in providing them with skills and resources facilitating healthy lifestyles in adulthood. Finally, the interventions identified in this review were all focused in the context of obesity. Additional opportunities exist to extend the implementation of social marketing into other contexts such as bullying, cyber safety, fire safety and participation in education.

This study has several important limitations which should be considered when interpreting the results. First, it includes only studies which self-identified as social marketing, and therefore education, public health and other interventions which may exhibit some of the characteristics of social marketing were excluded. Yet combating the obesity epidemic requires concerted efforts across all disciplines, supporting both children and parents in creating healthy lifestyle choices and eliminating obesogenic environments. Further work should therefore consider all types of interventions targeting children regardless of their theoretical foundations to develop a greater understanding of what works in the obesity context and why it works. Second, although the roles of parents and teachers is crucial in providing children with alternatives to unhealthy foods and activities, this review focused on the role of social marketing rather than any of the important stakeholder groups such as food industry, retailers, schools, parents and authorities (Ayadi and Young, 2006). Future research should continue analysing the interventions focusing on the role of parents, teachers and other stakeholders influencing the development of children’s healthy living habits (Ayadi, 2008). Third, the results indicated that only one of the 23 interventions identified through the process of systematic literature search was partially conducted in a non-Western context (Kremer et al., 2011, carried out in Fiji, Tonga as well as New Zealand and Australia). Yet the World Health Organization indicated that 35 out of 42 million of overweight children live in developing countries (WHO, 2014). Therefore future reviews should attempt to identify social marketing and other public health approaches to tackling the obesity problem in a non-Western context, which may require extending systematic literature review procedures beyond papers in the English language. Fourth, this study used the Andreasen’s (2002) six social marketing benchmark criteria, and future work should consider alternative analytical frameworks (for example, French and Blair-Stevens, 2005; Robinson-Maynard et al., 2013) to further extend our understanding of the extent that social marketing principles are being implemented. Fifth, moving forward social marketers should develop a consensus on key outcome variables for obesity interventions. In 2013 a consensus definition of social marketing was reached (AASM, iSMA, ESMA, 2013). The approach used to reach consensus should be adopted once more focusing on
outcomes. Consistent use of the same outcome variable(s) would permit meta-
analyses to be undertaken. The use of meta-analyses would permit an understanding
of the factors leading to intervention effectiveness to be built to better inform future
practice in social marketing. Such efforts are important to identify which benchmark
criteria enhance effectiveness and in which conditions. Finally, effort has been made
to identify all sources of information about each of the 23 interventions, including
peer-reviewed publications, intervention reports and websites, however it is important
to note that the analysis was limited by the information provided in those sources.
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