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Abstract

We surveyed 355 junior doctors (first four years of post-university training; 69% female, mean age = 28 years) from multiple hospital and practice locations, and used an online questionnaire to assess their training-related demands (academic stress, concern about training debt, hours worked), academic burnout, and personal resources (operationalized as career calling). We tested whether training-related demands were associated with academic burnout and whether career calling moderated the association between the demands and burnout. The demands accounted for approximately one third of the variance in burnout, with all accounting for significant, unique variance. In the context of the demands, career calling was not a significant predictor, but it moderated the association between academic stress and burnout. The study identified additional ways that junior doctors can be assisted to manage these first few years of medical training after graduating from medical school.
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Burnout is a significant issue for junior medical doctors, who face long working hours, have a high patient load, and need to master complex procedural tasks and technologies in their first two years of medical training (de Oliva Costa, Santos, de Abreu Santos, de Melo, & de Andrade, 2012; Dyrbye et al., 2011; Markwell & Weiner, 2009), while at the same time managing an arduous academic workload (Colford & McPhee, 1989; Wright et al., 2006). Researchers have begun to test for the correlates of burnout in this population, although this research is limited (de Oliva Costa et al., 2012), and there have been few studies that have assessed variables and conditions that might ameliorate the relation between the stressful training regime and burnout (Kushner, Kessler, & McGaghie, 2011). The current study contributes to a better understanding of burnout in junior doctors by (a) testing the association between three important training demands (hours worked, academic stress, and concern about debt) and burnout, and (b) by assessing whether holding a strong focus and commitment to their profession (operationalized as career calling) reduces the relation between demands and burnout (i.e., moderates these relations).

Burnout and Trainee Doctors

Burnout is a chronic, negative psychological state, which has established links to stress-related health outcomes, job withdrawal, and lower productivity (Goldberg et al., 1996; Maslach et al., 2001; Ozyurt, Hayran, & Sur, 2006). It is the product of exposure to prolonged job-related demands, and is manifested in emotional and physical exhaustion, detachment from work, and a diminished sense of accomplishment (Maslach, Schaufeli, & Leiter, 2001). It has especially worrying consequences for junior doctors, as it can lead to unprofessional and unethical behaviours, less interest in caring for the medically undeserving
(Dyrbye, Massie et al., 2010), reduced empathy (Thomas et al., 2007), more medical errors
(Prins et al., 2009; West et al., 2006), serious thoughts of dropping out of medicine (Dyrbye,
Thomas et al., 2010), depression and suicidal ideation (Campbell, Prochazka, Yamashita, &
Gopal, 2010; Dyrbye et al., 2008, 2011; Martini, Arfken, Churchill, & Balon, 2004), ill health
and sleep disturbance that result in work absences (Kristensen, Borritz, Villadsen, &
Christensen, 2005), broken relationships, and substance abuse (Dyrbye et al., 2005; Levey,
2001). Consequently, burnout has implications for the well-being of junior doctors as well as
for the quality of their health care.

The prevalence of burnout in medical trainees increases steadily during medical school
(Galán, Sanmartín, Polo, & Giner, 2011), continues to increase during postgraduate training,
and peaks in residency (Campbell et al., 2010; Gelfand et al., 2004; Martini et al., 2004).
Medical doctors in practice are more at risk of stress-related problems and poor well-being
than the general population (Firth-Cozens, 2001); however, it is junior doctors who are most
at risk of developing fatigue and burnout (Pesce, 2009). Martini et al. (2004) observed that
half of the 110 junior doctors in their US study experienced burnout, while Campbell et al.
(2010) reported that 78% of US junior doctors experienced burnout at least once, and,
Markwell and Wainer (2009) found, in a national survey of junior doctors in Australia, that
69% met the criteria for burnout and fatigue. As well as the demanding academic and patient
workload and ever-increasing responsibilities, junior doctors typically have little autonomy
(Campbell et al., 2010; Markwell & Weiner, 2009), their learning environment is
unstructured and sometimes chaotic, and they receive limited feedback on their performance
(Colford & McPhee, 1989; Wright et al., 2006). Work stress models (e.g., demand-control
model; Karasek, 1979) suggest that work stress is higher when demands are accompanied by
low decision latitude.

**Correlates of Burnout**
Three potentially important correlates of burnout in junior doctors are long working hours, academic stress, and concern about debt. Compared to training programs of other professionals, junior doctors work longer hours, have less time for sleep, personal relationships, and recreational activities (Heins, Fahey, & Leiden, 1984; Levey, 2001). Markwell and Wainer (2009), for example, reported that 54% of junior doctors believed that their work hours were excessive, that they regularly worked unsafe hours (31%), and slept less per night than they needed (84%). Junior doctors also face specific challenges in relation to academic stress (i.e., the negative psychological arousal resulting from academic demands that tax or exceed adaptive abilities; cf. Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). After many years of structured education, they have little time for in-depth studying, must manage spontaneous teaching situations, often train in inhospitable or hostile environments (Colford & McPhee, 1989; Cooke, 1985; Levey, 2001), lack the support and mentoring they need, often have poor quality supervision, and rarely receive debriefing after incidents (Abrau Santos, Grosseman, Oliva Costa, & Andrade, 2011; Daly & Willcock, 2002; Geurts, Rutte, & Peeters, 1999). Finally, many junior doctors accumulate considerable financial burdens during their training (Colford & McPhee, 1989). In the US, medical trainees are more concerned about the cost of their education now than they were five years ago (Correa, 2012), with more than half reporting that financial difficulties affect their training and quality of life (Collier, McCue, Markus, & Smith, 2002). In Australia, medical trainees can accrue debts of more than AUD$300,000, which must be repaid once they commence work (QTAC, 2013).

**Moderators of Burnout**

Long working hours, academic stress, and concern about debt, which, from a demands-resources model perspective (Demerouti, Bakker, Nachreiner, & Schaufeli, 2001), can be considered as training demands and to be associated with burnout. However, not all medical trainees experience burnout (Campbell et al., 2010; Dyrbye et al., 2008), which suggests that
there are other, “third” variables that play a role in buffering the effects of these stressors (i.e., moderate the relations). From the demands-resources model perspective, these third variables are considered resources, which are important in their own right (e.g., social support resources can increase motivation), but they also can operate to reduce the effects of stress-producing demands (Bakker, Demerouti, Taris, Schaufeli, & Schreurs, 2003). While little work has examined moderators specific to junior doctors, selecting potential variables can be informed by the meta-analyses on general work-place burnout (e.g., Alarcon, Eschleman, & Bowling, 2009; Halbesleben, 2010). In this study, we examine the effects of one potentially important moderator, that of having a positive, career-related focus, which we operationalize as having a career calling. There has been little research into the association between having a calling and well-being and burnout of junior doctors, although the general literature suggests that people who have a healthy, purposeful pursuit of their occupational goals manage better (Cardador & Caza, 2012; Hall & Chandler, 2005).

Individuals with a career calling approach their work with a strong sense of meaning and purpose, have a desire to contribute to the community (Dik & Duffy, 2009; Elangovan, Pinder, & McLean, 2010; Hall & Chandler, 2005), are more engaged in their job, and miss fewer days at work (Duffy, Dik, & Steger, 2011). For young people, a calling is associated with higher career expectations (Dik, Sargent, & Steger, 2008), more confidence (Hirshi, 2011), and more motivation to pursue a career (Dobrow & Tosti-Kharas, 2011). Specific to well-being, calling is associated with better general and career-related well-being and satisfaction (Duffy, Allan, & Bott, 2012; Elangovan et al., 2010), reduced tension and more positive affect at work, better coping with work and career challenges (Cardador & Caza, 2012), and less burnout (Hagmaier & Abele, 2012). Specific to medical students, career calling was a salient construct for 48% of first year college students (Borges, Manuel, & Duffy, 2013), and was associated with more life satisfaction (Duffy, Manuel, Borges, & Bott, 2013).
While little research has examined career calling in junior doctors, related work demonstrates that having low future expectations, feeling uncomfortable in academic activities, not seeing training as a source of pleasure, and lacking confidence to acquire skills (which are aspects of a calling), are associated with more burnout (Oliva Costa, Santos, Abreu Santos, Melo, & Andrade, 2012). Junior doctors with a stronger medical calling are likely to be more positive about their training, be more forgiving of negative aspects of training, and be more enthusiastic and motivated towards it, which should buffer the effects of the demanding training environment.

The Present Study

Based on the demands-resources model (Demerouti et al., 2001), we expected (a) that the three demands of academic stress, hours worked, and concerns about debt would be associated with higher levels of burnout in junior doctors, and (b) that the resource of having a higher career calling would buffer the association between demands and burnout. Specific hypotheses were:

Hypothesis 1: academic stress (H1a), hours worked (H1b), and concerns about debt (H1c) will be associated positively with burnout in junior doctors; and

Hypothesis 2: career calling will moderate the association between academic stress (H2a), hours worked (H2b), and concerns about debt (H2c) and burnout, with a stronger career calling weakening the association between the stressors and burnout.

Method

Participants

Participants were 355 junior doctors working in hospitals and general practices located across all States/Territories of Australia, who were in their first four years of their post-graduate, pre-vocational training. In these early post-graduate years, much of which is spent working in hospital settings, medical trainees build on their university training under the
supervision of experienced medical and related health care practitioners. We distributed 472 questionnaires, giving a response rate of 75%. There were 244 women (Mean age = 27.68 years; Range = 22 to 58) and 111 men (Mean age = 28.89 years; Range = 21 to 46).

Materials

**Burnout.** We used the 7-item, work-related burnout subscale of the *Copenhagen Burnout Inventory* (Kristensen et al., 2005) to assess burnout. We modified some items to make them more relevant to medical trainees. Sample modified items included, “Do you feel worn out at the end of your medical training day?”, and “Are you exhausted in the morning at the thought of another day of medical training?”. Items were rated on a 5-point Likert-like scale with anchors of 0 = *never or almost never* and 5 = *always*, with higher scores indicating greater burnout. The Inventory has been tested on international samples, including Australia, and validated against work sickness absences, sleep disturbances, pain-killer intake, and intention to leave the job (Kristensen et al., 2005; Winwood & Winefield, 2004). Internal reliability for the work-related subscale has been reported at > .80. Alpha in our study was .88.

**Academic stress.** Trainees completed a 4-item *Academic Stress Scale*, devised by Rogers, Creed, and Searle (2012), to assess levels of stress related to training workload and performance. Sample items included, “When I compare myself to others, I worry about how well I am doing”, and “I feel stressed about how I am performing”. Responses were made on a 5-point Likert-like scale, with anchors of 1 = *strongly disagree* and 5 = *strongly agree*. Higher scores indicated more academic stress. Rogers et al. reported an internal reliability coefficient of .88 with medical students, and, in support of validity, found the scale to be associated negatively with a general measure of well-being and to be uncorrelated with personality factors of extraversion and neuroticism. Alpha in this study was .81.

**Concern about debt.** We had trainees respond to the question, “At this stage of your medical education/training, how concerned are you about the level of debt you will have
accrued at the end of your training?”, using a 4-point Likert-like scale with anchors of $1 = not at all concerned$ and $4 = greatly concerned$, where higher scores indicated greater concern about debt. The question has been used previously with medical students, where, in support of validity, debt was associated negatively with well-being and associated positively with perceived career barriers (Rogers et al., 2012).

**Hours worked.** Trainees were asked, “How many hours per week are you currently working?”, with options of $< 35, 35-39, 40-49, 50-59, and 60+ hours$.

**Career calling.** We used the 2-item, presence of calling subscale from the *Brief Calling Scale* (Dik, Eldridge, Steger, & Duffy, 2012), which we modified slightly to be directly relevant to medical trainees. The two items were, “I believe that I was meant to be a doctor, i.e., it is my calling”, and “I have a good understanding of my calling as a doctor”. Participants responded on a 5-point Likert-like scale, with anchors of $1 = not at all true of me$ and $5 = totally true of me$, with higher scores indicating a stronger career calling. Duffy and Sedlacek (2007, 2010) reported correlations between the two items of $>.80$, and supported validity by showing positive correlations between the subscale and career development progress, well-being, and life meaning in university students. In our study, the inter-correlation was $.70$; alpha was $.81$.

**Procedure**

Participants were recruited as part of an ongoing project examining the career development of medical students and post-graduate medical trainees (see Rogers, Searle, Creed, & Ng, 2010). The data reported in this paper were collected in the last wave of the project, when career calling was examined for the first time. Junior doctors were sent an email, which contained a link to an on-line questionnaire (SurveyMonkey software), inviting their participation. Those who completed the survey were eligible to enter a prize draw for
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the chance to win a store shopping voucher. The study was conducted with the approval of the authors' university ethics committee.

Results

We used hierarchical multiple regression to test the association between environmental demands (hours worked, academic stress, and concern about debt) and burnout, and to assess if the personal resource of career calling moderated these relations. Burnout was included as the outcome variable, the three environmental demands were included at Step 1, the moderator variable was added at Step 2, and three interaction terms (career calling x hours worked, career calling x academic stress, career calling x concern about debt) were included at Step 3. No demographic variables were associated with burnout; thus, no control variables were included. The interaction terms were created using products of centred terms (Aiken & West, 1991). See Table 1 for descriptives and bivariate correlations, and Table 2 for a summary of the regression analysis.

The combined environmental demands at Step 1 accounted for 27.5% of the variance in burnout, $F(3, 351) = 44.40, p < .001$, with all variables making unique contributions: academic stress ($sr^2 = 17.39\%, p < .001$), hours worked ($sr^2 = 2.72\%, p < .001$, and concern about debt ($sr^2 = 2.68\%, p < .001$). Career calling at Step 2 explained an additional 1.2% of variance, $F_{CA}(1, 350) = 5.66, p = .018$, and one interaction term (career calling x academic stress) added an extra 3.2% at Step 3, $F_{CA}(3, 347) = 5.46, p = .001$. At this final step, academic stress was the most important predictor of burnout, followed by hours worked and debt concern. When considered in the context of the other variables, career calling was not a significant predictor, but did moderate the association between academic stress and burnout. For this interaction term, burnout was higher for both career calling groups when academic stress was higher. As academic stress reduced, burnout reduced for both groups, but reduced
Discussion

We tested if academic stress, hours worked, and concern about debt (considered environmental demands from demands-resources model perspective; Demerouti et al., 2001) were correlates of burnout in junior doctors (Hypothesis 1), and tested if career calling (considered a personal resource in demands-resources model) moderated these associations (Hypothesis 2).

All three demand variables were uniquely associated with burnout, explaining a meaningful 28% of the variance, with academic stress accounting for almost three times the variance than the other two variables combined. Hours worked has been considered by many to be the main stressor for junior doctors (e.g., Lam, Wong, Ip, Lam, & Pang, 2010; Levey, 2001), although this was not the case with our sample. This might reflect attempts to reduce working hours for junior doctors, although reports suggest that only marginal reductions in working hours have occurred for Australian medical trainees (Leeder, 2007). Academic stress was the important correlate in this sample. Our questions covered anxiety about performance compared to others, effect of performance on future career, and being overwhelmed by the amount of work required. These concerns have been identified previously (e.g., Dahlin, Joneborg, & Runeson, 2005), but were not ranked as highly as they were by these junior doctors. This suggests a simple focus on the number of hours worked will not capture the main stressors for these trainees, and interventions should focus on helping them manage the broader academic demands associated with their post-graduate training. For example, providing specific input on problem solving skills and coping strategies might assist junior doctors to better manage the patient and study demands of training hospital environments.
We found a significant, though weak, negative correlation between career calling and burnout, which was maintained when career calling was considered along with the other variables in the regression analyses. Career calling has been found to be associated with better well-being in a range of populations, including university students (e.g., Duffy & Sedlacek, 2007) and working adults (e.g., Dobrow, 2012), and related similarly to burnout in adult populations (e.g., Hagmaier & Abele, 2012). Our study demonstrated an association in junior doctors. This direct association can be expected as career calling can be considered a personal resource that provides life meaning and strengthens resilience and coping in the face of challenge (Elangovan et al., 2010).

The associations between career calling and burnout found in this sample of junior doctors need to be considered in the light of the interaction effect identified. As anticipated, the career calling resource buffered the relationship with one of the environmental demands tested in the study. Having a higher career calling moderated the effect of having higher levels of academic stress, suggesting a protective effect for junior doctors with a stronger career calling. This is understandable, as junior doctors with a stronger sense of purpose and clearer life and career directions should be better placed to manage the demands of postgraduate medical training. Research into the career calling of medical trainees and practitioners is a relatively new area of investigation, and future studies might profitably examine the effect of having a calling on other aspects of medical life. Borges et al. (2013) found that level of career calling differentiated between medical students who were interested in primary care medicine from those who were interested in non-primary care practice. Thus, having a career calling is likely to have wider effects than on well-being.

This study has contributed to the literature by demonstrating that career calling is uniquely associated with better well-being in junior doctors (i.e., lower burnout), and that career calling buffers the effect of at least one training demand (academic stress) on burnout. Our
study utilised a brief measure of career calling. While this scale has been used widely, future studies might test the study associations using multi-dimensional measures of career calling. Our sample was disproportionately female. Although we found no relation between gender and burnout, future studies need to confirm these results on a larger sample with a more equal gender balance. While we tested a plausible, causative model consistent with the literature (i.e., that the environmental demands and the career calling resource were antecedents to burnout), these associations have to be tested across time. Finally, we assessed a small number of demands, which we identified from the literature. These stressors accounted for about one third of the variance in burnout, meaning that other potential training stressors need to be considered. Despite these limitations, the study points to potential, additional strategies that might assist junior doctors to manage their post-university training, such as helping them clarify their values around their medical calling and helping them strengthen their commitment to it.
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Table 1

Summary Data and Bivariate Correlations; N = 355

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Burnout</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.12*</td>
<td>.27***</td>
<td>.18**</td>
<td>.47***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Career calling</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Debt concerns</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>.22***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hours worked</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Academic stress</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = p < .05; ** = p < .01; *** = p < .001
Table 2

*Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analyses Predicting Burnout in Junior Medical Doctors; N = 355*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>$SE(B)$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt concern</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.17***</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours worked</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.17***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic stress</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.43***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt concern</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.17***</td>
<td>.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours worked</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.17***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic stress</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.42***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career calling</td>
<td>-.25</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.11*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt concern (DC)</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.17***</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours worked (HW)</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.18***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic stress (AS)</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.41***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career calling (CC)</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC x DC</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC x HW</td>
<td>-.37</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>-.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC x AS</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.19***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05; ***p < .001
Figure 1. Career calling moderates the association between academic stress and burnout.