

Three reasons for eco-label failure

Author

Buckley, Ralf

Published

2013

Journal Title

Nature

DOI

[10.1038/500151c](https://doi.org/10.1038/500151c)

Rights statement

© 2013 Nature Publishing Group. This is the author-manuscript version of this paper. Reproduced in accordance with the copyright policy of the publisher. Please refer to the journal website for access to the definitive, published version.

Downloaded from

<http://hdl.handle.net/10072/59290>

Griffith Research Online

<https://research-repository.griffith.edu.au>

Three reasons for eco-label failure

The fisheries industry promotes third-party eco-labels that signify sustainability, similar to those used in forestry and tourism (see *Nature* <http://doi.org/nb5>; 2013). In my view, these fail for three reasons.

First, consumers care strongly that labels for health and quality standards are accurate because they affect individuals, but care much less about eco-labels because their effects are spread across society.

Second, industries tend to use weak eco-labels in political games to avoid strong regulation.

Third, ineffective eco-labels closely mimic accurate ones. Because there are no adverse consequences for consumers who cannot tell them apart, a high proportion of mimics persists.

Eco-labels are thus no substitute for eco-laws.

Ralf Buckley

Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia.

r.buckley@griffith.edu.au